## NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

January 2, 1996

IPI-374

Conoco, Inc.

10 Desta Drive, Suite 100W Midland, Texas 79705-4500

Attn: Mr. Jerry Hoover

RE: Injection Pressure Increase MCA Unit Pressure

Maintenance Project, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Reference is made to your request dated November 17, 1995 to increase the surface injection pressure on three wells in the above referenced pressure maintenance project. This request is based on a step rate tests conducted on October 19 and 20, 1995. The results of the test have been reviewed by my staff and we feel an increase in injection pressure on these wells is justified at this time.

You are therefore authorized to increase the surface injection pressure on the following wells:

faximum Injection Surface Pressure
1898 PSIG
1770 PSIG
2560 PSIG

The Division Director may rescind this injection pressure increase if it becomes apparent that the injected water is not being confined to the injection zone or is endangering any fresh water aquifers.

Sincerely,

William J. LeMa

Director

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY - 10, 80% 6429 - 5ANTA 11, NM 87505-6429 - (505) 827-5950

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION - P. O., 80% 6429 - 5ANTA 11, NM 87505-6429 - (505) 827-5905

ENERGY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT DIVISION - P. O., 80% 6429 - 5ANTA 11, NM 87505-6429 - (505) 827-5900

FORESTRY AND RESOURCES CONSERVATION DIVISION - P. O., 80% 6429 - 5ANTA 11, NM 87505-6429 - (505) 827-5810

MINING AND MINERALS DIVISION - P. O. 80% 6429 - 5ANTA 11, NM 87505-6429 - (505) 827-5970

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION - P. O. 80% 6429 - 5ANTA 11, NM 87505-6429 - (505) 827-7131

PARK AND RECREATION LIVISION - P. U. 80% 1447 - (505) 827-7445

Injection Pressure Increase Conoco, Inc. January 2, 1996 Page 2

## WJL/BES

cc: Oil Conservation Division - Hobbs

File: PMX-135, 180; PSI-X 3rd QTR 96

## ATTACHMENT 5



APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT - PART VII

Stage I of the MCA CO<sub>2</sub> Project is designed to inject an average of 23 MMSCF per day of CO<sub>2</sub> into 16 injection wells. This translates into an average of 1.5 MMSCFPD per well. The maximum injection rate for stage I should not exceed 30 MMSCFPD in total and should not exceed 3 MMSCFPD in any one well.

The injection system will be a closed system. The  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  will be transported via pipeline, boosted to injection pressure and injected into the wells through two headers. The produced gas stream will in time contain too much  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  to be sold. At that time, the total produced gas stream will be compressed, dehydrated and reinjected along with the purchased  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ .

The  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  injection system working pressure is designed as 2500 psi which will provide an average injection well pressure of about 2100 psi after distribution losses. The fracture gradient of the injection zones ranges from 0.97 psi/ft. to 1.13 psi/ft. based on fracture stimulation of recently drilled wells in the Unit. (See attached tables.) Assuming a 2100 psi wellhead injection pressure and an average  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  temperature of 80°F, it can be shown that the bottom hole injection pressures will in no case be within 100 psi of the minimum parting pressure. Under these expected operating conditions the average  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  gradient is 0.398 psi/ft.

The source of the purchased CO<sub>2</sub> will be the McElmo Dome CO<sub>2</sub> field in southwest Colorado. The CO<sub>2</sub> will be transported via the Cortez pipeline to Tatum, New Mexico, via the Llano pipeline (now owned and operated by Big 3) to Buckeye, New Mexico, and finally via the new pipeline now being installed by Big 3 to the MCA Unit.

As stated above, recycled  ${\rm CO}_2$  and hydrocarbon gas will supplement the purchased  ${\rm CO}_2$  stream.

After CO<sub>2</sub> injection has been completed, the project design calls for a post-flush waterflood. The injection water will be produced water supplemented with the fresh water supply which has served the MCA Unit in the past.

## ATTACHMENT 5.1

TABLE 1. SIXTH ZONE ESTIMATED FRACTURE GRADIENTS FROM RECENT D&E WELLS

WELL	DATE	MID-PERF DEPTH ft. (gl)	ISIP SURFACE _psi	ISIP MID-PERF psi	FRACTURE GRADIENT psi/ft
367	6-4-87	4003	2750	4490	1.12
368	5-15-87	3792	2230	3880	1.02
369	3-27-87	3755	2410	.4050	1.08
370	5-1-87	3960	2200	3930	0.99
371	9-18-87	3939	2200	3920	1.00
373	10-16-87	3742	2450	4080	1.09
376	12-29-87	3983	3000	4740	1.19
				·	

Average fracture gradient 1.07

TABLE 2. SEVENTH ZONE ESTIMATED FRACTURE GRADIENTS FROM RECENT D&E WELLS

WELL	DATE	MID-PERF DEPTH ft. (gl)	ISIP SURFACE psi	ISIP MID-PERF psi	FRACTURE GRADIENT psi/ft
7th fractured separately					
367	5-29-87	4131	2870	4670	1.13
368	5-12-87	3920	2725	4430	1.13
370	4 <b>-</b> 15-87	4119	1950	3980	0.97
373	10-12-87	3953	3100	4820	1.22

Average fracture gradient 1.11

6th & 7th fractured together					
374	11-11-87	3740	2500	4130	1.10
375	12-1-87	4027	2750	4500	1.12
377	1-6-88	3940	2700	4420	1.12

Average fracture gradient 1.11

TABLE 3. SURFACE CO2 INJECTION PRESSURES CORRESPONDING TO MINIMUM PARTING PRESSURES

ZONE	MID-PERF DEPTH FT	FRACTURE GRADIENT PSI/FT	BOTTOM HOLE PARTING PRESSURE PSIG	CO2 INJECTION SURFACE PARTING PRESSURE PSIG
6	3810	1.07	4080	2560
7	3960	1.11	4400	2800
			· · ·	