

3RP-340

QTR Groundwater Report

**DATE:
AUG 2010**



6121 Indian School Rd. NE Suite 200
Albuquerque, NM 87110
(505) 237-8440

TETRA TECH, INC.

August 19, 2010

Mr. Glen von Gonten
State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: ConocoPhillips Company Randleman #1 - Groundwater Monitoring Report, Aztec, New Mexico

Dear Mr. von Gonten:

Enclosed please find one copy of the above-referenced document as compiled by Tetra Tech, Inc., for this Aztec-area site.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (505) 237-8440 if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Kelly E. Blanchard
Project Manager/Geologist

Cc: Brandon Powell, NMOCD

Enclosures (1)

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY RANDLEMAN No.1 PRODUCTION FACILITY SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

OCD # 3RP-340-0
API # 30-045-10698

Prepared for:



Risk Management and Remediation
420 South Keeler Avenue
Bartlesville, OK 74004

Prepared by:



TETRA TECH, INC.

6121 Indian School Rd. NE, Suite 200
Albuquerque, NM 87110
Tetra Tech Project No. 1158690090

August 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Site Background.....	1
2.0	MONITORING SUMMARY, SAMPLING METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS	2
2.1	Monitoring Summary.....	2
2.2	Groundwater Sampling Methodology	3
2.3	Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results	3
3.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	4
4.0	REFERENCES	4

FIGURES

1. Site Location Map
2. Site Detail Map
3. Generalized Geologic Cross Section
4. Groundwater Elevation Map – June 2010
5. BTEX Groundwater Concentration Map – June 2010

TABLES

1. Site History Timeline
2. Groundwater Elevation Data Summary (June 2009 – June 2010)
3. Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Results Summary, Baseline Parameters (June 2009)
4. Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Results Summary, Quarterly Parameters
(June 2009 – June 2010)

APPENDICES

- Appendix A - Groundwater Sampling Field Forms
- Appendix B - Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Report

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

RANDLEMAN NO.1, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APRIL 2010

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report discusses the groundwater sampling event performed by Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) on June 9, 2010 at the ConocoPhillips Company Randleman No. 1 site located outside of Aztec, New Mexico (Site). The Site is situated on private land in Section 13, Township 31N, Range 11W, of San Juan County, New Mexico. A site location map and detail map are included as **Figures 1** and **2**, respectively.

1.1 Site Background

The historical timeline for the Site is summarized below, and is also presented in **Table 1**.

In April 1997, an unlined surface impoundment (**Figure 2**) was discovered to have been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons. On April 29, 1997, excavation of the soil beneath the impoundment began; once complete, a total of 613 cubic yards of hydrocarbon impacted soil were removed and landfarmed at the nearby Randleman No. 3 site (Williams 2002). Three monitor wells were installed at the Site on May 14, 1997, and quarterly groundwater monitoring was conducted through March 1998. Evaluation of groundwater monitoring results initiated another excavation in April 1998 of 2,220 cubic yards of hydrocarbon impacted soil "to address residual soil contamination extending to the south of the original excavated area" (Williams, 2002). Quarterly groundwater monitoring was continued through September 2000, and after 4 consecutive quarters of groundwater monitoring results below New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) groundwater quality standards for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), Williams Environmental Services (Williams) requested that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) grant closure status to the Site. In June 2002, OCD granted closure for the Site, provided that Williams plug and abandon all Site groundwater monitor wells according to OCD standards (NMEMNRD, 2002). The historical excavation area and historical groundwater monitor wells are displayed in **Figure 2**.

On February 23, 2009, a release of approximately 60 barrels of condensate occurred as a result of a hole in an on-Site production tank. Envirotech Inc. of Farmington, NM (Envirotech) excavated an area of approximately 42 ft. x 51 ft. x 7 ft. deep on February 26, 2009. Seven composite soil samples were collected during excavation activities and were field analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 418.1. Additionally, samples were field analyzed for organic vapors using a photoionization detector (PID) and heated headspace techniques. TPH results ranged from 8 to 1,080 parts per million (ppm) in the walls of the excavation. Organic vapor concentrations ranged from 6.8 ppm to 898 ppm. Due to levels of TPH and organic vapors above OCD action levels, the excavation was continued on February 27, 2009 (Envirotech, 2009). The total area of excavation measured 81 ft x 43 ft x 20 ft. deep. The excavation area is depicted in **Figure 2**.

On March 2, 2009, groundwater was found seeping into the southeast corner of the excavation at a depth of approximately 20 feet bgs. A vacuum truck operated by Rock Springs was contracted by Envirotech to collect groundwater from the excavation; approximately 10 gallons of water were removed. After removal of collected groundwater, Envirotech obtained a soil sample from the southeast corner of the excavation at a depth of 20 feet bgs. TPH and organic vapor results were found to be above OCD action levels. During field analysis of the soil sample, groundwater continued to seep into the excavation. Groundwater was again removed from the excavation, and additional excavation was performed to obtain a soil sample below OCD action levels. A groundwater sample was collected and sent for laboratory analysis of volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8260. The groundwater sample was found to contain benzene, total xylenes and total naphthalenes above NMWQCC groundwater quality standards. Soon after the groundwater sample was taken, the excavation caved in making further water removal via the vacuum truck impossible (Envirotech, 2009). The excavation area is depicted in **Figure 2**.

A total of 611 cubic yards of soil were removed from the Site and were transported to an OCD-permitted facility; clean fill was obtained from the landowner to backfill the excavation. Envirotech recommended the installation of groundwater monitor wells at the Site under OCD guidelines (Envirotech, 2009).

Tetra Tech installed four groundwater monitor wells at the Site between June 9, 2009 and June 10, 2009. A generalized geologic cross section was produced using soil boring data collected during monitoring well installation (**Figure 3**). Following drilling activities in June 2009, the casings for Site monitor wells were surveyed using an arbitrary reference-elevation of 100 feet above mean sea level (amsl). Data obtained from the Site survey is used in conjunction with quarterly monitoring data to produce groundwater elevation maps for the Site (**Figure 4**). Groundwater flow direction at the Site is to the east/southeast.

Tetra Tech began conducting groundwater monitoring events at the Site on June 12, 2009. Hydrocarbon absorbent socks were placed in Monitor Wells MW-2 and MW-3 on June 18, 2009 due to the presence of a spotty, discontinuous light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) sheen present in purge water during sampling. The absorbent socks will be monitored and replaced as necessary during subsequent monitoring events. Soil and groundwater samples were also collected from the Kiffen Canyon Wash on October 21, 2009 and analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX). In both the soil and groundwater collected from Kiffen Canyon Wash, BTEX constituents were found to be below standards.

2.0 MONITORING SUMMARY, SAMPLING METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

2.1 Monitoring Summary

A groundwater sampling event was conducted at the Site on June 9, 2010. Prior to collection of groundwater samples from Monitor Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4, depth to groundwater in each well was measured using a dual interface probe (**Table 2**). A groundwater elevation contour map reflecting June 9, 2010 groundwater elevation is presented as **Figure 4**.

2.2 Groundwater Sampling Methodology

During the June 9, 2010 groundwater monitoring event, Site monitor wells were purged of at least 3 casing volumes of groundwater using a 1.5-inch diameter, polyethylene dedicated bailer. While bailing each well, groundwater parameters were collected using a YSI 556 multi-parameter sonde and results were recorded on a Tetra Tech Water Sampling Field Form (**Appendix A**). Groundwater samples were placed in laboratory prepared bottles, packed on ice, and shipped under chain-of-custody documentation to Southern Petroleum Laboratory (SPL) of Houston, Texas.

June 2010 groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX by EPA Method 8260B; sulfate and chloride by EPA Method E300.0; TDS by EPA Method 2540C; and dissolved manganese by EPA Method 6010B (**Table 3**). A summary of analytical results from the June 9, 2010 sampling event is displayed in **Table 4**. Tetra Tech has prepared **Table 4** as a historical analytical results table to include all quarterly analytical parameters to help document trends in constituent concentrations over time. Results from future groundwater monitoring events at the Site will be compiled in this table.

2.3 Groundwater Sampling Analytical Results

The New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) mandates that groundwater quality in New Mexico be protected, and has issued groundwater quality standards in Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 2, Section 3103 of the New Mexico Administrative Code (20.6.2.3103 NMAC). Groundwater quality standards have been set for the protection of human health, domestic water supply, and irrigation use. Exceedences of NMWQCC groundwater quality standards in Site monitoring wells are discussed below.

- **Chloride**
 - The NMWQCC domestic water supply groundwater quality standard for chloride is 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L); the groundwater sample collected from MW-4, the up-gradient monitoring well, was found to contain chloride at concentration of 2,190 mg/L.
- **Sulfate**
 - The NMWQCC domestic water supply groundwater quality standard for sulfate is 600 mg/L; groundwater samples collected from Monitor Well MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 were found to contain sulfate at concentrations of 1,450 mg/L, 1,280 mg/L, 989 mg/L, and 2,710 mg/L, respectively.
- **Manganese**
 - The NMWQCC domestic water supply groundwater quality standard for manganese is 0.2 milligrams per liter (mg/L). Groundwater samples collected from Monitor Wells MW-2 and MW-4 were found to contain concentrations of manganese above the standard at 3.24 mg/L, and 1.06 mg/L, respectively.

- **Benzene**
 - The human health NMWQCC groundwater quality standard for benzene is 10 µg/L. Groundwater samples collected from Monitor Well MW-3 was above the standard with a concentration of 12 µg/L.
- **Total Xylenes**
 - The human health NMWQCC groundwater quality standard for total xylenes is 620 µg/L. The groundwater samples collected from all Monitor Wells were below the NMWQCC standard for total xylenes; representing the second quarter of NMWQCC compliance for total xylenes at the Site.
- **Total Dissolved Solids**
 - The human health NMWQCC groundwater quality standard for total dissolved solids is 1,000 mg/L. Groundwater samples collected from Monitor Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 were above the standard with concentrations of 3,340 µg/L; 2,590 µg/L; 2,200 µg/L and 4,720 µg/L, respectively.

The corresponding laboratory analytical report for the June 2010 groundwater sampling event, including quality control summaries, is included in **Appendix B**. A map showing BTEX concentrations in groundwater from Site monitoring wells during the April 2010 groundwater sampling event is included as **Figure 5**.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Tetra Tech recommends continued quarterly groundwater sampling at the Site in order to provide sufficient data for Site closure. Site closure will be requested when groundwater analytical results indicate that all constituents of concern are consistently below NMWQCC groundwater quality standards. Please contact Kelly Blanchard at 505-237-8440 or kelly.blanchard@tetrattech.com if you have any questions or require additional information.

4.0 REFERENCES

- Envirotech Incorporated (2009). *Spill Cleanup Report, Located at: Burlington Resources [sic] Randleman #1 Well Site, Section 13, Township 31N, Range 11W, San Juan County, New Mexico*. Prepared for ConocoPhillips. Report Dated February 2009. 3 pp (not including Figures, Tables, and Appendices).
- New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (2002). *Case # 3R0-340, Randleman #1 Dehy Pit, San Juan County [sic], New Mexico*. Letter from NMEMNRD to Williams Field Services. Dated June 14, 2002. 6 pp.
- Williams Environmental Services (2002). *Randleman #1 Pit Remediation and Closure Report*. Prepared for the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Report Dated February 11, 2002. 3 pp (not including Figures, Tables, and Appendices).

FIGURES

1. Site Location Map
2. Site Detail Map
3. Generalized Geologic Cross Section
4. Groundwater Elevation Map – June 2010
5. BTEX Groundwater Concentration Map – June 2010





FIGURE 1.

Site Location Map
ConocoPhillips
Randleman No. 1
Aztec, NM



ConocoPhillips
Randleman No. 1 Site Location



TETRA TECH, INC.




FIGURE 2:
SITE DETAIL MAP
 CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY
 RANDLEMAN #1
 GAS PRODUCTION WELL
 Sec 13, T31N, R11W
 Aztec, New Mexico

LEGEND

- GENERAL AREA of FEBRUARY 2009 EXCAVATION
- EQUIPMENT
- BERM
- MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ APPROXIMATE LOCATION of HISTORIC MONITORING WELL (plugged and abandoned)
- KIFFEN CANYON WASH BORING LOCATION

Access Road to County Road 2900

Animas River (1/4 mile southeast of site)

WELL HEAD

Separator


Condensate Tank

Produced Water Tank

0 15 30
FEET

Tt
TETRA TECH, INC.

Figure 3. Randleman #1 - Cross-Section A-A'






FIGURE 4:
GROUNDWATER ELEVATION
MAP - June 2010
CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY
RANDLEMAN #1
GAS PRODUCTION WELL
 Sec 13, T31N, R11W
 Aztec, New Mexico

- GENERAL AREA OF EXCAVATION
- BERM
- MONITORING WELL
- GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR
- GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- ⊙ APPROXIMATE LOCATION of HISTORIC MONITORING WELL (plugged and abandoned)

80.80 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION
 (elevation relative to wellhead; set
 at an arbitrary 100 feet above mean sea level)

● KIFFEN CANYON WASH BORING LOCATION



TETRA TECH, INC.




FIGURE 5:
 BTX GROUNDWATER
 CONCENTRATION MAP
 June 2010
 CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY
 RANDELMAN #1
 GAS PRODUCTION WELL
 Sec 13, T31N, R11W
 Aztec, New Mexico

- LEGEND**
- EXCAVATION AREA
 - BERM
 - MONITORING WELL
 - EQUIPMENT
 - APPROXIMATE LOCATION of HISTORIC MONITORING WELL (plugged and abandoned)
 - KIFFEN CANYON WASH BORING LOCATION
 - BENZENE CONCENTRATION CONTOUR



TABLES

1. Site History Timeline
2. Groundwater Elevation Data Summary (June 2009 – June 2010)
3. Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Results Summary, Baseline Parameters (June 2009)
4. Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Results Summary, Quarterly Parameters
(June 2009 – June 2010)

Table 1. Randleman No. 1 Site History Timeline

DATE	ACTIVITY
September 20, 1951	Well spudded by Southern Union Gas Company.
August 1, 1952	Well acquired by Aztec Oil and Gas Company.
December 1, 1976	Southland Royalty Company acquired Aztec Oil and Gas Company.
November 22, 1985	Southland Royalty Company acquired by Burlington Resources.
April 1, 1997	An unlined surface impoundment was discovered to have been impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons. On April 29, 1997, excavation of the soil beneath the impoundment began; once complete, a total of 613 cubic yards of hydrocarbon impacted soil were removed and landfarmed at the nearby Randleman #3 site.
May 14, 1997	Three groundwater monitor wells were installed at the Site. Groundwater monitoring was initiated on a quarterly basis through March 1998.
April 1, 1998	Evaluation of groundwater monitoring results initiated another excavation of 2,220 cubic yards of hydrocarbon impacted soil "to address residual soil contamination extending to the south of the original excavated area" (Williams, 2002).
February 1, 2002	Quarterly groundwater monitoring was continued through September 2000, and after 4 consecutive quarters of groundwater quality monitoring results below New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) groundwater quality standards for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), Williams Environmental Services (Williams) requested that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) grant closure status to the Site.
June 1, 2002	OCD granted closure for the Site, provided that Williams plug and abandon all Site groundwater monitoring wells according to OCD standards (NMEMNRD, 2002). The historical excavation area and historical groundwater monitor wells are displayed in Figure 2.
March 31, 2006	ConocoPhillips Company acquired Burlington Resources and all assets.
February 23, 2009	Approximately 60 barrels of condensate were found to have spilled from a hole located on the back side of an on-Site condensate tank into the bermed area. The spilled fluids remained in the berm and none of the condensate was recovered. Form C-141 stated that the spill impacted the soil on the ground surface around the tank, that the production tank was to be removed, and that the affected soils were to be excavated.
February 26, 2009	Envirotech Inc. of Farmington, NM (Envirotech) performed the soil excavation and collected soil samples for analysis. The area of release was excavated to approximately 42 feet by 51 feet by 7 feet deep. 7 composite soil samples were collected from the excavation and were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) using EPA Method 418.1. Additionally, organic vapors were measured using a Photoionization Detector (PID). TPH results ranged from 8 parts per million (ppm) in the north wall sample to 1,080 ppm in the south wall sample. The OCD recommended action level for TPH at the Site was determined to be 100 ppm. Organic vapor concentrations ranged from 6.8 ppm from the north wall sample, to 898 ppm in the south wall sample. Due to high levels of TPH and organic vapors, the excavation was continued on February 27, 2009.
February 27, 2009	Envirotech continue the excavation and sampling activities. Samples collected from the north, west, and east ends of the excavation on February 26, 2009 were found to be below OCD action levels for TPH, the focus of the excavation on February 27, 2009 was the south wall, the southeast wall, and the bottom of the southeast corner. At the end of the day, the excavation measured 81 feet by 43 feet by 20 feet deep (total depth is given for the deepest part of the excavation; other areas determined to be below OCD action levels went to approximately 8 feet bgs). Eight soil samples were collected and analyzed in the field for TPH and organic vapors. Excavation continued until all samples were found to be below 100 ppm for both TPH and organic vapors.
March 2, 2009	Groundwater began to seep into the southeast corner of the excavation at 20 feet bgs. A vacuum truck was contracted to remove groundwater from the excavation; approximately 10 gallons of water were removed. After removal of groundwater, a soil sample from the southeast corner of the excavation was collected. TPH and organic vapor results were found to be above OCD action levels. More water was then removed from the excavation, and additional soil removal was performed. A groundwater sample was collected from the area where water continued to seep into the excavation, and was analyzed for volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8260. The groundwater sample was found to contain benzene, total xylenes and total naphthalenes above New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) groundwater quality standards. Once this sample had been obtained, the excavation caved in, making further water removal impossible (Envirotech, 2009). A total of 611 cubic yards of soil were removed from the Site. Clean fill was used to backfill the excavation.
June 9 through 11, 2009	Tetra Tech installs 4 groundwater monitor wells at the Site; MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4.
June 12, 2009	Tetra Tech conducts the first groundwater monitoring event at the Site.
June 17, 2009	Depth to water measurements were taken by Tetra Tech in Site monitor wells to determine if hydrocarbons were accumulating in the water column. Hydrocarbon sheen was detected in MW-2 and MW-3.

Table 1. Randleman No. 1 Site History Timeline

June 18, 2009	Hydrocarbon-absorbent socks were placed in monitor wells MW-2 and MW-3 by Tetra Tech.
September 23, 2009	Second quarterly groundwater monitoring event at the Site conducted by Tetra Tech.
October 1, 2009	Tetra Tech on Site to hand auger one boring near the Kiffen Canyon Wash, which is located downgradient and east of the Site. Groundwater and soil samples collected from boring.
December 16, 2009	Third quarterly groundwater monitoring event at the Site conducted by Tetra Tech.
April 1, 2010	Fourth quarterly groundwater monitoring event at the Site conducted by Tetra Tech.
June 9, 2010	Fifth quarterly groundwater monitoring event at the Site conducted by Tetra Tech.

Table 2. Groundwater Elevation Data Summary - ConocoPhillips Company Randleman No. 1

Well ID	Total Depth (ft bgs)	Screen Interval (ft)	*Elevation (ft) (TOC)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater (ft below TOC)	Relative Groundwater Elevation
MW-1	25.5	9 - 24	95.19	6/12/2009	13.98	81.21
				6/14/2009	13.96	81.23
				9/23/2009	13.97	81.22
				12/16/2009	14.30	80.89
				4/1/2010	14.39	80.80
MW-2	23.80	8.9 - 23.8	96.79	6/9/2010	13.99	81.20
				6/12/2009	15.57	81.22
				6/14/2009	15.63	81.16
				9/23/2009	15.67	81.12
				12/16/2009	16.41	80.38
MW-3	22.00	6.5 - 21.5	96.31	4/1/2010	16.75	80.04
				6/9/2010	15.71	81.08
				6/12/2009	16.00	80.31
				6/14/2009	15.97	80.34
				9/23/2009	15.78	80.53
MW-4	29.50	11 - 26	98.83	12/16/2009	16.77	79.54
				4/1/2010	16.79	79.52
				6/9/2010	15.89	80.42
				6/12/2009	17.68	81.15
				6/14/2009	17.52	81.31
				9/23/2009	17.56	81.27
				12/16/2009	17.86	80.97
				4/1/2010	17.94	80.89
				6/9/2010	17.57	81.26

ft = Feet

TOC = Top of casing

bgs = below ground surface

* Elevation relative to an arbitrary data point of 100 feet

Table 3. ConocoPhillips Company - Randleman No. 1 - Groundwater Baseline Analytical Results Summary - June 2009

Constituent			Sample ID (samples collected on June 12, 2009)					NMWQCC Groundwater Quality Standard
Ions	Method	Units	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	Duplicate	MW-4	
Bromide	E300.0	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA	< 0.5	NE
Chloride	E300.0	mg/L	119	40.1	40.3	NA	2,310	250
Fluoride	E300.0	mg/L	0.518	0.621	< 0.5	NA	0.652	1.6
Orthophosphate (as P)	E300.0	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA	< 0.5	NE
Sulfate	E300.0	mg/L	1,690	1,360	1,510	NA	4,190	600
Nitrate (as N)	E300.0	mg/L	0.78	0.52	< 0.5	NA	< 0.5	10
Nitrite (as N)	E300.0	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	NA	< 0.5	NE
Metals, Total	Method	Units	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	Duplicate	MW-4	NMWQCC Groundwater Quality Standard
Mercury	SW7470A	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	NA	< 0.0002	NE
Aluminum	SW6010B	mg/L	9.22*	2.99*	1.1*	NA	13.6*	NE
Boron	SW6010B	mg/L	0.135	< 0.1	0.107	NA	0.523	NE
Calcium	SW6010B	mg/L	473	528	527	NA	496	NE
Iron	SW6010B	mg/L	6.81*	3.7*	1.65*	NA	20*	NE
Magnesium	SW6010B	mg/L	27.1	19.7	23.9	NA	32.2	NE
Potassium	SW6010B	mg/L	7.31	7.53	6	NA	19.1	NE
Sodium	SW6010B	mg/L	454	196	242	NA	2720	NE
Strontium	SW6010B	mg/L	8.51	8.54	10.5	NA	11.6	NE
Tin	SW6010B	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.0061	NA	< 0.005	NE
Antimony	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	< 0.005	NE
Arsenic	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.005	0.00759	< 0.005	NA	< 0.005	NE
Barium	SW6020A	mg/L	0.0857	0.107	0.0537	NA	0.131	NE
Beryllium	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	NA	0.00468	NE
Cadmium	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	< 0.005	NE
Chromium	SW6020A	mg/L	0.00601	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	0.117*	NE
Cobalt	SW6020A	mg/L	0.0157	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	0.0312	NE
Copper	SW6020A	mg/L	0.022	0.00699	< 0.005	NA	0.041	NE
Lead	SW6020A	mg/L	0.0124	0.00561	< 0.005	NA	0.0418	NE
Manganese	SW6020A	mg/L	4.79*	3.56*	3*	NA	4.92*	NE
Molybdenum	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	NA	0.0146	NE
Nickel	SW6020A	mg/L	0.0185	0.0107	0.00971	NA	0.0372	NE
Selenium	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	0.00558	NE
Silver	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	< 0.005	NE
Thallium	SW6020A	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	< 0.005	NE
Vanadium	SW6020A	mg/L	0.012	0.00592	< 0.005	NA	0.0269	NE
Zinc	SW6020A	mg/L	0.0322	0.0152	< 0.01	NA	0.103	NE
SVOCS (detections only)	Method	Units	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	Duplicate	MW-4	NMWQCC Groundwater Quality Standard
2,4-Dimethylphenol	8270C	µg/L	< 5	< 5	18	NA	< 5	NE
2-Methylnaphthalene	8270C	µg/L	< 5	13	12	NA	< 5	see
Naphthalene	8270C	µg/L	< 5	14	20	NA	< 5	below
Sum of 2-Methylnaphthalene & Naphthalene	8270C	µg/L	—	27	32	NA	—	30
Benzyl alcohol	8270C	µg/L	< 5	6.8	< 5	NA	< 5	NE
2-Methylphenol	8270C	µg/L	< 5	< 5	7.2	NA	< 5	NE
3&4-Methylphenol	8270C	µg/L	< 5	< 5	8.3	NA	< 5	NE
VOCs (detections and BTEX only)	Method	Units	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	Duplicate	MW-4	NMWQCC Groundwater Quality Standard
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	300	440	NA	< 5	NE
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	96	140	NA	< 5	NE
4-Isopropyltoluene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	7.2	6.3	NA	< 5	NE
Isopropylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	24	46	NA	< 5	NE
Naphthalene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	21	36	NA	< 5	30
n-Butylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	5.2	< 5	NA	< 5	NE
n-Propylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	25	48	NA	< 5	NE
sec-Butylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	6.6	6.1	NA	< 5	NE
Benzene	8260B	µg/L	5.1	9.4	10	10	< 5	10
Toluene	8260B	µg/L	7.6	1,100	1,400	1,400	< 5	750
Ethylbenzene	8260B	µg/L	< 5	180	490	540	< 5	750
Total Xylenes	8260B	µg/L	9.7	2,280	4,050	4,300	< 5	620
Other	Method	Units	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	Duplicate	MW-4	NMWQCC Groundwater Quality Standard
Alkalinity (as Calcium Carbonate)	SM2320B	mg/L	165	215	99	NA	200	NE
Diesel Range Organics	SW8015B	mg/L	< 0.1	0.76	1.2	NA	< 0.1	NE
Gasoline Range Organics	SW8015B	mg/L	0.22	11	21	NA	< 0.1	NE

Notes:

MW = monitoring well

NMWQCC = New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission

Constituents in **BOLD** are in excess of NMWQCC groundwater quality standards

SVOCS = semi-volatile organic compounds

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

mg/L = milligrams per liter

µg/L = micrograms per liter

P = phosphate

N = nitrogen

NE = not established

NA = not analyzed

* = Concentration of total metals. Cannot be compared directly to the NMWQCC standard for dissolved metals; but were used to determine which metals to use dissolved metals analyses for during future quarterly sampling events.

Table 4. ConocoPhillips Randelman No. 1 - Quarterly Groundwater Analytical Results Summary

Well ID	Date	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (µg/L)	Ethylbenzene (µg/L)	Xylenes (µg/L)	Naphthalene (µg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Aluminum (mg/L)	Iron (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Manganese (mg/L)	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)
MW-1	6/14/2009	5.1	7.6	< 5	9.7	< 5	119	1690	9.22*	6.81*	.00601*	4.79*	NA
	9/23/2009	18	5.4	1.3	11.6	< 1	80.5	1640	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.005	0.17	2880
	12/16/2009	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	NA	127	1960	NA	NA	NA	0.108	3140
	4/1/2010	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	NA	72.3	1440	NA	NA	NA	0.0849	2850
MW-2	6/9/2010	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	NA	83.8	1450	NA	NA	NA	0.114	3340
	6/14/2009	9.4	1100	180	2280	21	40.1	1360	2.99*	3.7*	< 0.005*	3.56*	NA
	9/23/2009	7.7	< 1	110	720	16	39.4	1390	< 0.1	0.0239	< 0.005	6.82	2480
	12/16/2009	20	7.9	240	777.8	NA	63.3	1510	NA	NA	NA	5.26	2390
MW-3	4/1/2010	9	27	180	547	NA	56.5	1170	NA	NA	NA	4.1	2460
	6/9/2010	3.8	9.3	99	265.6	NA	48.7	1280	NA	NA	NA	3.24	2590
	6/14/2009	10	1400	490	4050	36	40.3	1510	1.1*	1.65*	< 0.005*	3*	NA
	9/23/2009	13	8.5	89	320	3.9	64.5	1500	< 0.1	0.0486	< 0.005	1.11	2720
MW-4	12/16/2009	18	17	96	280	NA	99.1	1920	NA	NA	NA	0.932	2560
	4/1/2010	18	76	190	590	NA	5.34	796	NA	NA	NA	1.04	1650
	6/9/2010	12	20	24	69	NA	30.8	989	NA	NA	NA	0.193	2200
	6/14/2009	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5	2310	4190	13.9*	20*	0.117*	4.92*	NA
NMWQCC Standards	9/23/2009	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	2130	3320	< 0.1	0.0308	< 0.005	2.73	8600
	12/16/2009	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	NA	3430	4110	NA	NA	NA	1.8	9600
	4/1/2010	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	NA	2350	3110	NA	NA	NA	1.52	8560
	6/9/2010	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	NA	2190	2710	NA	NA	NA	1.06	4720

Explanation

ND = Not Detected

NMWQCC = New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission

mg/L = milligrams per liter (parts per million)

µg/L = micrograms per liter (parts per billion)

NA = Not Analyzed

<0.7 = Below laboratory detection limit of 0.7 µg/L

Bold = concentrations that exceed the NMWQCC limits

* = Results reported for total metals analysis; results cannot be compared to NMWQCC Standards for dissolved metals

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Groundwater Sampling Field Forms



TETRA TECH, INC.

WATER SAMPLING FIELD FORM

Project Name Randleman 1Page 1 of 4

ect No. _____

Site Location Aztec, NMSite/Well No. MW-1Coded/
Replicate No. 1440Date 6-9-10Weather Sunny, hotTime Sampling
Began 1410Time Sampling
Completed 1435

EVACUATION DATA

Description of Measuring Point (MP) Top of Casing

Height of MP Above/Below Land Surface _____ MP Elevation _____

Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 23.17 Water-Level Elevation _____Held _____ Depth to Water Below MP 13.99 Diameter of Casing 2"Wet _____ Water Column in Well 9.78 Gallons Pumped/Bailed Prior to Sampling 4.75Gallons per Foot 0.16Gallons in Well 1.56 x 3 =Sampling Pump Intake Setting
(feet below land surface) _____Purging Equipment Purge pump/Bailer

SAMPLING DATA/FIELD PARAMETERS

Time	Temperature (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm³)	TDS (g/L)	DO (mg/L)	DO %	ORP (mV)	Volume (gal.)
1428	12.16	7.36	3.565	—	2.13	19.7	16.3	3.75
1429	12.33	7.04	3.440	—	1.41	12.8	13.6	4.0
1432	12.16	6.81	3.409	—	1.41	13.2	16.6	4.75

Sampling Equipment Purge Pump/Bailer

Constituents Sampled

Container Description

Preservative

BTEX 3 40mL VOAs HCl _____Chloride, Sulfate, TDS 32 oz Plastic None _____Dissolved MN 16 oz Plastic None _____Remarks H₂O light brown, no odor no sheenSampling Personnel CB/CM

Well Casing Volumes

Gal./ft.	1 1/2" = 0.077	2" = 0.16	3" = 0.37	4" = 0.65
	1 1/2" = 0.10	2 1/2" = 0.24	3 1/2" = 0.50	6" = 1.46



TETRA TECH, INC.

WATER SAMPLING FIELD FORM

Project Name Randleman 1Page 2 of 4

ect No. _____

Site Location Aztec, NMSite/Well No. MW-2Coded/
Replicate No. _____Date 10-9-10Weather Sunny, hot
99°Time Sampling
Began 1445Time Sampling
Completed 1500

EVACUATION DATA

Description of Measuring Point (MP) Top of Casing

Height of MP Above/Below Land Surface _____ MP Elevation _____

Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 23.8 26.7 Water-Level Elevation _____Held _____ Depth to Water Below MP 15.71 Diameter of Casing 2"Wet _____ Water Column in Well 10.99 Gallons Pumped/Bailed _____
Prior to Sampling _____Gallons per Foot 0.16Gallons in Well 1.75 x 3 =Sampling Pump Intake
(feet below land) _____Purging Equipment Purge pump / Bailer 3 5.27

SAMPLING DATA/FIELD PARAMETERS

Time	Temperature (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm ³)	TDS (g/L)	DO (mg/L)	DO %	ORP (mV)	Volume (gal.)
<u>1454</u>	<u>10.09</u>	<u>6.810</u>	<u>2.783</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4.22</u>	<u>31.0</u>	<u>-267.9</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>1455</u>	<u>10.15</u>	<u>7.25</u>	<u>2.760</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2.05</u>	<u>18.3</u>	<u>-211.5</u>	<u>4.5</u>
<u>1458</u>	<u>10.23</u>	<u>7.26</u>	<u>2.754</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1.23</u>	<u>10.9</u>	<u>-215.8</u>	<u>5.0</u>

Sampling Equipment Purge Pump/Bailer

Constituents Sampled

Container Description

Preservative

BTEX _____ 3 40mL VOAs _____ HCl _____

Chloride, Sulfate, TDS _____ 32 oz Plastic _____ None _____

Dissolved MN _____ 16 oz Plastic _____ None _____

Remarks H₂O is light gray with strong sulfur/bio odor - switched toSampling Personnel CM & CB / very slight spotty blue / dark gray @ ~ 2.75 gallons

Well Casing Volumes

Gal./ft.	1 ¼" = 0.077	2" = 0.16	3" = 0.37	4" = 0.65
	1 ½" = 0.10	2 ½" = 0.24	3" ½ = 0.50	6" = 1.46



TETRA TECH, INC.

WATER SAMPLING FIELD FORM

Project Name Randleman 1Page 3 of 4

act No. _____

Site Location Aztec, NMSite/Well No. MW-3Coded/
Replicate No. _____Date 6-9-10Weather Sunny, hotTime Sampling
Began _____Time Sampling
Completed 1525

EVACUATION DATA

Description of Measuring Point (MP) Top of Casing

Height of MP Above/Below Land Surface _____ MP Elevation _____

Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 2488 Water-Level Elevation _____Held _____ Depth to Water Below MP 15.89 Diameter of Casing 2"Wet _____ Water Column in Well 8.99 Gallons Pumped/Bailed Prior to Sampling 4.0Gallons per Foot 0.16Gallons in Well 1143.3 = 4.31 Sampling Pump Intake Setting (feet below land surface) _____Purging Equipment Purge pump / Bailer

SAMPLING DATA/FIELD PARAMETERS

Time	Temperature (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm³)	TDS (g/L)	DO (mg/L)	DO %	ORP (mV)	Volume (gal.)
1520	11.36	7.02	2.282	—	4.46	39.0	-145.6	3
1522	10.36	7.05	2.282	—	4.49	40.2	-162.5	3.25
1524	10.28	7.06	2.280	—	4.55	40.7	-169.2	3.50
1525	10.30	7.07	2.281	—	4.52	40.5	-175.0	3.75

Sampling Equipment Purge Pump/Bailer

Constituents Sampled

Container Description

Preservative

BTEX _____ 3 40mL VOAs _____ HCl _____

Chloride, Sulfate, TDS _____ 32 oz Plastic _____ None _____

Dissolved MN _____ 16 oz Plastic _____ None _____

Remarks H₂O is dark gray with organic particulate matterSampling Personnel CM & CB

Well Casing Volumes

Gal./ft.	1 ¼" = 0.077	2" = 0.16	3" = 0.37	4" = 0.65
	1 ½" = 0.10	2 ½" = 0.24	3" ½" = 0.50	6" = 1.46



TETRA TECH, INC.

WATER SAMPLING FIELD FORM

Project Name Randleman 1Page 4 of 4

ect No. _____

Site Location Aztec, NMSite/Well No. MW-4Coded/
Replicate No. _____Date 6-9-10Weather Sunny, hotTime Sampling
Began 1100Time Sampling
Completed 1425

EVACUATION DATA

Description of Measuring Point (MP) Top of Casing

Height of MP Above/Below Land Surface _____ MP Elevation _____

Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 29.5 28.51 Water-Level Elevation _____Held _____ Depth to Water Below MP 17.57 Diameter of Casing 2"Wet _____ Water Column in Well 10.94 Gallons Pumped/Bailed Prior to Sampling 5.25Gallons per Foot 0.16Gallons in Well 1.75 x 3Sampling Pump Intake Setting
(feet below land surface) _____Purging Equipment Purge pump / (Bailer)

SAMPLING DATA/FIELD PARAMETERS

Time	Temperature (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	TDS (g/L)	DO (mg/L)	DO %	ORP (mV)	Volume (gal.)
1412	13.45	7.07	12,710	—	0.96	9.7	-9.3	4.5
1421	13.11	7.09	12,39	—	1.32	13.3	-12.8	4.5
1422	13.10	7.06	13,10 12,35	—	1.35	13.4	-3.9	5

Sampling Equipment Purge Pump/Bailer

Constituents Sampled

Container Description

Preservative

BTEX 3 40mL VOAs HCl _____Chloride, Sulfate, TDS 32 oz Plastic None _____Dissolved MN 16 oz Plastic None _____Remarks H₂O is clear, ~~see~~ to light brown, no odor, no sheenSampling Personnel CH JCB

Well Casing Volumes

Gal./ft.	1 ¼" = 0.077	2" = 0.16	3" = 0.37	4" = 0.65
	1 ½" = 0.10	2 ½" = 0.24	3" ½ = 0.50	6" = 1.46

APPENDIX B

Groundwater Laboratory Analysis Report



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

Certificate of Analysis

June 24, 2010

Workorder: H10060283

Cassandra Brown
Tetra Tech, Inc.
6121 Indian School Road NE
Suite 200
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Project: Randleman No. 1
Project Number: Randleman No. 1
Site: Aztec, NM
PO Number: ENFOS
NELAC Cert. No.: T104704205-09-1

This Report Contains A Total Of 23 Pages

Excluding Any Attachments



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

Certificate of Analysis

June 24, 2010

Workorder: H10060283

Cassandra Brown
Tetra Tech, Inc.
6121 Indian School Road NE
Suite 200
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Project: Randleman No. 1
Project Number: Randleman No. 1
Site: Aztec, NM
PO Number: ENFOS
NELAC Cert. No.: T104704205-09-1

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

All samples were received intact. The internal ice chest temperatures were measured on receipt and are recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II: ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Per the Conoco Phillips TSM Revision 0, a copy of the internal chain of custody is to be included in final data package. However, due to LIMS limitations, this cannot be provided at this time.

Ion Chromatography, Method 300:

Your sample ID "MW-1" (SPL ID: H10060283001) was randomly selected for use in SPL's quality control program for Batch ID IC/1330. The Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) recovery was outside of the advisable quality control limits for Sulfate due to matrix interference. A Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) was analyzed as a quality control check for the analytical batch and all recoveries were within acceptable limits.

III. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS:

Results are reported on a wet weight basis unless dry-weight correction is denoted in the units field on the analytical report (" mg/kg-dry " or " ug/kg-dry ").

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples are chosen and tested at random from an analytical batch of "like" matrix to check for possible matrix effect. The MS and MSD will provide site specific matrix data only for those samples which are spiked by the laboratory. Since the MS and MSD are chosen at random from an analytical batch, the sample chosen for spike purposes may or may not have been a sample submitted in this sample delivery group. The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB) are processed with the samples and the MS/MSD to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

Some of the percent recoveries and RPD's on the QC report for the MS/MSD may be different than the calculated recoveries and RPD's using the sample result and the MS/MSD results that appear on the report because, the actual raw result is used to perform the calculations for percent recovery and RPD.

Any other exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical result page(s) or the quality control summary page(s).



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

Certificate of Analysis

June 24, 2010

Workorder: H10060283

Cassandra Brown
Tetra Tech, Inc.
6121 Indian School Road NE
Suite 200
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Project: Randleman No. 1
Project Number: Randleman No. 1
Site: Aztec, NM
PO Number: ENFOS
NELAC Cert. No.: T104704205-09-1

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or comments pertaining to this data report. Please reference the above Certificate of Analysis Number.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The reported results are only representative of the samples submitted for testing.

SPL, Inc. is pleased to be of service to you. We anticipate working with you in fulfilling all your current and future analytical needs.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hardcopy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or by his designee, as verified by the following signature.

Erica Cardenas, Senior Project Manager

Enclosures



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	COC ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received
H10060283001	MW-1	Water		6/9/2010 14:35	6/11/2010 09:15
H10060283002	MW-2	Water		6/9/2010 15:00	6/11/2010 09:15
H10060283003	MW-3	Water		6/9/2010 15:25	6/11/2010 09:15
H10060283004	MW-4	Water		6/9/2010 14:25	6/11/2010 09:15
H10060283005	Duplicate	Water		6/9/2010 14:40	6/11/2010 09:15



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283001

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15 Matrix: Water

Sample ID: MW-1

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 14:35

WET CHEMISTRY

Analysis Desc: EPA 300.0

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1330 EPA 300.0 on 06/11/2010 18:35 by CFS DF = 100

Batch: 1333 EPA 300.0 on 06/14/2010 10:11 by CFS DF = 10

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Chloride	83.8		5.00	1.26	10			1333
Sulfate	1450		50.0	4.35	100			1330

Analysis Desc: SM 2540 C

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1651 SM 2540 C on 06/14/2010 13:00 by CFS

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	3340		20.0	7.88	2			1651

ICP DISSOLVED METALS

Analysis Desc: SW-846 6010B

Preparation Batches:

Batch: 1822 SW-846 3010A on 06/11/2010 13:30 by R_V

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1461 SW-846 6010B on 06/22/2010 22:48 by EBG

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Manganese	0.114		0.00500	0.000300	1		1822	1461

VOLATILES

Analysis Desc: SW-846 8260B

SW-846 5030Analytical Batches:

Batch: 2057 SW-846 8260B on 06/18/2010 13:35 by JMC

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	ug/l						Prep	Analysis
Benzene	ND		1.0	0.10	1			2057
Ethylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.15	1			2057
Toluene	ND		1.0	0.29	1			2057
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	0.18	1			2057
o-Xylene	ND		1.0	0.13	1			2057
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	0.13	1			2057
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	88.9 %		74-125		1			2057
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	83.3 %		70-130		1			2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: **H10060283001**

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15

Matrix: Water

Sample ID: **MW-1**

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 14:35

Parameters	Results					Batch Information	
	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Prep	Analysis
Toluene-d8 (S)	102 %	82-118		1			2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283002

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15 Matrix: Water

Sample ID: MW-2

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 15:00

WET CHEMISTRY

Analysis Desc: EPA 300.0

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1330 EPA 300.0 on 06/11/2010 20:12 by CFS DF = 100

Batch: 1333 EPA 300.0 on 06/14/2010 10:59 by CFS DF = 10

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Chloride	48.7		5.00	1.26	10			1333
Sulfate	1280		50.0	4.35	100			1330

Analysis Desc: SM 2540 C

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1651 SM 2540 C on 06/14/2010 13:00 by CFS

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	2590		20.0	7.88	2			1651

ICP DISSOLVED METALS

Analysis Desc: SW-846 6010B

Preparation Batches:

Batch: 1822 SW-846 3010A on 06/11/2010 13:30 by R_V

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1461 SW-846 6010B on 06/22/2010 22:55 by EBG

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Manganese	3.24		0.00500	0.000300	1		1822	1461

VOLATILES

Analysis Desc: SW-846 8260B

SW-846 5030 Analytical Batches:

Batch: 2057 SW-846 8260B on 06/18/2010 14:02 by JMC

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	ug/l						Prep	Analysis
Benzene	3.8		1.0	0.10	1			2057
Ethylbenzene	99		1.0	0.15	1			2057
Toluene	9.3		1.0	0.29	1			2057
m,p-Xylene	260		1.0	0.18	1			2057
o-Xylene	5.6		1.0	0.13	1			2057
Xylenes, Total	265.6		1.0	0.13	1			2057
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	96.7 %		74-125		1			2057
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	84.3 %		70-130		1			2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283002

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15

Matrix: Water

Sample ID: MW-2

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 15:00

Parameters	Results					Batch Information	
	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Prep	Analysis
Toluene-d8 (S)	104 %	82-118		1			2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283003

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15 Matrix: Water

Sample ID: MW-3

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 15:25

WET CHEMISTRY

Analysis Desc: EPA 300.0

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1330 EPA 300.0 on 06/11/2010 20:28 by CFS DF = 100.

Batch: 1333 EPA 300.0 on 06/14/2010 11:15 by CFS DF = 10.

Parameters	Results		Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l	Qual					Prep	Analysis
Chloride	30.8		5.00	1.26	10			1333
Sulfate	989		50.0	4.35	100			1330

Analysis Desc: SM 2540 C

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1651 SM 2540 C on 06/14/2010 13:00 by CFS

Parameters	Results		Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l	Qual					Prep	Analysis
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	2200		20.0	7.88	2			1651

ICP DISSOLVED METALS

Analysis Desc: SW-846 6010B

Preparation Batches:

Batch: 1822 SW-846 3010A on 06/11/2010 13:30 by R_V

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1461 SW-846 6010B on 06/22/2010 23:01 by EBG

Parameters	Results		Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l	Qual					Prep	Analysis
Manganese	0.193		0.00500	0.000300	1		1822	1461

VOLATILES

Analysis Desc: SW-846 8260B

SW-846 5030Analytical Batches:

Batch: 2057 SW-846 8260B on 06/18/2010 14:30 by JMC

Parameters	Results		Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	ug/l	Qual					Prep	Analysis
Benzene	12		1.0	0.10	1			2057
Ethylbenzene	24		1.0	0.15	1			2057
Toluene	20		1.0	0.29	1			2057
m,p-Xylene	49		1.0	0.18	1			2057
o-Xylene	20		1.0	0.13	1			2057
Xylenes, Total	69		1.0	0.13	1			2057
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	98.9 %		74-125		1			2057
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	80.6 %		70-130		1			2057

Report ID: H10060283_6125

Page 9 of 23

Printed: 06/24/2010 19:57



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283003

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15

Matrix: Water

Sample ID: MW-3

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 15:25

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
							Prep	Analysis
Toluene-d8 (S)	103 %		82-118		1			2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283004

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15

Matrix: Water

Sample ID: MW-4

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 14:25

WET CHEMISTRY

Analysis Desc: EPA 300.0

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1330 EPA 300.0 on 06/11/2010 20:44 by CFS

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Chloride	2190		100	25.2	200			1330
Sulfate	2710		100	8.70	200			1330

Analysis Desc: SM 2540 C

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1651 SM 2540 C on 06/14/2010 13:00 by CFS

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	4720		40.0	15.8	4			1651

ICP DISSOLVED METALS

Analysis Desc: SW-846 6010B

Preparation Batches:

Batch: 1822 SW-846 3010A on 06/11/2010 13:30 by R_V

Analytical Batches:

Batch: 1461 SW-846 6010B on 06/21/2010 16:34 by EBG

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	mg/l						Prep	Analysis
Manganese	1.06		0.00500	0.000300	1		1822	1461

VOLATILES

Analysis Desc: SW-846 8260B

SW-846 5030Analytical Batches:

Batch: 2057 SW-846 8260B on 06/18/2010 14:57 by JMC

Parameters	Results	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Batch Information	
	ug/l						Prep	Analysis
Benzene	ND		1.0	0.10	1			2057
Ethylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.15	1			2057
Toluene	ND		1.0	0.29	1			2057
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	0.18	1			2057
o-Xylene	ND		1.0	0.13	1			2057
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	0.13	1			2057
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	93 %		74-125		1			2057
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	82.9 %		70-130		1			2057
Toluene-d8 (S)	100 %		82-118		1			2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID: H10060283005

Date/Time Received: 6/11/2010 09:15 Matrix: Water

Sample ID: Duplicate

Date/Time Collected: 6/9/2010 14:40

VOLATILES

Analysis Desc: SW-846 8260B

SW-846 5030 Analytical Batches:

Batch: 2057 SW-846 8260B on 06/18/2010 15:25 by JMC

Parameters	Results					Batch Information	
	ug/l	Qual	Report Limit	MDL	DF	RegLmt	Prep Analysis
Benzene	ND		1.0	0.10	1		2057
Ethylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.15	1		2057
Toluene	ND		1.0	0.29	1		2057
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	0.18	1		2057
o-Xylene	ND		1.0	0.13	1		2057
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	0.13	1		2057
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	92.6 %		74-125		1		2057
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	85.8 %		70-130		1		2057
Toluene-d8 (S)	102 %		82-118		1		2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

QC Batch:	DIGM/1822	Analysis Method:	SW-846 6010B			
QC Batch Method:	SW-846 3010A	Preparation:	06/11/2010 13:30 by R_V			
Associated Lab Samples:	H10060283001	H10060283002	H10060283003	H10060283004	H10060284001	H10060284002
	H10060284003	H10060286001	H10060286002	H10060286003	H10060286006	

METHOD BLANK: 50489

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/21/2010 16:22 EBG

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Qualifiers	Reporting Limit
Manganese	mg/l	ND		0.00500

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 50490

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/21/2010 16:28 EBG

Parameter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Result	LCS % Rec	% Rec Limits
Manganese	mg/l	0.10	0.0963	96.3	80-120

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 50491 50492 Original: H10060283004

MS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/21/2010 16:40 EBG

MSD Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/21/2010 16:46 EBG

Parameter	Units	Original Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MSD Result	MS % Rec	MSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
Manganese	mg/l	1.06	0.10	1.11	1.115	NC	NC	75-125	NC	20

QC results presented in the QC Control Data have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules. Also, MS/MSD % recoveries are calculated by the SPL LIMS using any detected value greater than the MDL.



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

QC Batch: IC/1330

Analysis Method: EPA 300.0

QC Batch Method: EPA 300.0

Associated Lab Samples:	H10060241001	H10060241002	H10060241003	H10060243001	H10060243002	H10060243003
	H10060243004	H10060247001	H10060247002	H10060247003	H10060247005	H10060262001
	H10060269001	H10060275001	H10060283001	H10060283002	H10060283003	H10060283004

METHOD BLANK: 50605

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/11/2010 09:09 CFS

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Qualifiers	Reporting Limit
Sulfate	mg/l	ND		0.500
Chloride	mg/l	ND		0.500

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE & LCSD: 50606 50607

LCS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/11/2010 09:25 CFS

LCSD Analysis Date/Time 06/11/2010 21:00 CFS

Parameter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS % Rec	LCSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
Sulfate	mg/l	10	9.469	10.14	94.7	101	85-115	6.8	20
Chloride	mg/l	10	9.183	9.246	91.8	92.5	85-115	0.7	20

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 50612 50613 Original: H10060283001

MS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/11/2010 18:51 CFS

MSD Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/11/2010 19:07 CFS

Parameter	Units	Original Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MSD Result	MS % Rec	MSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
Sulfate	mg/l	1450	1000	2430	2119	98.2	67.2 *	80-120	13.7	20

QC results presented in the QC Control Data have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules. Also, MS/MSD % recoveries are calculated by the SPL LIMS using any detected value greater than the MDL.



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

QC Batch: WETS/1651

Analysis Method: SM 2540 C

QC Batch Method: SM 2540 C

Associated Lab Samples: H10060258001 H10060266004 H10060283001 H10060283002 H10060283003 H10060283004

METHOD BLANK: 50784

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/14/2010 13:00 CFS

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Qualifiers	Reporting Limit
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	mg/l	ND		10.0

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE & LCSD: 50785 50786

LCS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/14/2010 13:00 CFS

LCSD Analysis Date/Time 06/14/2010 13:00 CFS

Parameter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS % Rec	LCSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	mg/l	200	202.0	198.0	101	99.0	95-107	2.0	10

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 50787

Original: H10060283001

Parameter	Units	Original Result	DUP Result	RPD	Max RPD	DF
WET CHEMISTRY						2
Residue, Filterable (TDS)	mg/l	3340	3340	0.1	10	2

QC results presented in the QC Control Data have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules. Also, MS/MSD % recoveries are calculated by the SPL LIMS using any detected value greater than the MDL.



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

QC Batch: IC/1333 Analysis Method: EPA 300.0

QC Batch Method: EPA 300.0

Associated Lab Samples: H10060283001 H10060283002 H10060283003

METHOD BLANK: 50901

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/14/2010 09:06 CFS

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Qualifiers	Reporting Limit
Chloride	mg/l	ND		0.500

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 50902

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/14/2010 09:22 CFS

Parameter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Result	LCS % Rec	% Rec Limits
Chloride	mg/l	10	9.857	98.6	85-115

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 50903 50904 : Original: H10060283001

MS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/14/2010 10:27 CFS

MSD Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/14/2010 10:43 CFS

Parameter	Units	Original Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MSD Result	MS % Rec	MSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
Chloride	mg/l	83.8	100	191.0	182.5	107	98.7	80-120	4.5	20

QC results presented in the QC Control Data have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules. Also, MS/MSD % recoveries are calculated by the SPL LIMS using any detected value greater than the MDL.



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

QC Batch: MSV/2056 Analysis Method: SW-846 8260B
QC Batch Method: SW-846 5030 Preparation: 06/18/2010 00:00 by JMC
Associated Lab Samples: H10060283001 H10060283002 H10060283003 H10060283004 H10060283005 H10060284003
H10060284004 H10060286005 H10060286006 H10060430001

METHOD BLANK: 51942

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/18/2010 11:15 JMC

Parameter	Units	Blank Result	Qualifiers	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ug/l	ND		1.0
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	ND		1.0
Toluene	ug/l	ND		1.0
m,p-Xylene	ug/l	ND		1.0
o-Xylene	ug/l	ND		1.0
Xylenes, Total	ug/l	ND		1.0
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	%	90.6		74-125
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	%	83.1		70-130
Toluene-d8 (S)	%	103		82-118

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 51943

Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/18/2010 10:48 JMC

Parameter	Units	Spike Conc.	LCS Result	LCS % Rec	% Rec Limits
Benzene	ug/l	20	16.7	83.6	74-123
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	20	20.1	101	72-127
Toluene	ug/l	20	21.5	107	74-126
m,p-Xylene	ug/l	40	40.4	101	71-129
o-Xylene	ug/l	20	20.9	104	74-130
Xylenes, Total	ug/l	60	61.34	102	71-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	%			98.1	74-125
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	%			81.3	70-130
Toluene-d8 (S)	%			103	82-118

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 51944

51945

Original: H10060283005

MS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/18/2010 15:52 JMC

MSD Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/18/2010 16:20 JMC

Parameter	Units	Original Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MSD Result	MS % Rec	MSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
Benzene	ug/l	ND	20	17.3	16.6	86.3	82.8	70-124	4.1	20
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	ND	20	19.6	19.5	97.9	97.7	35-175	0.3	20
Toluene	ug/l	ND	20	21.9	21.7	109	108	70-131	1.1	20

QC results presented in the QC Control Data have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules. Also, MS/MSD % recoveries are calculated by the SPL LIMS using any detected value greater than the MDL.



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

MATRIX SPIKE & MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE: 51944 51945 Original: H10060283005

MS Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/18/2010 15:52 JMC

MSD Analysis Date/Time Analyst: 06/18/2010 16:20 JMC

Parameter	Units	Original Result	Spike Conc.	MS Result	MSD Result	MS % Rec	MSD % Rec	% Rec Limit	RPD	Max RPD
m,p-Xylene	ug/l	ND	40	39.3	39.4	98.2	98.6	35-175	0.3	20
o-Xylene	ug/l	ND	20	20.1	19.8	101	98.8	35-175	1.8	20
Xylenes, Total	ug/l	ND	60	59.42	59.19	99.0	98.6	35-175	0.4	20
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	%	92.6				97.8	96.5	74-125		30
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	%	85.8				81.1	82.2	70-130		30
Toluene-d8 (S)	%	102				103	103	82-118		30

QC results presented in the QC Control Data have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules. Also, MS/MSD % recoveries are calculated by the SPL LIMS using any detected value greater than the MDL.



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

Legend

(S) - Indicates analyte is a surrogate

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
MI	Matrix Interference
I	Estimated value, between MDL and PQL (Florida)
JN	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte
C	MTBE results were not confirmed by GCMS
NC	Not Calculated - Sample concentration > 4 times the spike
*	Recovery/RPD value outside QC limits
E	Results exceed calibration range
H	Exceeds holding time
J	Estimated value
Q	Received past holding time
B	Analyte detected in the Method Blank
N	Recovery outside of control limits
D	Recovery out of range due to dilution
NC	Not Calculable (Sample Duplicate)
P	Pesticide dual column results, greater than 25%
TNTC	Too numerous to count



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: H10060283 : Randleman No. 1

Project Number: Randleman No. 1

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
H10060283001	MW-1	SW-846 3010A	DIGM/1822	SW-846 6010B	ICP/1461
H10060283002	MW-2	SW-846 3010A	DIGM/1822	SW-846 6010B	ICP/1461
H10060283003	MW-3	SW-846 3010A	DIGM/1822	SW-846 6010B	ICP/1461
H10060283004	MW-4	SW-846 3010A	DIGM/1822	SW-846 6010B	ICP/1461
H10060283001	MW-1	EPA 300.0	IC/1330		
H10060283002	MW-2	EPA 300.0	IC/1330		
H10060283003	MW-3	EPA 300.0	IC/1330		
H10060283004	MW-4	EPA 300.0	IC/1330		
H10060283001	MW-1	SM 2540 C	WETS/1651		
H10060283002	MW-2	SM 2540 C	WETS/1651		
H10060283003	MW-3	SM 2540 C	WETS/1651		
H10060283004	MW-4	SM 2540 C	WETS/1651		
H10060283001	MW-1	EPA 300.0	IC/1333		
H10060283002	MW-2	EPA 300.0	IC/1333		
H10060283003	MW-3	EPA 300.0	IC/1333		
H10060283001	MW-1	SW-846 5030	MSV/2056	SW-846 8260B	MSV/2057
H10060283002	MW-2	SW-846 5030	MSV/2056	SW-846 8260B	MSV/2057
H10060283003	MW-3	SW-846 5030	MSV/2056	SW-846 8260B	MSV/2057
H10060283004	MW-4	SW-846 5030	MSV/2056	SW-846 8260B	MSV/2057
H10060283005	Duplicate	SW-846 5030	MSV/2056	SW-846 8260B	MSV/2057



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

Sample Receipt Checklist

WorkOrder:	H10060283	Received By	LOG
Date and Time	06/11/2010 09:15	Carrier Name:	FEDEXS
Temperature:	3.0°C	Chilled By:	Water Ice

1. Shipping container/cooler in good condition? YES
2. Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? YES
3. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Not Present
4. Chain of custody present? YES
5. Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? YES
6. Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? YES
7. Samples in proper container/bottle? YES
8. Samples containers intact? YES
9. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? YES
10. All samples received within holding time? YES
11. Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? YES
12. Water - VOA vials have zero headspace? YES
13. Water - Preservation checked upon receipt(except VOA*)? Not Applicable

*VOA Preservation Checked After Sample Analysis

SPL Representative:
Client Name Contacted:
Client Instructions:

Contact Date & Time:



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975



SPL, Inc.

Analysis Request & Chain of Custody Record

H10060283

Page 2 of 2

Client Name: Tetra Tech / Campbell Phillips #200		City: HEG		State: NM		Zip: 80110	
Address: 6121 Indian School Rd		Phone/Fax: 505-237-8440		Fax: 505-237-8456		Email: kelly.benchmark@tetra-tech.com	
Client Contact: Kelly Benchmark		Project Name/No.: Kandarian No. 1		Site Name:		Site Location: Aztec, NM	
Invoice To: Campbell Phillips		Invoice No:		Date:		Time:	
Sample ID		DATE		TIME		Comp	
MW-1		6.9.10		1435		X	
MW-1		6.9.10		1435		X	
MW-1		6.9.10		1435		X	
MW-2		6.9.10		1500		X	
MW-2		6.9.10		1500		X	
MW-2		6.9.10		1500		X	
MW-3		6.9.10		1525		X	
MW-3		6.9.10		1525		X	
MW-3		6.9.10		1525		X	
MW-4		6.9.10		1425		X	
Client/Consultant Remarks: Please find and preserve metals container for analysis		Laboratory Remarks: RUSH		Matrix: W=water, S=soil, O=oil, A=air, SL=sludge, E=encore, X=other		Bottle: P=plastic, A=amber glass, G=glass, V=vial, X=other	
Requested TAT: 1 Business Day		Special Reporting Requirements Results: FAX		Special Detection Limits (specify):		Number of Containers: 1	
Standard QC: 1 Level 1 QC		Level 1 QC		Level 1 QC		Level 1 QC	
2 Business Days		3 Business Days		Other		Received by: 2. Received by:	
Rush TAT requires prior notice		5. Relinquished by:		date:		time:	
8880 Interchange Drive		500 Ambassador Caffery Parkway		459 Hughes Drive		Traverse City MI 49686	
Houston, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901		Scott, LA 70583 (337) 237-4775		Traverse City MI 49686 (231) 947-5777			



SPL Inc.
8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054
Phone: (713) 660-0901
Fax: (713) 660-8975

SPL, Inc.
Analysis Request & Chain of Custody Record

Client Name: John Tech / ConocoPhillips
Address: 6121 Indian School Rd NE #200
City: HB State: NM Zip: 87110
Phone/Fax: 505-237-8440 505-237-8856
Client Contact: Kelly Blanchard Email: kelly.blanchard@phillips66.com
Project Name/No.: Paradigm No. 1
Site Name: _____
Site Location: Hebe NM
Invoice To: ConocoPhillips
Invoice From: _____
Sample ID: _____
DATE: _____ TIME: _____
Ph: _____
comp: _____ grab: _____
matrix: W=water, S=soil, O=oil, A=air, SL=sludge, E=encore, X=other.
bottle: P=plastic, A=amber glass, G=glass, V=vial, X=other.
size: 1=1 liter, 4=4oz, 40=vial, 8=8oz, 16=16oz, X=other.
pres: 1=HCl, 2=HNO3, 3=H2SO4, X=other.
Number of Containers: _____
Requested Analysis: BTEX
Chloride, Sulfate, DS
Dissolved Mn

Client/Consultant Remarks: Phase filter and preserve metals container photo analysis
Requested TAT: _____
Special Reporting Requirements Results: ☐ Fax ☐ Email ☒ Print ☒ Special Detection Limits (specify): _____
Standard QC: ☒ Level 3 QC ☐ Level 4 QC ☐ TX TRIP ☐ LA RECAP ☐
1. Received by: [Signature] date: 6.10.10 time: 0830
2. Received by: _____
3. Relinquished by: _____ date: _____ time: _____
4. Relinquished by: _____ date: _____ time: _____
5. Relinquished by: _____ date: _____ time: _____
6. Received by Laboratory: _____

Intact? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Temp: 30 °F
PNI review (initials): AY HN

☐ Rush TAT requires prior notice
☒ 8880 Interchange Drive
Houston, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901
☐ 500 Ambassador Caffery Parkway
Scott, LA 70583 (337) 237-4775
☐ 459 Hughes Drive
Traverse City MI 49686 (231) 947-5777