

1R - 428-41

REPORTS

DATE:

3-31-08

Hobbs I-29 Vent

1R428-41

CLOSURE

3-31-08

RICE OPERATING COMPANY
JUNCTION BOX CLOSURE REPORT

BOX LOCATION

| SWD SYSTEM | JUNCTION | UNIT | SECTION | TOWNSHIP | RANGE | COUNTY | BOX DIMENSIONS - FEET | | |
|------------|--------------------------|------|---------|----------|-------|--------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | | | Length | Width | Depth |
| Hobbs | I-29 vent (#1R428-41) | I | 29 | 18S | 38E | Lea | no box--System abandoned | | |

LAND TYPE: BLM _____ STATE _____ FEE LANDOWNER Occidental Petroleum (Oxy) OTHER _____

Depth to Groundwater 63 feet NMOCD SITE ASSESSMENT RANKING SCORE: 10

Date Started 11/4/2004 Date Completed 8/20/2007 NMOCD Witness no

Soil Excavated 0 cubic yards Excavation Length n/a Width n/a Depth n/a feet

Soil Disposed 0 cubic yards Offsite Facility n/a Location n/a

General Description of Remedial Action:

This junction box site was delineated using a soil boring according to the Investigation and

Characterization Plan submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants. One monitoring well was installed at the site in Nov. 2004. A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) was verbally approved by NMOCD on 7/18/2007 and confirmed via email on 8/8/2007. A site visit on 8/20/2007 revealed that healthy vegetation surrounds the site; additional seed was added. The enclosed Hicks report (December 2007) documents the fulfillment of the approved CAP and requests closure of this site. The monitoring well will remain for possible future use for other sites in the Hobbs abandonment investigation.

enclosures as stated

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY
KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

REPORT ASSEMBLED BY Kristin Farris Pope

SIGNATURE Kristin Farris Pope

DATE 11/28/2007

TITLE Project Scientist

R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

December 4, 2007

Mr. Ed Hansen
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

COPY


RE: NMOCD Case #1R428-41, I-29-Vent
Hobbs SWD System Abandonment
Closure Report

Dear Mr. Hansen:

This letter and Appendices are the final Closure Report for the I-29 Vent. The NMOCD approved Corrective Action Plan (Section 4.0, page 3) included creating an infiltration barrier by re-vegetation of the ground surface at the I-29 Vent. Appendix A includes the junction box closure form. Appendix B provides a photograph of the re-vegetation at the site. Appendix C includes copies of previous submissions and the NMOCD approval email. As noted in the CAP, ROC plans to leave the well at this site in place pending investigation of other Section 29 sites.

We respectfully request NMOCD approve site closure in writing. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.



Katie Lee
Staff Scientist

Copy: Rice Operating Company
Hobbs NMOCD Office

Appendix A

RICE OPERATING COMPANY
JUNCTION BOX CLOSURE REPORT

BOX LOCATION

| SWD SYSTEM | JUNCTION | UNIT | SECTION | TOWNSHIP | RANGE | COUNTY | BOX DIMENSIONS - FEET | | |
|------------|--------------------------|------|---------|----------|-------|--------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Hobbs | F-29 vent (#1R428-41) | 1 | 29 | 18S | 38E | Lea | Length | Width | Depth |
| | | | | | | | no box—System abandoned | | |

LAND TYPE: BLM STATE Occidental Petroleum
FEE LANDOWNER (Oxy) OTHER

Depth to Groundwater 63 feet NMOCD SITE ASSESSMENT RANKING SCORE: 10

Date Started 11/4/2004 Date Completed 8/20/2007 NMOCD Witness no

Soil Excavated 0 cubic yards Excavation Length n/a Width n/a Depth n/a feet

Soil Disposed 0 cubic yards Offsite Facility n/a Location n/a

General Description of Remedial Action:

This junction box site was delineated using a soil boring according to the Investigation and

Characterization Plan submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants. One monitoring well was installed at the site in Nov. 2004. A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) was verbally approved by NMOCD on 7/18/2007 and confirmed via email on 8/8/2007. A site visit on 8/20/2007 revealed that healthy vegetation surrounds the site; additional seed was added. The enclosed Hicks report (December 2007) documents the fulfillment of the approved CAP and requests closure of this site. The monitoring well will remain for possible future use for other sites in the Hobbs abandonment investigation.

enclosures as stated

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

REPORT ASSEMBLED BY Kristin Farris Pope

SIGNATURE *Kristin Farris Pope*

DATE 11/28/2007

TITLE Project Scientist

Appendix B

Appendix B – Photograph Documenting Re-Vegetation at I-29 Vent




Figure 1: View of I-29-Vent showing re-vegetation

Figure 1: View of I-29-Vent showing re-vegetation

Appendix C

Katie Lee

From: Kristin Pope [kpope@riceswd.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2007 3:30 PM
To: Katie Lee
Subject: Fw: Summary of July 18 meeting

----- Original Message -----

From: Hansen, Edward J., EMNRD
To: Kristin Pope
Cc: Carolyn Haynes ; Scott Curtis ; Sanchez, Daniel J., EMNRD ; Price, Wayne, EMNRD
Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2007 11:26 AM
Subject: RE: Summary of July 18 meeting

Kristin,
Your summary appears to be accurate and complete.
Attached is the summary that you sent with comments from me [OCD case #s and formal (email) approval dates].
I'll be sending more formal (via email) approvals for the closures and some of the CAPs soon.
Also, I will review and comment on the other CAPs and the APs a.s.a.p.

Thanks for the summary.
Let me know if you have any questions regarding my comments.

Edward J. Hansen
Hydrologist
Environmental Bureau
505-476-3489

Edward J. Hansen
Hydrologist
Environmental Bureau
505-476-3489

From: Kristin Pope [mailto:kpope@riceswd.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2007 10:34 AM
To: Sanchez, Daniel J., EMNRD; Price, Wayne, EMNRD; Hansen, Edward J., EMNRD
Cc: Carolyn Haynes; Scott Curtis
Subject: Summary of July 18 meeting

Gentlemen,

Please review the attached summary of our July 18 meeting. Please let me know if anything needs to be changed. OCD and ROC have already moved forward with several of the projects listed but I would like written confirmation for our files. Thanks again for your time.

Kristin Farris Pope
Project Scientist
RICE Operating Company
Hobbs, New Mexico
(505) 393-9174

This inbound email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

OCD/ROC MEETING SUMMARY

July 18, 2007

CLOSURES

1. Abatement Completion Report for BD Zachary Hinton EOL submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 3/15/2007. AP-50
2. Abatement Completion Report for EME Marathon Barber (jct. E-5) submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 5/16/2007. 1R0427-91 *Approved soil work completed Dec. 2006*
3. Closure Report for Hobbs I-29 EOL boot submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 5/23/2007. Approved soil work completed in 2006. 1R428-42
4. Closure Request for BD jct. N-29 submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 2/10/2007. #1R0426-37

APPROVALS

1. Stage 1&2 Abatement Plan for Vacuum F/G-35 SWD submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants; proof of public notice submitted Feb. 2006; AP-59
Vadose zone remedy complete; reclaiming surface; groundwater treatment ongoing at F-35; evaluating treatment potential at G-35
2. INVESTIGATION & CHARACTERIZATION PLANS (ICP)
NMOCD Approved (1 – 14) via email August 6, 2007
 1. Hobbs O-5 Historical Release by Hicks on 4/11/2007 #1R428-69
 2. EME State 'H' EOL by P. Galusky on 5/1/2007 #1R427-15
 3. Justis E-1 vent by Highlander on 11/29/2006. #1R0432-06
 4. Vacuum State 'P' EOL by Galusky on 4/20/07 #1R425-26
 5. Vacuum jct. F-31-1 by Hicks on 4/17/07. #1R425-27
 6. BD P-26-1 vent by Trident on 2/12/2007. #1R0426-106
 7. BD jct. P-26-2 by Trident on 2/12/2007. #1R0426-107
 8. Hobbs jct. E-4, M-4 vent, & N-4 vent (1 plan) by Hicks on 4/17/07 #1R428-71, #1R428-76, #1R428-68, respectively
 9. EME L-6 boot by Trident on 12/1/2006. #1R0427-09
 10. EME B-8 leak by Trident on 12/1/2006. #1R0480
 11. EME jct. F-18 by Arcadis on 7/6/2007 #1R427-16
 12. BD jct. F-25-1 by Arcadis on 7/12/2007 #1R426-10
 13. EME L-15-1 vent by Galusky on 7/16/2007 #1R427-173
 14. EME State 'Q' EOL boot by Galusky on 7/16/2007 #1R427-174
3. Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for Hobbs E-15 SWD submitted on 11/28/2006 by Arcadis G&M. *Approved with clay or GCL condition* #1R428-40
NMOCD Approved with conditions via email July 27, 2007

4. CAP for Hobbs F-29-1b boot submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 4/2/2007. #1R428-45
5. CAP for Hobbs O-29 vent submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 4/2/2007. #1R428-43
6. CAP for Hobbs I-29 vent submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 4/13/2007. #1R428-41
7. CAP for Hobbs jct. E-33-1 submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 1/2/2007. #1R428-67
8. CAP for Hobbs B-32 boot submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 1/22/2007. #1R428-57
9. CAP for Hobbs jct. E-32-1 submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 1/22/2007. #1R428-65
10. CAP for Hobbs F-33 vent submitted by R.T. Hicks Consultants on 1/22/2007. #1R428-58
11. CAP for EME A-2 leak submitted by Highlander on 5/23/2007. # 1R0427-62 condition: install clay at 4 ft instead of 3 ft as proposed
12. CAP for jct. A-2-1 submitted by Highlander on 5/23/2007. # 1R0427-177 condition: install clay at 4 ft instead of 3 ft as proposed
13. CAP for EME I-1 off-site encroachment submitted by Trident on 2/27/07. #1R0464

Rule 19 ABATEMENT PLANS

OCD granted approval to install monitoring wells as proposed while reviewing plans for administrative completeness:

1. Stage 1 & 2 Abatement Plan for Hobbs F-29 SWD submitted on 10/27/2006 by R.T. Hicks Consultants. *Public notice ready to submit upon approval.* AP-64
2. Stage 1 Abatement Plan for EME C-16(1) leak submitted on 5/25/2007 by L. Peter Galusky; #1R0476 *Public notice ready to submit upon approval.*
3. Stage 1 Abatement Plan for EME C-16(2) leak submitted on 5/25/2007 by L. Peter Galusky; #1R0477 *Public notice ready to submit upon approval.*
4. Stage 1&2 Abatement Plan for BD Santa Rita release site submitted on 12/11/2006 by Trident. AP-58 *want to drill more MWs*

5. Stage 1&2 Abatement Plan for EME jct. M-16-1 submitted on 1/29/2007 by Arcadis G&M. AP-42
6. Stage 1&2 Abatement Plan for EME jct. A-20 submitted on 1/29/2007 by Arcadis G&M. AP-43
7. Stage 1 Abatement Plan for BD H-35 pit submitted by Arcadis G&M on 3/23/2007. #1R0216
8. Stage 1 & 2 Abatement Plan for Justis jct. L-1 boot submitted by Highlander on 1/17/07. AP-48

OCD WILL REVIEW

1. Stage 1 Final Report & Closure Request for EME jct. K-33-1 submitted by Whole Earth on 12/28/2006. AP-60
OCD requests confirmation of regional gradient/impact
2. CAP for EME M-5 SWD submitted by Hicks on 9/10/2004. #1R424
3. Rule 19 Release and CAP for soil for BD jct. F-17 submitted by Highlander on 8/30/06. *Additional information requested by OCD was submitted on 12/29/06 and presented at meeting on 2/21/2007.* AP-47
4. Request for Release from Rule 19 for EME H-13 release submitted on 8/30/2006 by Highlander Environmental. AP-44
Additional information requested by OCD was submitted on 12/29/06 and presented at meeting on 2/21/2007. Showed current site photos.
5. Final Investigation Report & CAP for EME jct. K-6 submitted by Trident on 3/7/2007. AP-46.

OTHER

1. CAP for BD K-4 leak submitted by Highlander on 4/23/2007. #1R0459
*APPROVAL to begin pumping from MW-1 as proposed;
OCD will evaluate CAP (soil work)*
2. CAP for BD O-17-1 vent submitted by Highlander on 5/11/2007. #1R426-12
*No groundwater impact; soil work only
ROC WILL REVISE AND RE-SUBMIT FOR CLARIFICATION*

3. GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINER (GCL) option for Junction Box Upgrade Program

Modification request required; can be emailed.

NMOCD Approved with conditions via email July 27, 2007

R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

April 12, 2007

Mr. Wayne Price
Environmental Bureau Chief
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505


RE: NMOCD Case # 1R0428-41, I-29 Vent
Hobbs SWD System Abandonment
Corrective Action Plan

Dear Mr. Price:

On behalf of Rice Operating Company, R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd. is pleased to submit the attached Corrective Action Plan for the I-29 Vent site. This plan presents characterization activities, evaluations and conclusions as well as a proposal for closure of the site after the selected remedy is implemented.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,
R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.



Katie Lee
Staff Scientist

Copy: Rice Operating Company
Hobbs NMOCD Office

April 9, 2007

Corrective Action Plan

I-29 Vent Site

**Section 29, T18S, R 38E
NMOCD Case #: 1-R0428-41**

Prepared for:

**Rice Operating Company
122 West Taylor
Hobbs, NM 88240**

**R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.
901 RIO GRANDE BLVD. NW, SUITE F-142,
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87104**

Table of Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1.0 Introduction..... | 1 |
| 2.0 Work Elements Performed | 1 |
| 3.0 Conclusions..... | 2 |
| 4.0 Recommendation | 3 |

Plates

Plate 1: 2004 Aerial Photograph of I-29 Vent Site

Plate 2: I-29 Boring Log

Plate 3: HYDRUS-1D Vadose Zone Soil Profile

Appendices

Appendix A: Details of Characterization Activities
At the I-29 Vent Site

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure A-1: Chloride Concentrations and PID Readings From I-29 Soil Boring Samples | A2 |
| Table A-1: Laboratory Analysis Results of I-29 Boring Samples | A2 |
| Table A-2: Quarterly Ground Water Data From the I-29 Vent Site | A3 |

Appendix B: Field Measurements & Laboratory Results
For Soil Samples

Appendix C: Model Input Parameters and Results

| | |
|---|----|
| Table C-1: Hydrus-1D and Mixing Model Input Parameters | C2 |
| Table C-2: Dispersion Lengths | C3 |
| Figure C-1: Predicted Chloride Concentration In the Aquifer At the I-29 Site Without Vegetation. . . | C5 |

Appendix D: Works Consulted

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The I-29 Vent, located west of Hobbs, New Mexico, in section 29, T18S, R38E, was a junction box in the Hobbs Salt Water Disposal (SWD) system, which disposed of produced water from the late 1950s until 2002, when the system was closed. Future impacts from the system are not possible. With the abandonment of the system in 2002, Rice Operating Company (ROC) excavated and removed the SWD I-29 Vent and the uppermost 5–10 feet of the vadose zone. At the time of investigation, the excavation was filled with a mixture of sand-clay-caliche. Activities at the site followed the NMOCD-approved workplan (August 6, 2004).

This Corrective Action Plan presents:

- 1) A description of the characterization activities performed by R.T. Hicks Consultants (Hicks Consultants) and Rice Operating Company (ROC) at the I-29 Vent site located in the Hobbs SWD,
- 2) Evaluation and conclusions drawn from activities performed,
- 3) A proposal for closure of the site after the selected remedy is implemented.

2.0 WORK ELEMENTS PERFORMED

Detailed descriptions of characterization activities are provided in Appendix A. Appendix B shows the results of field chloride measurements. Plate 1 is an aerial photograph of the site when it was active, taken between 1996 and 1998, showing the locations of the boring and background boring.

Activities included:

1. I-29 soil boring characterization.
2. Background soil boring characterization.
3. Field measurements consisting of chloride titration and PID readings for volatiles.
4. Submission of two selected soil samples for laboratory analysis in accordance with the workplan.

5. Completion of the soil boring as a monitoring well.
6. HYDRUS-1D simulation of the site.
7. Quarterly monitoring of ground water at the site from December, 2004, to the present day.
8. Development of a corrective action plan.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

3.1 ACTIVITIES AT THE I-29 VENT HAVE NOT CAUSED COCs TO REACH GROUND WATER.

From chloride concentration and PID measurement profiles (confirmed by laboratory analysis), Hicks Consultants concludes that saturated conditions between the surface and ground water never developed and that constituents of concern (COCs) reside in the upper two-thirds of the vadose zone. Ground water monitoring also shows that ground water remains unimpaired and that activities at this site have not caused COCs to reach ground water.

3.2 CHLORIDE CONCENTRATIONS WILL NOT EXCEED WQCC GROUND WATER STANDARDS.

Using highly conservative input data, HYDRUS-1D modeling of the vadose zone chlorides predicts that resulting ground water chloride concentrations will be below the 250 ppm Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) secondary drinking water standard. At a nearby background monitoring well, over four years of data show that chloride concentration ranges from 111 mg/L to 301 mg/L, with an average concentration of 159 mg/L. The predicted chloride concentration increase at the I-29 site (42 mg/L) could not be differentiated from natural vegetation. The model inputs and methodology are discussed in Appendix C.

3.3 THE SITE PRESENTS NO THREAT TO FRESH WATER, PUBLIC HEALTH OR THE ENVIRONMENT.

Ground water quality exhibits background levels of chloride concentrations and no detection of hydrocarbons. Because residual petroleum hydrocarbons and chloride are not present in sufficient concentration or sufficient mass, Hicks Consultants concluded


that the site represents no threat to fresh water, public health, or the environment (see discussion in Appendix A and Appendix C).

4.0 RECOMMENDATION


Hicks Consultants recommends that ROC create an infiltration barrier through re-vegetation of the ground surface at the I-29 Vent site. This remedy is protective of ground water quality, human health, and the environment. Upon documentation of this action, a closure report/request will be submitted to NMOCD.

ROC will leave the monitoring well in place pending investigation of other Section 29 sites.

Plates



Aerial Photo: <http://rgis.unm.edu>



R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd
 901 Rio Grande Blvd NW Suite F-142
 Albuquerque, NM 87104
 Ph: 505.266.5004

2004 Aerial Photograph showing the I-29 Vent Site

Rice Operating Company I-29 Vent Site
 (NMOCD# 1R0428-41)

Plate 1

February
 2007

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Logger: | David Hamilton / Mort Bates | Client: | Rice Operating Company | Well ID: I-29 Vent MW |
| Driller: | Eades Drilling (0-62 feet) / Atkins Engineering (60-75 | | | |
| Drilling | Air Rotary / Hollow Stem Auger | Project Name: | Hobbs I-29 Vent | |
| Start Date: | 11/4/2004 / 11/12/2004 | Location: | T18S R38E | |
| End Date: | 11/4/2004 / 11/12/2004 | | Section 29, Unit I | |

| Depth (feet) | Description | Lithology | Comments | Well Construction | Field data | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|---------------|------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| | | | | | Depth ft. | Chloride mg/kg | PID ppm |
| 0.0 | Surface, light tan, 0-1 feet | | | Cement, 4 inches thick | | | |
| 2.0 | | | | | | | |
| 4.0 | Caliche, sand, tan, 1-8 feet | | | | | | |
| 6.0 | | | Some odor | | 6.0 | 205 | 2.9 |
| 8.0 | | | | | | | |
| 10.0 | Caliche, sand, silt, 8-17 feet | | | | 11.0 | | 24.6 |
| 12.0 | | | | | | | |
| 14.0 | | | | | | | |
| 16.0 | Well indurated caliche, 17-19 feet | | | | 16.0 | 366 | 202.0 |
| 18.0 | Caliche, sand, silt, 19-20 feet | | | | | | |
| 20.0 | Very well indurated caliche, 20-22 feet | | | | 20.0 | 423 | 504.0 |
| 22.0 | | | Odor | | | | |
| 24.0 | Very fine grained sand silt, yellow-tan, 22-29 feet | | | | | | |
| 26.0 | | | | | 26.0 | 512 | 1049.0 |
| 28.0 | | | | | | | |
| 30.0 | Very fine grained sand silt, reddish-tan, 29-34 feet | | | | 31.0 | 454 | 26.3 |
| 32.0 | | | | | | | |
| 34.0 | Caliche, sand, 34-35 feet | | | | | | |
| 36.0 | Very fine grained sand silt, reddish-tan, 35-38 feet | | | | 36.0 | 374 | 10.2 |
| 38.0 | Caliche, 38-38.75 feet | | Hard drilling | | | | |
| 40.0 | | | | | 41.0 | 209 | 7.8 |
| 42.0 | Very fine grained sand silt, reddish-tan, 38.75-46 feet | | | | | | |
| 44.0 | | | | | | | |
| 46.0 | Sand silt, some caliche, 46-51 feet | | | | 46.0 | 284 | 17.3 |
| 48.0 | | | | | | | |
| 50.0 | | | | | 51.0 | 123 | 5.7 |
| 52.0 | | | | | | | |
| 54.0 | Very fine grained sand silt, reddish-tan, 51-60 feet | | | | 56.0 | 85 | 6.9 |
| 56.0 | | | | | | | |
| 58.0 | | | | | | | |
| 60.0 | | | | | 61.0 | 56 | 7.4 |
| 62.0 | Silty sands with broken sandstone, tan, dry, 60-65 feet | | Dry | | | | |
| 64.0 | | | | | | | |
| 66.0 | | | | | | | |
| 68.0 | | | | | | | |
| 70.0 | Silty fine sand, loose, tan, wet, 65-75 feet | | Wet | | | | |
| 72.0 | | | | | | | |
| 74.0 | | | | | | | |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd 901 Rio Grande Blvd NW Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104 505-266-5004 | Hobbs I-29 Vent Site | Plate 2 |
| | Monitoring Well Boring | April 2007 |

| | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| HYDRUS-1D Vadose Zone Soil Profile | Client: | Location: |
| | Rice Operating Company | T18S R38E Section 29 |
| | Project Name: | |
| | I-29 Vent | |

| Depth (feet) | Description | Model Profile | Depth (feet) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 0.0 | Sandy loam 0-1 feet | | 0.0 |
| 2.0 | Loamy sand, 1-19 feet | | 2.0 |
| 4.0 | | | 4.0 |
| 6.0 | | | 6.0 |
| 8.0 | | | 8.0 |
| 10.0 | | | 10.0 |
| 12.0 | | | 12.0 |
| 14.0 | | | 14.0 |
| 16.0 | | | 16.0 |
| 18.0 | Sand, silt 19-20feet | | 18.0 |
| 20.0 | Caliche, 20-22-feet | | 20.0 |
| 22.0 | Sand, silt 22-34 feet | | 22.0 |
| 24.0 | | | 24.0 |
| 26.0 | | | 26.0 |
| 28.0 | | | 28.0 |
| 30.0 | | | 30.0 |
| 32.0 | | | 32.0 |
| 34.0 | Caliche, 34-35 feet | | 34.0 |
| 36.0 | Sand, silt, 35-45 feet | | 36.0 |
| 38.0 | | | 38.0 |
| 40.0 | | | 40.0 |
| 42.0 | | | 42.0 |
| 44.0 | Sand , caliche, 45-47 feet | | 44.0 |
| 46.0 | Sand, silt, 47-60 feet | | 46.0 |
| 48.0 | | | 48.0 |
| 50.0 | | | 50.0 |
| 52.0 | | | 52.0 |
| 54.0 | | | 54.0 |
| 56.0 | | | 56.0 |
| 58.0 | | | 58.0 |
| 60.0 | | | 60.0 |

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd 901 Rio Grande Blvd NW Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104 505-266-5004 | I-29 Vent Site | Plate 3 |
| | | April, 2007 |

Details of Characterization Activities At the I-29 Vent Site

Appendix A

APPENDIX A

1) I-29 SOIL BORING CHARACTERIZATION

The boring at the I-29 Vent site was drilled in November, 2004, to a depth of 75 feet within the capillary fringe at the site. Plate 2 illustrates the lithology and distribution of constituents of concern.

From 0-35 feet bgs, the split spoon obtained samples at 5-foot intervals. The dry and unconsolidated nature of the sand-silt from 35-60 feet bgs caused loss of split spoon samples during retrieval. In the interval between 35 feet bgs and 60 feet bgs, samples were collected from cuttings. This is the only material deviation from the NMOCD-approved workplan. Moist soil was observed at 61 feet bgs and depth to ground water was estimated at approximately 63 feet bgs. The boring was completed as a monitoring well.

2) BACKGROUND SOIL BORING CHARACTERIZATION

Samples taken from a background boring located about 2,000 feet northwest of the site show that background chloride concentrations in the area are approximately 80 ppm. Appendix B presents the field data from this boring.


3) FIELD MEASUREMENTS

ROC took field measurements from each 5-foot sampling interval for chloride and volatiles in the field using the heated headspace method to measure total organic vapors by photoionization detector (PID). Samples were submitted to a laboratory from depths showing the highest field chloride and PID measurements (26 feet bgs) and from the capillary fringe (61 feet bgs); see Figure A-1. Plate 2 is a lithologic log of the boring with field chloride concentrations and PID measurements. Appendix B provides additional chemical data for the soil samples.

The maximum chloride concentration in the soil is 512 ppm at 26 feet bgs and chloride declines with depth, as shown by Figure A-1.

R.T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

Figure A-1: Chloride Concentrations and PID Readings From Soil Boring Samples, I-29 Vent Site, November 4, 2004



Chloride concentrations reach approximate background levels (about 80 ppm) at a depth of 51 feet bgs. Field evidence demonstrates that the chloride mass resides in the upper two-thirds of the vadose zone.

PID readings follow a pattern similar to that of chloride, peaking at 26 feet bgs with 1049 ppm total organic vapors, and reaching background concentrations below 36 feet bgs.

Laboratory analysis of the soil sample from 26 feet bgs showed benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) are present in total aggregate concentrations below 50 ppm (see Table A-1).

Table A-1: Laboratory Analysis Results of Samples From the I-29 Boring.

| SWD B-5 (I-29 Vent), November, 2004 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| Constituent of Concern | 26 ft. bgs | 65 ft. bgs | Detection Limit | NMED Screening Guideline October, 2006 |
| | mg/kg (dry) | | | |
| Benzene | 0.0531 | ND | 0.025 | 0.0201 |
| Toluene | 0.311 | ND | | 21.7 |
| Ethyl benzene | 0.546 | ND | | 20.2 |
| Xylene (p/m) | 1.58 | ND | | 81.4 |
| Xylene (o) | 0.245 | ND | | 2.06 |

R.T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

BTEX was not detected in field laboratory analysis of the soil sample from the capillary fringe (61 feet bgs).

4) GROUND WATER MONITORING

As Table A-2 shows, quarterly monitoring since December, 2004, indicates that activities at the site have not adversely impacted ground water.

Table A-2: Quarterly Ground Water Data From the I-29 Vent Site

| Date | Chloride | Sulfate | TDS | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl Benzene | Total Xylenes |
|------------|----------|---------|-----|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| | (mg/L) | | | | | | |
| 12/2/2004 | 103 | 97.7 | 521 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 3/21/2005 | 116 | 96.6 | 617 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 5/19/2005 | 104 | 89.7 | 647 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 8/9/2005 | 97.7 | 87.5 | 538 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 11/1/2005 | 82.7 | 68 | 600 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 1/31/2006 | 83.1 | 59.6 | 508 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 5/2/2006 | 102 | 69.6 | 572 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 8/14/2006 | 98.9 | 65.9 | 526 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 10/31/2006 | 100 | 80.3 | 454 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 2/3/2006 | 132 | 96.4 | 504 | ND | ND | ND | ND |

"ND" (non-detect) indicates a concentration that is below detection limits.

Field Measurements & Laboratory Results For Soil Samples

Appendix B

Soil Bore

| | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Soil Bore: Vent I-29 | GPS: Coord. System UTM | 13 671919 E |
| UL I Sec. 29 T 18 R 38 | Map Datum Nad83 | 3621379 1 |

| Depth | Cl. | PID | Color | Time |
|-------|-----|------|--------------------------|-------|
| 6' | 205 | 2.9 | Tan caliche with rocks | |
| 11' | | 24.6 | White caliche | |
| 16' | 366 | 202 | Tan caliche some small | |
| 20' | 473 | 504 | " " | |
| 26' | 512 | 1049 | Tan caliche strong smell | 10:20 |
| 31' | 454 | 26.3 | Pink caliche & shell | |
| 36' | 374 | 10.2 | " " | |
| 41' | 209 | 7.8 | Red sand & caliche | |
| 46' | 284 | 17.3 | " " | |
| 51' | 123 | 5.7 | Red sand | |
| 56' | 85 | 6.9 | " " | |
| 61' | 56 | 7.4 | " with Bark " | 11:24 |
| | | | some Alouster p | |

NOTES: Ground water around 6.2- maybe. Took pictures @ bore

Signature d. small / wry Date 11/4/84

COPY

[illegible]

Notes: Bore Down to 65' Split Spacing, sample 67' very moist, PID, Field CI-TEST. Bored down to 75' ck water LVL @ 67.3 Allowed to set 15 min, GW @ 67.3 ck TD @ 73' Set screen, PID @ 10' BGW & 5' AGW set sand, set Plug Bentonite mix Grout. Developed well & ran set up

Signature *[Signature]* Date *11-12-04*

COPY

Rice Operating Co.
122 W. Taylor
Hobbs NM, 88240


Project: Vent I-29
Project Number: None Given
Project Manager: Roy Rascon

Fax: (505) 397-1471

Reported:
11/15/04 16:40

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

| Sample ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Date Sampled | Date Received |
|-----------|---------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| SB @ 26' | 4K10008-01 | Soil | 11/04/04 10:20 | 11/10/04 07:50 |
| SB @ 61' | 4K10008-02 | Soil | 11/04/04 11:24 | 11/10/04 07:50 |



COPY

11/30/2004 18:05 FAX

Rice Operating Co.
122 W. Taylor
Hobbs NM, 88240

Project: Vent I-29
Project Number: None Given
Project Manager: Roy Rascon

Fax: (505) 397-1471

Reported:
11/15/04 16:40


Organics by GC
Environmental Lab of Texas

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Note |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|------|
| SB @ 26' (4K10008-01) Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 0.0531 | 0.0250 | mg/kg dry | 25 | EK41203 | 11/11/04 | 11/12/04 | EPA 8021B | |
| Toluene | 0.311 | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.546 | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Xylene (p/m) | 1.58 | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Xylene (o) | 0.245 | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene | | 174 % | 80-120 | " | " | " | " | " | S-04 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | | 112 % | 80-120 | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12 | 277 | 10.0 | mg/kg dry | 1 | EK40906 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | EPA 8015M | |
| Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35 | 468 | 10.0 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35 | 745 | 10.0 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane | | 76.8 % | 70-130 | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane | | 79.8 % | 70-130 | " | " | " | " | " | |

SB @ 61' (4K10008-02) Soil

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|--------|-----------|----|---------|----------|----------|-----------|----|
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | mg/kg dry | 25 | EK41501 | 11/12/04 | 11/12/04 | EPA 8021B | ND |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Xylene (p/m) | ND | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Xylene (o) | ND | 0.0250 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene | | 92.4 % | 80-120 | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | | 103 % | 80-120 | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12 | ND | 10.0 | mg/kg dry | 1 | EK40906 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | EPA 8015M | |
| Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35 | ND | 10.0 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35 | ND | 10.0 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane | | 85.2 % | 70-130 | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane | | 97.8 % | 70-130 | " | " | " | " | " | |

COPY



Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

Page 2 of 10

Rice Operating Co.
122 W. Taylor
Hobbs NM, 88240


Project: Vent I-29
Project Number: None Given
Project Manager: Roy Rascon

Fax: (505) 397-1471

Reported:
11/15/04 16:40

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods
Environmental Lab of Texas

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------------|-------|
| SB @ 26' (4K10008-01) Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 404 | 20.0 | mg/kg Wet | 2 | EK41209 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | SW 846 9253 | |
| % Moisture | 6.0 | | % | 1 | EK41101 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | % calculation | |
| SB @ 61' (4K10008-02) Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 20.0 | mg/kg Wet | 2 | EK41209 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | SW 846 9253 | |
| % Moisture | 4.0 | | % | 1 | EK41101 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | % calculation | |



COPY

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

Page 3 of 10

Soil Bore

System: A/B/C

Location:

GW: 62 Landowner.

Soil Core: 2nd core at L...

100 F Sec 29 T 18 R 37

GPS: Coord. System UTM 13 671407 E
Map Datum Nad83

Map Datum NAD83

303979

| Depth | Cl. | PID | Color | Time |
|-------|-----|-----|-----------------------------|------|
| 54' | 127 | | | |
| 6' | 107 | 1.0 | | |
| 11' | 121 | 1.8 | Dark Brown | |
| 16' | 70 | 0.9 | white caliche | |
| 21' | 51 | 1.0 | white caliche | |
| 26' | 111 | 4.3 | " | |
| 31' | 52 | 2.8 | " | |
| 37' | 56 | 5.5 | white caliche & coarse sand | |
| 42' | 20 | 3.3 | caliche with rock | |
| 46' | 106 | 9.7 | red fine caliche sandy | |
| 51' | 77 | 5.4 | Brown sand | |
| 56' | 57 | 2.9 | " | |
| 61' | 55 | 4.3 | " | |
| | | 3.6 | " | |
| | | | light brown sand | |
| | | | some black sand | 9:50 |

Notes: pit ground water @ 42' from pit

Notes: Hit ground water @ 12'. Took pictures @ base

SIGNATURE

FILE 100-1041

COPY


Rice Operating Co.
122 W. Taylor
Hobbs NM, 88240

Project: Background Here
Project Number: None Given
Project Manager: Kristin Pope

Fax: (505) 397-1471
Reported:
11/12/04 16:02

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods
Environmental Lab of Texas

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Dilution | Batch | Prepared | Analyzed | Method | Notes |
|------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-------------|-------|
| SB @ 61 ft (4K10006-01) Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 20.0 mg/kg Wet | | 2 | PK41209 | 11/10/04 | 11/11/04 | SW 846 9233 | |



COPY

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

Page 2 of 4


Rice Operating Co.
122 W. Taylor
Hobbs NM, 88240

Project: Background Base
Project Number: None Given
Project Manager: Kristin Pope

Fax: (505) 397-1471
Reported:
11/12/04 16:02

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

| Sample ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Date Sampled | Date Received |
|------------|---------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| SB @ 61 ft | 4K10006-01 | Soil | 11/03/04 00:00 | 11/10/04 07:50 |



COPY

Modeling Input Parameters & Results

Appendix C

APPENDIX C

To model the impact of the vadose zone remedy on ground water at the I-29 Vent site, output from HYDRUS-1D is used as input to a ground water mixing model.

HYDRUS-1D modeling simulates water and chloride fluxes through the vadose zone. The HYDRUS-1D output becomes the input to a simple ground water mixing model to predict chloride concentration in a simulated monitoring well immediately down-gradient of the site. Section 3.0 of "Modeling Study of Produced Water Release Scenarios" (Hendrickx, et al., 2005) provides a general description of this modeling approach (see the Works Consulted section at the end of this document).

The observed vadose zone chloride profile was installed in the model. The present chloride load within the soil profile is the result of all previous activities at the site and is based upon field observation and analysis producing the most accurate modeling approach.

INPUT DATA:

Modeling inputs for the I-29 Vent site are presented in Table C-1.

Table C-1: I-29 HYDRUS-1D and Mixing Model Input Parameters

| Input Parameter | Source |
|--|---|
| Vadose zone thickness - 60 feet | I-29 field data and professional judgement |
| Vadose zone texture (Plate 3) | I-29 field data |
| Dispersion length: <6% of model length | Professional judgement |
| Climate | 2004 Hobbs, NM, data and Pearl Weather Station data |
| Soil moisture | HYDRUS-1D initial condition simulation |
| Initial soil chloride concentration profile | From ROC field measurements |
| Length of release parallel to ground water flow: 20 feet | Field measurement |
| Background chloride in ground water: 100 ppm | Chemical analysis |
| Ground water flux: 8.6 cm/day | Calculated from published data |
| Aquifer thickness: 10 feet | Conservative choice |

SOIL PROFILE

The I-29 Vent model has a vadose zone soil profile constructed from the lithologic logs of the I-29 Vent boring and five other borings in Section 29. The model's soil profile is representative of a soil profile excavated to a depth of 19 feet bgs. Although the I-29 Vent site was not excavated to this great a depth, this choice is conservative of ground water quality in that the upper 19 feet of the model's soil profile have been replaced with materials featuring equal or greater hydraulic conductivities than the materials at the I-29 Vent site (See Plate 3).

Vadose zone thickness is about 67 feet at the I-29 Vent site. The model uses a thickness of 60 feet. The effect of this difference is to reduce time of transit of infiltrated water through the vadose zone.

DISPERSION LENGTHS

Because of Hicks Consultants' recent experience with similar soils conservative dispersion lengths were employed. Standard practice calls for employing a dispersion length that is 10% of the model length. For each lithologic unit identified in Plate 3, a dispersion length less than 6% of the model thickness was installed (Table C-2 presents the dispersion lengths for each lithology).

Table C-2: I-29 Dispersion Lengths

| I-29 Hydrus-1D Soil Profile Properties | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Material | Description | Length (cm) | Dispersion (cm) | % of Profile Length |
| 1 | Sandy loam | 30 | 50 | 2.78 |
| 2 | Caliche-sand | 60 | 30 | 1.67 |
| 3 | Caliche | 90 | 10 | 0.56 |
| 4 | Sand-silt | 1070 | 100 | 5.56 |
| 5 | Loamy sand | 550 | 100 | 5.56 |

CLIMATE

Weather data used in the predictive modeling include Hobbs data from November, 2003, to December, 2004, plus an additional 45 years from the Pearl Weather Station, approximately 11 miles west of the Hobbs Airport. The Pearl Weather Station is the closest station to the I-29 Vent site with sufficiently complete weather data for the HYDRUS-1D input files.

SOIL MOISTURE

An initial soil moisture condition was obtained running a HYDRUS-1D simulation for 45 years using the weather data from the Pearl Weather Station. Because soils are relatively dry in this climate and vadose zone hydraulic conductivity varies with moisture content, it is important that simulation experiments of different remedial strategies begin with an initial "steady state" soil moisture content. Vegetation was not allowed in order to create a "wetter" initial condition. This choice is conservative of ground water quality in that "wetter" soils have greater hydraulic conductivities.

The calculation of soil moisture content begins with an initial soil moisture input estimated by professional judgment. Then, sufficient years of weather data are run through the model to establish a "steady state" moisture content. Because only minimal changes in the HYDRUS-1D soil moisture content profile occurred after year 30 of the initial condition calculation, a 45 year simulation was considered acceptable to establish the initial moisture condition. Soil profiles hydrated in this manner were used in all simulations of chloride movement.

INITIAL CHLORIDE PROFILE

From the observed field data generated by ROC personnel, linearly interpolated chloride concentrations were assigned to the model's more finely spaced nodes of the hydrated soil profile.

MIXING MODEL INPUTS:

INFLUENCE DISTANCE

As the vent was oriented vertically, the affected surface area is small. Significant lateral impacts were not observed; therefore, the affected diameter of the site parallel to ground water flow was concluded to be less than or equal to 20 feet.

BACKGROUND CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION

From nearby well data, a value of 100 mg/L chloride for ground water was used for the predictive modeling.

HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY

Hicks Consultants believes that the hydraulic conductivity of the saturated zone at the I-29 Vent site is similar to that observed for the Ogallala Aquifer throughout the general area. McAda (1984) simulated water level declines using a two-dimensional digital model and employed hydraulic conductivity values of 51–75 feet/day (1.9 E-4 to 2.8 E-4 m/s) in the area. According to Freeze and Cherry (1979), these values correspond to clean sand, which agrees with nearby lithologic descriptions of the saturated zone. A value of 45 feet/day was assumed for hydraulic conductivity of the uppermost saturated zone to be conservative of ground water quality.

GROUNDWATER GRADIENT

A hydraulic gradient of 0.0063 was calculated for this site (Intera Report and USGS Topographic Map). Using a hydraulic conductivity of 45 ft/day, ground water flux is calculated as 8.6 cm/day.

AQUIFER THICKNESS


Field data within Section 29 demonstrate that the aquifer is greater than 40 feet thick. A restricted aquifer thickness of 10 feet was employed in the mixing model in accordance with OCD request.

This choice is conservative of ground water quality as it results in higher predicted chloride concentrations in a simulated monitoring well.

MODELING RESULTS:

Using the input data described above, HYDRUS-1D and the ground water mixing model predict no exceedance of WQCC ground water standards at the I-29 Vent site (see Figure C-1). For this simulation, it was assumed that no vegetation is present at the site.

Figure C-1: Predicted Chloride Concentration in the Aquifer for the I-29 Site with No Vegetation



As field chloride data demonstrate, impacts at this site are marginally greater than background, thus, an insignificant impact to ground water quality would be expected. As shown in Figure C-1, chloride concentration in the aquifer attains a maximum of 147 ppm approximately 13 years from now. The effect of the chloride load is no longer distinguishable 29 years from now.

Chloride concentration in ground water varies in response to natural causes. At a nearby background monitoring well, over four years of data show that chloride concentration ranges from 111 mg/L to 301 mg/L with an average concentration of 159 mg/L and a standard deviation of 59 mg/L. Therefore, the predicted chloride concentration increase at the I-29 site (47 mg/L) could not be differentiated from natural variation.

Works Consulted

Appendix D

APPENDIX D

Ash, S.R., 1963, Ground water conditions in northern Lea County, U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-62.

Hendrickx, J., Rodriguez, G., Hicks, R. T., and Simunek, January 2005, Modeling Study of Produced Water Release Scenarios, API Publication Number 4734, 11 pp.

Intera Incorporated, July 8, 2003, Windmill Oil Site Ground Water Sampling Results, prepared for the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, 3 pp.

McAda, D.P., 1985, Projected water-level declines in the Ogallala aquifer in Lea County, New Mexico, US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 84-4062, 84 pp.

Musharrafiyeh, G. and Chudnoff, M., January 1999, Numerical Simulation of Groundwater Flow for Water Rights Administration in the Lea County Underground Water Basin New Mexico, New Mexico Office of the State Engineer Technical Report 99-1, 6 pp.

Nicholson Jr., A. and Clebsch, A., 1961, Geology and Ground Water Conditions of Southern Lea County, New Mexico, Ground Water Report 6, US Geological Survey, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources.

R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

October 20, 2004

Mr. Wayne Price
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: Hobbs SWD System Abandonment
Potential Groundwater-Impacted Junction Box Sites
Case 1R0414

Dear Mr. Price

This letter serves as our notification for conducting field work associated with the above-referenced project. We will commence field work on November 2.

As discussed in our approved workplan, we have identified five sites that are representative of the system and we plan to install one boring at each site. These five sites are:

1. I-29 Vent Produced Water Pipeline Vent 18S.38E.29.I
2. I-29 EOL Boot End of Line-Boot 18S.38E.29.I
3. O-29 Vent Produced Water Pipeline Vent 18S.38E.29.O
4. F-29-1A Junction Box 18S.38E.29.F
5. F-29-1B Produced Water Pipeline Boot 18S.38E.29.F


Below, we outline our approach as described in the workplan and in response to your August 6, 2004 conditional approval.

1. We will locate the vertical definition sampling borehole as close as practical to the suspected release source.
2. From each boring, we will obtain a split-spoon soil sample every five or ten feet throughout the entire vadose zone (ground surface to ground water).
3. We will evaluate these discrete samples, the borehole drilling characteristics, and drill cuttings to develop a lithologic profile of the vadose zone.
4. We will employ standard methods, as described in the Junction Box Replacement Program Plan, to evaluate all soil samples in the field for chloride content, TPH and volatile organic constituent content.
5. We will submit at least one soil sample from each boring to a qualified laboratory for evaluation of chloride and BTEXN (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, naphthalene). The field geologist will identify samples for laboratory analysis after review of the field analysis of chloride, TPH and VOCs. For all borings, we will submit the deepest sample for laboratory analysis of these constituents.

6. The geologist will select two samples from the first boring and two samples from the fourth boring for laboratory analysis of soil moisture content and bulk density.
7. We will obtain a background soil sample at a depth of about 5 feet at a location 300 feet from any visible or suspected surface releases.
8. If field analyses of a borehole show chloride concentrations are consistently greater than 3 times background from ground surface to ground water, we will conclude that periodic discharges from the source created saturated conditions in the past. For any borehole that encounters these potential saturated conditions, we will continue drilling through the saturated zone to the top of the Dockum Group red beds, which form the base of the aquifer in this area. If the saturated thickness of the aquifer in this boring is less than 25 feet, we will install a 2-inch monitoring well with five feet of screen above the water table and 15 feet below the water table, in a manner consistent with industry standards (see NMOCD, ASTM or EPA publications).
9. If the saturated thickness of the aquifer is greater than 25 feet we will install one well screen as described above and a second 5-foot screen above the top of the Dockum Group red beds.
10. We will sample any ground water monitoring wells using micro-purge and "no-purge" techniques to collect two separate samples from this "flow through" monitoring well. We will collect a water sample just below the air water interface, which will be employed for evaluation of any impact from a release of hydrocarbons as well as chloride and TDS. At the bottom of the aquifer we will obtain a second sample, which we will test for chloride and TDS.
11. We expect no material horizontal migration from these potential release sites. If previous excavation work did not provide adequate horizontal characterization, we will provide a protocol for such characterization after our evaluation of these vertical delineation borings.


If you have any questions concerning this field program, please contact Andrew Parker of my staff or me.

Sincerely,
R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.



Randall Hicks
Principal

Copy: Rice Operating Company



Source Map: USGS 7.5' Quad; Hobbs West

R.T. Hicks Consultants, LLC
 901 Rio Grande Blvd NW Suite F-142
 Albuquerque, NM 87104
 Ph: 505.266.5004

Location of wells on the Office of the State Engineer (OSE) database

Plate 2

Rice Operating Company

March
2004

R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

March 11, 2004

Mr. Wayne Price
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: Hobbs SWD System Abandonment
Potential Groundwater-Impacted Junction Box Sites

Dear Mr. Price

Rice Operating Company (ROC) retained Hicks Consultants to address potential environmental concerns at the above referenced sites. This submission proposes a scope of work that we believe will best mitigate any threat to human health and the environment and lead to closure of the regulatory file for this site.

Background

Plate 1 shows the location of the area of the Hobbs SWD System that is the subject of this work plan. During the abandonment process, ROC found evidence of produced water leakage at 36 sites (see Table 1 and Plate 1). Our initial field inspection suggests that past releases at some of these sites are very minor and will pose no threat to human health or the environment, including surface soil. Nevertheless, we propose a more thorough examination of these sites and submission of our findings.

The Hobbs SWD System operated at a capacity of about 40,000 barrels/day from the late 1950s to the late 1980s. During the past decade, about 1000 barrels/day flowed through the system. We believe that the soil staining and other evidence of produced water leakage at these 36 sites dates to the time when the system was operating at capacity. We hypothesize that accidental releases to the environment at many of these sites ceased in the 1990s and natural restoration has mitigated the effects of any past releases. At most release sites, we witnessed no vegetation stress that we could attribute to any past releases. Our proposed scope of work is outlined below.

Task 1 Collect Regional Hydrogeologic Data

Within the area shown on Plate 1, we found over 2000 wells in the database of the Office of the State Engineer (OSE). Plate 2 shows the location of selected water wells on the OSE and USGS database. Table 2 identifies the well owners and certain other specifics regarding these selected wells. We understand that the NMOCD is currently obtaining water levels and water quality samples in support of an investigation of the nearby Windmill Oil Company site (Section 30). We understand that the results of the NMOCD study are not presently available. We do not plan to duplicate NMOCD efforts and Table 2 excludes all wells found in Section 30.

Nevertheless, we require some regional data in order to proceed in a timely fashion. We will attempt to sample at least 10 wells identified in Table 2 to provide an understanding of the regional water quality. Where possible, we will obtain static water levels from these wells. For each of these wells, we will obtain available driller's logs to help us define the regional geology.

We will evaluate these data, data available from the NMOCD investigation of the Windmill Oil Company, published data, and available historical data from the USGS database. The purpose of this research is to assist us with the planning of the proposed drilling program (Task 2).

Task 2 Evaluate Chloride and BTEXN Concentrations in Soil at Five Sites, Evaluate Ground Water Quality if Necessary

We have identified five sites that are representative of the system and we plan to install one boring at each site. These five sites (see Plate 1 and Table 1) are:

- | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. I-29 Vent | Produced Water Pipeline Vent | 18S.38E.29.I |
| 2. I-29 EOL Boot | End of Line Boot | 18S.38E.29.I |
| 3. O-29 Vent | Produced Water Pipeline Vent | 18S.38E.29.O |
| 4. F-29-1A | Junction Box | 18S.38E.29.F |
| 5. F-29-1B | Produced Water Pipeline Boot | 18S.38E.29.F |

We will locate the sampling borehole as close as practical to the suspected release source. Due to the presence of caliche in the subsurface, we plan to employ air-rotary drilling techniques. From each boring, we will obtain split-spoon soil samples every five or ten feet of the vadose zone.

We will evaluate these discrete samples, the borehole drilling characteristics, and drill cuttings to develop a lithologic profile of the vadose zone. We will employ standard methods, as described in the Junction Box Replacement Program Plan, to evaluate all soil samples in the field for chloride content, TPH and volatile organic constituent content. We will submit at least one soil sample from each boring to a qualified laboratory for evaluation of chloride and BTEXN (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, naphthalene). The field geologist will identify samples for laboratory analysis after review of the field analysis of chloride, TPH and VOCs. The geologist will select two samples from the first boring and two samples from the fourth boring for laboratory analysis of soil moisture content and bulk density. We will also obtain a background soil sample at a depth of about 5 feet.

If field analyses of a borehole show chloride concentrations are consistently greater than 3 times background from ground surface to ground water, we will conclude that periodic discharges from the source created saturated conditions in the past. For any borehole that encounters potential saturated conditions, we will continue drilling through the saturated zone to the top of the Dockum Group red beds, which form the base of the aquifer in this area. If the saturated thickness of the aquifer in this boring is less than 25 feet, we will install a 2-inch monitoring well with five feet of screen above the water table and 15 feet below the water

table, in a manner consistent with industry standards (see NMOCD, ASTM or EPA publications). If the saturated thickness of the aquifer is greater than 25 feet we will install one well screen as described above and a second 5-foot screen above the top of the Dockum Group red beds. We will use micro-purge and "no-purge" techniques to collect two separate samples from this "flow-through" monitoring well. We will collect a sample the air water interface, which will be employed for evaluation of any impact from a release of hydrocarbons as well as chloride and TDS. At the bottom of the aquifer we will obtain a second sample, which we will test for chloride TDS. Appendix A describes the "no-purge" sampling technique we plan to employ at this site after initial sampling using micro-purge techniques.

Task 3 Evaluate Chloride, Benzene and Naphthalene Flux from the Vadose Zone to Ground Water

We anticipate that one or all of the five sites selected for borehole investigation will show evidence of seepage from the source to a depth of more than 10-feet. For these sites, excavation and disposal of released material can cause more environmental damage than it cures. For such sites, we propose to employ HYDRUS-1D and a simple ground water mixing model to evaluate the potential of any residual chloride and hydrocarbon mass in the vadose zone to materially impair ground water quality at the site. We will employ predictions of the migration of chloride ion, benzene and naphthalene from the vadose zone to ground water in our selection of an appropriate remedy for the land surface and underlying vadose zone. This simulation is the "no action" alternative, which predicts chloride flux to ground water in the absence of any action by ROC. We have selected these three constituents for simulation modeling because each of these constituents exists in the fluids stored in the tanks and each is specifically regulated by New Mexico ground water regulations (WQCC).

We will employ the input parameters to HYDRUS and the mixing model outlined in Table 3. In

Table 3: Input Parameters for HYDRUS-1D

| Input Parameter | Source |
|---|---|
| Vadose Zone Thickness | Proposed borings and/or well logs on file with the OSE |
| Vadose Zone Texture | Proposed borings and well logs on file with the OSE |
| Dispersion Length | Professional judgment, typically 10% of the model length |
| Soil Moisture | Field Measurements from borings and/or HYDRUS-1D simulations |
| Vadose Zone Chloride Load | Sampling data from proposed borings |
| Length of release perpendicular to ground | Field Measurements, these sites are generally less than 30 feet in diameter |
| Climate | Pearl, NM station (Hobbs) |
| Background Chloride in Ground Water | Samples from water supply wells |
| Ground Water Flux | Calculated from regional hydraulic data, data from nearby wells, and published data |
| Aquifer Thickness | Nicholson and Clebsch (1960), and well logs on file with the OSE |

the no action simulation, we will assume that vegetation is present over the release site. This assumption is consistent with our site observations. We anticipate that any release of chloride to ground water will disperse throughout the entire thickness of the aquifer after a short travel distance. Unless the hydrogeology of the site suggests differently (see Task 1), we plan to use the entire aquifer thickness as the input to the mixing model equation. For hydrocarbons, such as benzene and naphthalene, assuming a chemical stratification within the aquifer is appropriate. For these constituents, we plan to use only the uppermost 10 feet of the aquifer in the mixing model equation.

Task 4 Design Corrective Action Plan

After ROC completes the abandonment of the Hobbs SWD System, there can be no additional releases of produced water. Our modeling of the "no action alternative" at these five sites may show that the residual chloride and hydrocarbon mass in the vadose zone poses a threat to ground water quality. If such a threat does exist, we will expand upon the HYDRUS-1D model predictions described above to develop a remedy for the vadose zone. If necessary, we will simulate:

1. excavation, disposal and replacement of clean soil to remove the chloride and hydrocarbon mass,
2. installation of a low permeability barrier to minimize natural infiltration,
3. surface grading and seeding to eliminate any ponding of precipitation and promote evapotranspiration, thereby minimizing natural infiltration, and
4. a combination of the above potential remedies.

We will select the vadose zone remedy that offers the greatest environmental benefit while causing the least environmental damage. We will provide a Net Environmental Benefit Analysis to support our selection of the remedy.

We will use the ground water mixing model or a suitable alternative to assist in the design of any required ground water remedy. It is possible, however, that the background chloride and/or hydrocarbon concentrations in ground water measured in the nearby wells are equal to or higher than the concentration in any monitoring well installed under this work plan. Such data would strongly suggest that the site in question has not caused any material impairment of ground water quality. If we find no evidence of impairment of water quality due to past activities, we will not prepare a ground water remedy. If data suggest that the site has contributed chloride or hydrocarbons to ground water and caused ground water impairment, we will examine the following alternatives:

1. Natural restoration due to dilution and dispersion,
2. Pump and dispose to remove the chloride and hydrocarbon mass in the saturated zone,

3. Pump and treat to remove the chloride and hydrocarbon mass in the saturated zone,
4. Because of the location of the site, institutional controls negotiated with the landowner may provide an effective remedy. Such controls may be restriction of water use to livestock until natural restoration returns the water quality to state standards, a provision for alternative supply well design, or a provision for well head treatment to mitigate any damage to the water resource.

We will select the ground water remedy that offers the greatest environmental benefit while causing the least environmental damage. We will provide a Net Environmental Benefit Analysis to support our selection of the remedy. We may propose additional ground water monitoring wells to support the evaluation and selection of a remedy.

We plan to deliver a Corrective Action Plan that is similar to the Junction Box Replacement Program Plan. This type of submittal will allow ROC to evaluate each site, prioritize the restoration of each site based upon a risk profile, and then begin restoration of those sites that pose the highest risks. Depending upon the results of the work described herein, ROC may elect to move forward with an area-wide plan rather than proposing 36 individual remedies. We propose to complete the work of described in Tasks 1-3, begin the work outlined in Task 4 and then meet with NMOCD to discuss the scope of the final submittal.

We plan to commence data collection for the HYDRUS-1D simulations described above in late March or early April. Your approval to move forward with this work plan will facilitate our access to nearby wells and approval of expenditures by the System Partners.


Sincerely,

R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.



Randall T. Hicks
Principal

Copy:
Rice Operating Company



Source Map: USGS 7.5' Quad; Hobbs West


R.T. Hicks Consultants, LLC
 901 Rio Grande Blvd NW Suite F-142
 Albuquerque, NM 87104
 Ph: 505.266.5004

Location of Salt Water Disposal System

Rice Operating Company

Plate 1

March
2004



Source Map: USGS 7.5' Quad: Hobbs West

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| R.T. Hicks Consultants, LLC 901 Rio Grande Blvd NW Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104 Ph: 505.266.5004 | Location of wells on the Office of the State Engineer (OSE) database Rice Operating Company | Plate 2 March 2004 |
|---|--|---------------------------|

Table 1

HOBBS Junction Box Disclosures: Potential Groundwater Impact

These junction box sites have become "disclosure" rather than "closure" sites because significant TPH or salt impact has deemed the site remediation to be outside the scope of the Rice Operating Company Generic Junction Box Plan. Each of these sites has the potential for groundwater impact, based on delineation results. As noted, some of the sites are confirmed to have groundwater impact and have been officially reported to the NMOCD and are being monitored for groundwater quality. These sites are being evaluated for risk-based corrective action and plans will be submitted to the NMOCD.

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---|--------------------|-----|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| F-24-3 Vent | Hobbs | F | Sec 24, T18S, R37E | <50 | NM | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| F-25 EOL | Hobbs | F | Sec 25, T18S, R37E | <50 | NM | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| M-20 Vent | Hobbs | M | Sec 20, T18S, R38E | <50 | Samuel Bruton | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| E-29 Vent | Hobbs | E | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| I-29 EOL | Hobbs | I | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| K-29 EOL Boot | Hobbs | K | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| O-29 EOL | Hobbs | O | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| O-29 Vent | Hobbs | O | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| O-29-1 Vent | Hobbs | O | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| P-29 Vent | Hobbs | P | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| C-30 Vent | Hobbs | C | Sec 30, T18S, R38E | <50 | James Hanson | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. F-31-1 | Hobbs | F | Sec 31, T18S, R38E | <50 | V. R. Jones | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. F-31-2 | Hobbs | F | Sec 31, T18S, R38E | <50 | V. R. Jones | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| B-32 Boot | Hobbs | B | Sec 32, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| F-33 Vent | Hobbs | F | Sec 33, T18S, R38E | <50 | NM | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| A-6 Vent | Hobbs | A | Sec 6, T19S, R38E | <50 | NM | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. A-25 | Hobbs | A | Sec 25, T18S, R37E | <50 | NM | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. P-31 | Hobbs | P | Sec 31, T18S, R38E | <50 | Kress Jones | Initial evaluation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. F-24-1 | Hobbs | F | Sec 24, T18S, R37E | <50 | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. F-29-1A | Hobbs | F | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. F-29-1B (G-29) | Hobbs | F | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Primary Delineation only | 2/4/2004 |
| I-29 Vent | Hobbs | I | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| F-30 Vent | Hobbs | F | Sec 30, T18S, R38E | <50 | James Hanson et ux | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. L-30 | Hobbs | L | Sec 30, T18S, R38E | <50 | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. E-32-1 | Hobbs | E | Sec 32, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. E-32-2 | Hobbs | E | Sec 32, T18S, R38E | <50 | Oxy Permian | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|---|--------------------|-----|--|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Jct. E-33-1 | Hobbs | E | Sec 33, T18S, R38E | <50 | | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. N-4 | Hobbs | N | Sec 4, T19S, R38E | <50 | | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| O-5 Vent | Hobbs | O | Sec 5, T19S, R38E | <50 | | Dee Cochran | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. H-29 | Hobbs | H | Sec 29, T18S, R38E | <50 | | Sage & Cottrell | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. E-4 | Hobbs | E | Sec 4, T19S, R38E | <50 | | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. O-13 (N) | Hobbs | O | Sec 13, T18S, R37E | <50 | | Charles Seed Trst | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| G-9 Vent | Hobbs | G | Sec 9, T19S, R38E | <50 | | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. A-6 | Hobbs | A | Sec 6, T19S, R38E | <50 | | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| Jct. E-33-2 | Hobbs | E | Sec 33, T18S, R38E | <50 | | NM | Primary Delineation only | 1/31/2003 |
| vent M-4 | Hobbs | M | Sec. 4, T19S, R38E | <50 | | J. A. Desoto | Initial evaluation only | 9/11/2003 |

These Hobbs SWD System junction boxes, which have potential for groundwater impact, are not yet at a work-status to report as a disclosure. The Hobbs SWD System Environmental Committee has directed Rice Operating Company to prioritize the sites according to vadose zone and groundwater receptors, NMOCD score, landowner, surface use, etc. in order to coordinate the most effective and timely use of resources. The Hobbs SWD System Environmental Committee is committed to completing the abandonment of the Hobbs SWD Gathering System, and projects the remediation of these junction box sites to be a long-term endeavor, possibly 7-10 years. Each of these sites have significant TPH and salt impact and are deemed to be outside the scope of the Rice Operating Company Generic Junction Box Plan. As sites are prioritized, work plans will be developed and submitted to the NMOCD for review, feedback and approval.

Table 2: Selected Water Well Records from the OSE Database

| DB File Nbr | Use | Div | Owner | Well Depth | Water Depth | Well Number | Source | Tws | Rng | Sec | q | q | Date | Date |
|-------------|-----|-----|--------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|---|---|------------|------------|
| L 06660 (E) | PRO | | MORAN OIL PROD & DRILLIN | 120 | 48 | G CORP L 06660 (E) | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 19 | 3 | 3 | 3/23/1970 | 3/23/1970 |
| L 06337 | PRO | | INC. CAPITAN DRILLING CO | 110 | 40 | MPANY L 06337 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 19 | 4 | 2 | 6/10/1968 | 6/10/1968 |
| L 08716 | SAN | | OIL FIELD RENTAL SERVICE | 130 | 49 | CO. L 08716 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3/23/1982 | 3/24/1982 |
| L 07810 | SAN | | MACK TRUCK DEALERSHIP | 120 | 60 | L 07810 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 20 | 2 | 2 | 11/25/1977 | 11/27/1977 |
| L 09475 | SAN | | STOEHR WIRE ROPE OF TEXA | 120 | 60 | S INC. L 09475 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 20 | 2 | 2 | 5/7/1984 | 5/7/1984 |
| L 08851 | SAN | | A.A. OILFIELD | 120 | 54 | L 08851 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 20 | 2 | 3 | 7/1/1982 | 7/2/1982 |
| L 08009 | SAN | | INC. HOBBS DIESEL | 167 | 60 | L 08009 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 28 | 1 | 1 | 1/16/1979 | 1/20/1979 |
| L 08867 | SAN | | BIG HORN TANK RENTAL | 120 | 52 | L 08867 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 29 | 2 | 2 | 7/9/1982 | 7/10/1982 |
| L 07754 | OBS | | CROWN CHEMICAL COMPANY | 207 | 50 | L 07754 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 29 | 2 | 4 | 9/8/1977 | 9/14/1977 |
| L 06570 (E) | PRO | | MORAN OIL PROD & DRILLIN | 110 | 54 | G CORP L 06570 (E) | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 29 | 3 | 3 | 8/5/1969 | 8/5/1969 |
| L 07570 | DOM | | SOUTHWESTERN DRILLING MU | 122 | 48 | D L 07570 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 29 | 3 | 3 | 6/21/1976 | 6/22/1976 |
| L 07005 | SAN | | TWO-STATE TANK RENTAL CO | 150 | 50 | L 07005 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 29 | 3 | 3 | 10/14/1972 | 10/18/1972 |
| L 11176 | | | TEXLAND PETROLEUM-HOBBS, | 220 | 65 | L 11176 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 29 | 4 | 1 | 7/31/2001 | 8/3/2001 |
| L 02395 | PRO | | AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPOR | 87 | 30 | ATION L 02395 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 1 | 2 | 8/31/1953 | 8/31/1953 |
| L 05849 | PRO | | AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPOR | 38 | 34 | ATION L 05849 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 1 | 4 | 2/10/1966 | 2/12/1966 |
| L 05818 | PRO | | AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPOR | 32 | 32 | ATION L 05818 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 1 | 4 | 12/15/1965 | 12/17/1965 |
| L 10093 | PRO | | WINDMILL OIL COMPANY | 52 | 42 | L 10093 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 4 | 1 | 10/2/1989 | 10/2/1989 |
| L 10094 | PRO | | WINDMILL OIL COMPANY | 52 | 42 | L 10094 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 4 | 1 | 10/3/1989 | 10/3/1989 |
| L 10095 | PRO | | WINDMILL OIL COMPANY | 52 | 42 | L 10095 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 4 | 1 | 10/4/1989 | 10/4/1989 |
| L 10096 | PRO | | WINDMILL OIL COMPANY | 52 | 42 | L 10096 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 4 | 1 | 10/6/1989 | 10/6/1989 |
| L 09936 | PRO | | WINDMILL OIL COMPANY | 50 | 41 | L 09936 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 4 | 1 | 7/28/1987 | 8/1/1987 |
| L 10097 | PRO | | WINDMILL OIL COMPANY | 52 | 41 | L 10097 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 30 | 4 | 1 | 10/3/1989 | 10/4/1989 |
| L 05874 | SAN | | STAR TOOL COMPANY | 125 | 45 | L 05874 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 1 | 1 | 3/2/1966 | 3/3/1966 |
| L 10620 | SAN | | BULL DOG TOOL INC | 158 | 43 | L 10620 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 1 | 3 | 12/17/1996 | 12/17/1996 |
| L 10558 | SAN | | BULL DOG TOOL INC | 120 | 80 | L 10558 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 1 | 3 | 5/5/1996 | 5/15/1996 |
| L 10035 | SAN | | BALER SERVICE TOOLS | 150 | 65 | L 10035 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 1 | 1 | 10/20/1988 | 10/20/1988 |
| L 02964 | DOM | | SONNY'S OIL FIELD SERVIC | 150 | 34 | E INC. L 06245 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 1 | 1 | 12/29/1967 | 12/30/1967 |
| L 02555 | DOM | | INC. BAKER OIL TOOLS | 100 | 30 | L 02964 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 3 | 3 | 9/10/1955 | 9/11/1955 |
| L 02555 | DOM | | SKELLY OIL COMPANY | 116 | 34 | L 02555 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 32 | 3 | 3 | 6/25/1954 | 6/25/1954 |
| L 02232 | PRO | | PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM | 120 | 52 | L 06574 (E) | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 33 | 1 | 3 | 8/18/1969 | 8/19/1969 |
| L 02232 | DOM | | CONTINENTAL TANKE INC. | 112 | 56 | L 02232 | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 33 | 3 | 3 | 6/23/1953 | 6/23/1953 |
| L 03516 | PRO | | CACTUS DRILLING COMPANY | 106 | 45 | L 03516 APPR | Shallow | 18S | 38E | 34 | 3 | 3 | 8/21/1956 | 8/22/1956 |

Appendix D

Appendix E

Tables

Plates

Exhibit A-1