	1
STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURC	CES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISIO	DN
IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:	) ) )
APPLICATION OF MATRIX NEW MEXICO HOLDINGS, L.L.C., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	) CASE NOS. 13,419 ) )
APPLICATION OF MATRIX NEW MEXICO HOLDINGS, L.L.C., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	) and 13,420
	) (Consolidated)
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROC	
EXAMINER HEARING	2005 MAR
BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Exami	
February 17th, 2005	8 MA
Santa Fe, New Mexico	10
This matter came on for hearing	before the New
Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R	CATANACH,
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, February 1	.7th, 2005, at the
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural R	esources
Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Driv	e, Room 102, Santa
Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certif	ied Court Reporter
No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.	
* * *	

~.

and the second second provides and

## INDEX

February 17th, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,419 and 13,420 (Consolidated)

PAGE

4

12

15

**APPLICANT'S WITNESS:** 

<u>FRED C. BRYLA</u> (Engineer) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Examiner Catanach

**REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE** 

\* \* \*

EXHIBITS

Applicant's

Identified Admitted

Exhibit	1	6	12
Exhibit	2	7	12
Exhibit	3	8	12
Exhibit	4	9	12
Exhibit	5	9	12
Exhibit	6	11	12

\* \* \*

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

\* \* \*

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:50 a.m.: 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Call the hearing back to order and call Case 13,419, the Application of Matrix New 4 Mexico Holdings, L.L.C., for compulsory pooling, Lea 5 County, New Mexico. 6 7 Call for appearances. 8 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and 9 10 Hart, L.L.P. We represent Matrix New Mexico Holdings, L.L.C., in this matter, and at this time I would request 11 that you also call Case 13,402 [sic]. This is a second 12 13 compulsory pooling Application by Matrix. We're pooling 40-acre spacing units, they're in the same half-section, 14 and the ownership is identical in both. 15 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, Mr. Carr, I believe you meant 13,420; is that correct? 17 18 MR. CARR: Yes, I think so. I don't have a 19 docket, but it is the next case on the docket. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: I'll call Case 13,420, the 21 Application of Matrix New Mexico Holdings, L.L.C., for 22 compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. 23 And I will call for additional appearances in 13,419 or 13,420 at this time. 24 25 Okay, Mr. Carr?

3

1	MR. CARR: At this time we call Fred Bryla.
2	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, can I have the witness
3	stand and be sworn in at this time.
4	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
5	FRED C. BRYLA,
6	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
7	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. CARR:
10	Q. Will you state your name for the record, please?
11	A. Fred Bryla.
12	Q. Mr. Bryla, where do you reside?
13	A. Houston, Texas.
14	Q. By whom are you employed?
15	A. Matrix New Mexico Holdings.
16	Q. And what is your position with Matrix New Mexico
17	Holdings?
18	A. I'm a vice president.
19	Q. Have you previously testified before the New
20	Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
21	A. Yes, I have.
22	Q. At the time of that testimony, were your
23	credentials as an expert in petroleum engineering accepted
24	and made a matter of record?
25	A. Yes, they were.

	j
1	Q. Are you familiar with each of the Applications
2	filed in these consolidated cases?
3	A. Iam.
4	Q. And are you familiar with the status of the land
5	in this subject area?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	MR. CARR: We tender Mr. Bryla as an expert
8	witness in petroleum engineering.
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Bryla is so qualified.
10	Q. (By Mr. Carr) Initially, would you summarize for
11	Mr. Catanach what it is that Matrix New Mexico Holdings
12	seeks in each of these cases?
13	A. In Case Number 13,419 Matrix New Mexico seeks an
14	order pooling all mineral interests from the surface
15	through the base of the Wolfcamp formation in the northeast
16	quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 10 of 13 South,
17	38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, which includes but is not
18	necessarily limited to the Undesignated Bronco-Wolfcamp
19	Pool, said units to be dedicated to Matrix Townsend Well
20	Number 3, to be drilled at a standard oil well location in
21	the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of said
22	Section 10.
23	Insofar as Case Number 13,420, Matrix seeks an
24	order pooling all mineral interests from the surface
25	through the base of the Wolfcamp formation in the southeast
-	

	Ţ
1	quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 10, 13 South,
2	38 East, Lea County, which includes but is not necessarily
3	limited to the Undesignated Bronco-Wolfcamp Pool, said
4	units to be dedicated to Matrix Townsend Well Number 2, to
5	be drilled at a standard oil well location in the southeast
6	quarter of the northeast quarter of said Section 10.
7	Q. Mr. Bryla, was another 40-acre spacing unit in
8	this section recently pooled by the Division on the
9	Application of Matrix?
10	A. Yes, in Case Number 13,357, and by Order Number
11	R-12,252, the Commission pooled the northeast quarter of
12	the northeast quarter of the same Section 10 for the Matrix
13	Townsend Well Number 1A.
14	Q. And what is the status of that well?
15	A. That well is pending. We are waiting on a
16	drilling rig, which we anticipate in early April.
17	Q. Let's go to what has been marked as Matrix
18	Exhibit Number 1, and I'd ask you to identify for Mr.
19	Catanach what this is.
20	A. Matrix Exhibit Number 1 We actually have two
21	sets of Exhibit Number 1's here. Let me see if I can get
22	this organized.
23	Well, Matrix Exhibit Number 1 are plats
24	describing the 40 acres subject to the pooling in each of
25	the relevant cases.
-	

.

i.

6

1	Q. And the acreage that was previously pooled is the
2	northeast of the northeast of Section 10?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. What is the primary objective in the well? Is it
5	the Wolfcamp, as you indicated?
6	A. It is the Wolfcamp.
7	Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2. Would you identify
8	this, please?
9	A. Exhibit Number 2 is a breakdown of the mineral
10	interests in the subject 40-acre spacing units for both the
11	Townsend Number 2 and the Townsend Number 3. As you can
12	see from this exhibit, the interests are identical in both
13	40-acre units. We're dealing with a common lease, being
14	the east half of Section 10. It's a 320-acre lease, and
15	the mineral interests are identical throughout.
16	What is further indicated on this exhibit is that
17	Matrix New Mexico Holdings and Chesapeake have accepted an
18	AFE to drill the subject wells, and the balance of the
19	interests have declined.
20	Q. If we look at the bottom of this exhibit, we have
21	the Estate of Calvin D. Townsend, and his address is
22	unknown?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. And Mr. Townsend was also unknown at the time of
25	the hearing last November; is that right?

Α. Right, exactly. Mr. Townsend passed away some 1 years back in San Francisco, never married, with no 2 children and no will, and so is basically a lost owner. 3 Will Matrix place the share of the proceeds that 4 0. would be attributed to this interest in escrow, in a bank 5 6 in the county where the well is located, as required by 7 **Division Rules?** Α. That is correct. 8 Let's go to Exhibit Number 3. Would you identify 9 Q. that and just briefly review for Mr. Catanach the efforts 10 11 that have been made to put together these two particular spacing units? 12 This is a continuation of a project that actually Α. 13 began in 2003, but insofar as these particular 40-acre 14 units are concerned, an effort was made to gain acceptance 15 by all owners. 16 AFEs were sent to all owners of record, and we've 17 18 received virtually no feedback from the nonconsenting 19 parties. I did get one courtesy phone call from a guy who 20 basically told me that he was not going to participate. Did Chesapeake participate in the first well? 21 Q. Α. 22 Yes. 23 And so what we have here is exactly the same Q. ownership position we had the last time we were here? 24 25 That is correct. Α.

8

	3
1	Q. In your opinion, have you made a good faith
2	effort to put these properties together so you can drill
3	the subject wells in the east half of this section?
4	A. Yes, we have.
5	Q. What is Exhibit 4?
6	A. Exhibit 4 is an AFE to drill the subject wells.
7	Q. And could you review the totals as they're set
8	forth on this exhibit, the dryhole and the completed well
9	cost?
10	A. The dryhole cost is set forth at \$616,250, the
11	completed well cost is set forth at \$994,710.
12	Q. Are these costs in line with what other operators
13	would incur in the area for similar wells and your
14	experience in drilling in this portion of New Mexico?
15	A. Yes, they are.
16	Q. Is Exhibit Number 5 the COPAS accounting form for
17	joint operations for the proposed well?
18	A. Yes, it is.
19	Q. And do the provisions set out in this document
20	provide for the periodic adjustment of overhead and
21	administrative costs?
22	A. Yes, they do.
23	Q. And does Matrix request that the orders that
24	result from this hearing also provide for the periodic
25	adjustment of these costs in accordance with this form?
-	

1 Α. Yes, we do. 2 Have you made an estimate of the overhead and Q. administrative costs to be incurred while drilling and also 3 while producing the well if, in fact, it is successful? 4 5 Α. Yes, we have. 0. And what are they? 6 The drilling well rate is \$7454 per month, 7 Α. prorated on a monthly basis, and the producing well rate is 8 \$745.40. 9 Are these the same figures that were approved by 10 ο. the Division for the other well in this half section by 11 Order Number R-12,252? 12 Yes, they are. 13 Α. Does Matrix request that these figures be 14 Q. 15 incorporated into the order that results from this hearing? Yes, we do. 16 Α. Does Matrix also request that in accordance with 17 Q. 18 Division Rules the maximum charge for risk of 200 percent 19 be imposed on each working interest that is not voluntarily 20 committed to the well? 21 A. Yes, we do. 22 Q. And do you request that Matrix Holdings New 23 Mexico, L.L.C. -- Well, let's see, we're actually 24 requesting that Matrix New Mexico Operating Company, 25 L.L.C., be designated operator of the well; is that right?

That is correct. 1 Α. 2 And what is their relationship to New Mexico Q. 3 Holdings? Matrix New Mexico Operating Company is the 4 A. 5 operating arm of New Mexico Holdings. 6 Q. In your opinion, will the granting of this 7 application and the drilling of the proposed wells be in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste 8 and the protection of correlative rights? 9 Α. Yes. 10 How soon do you plan to actually spud these 11 ο. wells? 12 I would expect in late April. 13 Α. You're going to drill the first one and then move 14 Q. 15 to these locations; is that your plan? That is correct. 16 Α. Is Matrix Exhibit Number 6 an affidavit 17 ο. 18 confirming that notice of this hearing has been provided 19 all those interest owners who are subject to this pooling 20 order? 21 Α. That is correct. 22 Q. And has notice also been published in a newspaper 23 of general circulation in Lea County, New Mexico? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Is a copy of the affidavit of publication

11

	12
1	included in Exhibit Number 6?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Were Matrix Exhibits 1 through 6 either prepared
4	by you or compiled under your direction and supervision?
5	A. Yes, they were.
6	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, at this
7	time we would move the admission into evidence of Matrix
8	Exhibits 1 through 6.
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 6 will be
10	admitted.
11	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
12	examination of Mr. Bryla.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
15	Q. Mr. Bryla, in the Townsend Well Number 1, the one
16	we've already pooled
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q is it just Matrix and Chesapeake in that well
19	as well?
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. Nobody else has participated or is going to
22	participate?
23	A. There may be some additional participation. We
24	have some negotiations ongoing, but they have not been
25	finalized, but

CARL REAL AND AND A CONTRACT

13 With some of the existing working interest 1 Q. 2 owners? 3 A. No. Do you know why these parties are reluctant to Q. 4 5 participate in the wells? These parties come to their ownership through an 6 Α. 7 investment in an unrelated series of wells, through 8 Sunlight Exploration Company. And I think for lack of a better word, Sunlight 9 did not fulfill their expectations in the original projects 10 that they signed up for. The companies listed on the 11 exhibit are generally, is my understanding, they're 12 generally individual investors, and the prospect of 13 drilling million-dollar wells is outside the scope of what 14 they signed up for. 15 And Sunlight hasn't expressed any interest in 16 Q. participating either? 17 No. 18 Α. Okay. The operating name is Matrix New Mexico Q. 19 Operating, L.L.C.; is that correct? 20 Yeah, Matrix New Mexico Operating Company, L.L.C. 21 Α. 22 EXAMINER CATANACH: Company. Okay, I have nothing further. 23 Thank you very much. 24 THE WITNESS: That concludes our presentation in 25 MR. CARR:

these cases. EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further, Case 13,419 and 13,420 will be taken under advisement. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 10:03 a.m.) I do hereby certify that the foregoing is e complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13419. 13420 beard by me on februar 17, 2005 . \_1 / , Exeminer eta. Oil Conservation Division 

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

and the second second

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 19th, 2005.

une

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317