OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

November 4, 1963

Mr. C. E. Caple 3029 Perlita Avenue Los Angeles 39, California

Dear Mr. Caple:

Reference is made to your letter of November 2, 1963, objecting to the application of Texaco Inc. for administrative authority to utilize its State of New Mexico "BM" Well No. 1, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 25, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, for salt water disposal.

Our records indicate that we received Texaco's application October 1, 1963, including a statement that a copy of the application had been sent to all offset operators and to the State Engineer. The records further indicate that we received waivers of objection from the State Engineer and from all offset operators except yourself by October 3, 1963.

Inasmuch as no objection to Texaco's proposal was received from anyone within the prescribed 15-day waiting period, and since the proposal appeared to our engineering staff to be sound and reasonable, an order was issued October 16, 1963, approving the salt water disposal.

Very truly yours,

DSN/ir

DANIEL S. NUTTER Chief Engineer

cc: Texaco Inc. - Drawer 728, Hobbs, New Mexico State Engineer - Santa Fe, New Mexico SWD File 40



TEXACO

THUTTE ME INC.

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

1993 NOA W 01 30



DRAWER 728
HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240
September 20, 1963

C. E. Caple 3029 Perli Avenue Los Angeles 39, Calif.

> Re: Convert TEXACO Inc. State of New Mexico "BN" (NCT-1) Well No. 1 to Salt Water Disposal Well, Moore (Devonian) Field, Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

TEXACO Inc. proposes to condition the subject well, located in the NW/4 of the SW/4 of Section 25, Township 11-S, Range 32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, for disposing salt water produced from the Moore (Devonian) Field into the non-oil-or-gas bearing Glorieta and Tubb formations.

Your signing the statement below will serve as waiver to this proposal. TEXACO Inc. shall greatly appreciate your signing the waiver and mailing one copy to each party concerned whose address is contained on each of the attached envelopes.

Yours very truly,

W. B. Hubbard

District Superintendent

WPY-bh Attachments

I/we concur with the TEXACO Inc. proposal and the signature below verifies this waiver.

(Signature)
(Date)

Los angeles 39, California. Nevember 2nd.1963.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, Santa Fe. New Mexico.

State Engineer, Attention; Frank E? Erby, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Sirs; -

You will note the attached what the Texace Inc. wants me to do for free and when I bought theses leases I have in New Lexice, I done so with purpose to make some money, and since I am not trespassing on any thing that the Texace Inc. wans, I feel that they should not be allowed to do so to me.

I want the lease that they describe in the attached papers and they want to use my lands that I pay for for free. If they want to use theses lands, I want them to pay me the reantals that I pay for these lands which is fifty cents per acre, and it is maid up to May 1964. I will sell them the lease for 50 cents per acre up to and including May 1968. I feel if they use these acres without my permission and I find it out, I feel they will be liable to me for whatever I should ask, if they use these acres without my per mission.

I hope that the law concurs with me in this ratter, I am

29-Perlita Ave.

es ingeles 39, Califernia.

ILLEGIBLE

Jilo 5000-40

Les Angeles 39, California.

Oil Conservation Commission, Senta Fe, New Mexico. Attention; Mr.Daniel S.Nutter, Chief Engineer.

Dear Sir,

About four years ago I had a little trouble with ones who wented to dump a lot of salt water into the caverns down does in my Oil & Gas Lease and I went to Santa Fe and met up with a State man by the name of Utz or semething like that and it was stepped and new when this all happened again I happened to be in the Santa Fe Hespital, \$20 So.St Leuis Ave. Les angeles and was operated on for a partial removal of stemach caused by Ulcerw and so when I was able to take care of my correspondence it get to be late but again I say the Texaco Inc. did not have my authority to use anything at all about my lease for I contracted for this lease to make some money on it but I went wrong I later find but if there were twice as many caverns on my lease that still would give any one any rights to trespass in this lease of mine which I paid this year a rental of eighty dollars at the rate of fifty sents per acre.

Maybe you can see that I was having some dealings with the Texace Inc. in Hebbs and also Reswell, New Mexico and finnally they SAID would not want these agree that I have in Lea County which as I see it someome gave them permission or something that they could use to use my leased acres for the purpose to dump a lot of salt water, also it could of been that another oil soncern could be using me to keep the Texaco Inc.from dumping salt water into the cavernsin this part of New Mexico for many reasons.

All I manted the Texace Comapny to do each year they used these acres for a salt water dumping grounds was to pay me \$80.00 or fifty ceants per acre the money I have to pay to keep it. The Texace Inc. ewes me \$80.00 for this act they used for free when I have to pay for these acres, without first gitting my permission is an act of most anything you can find in the book for such trespassings and I will bet you if they caught me doing such to them without permission I might have to may triply for the act, so if you can I wish that you would stop them from dumping any salt water in my lease until they have something from me, I am

Very Trus Yours

A Caple,

alifernia.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

May 19, 1964

Mr. C. S. Caple 3029 Ferlita Avenua Los Angeles 39, California

Re: Administrative Order SWD-40

Dear Mr. Caple:

Reference is made to the letters recently received from you regarding the application of Texaco Inc. for administrative authority to complete its State of New Mexico "BN" Well No. 1 located in Unit L of Section 25, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the injection of salt water for disposal purposes into the Glorieta and Tubb formations from approximately 4790 feet to approximately 6400 through 3 1/2-inch tubing with a packet set at approximately 4550 in the 5 1/2-inch casing.

Our files indicate that Texaco's original application for this sait water disposal well was received in the Commission's office at 8:32 a.m., September 23, 1963. The application contains an affidavit that copies of the application were sent to you, McAlester Fuel Company, Great Western Drilling Company, Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company, L. C. Harris, Tidewater Oil Company, Samedan Oil Corporation, and the New Mexico State Engineer, all on September 20, 1963. Commission rules require that we wait a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of the application, and that if no objection is received to the conversion of any well to salt water disposal, the Secretary-Director of the Commission may authorize the same. No timely objections were received in this case and thus Administrative Order SWD-40 was entered October 16, 1963.

We believe that our administrative procedures were adhered to and complied with in this instance. If you wish to pursue the matter further, you can request that the Commission docket a case to reconsider Administrative Order SWD-40 at public hearing. I would remind you, however, that in such event, you would in all probability be expected to sustain the burden of proof why Texaco's authority should be rescinded.

Very truly yours,

Daniel S. Nutter Chief Engineer

DSN: sg

cc: SWD-40 File

born Tonger In A Blogger Hips

CH. CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. 30X 371

SOUTH WE TO A COURT

• 7.0

The contraction of the contracti

Control to the control

1.1

ILLEGIBLE

ျက်သည်။ လုံးသည် လုံလုံလုံလုံလုံသည် ရေသည် လုံလုံလုံသည် သည် သည်သည်။ လုံလုံလုံလုံလုံသည်။ လုံလုံသည် သည် သည်သည် သည် သည်သောသော လုံသည် သည်သည် သည

Los Angeles 79, Jaliforais.
February 4th.1934.

Cil Conversation Commission. Santa Me, Mew Mexico. Attentin; - Daniel S. Nutter, Chief Engineer.

Dear ir Mutter,

I am the owner of 180 cres in Lea County, low lexico and my last letter to you shows lovember 7th.1963. This is SEL.Jec.25.Two.113.Rge.32 East and last September 15th.1983 I was in hospital with less than a 50-50 chance to survive the operation and I did not knew for some five weeks to tak comes of my back log of correspondence of all kinds of many things, and devember End.I not to this request of the Tecaco, Inc. and your office answereday of November 4th.1963 and I to you knowner 7th. but you have not made a reply. I was in the handling of a leaf with the Texaco, Inc. and your October 16th. out a stopper on the deal and theteompany as of October 25th. says they have becomisdered the offer on my lease and cancelled same.

under the surface of these acres, and I am sure that the State of New Mexical Would not let any ones trespass on their lands or on mine or other recoles so long as they accest the cash rentals that I may them. I maid 50 cents per acre to the State of New Mexica for 1963 and the Texaca, Inc was to may me \$60.00 for this permission but your office Stemped in an Octaber 19th, and in that letter they got what they wanted, so I am short this \$60.00 and I surely wanted for I need it.etc.like most any one else. I still own 600 acres in New Mexica and if your office who took it on their own to give the Texaca, Inc. for free, the right to dump their salt water sludge from their wells into my lease which has bettern deep down. I would like for your office to reply to mine of November 7th. I am

C. Caele, 3029-Perlita Ave. Les angeles 39, Califernia.

ILLEGIBLE

Les Angeles 39, Califor nia. March 31st.1964.

Mr.Daniel S.Nutter, Chief Engineer, of Oil Conservation.

Sata Fe, New Mexico.

Dear Sir, -

I find that I wrote your effices dated, February 4th.1964, and So me reply from your effice.

I see by the actions of all concerned in this matter, that, I would not any actions in suchmatters, that if I took any actions in such matters that I would be a tresspasser, in, on or above any ones leaded lands I would have to pay for it. Also some ones has taken position of my leases in Lea County, while I was in an apparent transaction of some kind for a sum of some \$80.00 and maybe a sale whatever it was to be, and so some one owes the undersigned this amount.

I am hoping to hear from your office as seen as you have time to do so. When the Texace Company get what they wanted they afterwards re-considered and so I am entitled to a reply as I see it, I am

C.E.Caple, 3029- Perlita Ave. Les Angeles 39,

๗

J.

MPR

Les Angeles 39, California. Nay Seth.1964.

Mr. Damiel S. Nutter, Chief Engineer,

Dear Mr. Nutter,

First, we will look at your para. one of your letter of May 19th. wherein, it states, reference is made to the letters recently received from you regarding the application of Texaco Inc. etc. Mr. Nutter, My Nov. 18th. letter you never did answer, also the letter of Feb.4th. and again March 31st. but my letter to Mr. R.S. Johnny Walker of May 9th. seems to call your attention to what your are trying to explain, but I have notified Mr. Walker that I will give this lease up and you and The Texaco Inc. can do as you all please about these acres.

I book leases on on acres in New Mexico to make some money but that is not possible, in such handling that I got in your answering my letters when my letter of November 7th. is answered by yours of May 19th, so when my time very short since the lease was up May 20th.

The reason that I could not take care of the protest that I would not give the Texaco Inc. permission to dump salt water, etc. was that I had Included the survive the operation that I had in the Santa Fe Hespital here in Los Angeles, on September 16th.1965. When I was able to handle my businesses, you took advantage of my ownership in this lease, and then the Texaco Inc. on Oct.29th. cancelled the deal as they say in thiers of that date. I am

C. E. Caple,
3029-Perlita Ave.
Les Angeles 39, California. 90039.