Page 1 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4 APPLICATION OF CHEVRON USA, INC. 5 CASE NO. 15074 FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND ORIGINAL PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY 6 POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 7 APPLICATION OF ENDURANCE RESOURCES, CASE NO. 15084 LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND 8 NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION 9 UNIT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 11 EXAMINER HEARING 12 Volume 1 of 2 13 February 20, 2014 10 14 Santa Fe, New Mexico ł 15 Ð 9 16 BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, CHIEF EXAMINER 57 17 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Richard Ezeanyim, 19 Chief Examiner, on Thursday, February 20 and Friday, February 21, 2014, 2014, at the New Mexico Energy, 20 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, 21 New Mexico. 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 24 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 2 APPEARANCES 1 2 FOR APPLICANT CHEVRON USA, INC.: 3 MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART 4 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 5 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com 6 7 FOR APPLICANT ENDURANCE RESOURCES, LLP: 8 ERNEST L. PADILLA, ESQ. PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A. 1512 South St. Francis Drive 9 Post Office Box 2523 10 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505) 988-7577 11 epadillaplf@gwestoffice.net 12 FOR INTERESTED PARTY KAISER FRANCIS OIL COMPANY: 13 JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ. Post Office Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 14 (505) 982-2043 15 jamesbruc@aol.com 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3 1 INDEX PAGE 2 Case Number 15074 and Case Number 15084 Called 5 3 9 4 Opening Statement by Mr. Feldewert 5 12 Opening Statement by Mr. Padilla Chevron USA, Inc.'s Case-in-Chief: 6 7 Witnesses: Jason Levine: 8 14 9 Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert 34 Cross-Examination by Mr. Padilla 43 10 Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim Sean Cheben: 11 47 12 Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Mr. Padilla 59 Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 59 13 14 Ken Schwartz: 15 Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert 61 90 Cross-Examination by Mr. Padilla 98 16 Redirect Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 99 17 Zachary Sigmundik: 18 Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert 103 140 19 Cross-Examination by Mr. Padilla Redirect Examination by Mr. Feldewert 149,155 150 20 Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 21 Endurance Resources, LLC's Case-in-Chief: 22 Witnesses: 23 Randall Harris: 24 157 Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla Cross-Examination by Mr. Feldewert 165 Redirect Examination by Mr. Padilla 25 176

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 4 1 INDEX (Cont'd) 2 PAGE 3 Endurance Resources, LLC's Case-in-Chief (Cont'd): 4 Witnesses: 5 Randall Harris (Cont'd): 177 6 Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 7 Manny Sirgo: 8 Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 182 196 Cross-Examination by Mr. Feldewert Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 204 9 10 Lyle Lehman: 209 11 Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Feldewert 212 Continued Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 214 12 224 Cross-Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim 13 Cross-Examination by Mr. Feldewert 226 14 Proceedings Recess/Certificate of Court Reporter 237/238 15 16 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6 33 17 58 18 Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 7 and 8 90 19 Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 9 through 21 20 Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 22 through 33 140 Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 5 and 6 181 21 22 Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 7 196 Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 8 and 9 194 23 Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 12 224 24 Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 15 195 25

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 5 (9:51 a.m.) 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Now we go back to the 2 last page. We have two cases remaining, but they are 3 contested cases, and we are going to consolidate them 4 5 for purposes of testimony. 6 Before I call this case, I need to make 7 sure I understand the application before we proceed. 8 At this point, I call the consolidated 9 The first one is Case Number 15074, and this is cases. the application of Chevron USA, Inc. for a nonstandard 10 11 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling. This 12 is in Lea County, New Mexico. This case is going to be 13 consolidated with Case Number 15084, application of Endurance Resources, LLC for compulsory pooling and 14 nonstandard spacing and proration unit, Lea County, New 15 Mexico. 16 17 Before I call for appearances, I want to make some statements, which can help us sometimes, 18 because of what I saw in this docket. 19 20 Take a seat, then, so I can say something 21 else. 22 This case is -- the last case, then, says 23 application of Endurance Resources for compulsory 24 pooling. You can't pool a vacuum. The unit has not 25 been formed. So compulsory pooling cannot come first.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 6 I want to use this opportunity -- I don't want somebody 1 to make that mistake. First of all, you tell me what --2 because unless you are pooling 40 acres, then you can 3 compulsory pool. Forty acre is a unit, a regular unit, 4 5 statewide unit, but if you want to ask for an exception 6 to that 40-acre unit, you have to first form it. If you 7 don't form it, there is nothing to pool. I don't know. 8 I hope you understand what I'm saying. What I'm saying is that if you want to 9 give -- say, for example, simply, you want to drill a 10 horizontal well, 160-acre or, you know, whatever, 11

12 200-acre, 320-acre, whatever, you need to form that 13 unit; get the exception. Once that is approved, then 14 you can compulsory pool it. If you are in a JOA, I 15 can't allow you to.

16 So if you say compulsory pooling, that seems to me that you are pooling in a vacuum. 17 Then before you say, okay, nonstandard proration unit. So 18 what I'm trying to say here is that it should have been 19 20 the other way. You say you want to get that approved 21 first? Then before you compulsory pool it -- you can only pool a unit. You can't pool anything else except a 22 23 unit or a project area, like in this case. 24 So I want to say because I saw it, I don't

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

want that mistake to happen again, because that's not

25

1 right. We want to get them right.

2

Am I making any sense?

Now, going further, in that case, 3 Okav. too, I don't know whether we want to dedicate -- it's a 4 contested case. I want to get this straight, so I can 5 follow. Do we want, in that case, to form a 320-acre 6 for this type of pool or 160-acre? What are we doing? 7 So when you come in, you tell me what you want, but 8 right now it's east half. That is your -- that's okay. 9 I want to point them out. I don't want an answer now, 10 11 but I want to point all these things out, so that when 12 we start, somebody will tell me exactly how many acres you want in the project area. Because if you are asking 13 14 for 160, you might be approved. If you are asking for 15 320, you might not. I don't know. It depends on what 16 you tell me. 17 Okay. I've said what I want to say. Ι 18 think this is for my own, you know, housekeeping 19 purpose. 20 I read the cases into the record. Now I 21 will call for appearances. 22 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner, 23 Michael Feldewert, with the Santa Fe office of Holland & 24 Hart, appearing on behalf of the Applicant in Case 25 Number 15074, Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 7

Page 8 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances? 2 MR. FELDEWERT: I'm sorry. I have four 3 witnesses. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Four witnesses. 4 Any other appearances? 5 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest L. 6 Padilla for Endurance Resources in both cases that are 7 consolidated, and I have five witnesses. 8 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Very good. Any other 9 10 appearances? MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of 11 Santa Fe representing Kaiser-Francis Oil Company. I do 12 13 not have any witnesses. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances? 15 Come on, let's go. 16 Now, do we have any opening statements? 17 It's a contested case. Do you want to do opening 18 statements or --19 MR. FELDEWERT: Sure. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: -- can we just go ahead 20 21 and go on with the case? Anybody want to do an opening 22 statement? MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I do have a 23 24 brief opening statement. 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you, Mr. Padilla?

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 9 1 MR. PADILLA: If Mr. Feldewert is going to 2 do that, I will, too. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Good. Okay. Who wants to go first? We have no 4 Anybody can go first. 5 preference. OPENING STATEMENT 6 MR. FELDEWERT: I think one of them we've 7 already touched on, Mr. Examiner, and that is the 8 9 unusual aspect of this case, is that Chevron's here 10 seeking a 160-acre nonstandard spacing and proration unit comprised of the south half-north half of Section 11 12 Whereas, Endurance is proposing an east half 18. 320-acre spacing unit in Section 18. And as I 13 14 understand it, a single horizontal well is going to be 15 drilled in the east half-east half. I think there are 16 some procedural and some advertising issues associated 17 with that. Secondly, Your Honor, Chevron's here 18 because they first proposed the development of the north 19 20 half of Section 18 back in November. They had a meeting 21 with the parties. They had a disagreement on the well 22 orientation. Chevron then filed its pooling application 30 days later, and only then did Endurance come forth 23 24 and express a desire that it would drill a 25 north-to-south well in Section 18. And they sent out

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 10 their well-proposal letter December 31st of 2013. 1 2 Chevron's north half acreage, Mr. Examiner, I think that's important, because the south 3 is fee. half of this acreage is federal. And as you know, there 4 is a preference with the BLM that, where possible, you 5 develop the federal acreage independently of fee 6 acreage. We can do that here. 7 There is a clear disagreement over the 8 orientation of the well. Chevron is going to present 9 10 data to you today that shows that an east-west 11 trajectory in this particular section is preferable than 12 a north-south direction. The other aspect of that, Your 13 Honor, is Chevron's proposal will not require a mixing of fee and federal lands. It's not necessary here. 14 15 We're going to show you that in this particular area, there is no -- virtually no 16 17 development. We have a new area here. Section 18, we're going to show you, is unique, Mr. Examiner. It 18 has an anticline that runs north to south. And what I 19 20 found out, in preparing for this case, is that when you 21 have an anticline that runs north to south, your natural 22 fracturing system is, likewise, going to be north to south because of the fold. So an east-to-west well is 23 necessary to intersect those natural fractures. 24 25 The sand channels in this area tend to run

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 11 north to south, which means that an east-to-west well 1 2 has a better chance of intersecting the access to the riverbed, that sweet spot. That's why Chevron believes 3 east to west is the best option. 4 Endurance objects, and so, Your Honor, as 5 matter of procedure, they're going to have to come forth 6 and clearly show to you that waste is going to occur 7 from our well, something that they're not going to be 8 9 able to show. 10 They're going to put forth an EUR analysis, 11 as I understand it, where they lump together various 12 wells from an eight-township area, a very large area with different geology, different completion methods. 13 They essentially are mixing apples and oranges in their 14 15 study. 16 Chevron has, likewise, at your request at our pre-hearing conference, done an EUR analysis. 17 But they focused their analysis on a developed area to the 18 19 southwest of Section 18, one that's only three to seven 20 miles away. While it's different from the anticline that we have in Section 18, which is a unique future --21 22 but while it's different, they did an EUR analysis that is much more finite. They took an area that had common 23 24 geology, that had common flow, that had common 25 completion methods in the 2nd Bone Spring Sand so that

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 12 1 you could look at it from an apples-to-apples 2 perspective and not an apples-to-oranges perspective. 3 It allows you to do a better comparison of how east-to-west wells perform as compared to north-to-south 4 5 wells. And what we're going to show you and what this study demonstrates is that east-to-west wells out 6 7 perform north-to-south wells. 8 So Chevron wants to develop its fee acreage 9 in the north half of Section 18 with east-to-west wells. 10 They proposed first. They have a substantial interest 11 here. They have a right to pursue their development 12 plan. It makes sense given the unique nature of the 13 geology. 14 And unless Endurance is able to come forth 15 here with actual data that clearly establishes that 16 waste will occur, then there is no reason why you should 17 not allow Chevron to proceed with its development plan 18 and grant their application. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you very much. 20 OPENING STATEMENT 21 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Endurance 22 Resources operates in this area. This is the focus, you 23 will hear, of their company, the Bone Spring, 24 particularly, the 2nd Bone Spring Formation. Our 25 presentation will focus on a localized area. Mr.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 13 Feldewert misleads you when he says we are bringing information from all over the world. That is not the case here. It is very particularized. Chevron has participated with Endurance in a number of wells already in the immediate area.

The experience that Endurance has in 6 7 operating and drilling wells in this area is exceptional. This is not an isolated proposal for 8 Endurance. It is part of a program development, and 9 this is where Endurance operates. You will hear of the 10 success that Endurance has had. You will hear of the 11 stress profile in terms of how you drill horizontal 12 wells in Section 18 and the surrounding sections and 13 what the success rate has been for those wells. 14

15 In comparing the east-west versus the north-south economics, you will see that drilling 16 17 east-west is a losing proposition and is ultimately 18 going to have resulting waste because oil will not be 19 recovered. In your pre-hearing statement -- or pre-hearing conference that we had, you emphasized that 20 you did not want to have one barrel wasted. Chevron's 21 22 proposal will result in waste.

Now, you will see evidence this morning -and in an earlier case, a geologist testified here of the success of the northwest-oriented wells -- the

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 14 north-south oriented wells versus east-west. That is 1 2 exactly the case here, and it's not going to be any different. And that is what you will hear today. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Thank you. 4 Any other opening statements? 5 Okay. Both Applicants -- since you are 6 7 15074, you may start. MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 8 We'll call our first witness, Mr. Jason Levine. 9 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What's your name? 11 THE WITNESS: Jason Levine, L-E-V, as in 12 Victor, I-N-E. 13 JASON LEVINE, after having been previously sworn under oath, was 14 15 questioned and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Levine, would you please identify by whom 18 Ο. you are employed and in what capacity? 19 Mr. Examiner, I'm employed by Chevron as a 20 Α. petroleum landman. 21 And have you previously testified before this 22 Q. 23 Division? 24 Α. Yes. 25 At that time were your credentials as an expert Ο.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 15 1 in petroleum land matters accepted and made a matter of 2 public record? 3 Α. They were, yes. And are you familiar with the application filed 4 Q. in this case? 5 6 Α. I am. 7 And are you familiar with the status of the Q. lands in the subject area? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would 11 tender Mr. Levine as an expert witness in petroleum land 12 matters. 13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So qualified. 14 Ο. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Would you please turn to 15 what's been marked as Chevron Exhibit Number 1? 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Excuse me. 17 I hope you don't have any objection. 18 MR. PADILLA: No, no objection. 19 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Is this an approved APD for 20 the well that Chevron has proposed in its application? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And it was approved by the Division in 0. 23 November; is that correct? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 And this identifies the API number for your Ο.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 16 proposed well and also the pool code for this proposed 1 2 well; does it not? Yes, it does. 3 Α. And what does the company seek under this 4 Q. 5 application? 6 Chevron seeks approval of a 157.71-acre Α. 7 nonstandard spacing and proration unit comprised of the south half of the north half of Section 18. 8 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Is this an irregular Is that an irregular section? 10 section? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So 157 what? 13 THE WITNESS: 157.71. 14 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I think the 15 acreage is identified on the second page of this 16 exhibit, under the dedicated area. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh. On Exhibit Number 17 18 1? MR. FELDEWERT: Exhibit Number 1, the 19 20 second page, under the dedicated areas, 157.71. 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 22 0. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) And then you're also seeking 23 to pool the mineral interests in the Bell Lake Bone 24 Spring north pool under this acreage, Mr. Levine? 25 Α. Yes, sir.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 17 Q. Now, I think this is important. You're 1 2 seeking -- this is standard spacing for this pool, 3 correct, standard statewide rules? 4 Α. Yes. And that would be 40-acre spacing? 5 Ο. That's correct. 6 Α. And you're seeking to only pool and have 7 Q. advertised to pool a south half of the north half of 8 9 Section 18? Α. 10 Yes, that's correct. 11 As a nonstandard spacing unit? Ο. 12 Α. Yes. Ο. Is the north half of Section 18 comprised of 13 14 fee lands? 15 Α. Yes. And if I turn to what's been marked as Chevron 16 Ο. Exhibit Number 2, does this provide an ownership 17 breakdown of, first, the north half, the fee acreage, in 18 19 Section 18, and then, at the bottom, does it provide a total ownership breakdown in the entire section? 20 21 Α. Yes, it does. 22 Q. Did Chevron propose its well to the other working interest owners identified in the fee acreage in 23 the north half of Section 18? 24 25 Α. Yes.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 18 1 Mr. Examiner, on November 12th of 2013, 2 Chevron sent well proposals to all the mineral interest 3 owners. 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What date is that? 5 November what? THE WITNESS: Sir, November 12th, 2013. 6 7 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Levine, if I might Q. 8 interrupt. I'll have you turn to what's been marked as 9 Chevron Exhibit Number 3. Is that the well-proposal 10 letter you're talking about? 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 And it provides the dates? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 15 Ο. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) And is this the letter that 16 was sent to the other interest owners in the north half 17 of Section 18? 18 Yes. This letter, except for the names of the Α. 19 parties, was sent to all the mineral interest owners in 20 the north half of Section 18. 21 Ο. After this letter was sent out as certified 22 mail to the interest owners, did Chevron undertake 23 additional efforts to reach an agreement on its proposed 24 well on this fee acreage? 25 A. Yes.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 19 Mr. Examiner, on November 20th of 2013, 1 2 merely eight days after the well proposal was initially sent, a meeting was organized in Chevron's offices, and 3 the quests for that meeting were folks who you're going 4 5 to meet later from Endurance. So from Chevron, Ken Schwartz, a geologist, 6 7 who will be testifying later, attended, and I, myself, attended. And then from Endurance, the atttendees were 8 Jason South, Randall Harris and Don Ritter. 9 10 And what happened at the meeting that you Ο. hosted at Chevron's offices on November 20? 11 Endurance presented a slide show to Ken 12 Α. Schwartz and I, and it discussed some things, including, 13 one, a trade proposal in lands. They're located in a 14 15 township a few miles away from our Bell Lake well. But 16 their slide show also displayed some maps. As I recall, 17 there was an isopach map and a porosity map, and 18 Endurance, based on those maps, encouraged Chevron to 19 drill a north-to-south oriented well, as opposed to the east-west oriented well. 20 So they asked that Chevron drill a stand-up 21 Ο. 22 well? Yes, sir. Endurance asked that Chevron drill a 23 Α. 24 stand-up well. And did Chevron review the information that 25 Ο.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Endurance presented to them at the November 20th
 meeting?

A. Yes. Chevron dutifully reviewed that information and then realized that -- and you'll hear this from my petroleum engineer and geologist -- that a west-to-east orientation was more appropriate in this particular area.

Q. And did you have -- did the company have
additional discussions with the other interest owner,
Mr. Westall?

A. Yes. Mr. Westall was contacted by Chevron after the well proposal was sent to him. We asked Mr. Westall if he would be interested in leasing his mineral interest to Chevron. He declined, and then ultimately he said that he would not be interested in participating in Chevron's well.

Q. Now, after you sent out your well-proposal letter and you had this meeting at their offices -- in Houston, I guess, right?

20 A. Correct, in Houston, Texas.

Q. -- and reviewed Endurance's request that Chevron drill a stand-up well, did the company then proceed to file its pooling application?

A. Yes. On December 12th of 2013, a month after the initial well proposal was sent, Chevron filed its

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 20

1 application.

2 Ο. Does Chevron own an interest in each tract in this that will penetrate from the south half to the 3 north half of Section 18? 4 Yes. It's critical to understand that Chevron 5 Α. owns an undivided interest in all of the north half. 6 If you'd like, Mr. Examiner, we could 7 revisit Exhibit 2. But, nevertheless, Chevron owns an 8 9 interest in every tract in the north half. After filing this pooling application in 10 0. December, did the company have a rig available at that 11 12 time to drill the well? 13 Yes, it did. Α. 14 Ο. And did the company proceed to commence drilling of the well? 15 Yes. Around Christmas, December 25th, 2013, 16 Α. Chevron had a rig available to drill this Bell Lake 17 well. And at that time, one of the Chevron's leases had 18 19 a June 2014 expiration. 20 So, Mr. Examiner, you can imagine the 21 urgency with which Chevron wanted to proceed given that there was an imminent lease expiration. Chevron wanted 22 23 to begin to commence operations to assist in holding 24 that particular lease. Did the company then begin drilling the 25 Q.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 22 vertical portion of that well to assist in holding the 1 2 leases? Yes. Chevron drilled from the surface to about 3 Α. 5,000 feet below the surface and then set intermediate 4 5 casing. Did you stop at that point? 6 Q. Correct. 7 Α. While you're on the subject of leases, did the 8 Q. company recently obtain an extension of its north-half 9 10 fee lease? 11 Α. Yes. Chevron recently extended that lease 12 through 2016. Did the company eventually receive a formal 13 Ο. well proposal from Endurance in which Endurance proposed 14 15 to drill a north-to-south well? Yes. On December 31st, 2013, after Chevron had 16 Α. commenced the vertical portion of its well, Endurance 17 sent a well proposal to Chevron. 18 19 Ο. If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron Exhibit Number 4, does that identify the formal well 20 proposal it was sent by Endurance, dated December 31st, 21 22 2013? 23 Α. Yes. And if I've got my timeline correct, that was 24 Q. 25 six weeks after Chevron proposed its well, correct?

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 23 Correct. Chevron proposed its well November 1 Α. 2 12th. Endurance proposed its well December 31st. And that was two weeks after filing your 3 Q. 4 pooling application? 5 Yes, that's correct. Α. And roughly a week after you commenced drilling 6 Q. the vertical portion, that's when you got this competing 7 well proposal? 8 Yes, that's correct. 9 Α. 10 Now, let me ask you something. You said you Q. stopped drilling at that point. Why did you stop 11 12 drilling at that point? 13 Chevron wanted to be respectful of this process Α. and stopped drilling. And given the chance that 14 Endurance had proposed a well -- a competing well, we 15 16 didn't want to have a situation where Chevron had expended all these funds to drill its well and then for 17 18 some slight the NMOCD decided a north-to-south 19 orientation was more appropriate. We decided it was 20 prudent to stop at 5,000 feet below the surface. 21 Now, let me ask you something. Prior to Ο. 22 receiving this letter in December, I think you 23 testified -- let me ask you this: Prior to receiving 24 this letter in December, had Endurance indicated that it desired to go out and drill a north-to-south well? 25

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 24 Α. No, sir. 1 2 0. Had they, instead, been trying to encourage Chevron to drill a north-to-south well? 3 4 Α. Yes. Endurance was trying to encourage, up 5 until December 31st, Chevron to drill in the 6 north-to-south orientation. 7 Ο. So upon receipt of this letter, to your 8 knowledge, this is the first time that Endurance said 9 they wanted to go out and drill a north-to-south well? 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And is that, then, when you stopped the 12 drilling of the vertical portion of your well and moved 13 the rig off location? 14 Correct. Α. 15 Now, the other thing about this letter is that Q. 16 if I look at the rate line up there, it seeks to, as you 17 understand this letter, to form an east half-east half 18 stand-up unit, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. Not an east-half stand-up unit? 21 Correct. Α. 22 And, in fact, their well proposal is for 660 Q. 23 feet from the east line, correct? 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Did the company -- did Endurance eventually Q.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 25 provide a JOA for their proposed well? 1 Yes. Endurance sent Chevron a JOA, Joint 2 Α. Operating Agreement, for its well in the east half-east 3 half of Section 18. 4 5 0. And what overhead rates did Endurance propose 6 in the JOA that you received, I guess sometime in 7 January? Yes, that's correct. The rates provided by 8 Α. Endurance -- the drilling rate was \$9,400 per month, and 9 10 the producing rate was \$1,000 per month. What overhead rates had Chevron produced for 11 0. 12 its planned development? 6,500 for drilling and 650 for producing. 13 Α. 14 So much lower than Endurance? Ο. 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Does Chevron request that its rates be Q. 17 incorporated into any order from this hearing? 18 Α. Yes. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I need to get the 20 information on those overhead rates. Chevron is 650? 21 THE WITNESS: Mr. Examiner, Chevron 22 proposes \$6,500 per month for drilling and \$650 per 23 month for producing. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. And then you say that -- well, you're not going to talk for them. 25

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 26 They'll tell me what it is. I don't want to take your 1 word for it. They will tell me what their overhead rate 2 3 is. THE WITNESS: (Indicating.) 4 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Now, looking back at Chevron 5 Ο. Exhibit Number 2, does Endurance's proposed stand-up 6 spacing unit require the inclusion of federal acreage in 7 the south half of Section 18? 8 9 Α. Yes. So, Mr. Examiner, on Exhibit 2, which shows 10 that the south half is comprised of federal lands, there 11 12 are actually -- there are two different leases. 13 Two federal leases in the south half? 0. 14 Two federal leases cover the south half. Α. Who is the record title owner of those leases? 15 Ο. 16 Α. ConocoPhillips. And did you ascertain the ownership of the --17 Ο. today's ownership of the two federal leases covering the 18 south half of -- the federal leases in the south half of 19 20 Section 18? 21 Yes, sir. Prior to the hearing, Chevron hired Α. 22 a broker who examined the state and federal records, and 23 based upon his analysis, he furnished those records to one of Chevron's title attorneys who believes that the 24 25 ownership in the south half is comprised of the

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 27 following: ConocoPhillips Company owns two-thirds --1 sorry. Excuse me. ConocoPhillips owns one-third of the 2 south half, and BTA Oil Producers owns two-thirds of the 3 4 south half. In those federal leases? Ο. 5 In those federal leases. Α. 6 Now, in light of that, what will be required 7 0. before Endurance could possibly drill its proposed 8 9 stand-up well? Endurance will need a federal permit and a 10 Α. communitization agreement, as well as a voluntary 11 12 agreement of all the parties or an order from your 13 office. Now, Chevron's lay-down well only involves the 14 0. 15 fee acreage? 16 Α. That's correct. And you have your approved permit to drill? 17 Q. Yes, as shown on -- I believe it's Exhibit 1. 18 Α. 19 Chevron already has a permit approved by the State of 20 New Mexico to proceed with the vertical portion of its 21 Bell Lake well. 22 Is the company prepared to finish its well once Q. it receives the pooling order from the Division? 23 Yes, sir. 24 Α. Q. Can the federal acreage in the south half of 25

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 28 Section 18 still be developed on a stand-up basis if 1 that's what Endurance or the interest owners desire 2 without involving the north-half fee acreage? 3 Α. Yes. There are or two ways to develop the 4 federal acreage in the south half of Section 18. On the 5 one hand, Endurance could drill a short stand-up lateral 6 in the southeast quadrant of Section 18, and then option 7 two is that Endurance could combine the land in the 8 9 south half of Section 18 with Section 19, which is 10 immediately south of Section 18. And Section 19 is 11 comprised of all of fee lands. 12 All fee or all of federal? 0. 13 Α. Sorry. All of federal. Let me restate that 14 clearly again. The south half is comprised of Section 18 15 of federal lands, and Section 19 is also comprised of 16 federal lands. It's a perfect marriage of Section 19 17 and the south half of Section 18 because they're both 18 19 comprised of federal lands. And, in fact, Endurance recently proposed a 20 Ο. well in the 2nd Bone Spring Sand in Section 19? 21 22 Yes, sir. Α. 23 If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron Ο. 24 Exhibit Number 6 -- I'm sorry -- Exhibit Number 5, is 25 that a well-proposal letter that Endurance sent to

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 29 Chevron in January proposing a development well in 1 Section 19? 2 Α. Correct. Yes. 3 On January 7th, 2014, Mr. Examiner, 4 Endurance sent Chevron a well proposal for the east half 5 of the east half of Section 19, which is comprised of 6 7 federal lands. And your point is that if they really want a 8 Ο. 9 stand-up well in the south half of Section 18, they could extend that well from Section 19 into the south 10 11 half of Section 18 and combine the federal acreage and 12 develop that independent of the fee acreage? 13 Α. Yes. So, Mr. Examiner, the acreage in the north 14 half of Section 18 can be independently developed from 15 the south half of Section 18 because the south half is 16 comprised of federal lands, and Section 19 is also 17 comprised of federal lands. So Endurance could drill 18 its well in the east half of the east half of Section 19 19 traversing through the south half of Section 18, because 20 21 it's also comprised of federal lands. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Right. Excuse me. 23 This is important, because I didn't look at Section 19. What is the letter -- because I don't have time to read 24 What is the nature of the letter to you of 25 them now.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 30 January 7th? Summarize it. 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. The letter indicates 2 3 that Endurance is going to drill a Bone Spring well in the east half-east half of Section 19. It's comprised 4 of federal lands, and it would cover a 160-acre 5 proration unit on the east half-east half. It would be 6 7 a stand-up well. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So that is federal 8 9 land. Chevron has an interest. That's why they didn't 10 fight you. 11 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Yes, sir. 12 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And there is no mention 13 in this letter about combining the south half in 18 --14 south half of 18. 15 THE WITNESS: That is correct. In the 16 letter from Endurance to Chevron, there was -- no 17 mention was made that Endurance would be interested in 18 combining the south half of Section 18 with Section 19. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So this letter is 20 really irrelevant. They just want to propose a well in 21 Section 19, but because of your interest, they wrote to 22 you they are proposing a well. 23 Does this have any impact on the hearing 24 today? I thought they were trying to combine the south 25 half with some part of the -- the south half of 18 with

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 31 some part of 19, you know. But I think they notified 1 you because they're an operator, I think -- I don't 2 3 know -- in that Section 19. They wanted to notify Chevron because of your ownership. They are proposing a 4 5 different well. Not this well, right? This proposal is 6 not for the well in question today, right? 7 That is correct. THE WITNESS: EXAMINER EZEANYIM: That is a different 8 we11? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. The purpose of 11 introducing this exhibit is to indicate that the fee lands in the north half of Section 18 could be 12 13 independently developed from the south half of Section 14 18. 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah. It's important that I ask that question to get what the point was. 16 17 Okay. Go ahead. 18 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Levine, did Chevron Ο. 19 undertake an effort to identify the leased mineral 20 interest owners in the 40-acre tract surrounding this 21 proposed nonstandard spacing unit on the fee acreage? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 And did the company include those known mineral Q. 24 interest owners in the notice of this hearing? 25 Α. Yes. We notified the proper parties.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 32 And if I turn to Chevron Exhibit Number 6, is 1 Ο. that an affidavit prepared by my office with attached 2 letters providing notice to the affected parties? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 5 Now, you mentioned that ConocoPhillips is the 0. record title owner of the federal leases in the south 6 half of Section 18 and the current interest owner in 7 those federal leases. Was ConocoPhillips, as an offset, 8 9 notified of this application? 10 Α. Yes. ConocoPhillips was notified. 11 Q. And did the company have discussions with 12 ConocoPhillips about its proposed well? 13 Yes. I spoke with ConocoPhillips, and Α. 14 ConocoPhillips did not object to Chevron drilling in an 15 east-west orientation. 16 Now, the company also received a letter from Q. 17 the other interest owners in the south half of Section 18 18, BTA, objecting to your proposed well, correct? 19 Α. Yes. I received that letter. 20 0. And BTA expressed a desire for a stand-up well in the south half of Section 18? 21 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 And if they still want a stand-up well, again, Ο. 24 their desire could be accommodated by extending a 25 stand-up well from Section 19 into the south half of

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 33 Section 18 in developing the federal acreage together? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. So, again, Section 19, comprised of federal lands, could be developed also with the south 3 half of Section 18. 4 That would essentially be a mile-and-a-half 5 0. 6 lateral, correct? 7 Α. Yes. And is Chevron -- I think -- I'm sorry. Ο. Ι 8 think you've already testified that Chevron is ready to 9 proceed with the drilling of its well as soon as the 10 11 Division approves the pooling order? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 0. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or compiled under your direction and supervision? 14 15 Α. Yes, they were. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'd move 16 admission into evidence of Chevron Exhibits 1 through 6, 17 which includes my affidavit. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 19 20 MR. PADILLA: I'm sorry? EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 21 22 MR. PADILLA: No objection. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 1 through 6 23 will be admitted. 24 25 (Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 34 through 6 were offered and admitted into 1 2 evidence.) 3 MR. FELDEWERT: That concludes my examination of this witness. 4 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you, 6 Mr. Feldewert. 7 Mr. Padilla? 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. PADILLA: 10 Ο. Mr. Levine -- am I pronouncing correctly? 11 Α. Levine. 12 Ο. Mr. Levine, who has a controlling interest in the north half of Section 18? 13 14 Tritex Energy A, Limited Partnership Α. 15 Q. Chevron doesn't have the controlling interest; 16 is that right? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 At the time you spudded your well, had you Q. 19 acquired any interests other than the one that Chevron 20 held at that time? 21 Α. No, sir. 22 You had not acquired any interest; that's Ο. 23 correct, right? 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Q. Had you notified anybody at that time of your

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 35 1 well proposal? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Who? Ο. Would you repeat what time is [sic]? 4 Α. Who had you notified about drilling your well 5 0. 6 at the time that you spudded your well? 7 We had notified all the mineral interests of Α. record in the north half of Section 18. 8 9 Ο. Had you already had a meeting with Endurance 10 Resources at your offices on November 18th? 11 The meeting was held on November 20th. Α. No. 12 Ο. You're sure about that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And according to your testimony, you started Ο. 15 your well on December 31st? 16 Α. December 25th. Chevron commenced the vertical 17 portion of its well from the surface to 5,000 feet. And you testified that you did that because you 18 Q. 19 had a general problem with an expiring lease; is that 20 right? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. Under your lease, did you have an option to 23 extend that lease? 24 Α. No, sir. 25 You didn't have an option to extend the lease Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 36 1 at the time you started your well? 2 Α. We had a preferential right to acquire a lease 3 if another -- if a competitor tried to top leash 4 Chevron. 5 Ο. When did you seek an extension of the lease --6 or why did you obtain an extension of the lease once you 7 spudded the well? Because we wanted to respect the NMOCD process, 8 Α. 9 and given that the hearing is today -- it's February --10 we were concerned that perhaps an order wouldn't be 11 rendered until after the lease would expire. 12 Q. So sometime after June of 2014? Is that what you're saying? It would take perhaps that long for the 13 14 OCD to issue an order? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. Had you done anything to exercise your 17 preferential right to a lease? 18 Yes. Chevron extended the lease that was going Α. 19 to expire in June of 2014. 20 Did you get at an AFE from Endurance prior to Q. 21 November 27th? 22 Α. No. 23 Ο. Did you ever get an AFE from Endurance? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Ο. When?

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS
Page 37 Α. December 31st, 2013. 1 When did you file an application for compulsory 2 Q. 3 pooling with the OCD? December 12th, 2013. Α. 4 5 Did you notify at your November 20th meeting 0. with Endurance that you were going to file a compulsory 6 pooling case against them? 7 Α. No. 8 9 Q. What negotiations had occurred to form or to 10 drill a well east-west or north-south with Endurance prior to your filing an application for compulsory 11 12 pooling? A meeting was held in Chevron's offices in 13 Α. 14 Houston, Texas on November 20th prior to Chevron filing 15 the forced pooling application. That meeting lasted for 16 about an hour and a half. It sounds like there were 17 some negotiations that occurred and discussions about 18 the orientation of the well in Chevron's offices. 19 Ο. And did Chevron do anything to follow up about 20 solving either a north-south or an east-west well other 21 than filing a compulsory pooling application in 22 December? 23 Α. Yes. 24 What did you do? Q. 25 Α. Spoke with Jason South. Ken Schwartz, my

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 38 colleague, also spoke with employees with Endurance. 1 2 Q. How many times? 3 Α. At least once or twice. 4 Ο. Was there any written correspondence back and 5 forth, exchange of information, geologic information 6 that Chevron made to Endurance? 7 Α. I can't testify to that -- I'm not a geologist -- but my geologist might be able to testify 8 9 if he shared geological information with Endurance. 10 Mr. Levine, you were sort of at the wheel in Ο. 11 drilling this well, right? 12 Α. Would you describe what at the wheel means? 13 Ο. In charge. 14 Α. I'm not in charge, no. 15 Well, who was the front person at Chevron in Ο. the meeting with the Endurance group that you met with 16 on November 20th? You were one of them, right? 17 18 Α. Yes. Ken Schwartz, I would describe, as my 19 boss. So Ken Schwartz is here today to talk. 20 Do you know if Mr. Schwartz conveyed any Q. 21 geologic information to Endurance indicating that an 22 east-west well was better? I would defer to Mr. Schwartz to answer that 23 Α. 24 guestion. 25 I'm just asking whether you know whether any of Ο.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 39 that information was conveyed from Chevron to Endurance? 1 2 Α. I'm not going to speculate whether there was 3 information conveyed by Mr. Schwartz to Endurance. The answer is simple. You can tell me yes or 4 Ο. 5 no. 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Object. A. I do not know. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Counselor, rephrase 8 9 your question. (BY MR. PADILLA) You testified about overhead 10 Q. rates. How many wells does Chevron operate in the 11 immediate area of Section 18? 12 13 It operates no wells in Section 18, except for Α. 14 the vertical portion of the well that it has recently 15 drilled. 16 And do you operate any wells in Section 19, to Q. the south? 17 Α. 18 No. 19 Have you participated with Endurance on any Q. wells in Section 19? 20 21 In Section 19? Not yet. Α. 22 Endurance is proposing to drill two wells in Ο. 23 Section 19; is that right? 24 No. My understanding, based upon the well Α. proposal, is that Endurance desires to drill one well in 25

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 40 the east half-east half of Section 19. 1 2 Q. Have you elected to participate in that well? 3 Α. Chevron has not yet made a decision. Ο. And that's a north-south well; is that right? 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Ο. Have you participated in any wells with Endurance in Section 20? 7 Α. No. 8 How about in Section 30? 9 Q. I can't seem to recall. 10 Α. 11 Do you know whether any wells are drilled in Ο. Section 30? 12 13 Α. I can't seem to recall. 14 Ο. How about Section 29? 15 Α. Yes. You've participated in Endurance wells in 16 Q. Section 29? 17 I seem to recall that Caza Petroleum is an 18 Α. 19 operator that has drilled a well in Section 29. Chevron 20 and Endurance are nonoperators and entered into a Joint 21 Operating Agreement with Caza Petroleum as operator. 22 Ο. And the Caza well is a north-south well, right? Yes, sir. 23 Α. 24 Q. Do you operate wells in Section 24? 25 Α. I don't --

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 41 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Counsel, I would like 1 2 to know -- I'm not fully comfortable. Why are we going 3 into this even as far back as Section 24? What are we trying to establish? Please forgive me if I'm not 4 5 following. Why are we doing that? 6 MR. PADILLA: Well, you know, he testified 7 that east-west wells were -- is a better proposal in this case. 8 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Uh-huh. 10 MR. PADILLA: And I'm just trying to see if 11 Chevron is participating in any wells on a north-south 12 basis in the immediate area, and that's the conflict. 13 So that's where I'm going. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Thank you. Go 15 ahead. 16 MR. FELDEWERT: I guess I'm going to offer 17 an objection. He said Section 24. Can you identify the 18 township and range, so we all know what we're talking 19 about? Is that what you're talking about? 20 MR. PADILLA: That's fair. 23 South, 21 44 East. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 (BY MR. PADILLA) You're operating a well there Q. 24 in Section 24? 25 Α. Yes.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

|    |          | Page 42  |
|----|----------|--|
| 1  | Q.       | Is that north-south or east-west?              |
| 2  | Α.       | It is north-south.                             |
| 3  | Q.       | Do you have a well any wells in Section 23?    |
| 4  | Α.       | Of what township?                              |
| 5  | Q.       | 23 South, 43 East?                             |
| 6  | Α.       | Yes.   |
| 7  | Q.       | You have two wells there, don't you?           |
| 8  | Α.       | Yes.   |
| 9  | Q.       | And they're north-south, correct?              |
| 10 | Α.       | Yes.   |
| 11 | Q.       | Did you have a well in Section 25, 23 South of |
| 12 | 33 [sic] | East?  |
| 13 | Α.       | Yes.   |
| 14 | Q.       | And that's north-south, also, right?           |
| 15 | Α.       | Yes.   |
| 16 |          | MR. PADILLA: That's all the questions I        |
| 17 | have.    |  |
| 18 |          | EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you very much.        |
| 19 |          | Any other cross or re-examine?                 |
| 20 |          | MR. FELDEWERT: No.                             |
| 21 |          | EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Jim Bruce? Where is         |
| 22 | Jim?     |  |
| 23 |          | MR. FELDEWERT: He told me he was leaving.      |
| 24 |          | EXAMINER EZEANYIM: He just entered an          |
| 25 | appearan | ce?  |
|    |          |  |

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 43 MR. FELDEWERT: He just wanted our pretty 1 2 package, and then he left. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, okay. That's easier for me. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 6 7 Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2. I want to 8 establish ownership interest. The north half is fee? 9 All the north half is fee? The controlling interest is 10 covered by Tritex? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ο. What is the relationship with Tritex? 13 Α. So my understanding is that Tritex Energy A, 14 Limited Partnership is an affiliate of Endurance 15 Resources, LLC. 16 Ο. But you're going to two [sic] percent interest 17 in the north half? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 When you were doing this -- oh, okay. Because Ο. 20 they are partners with Endurance. Did you talk to 21 Tritex at all? Sir, Tritex Energy A, LP, for purposes of this 22 Α. 23 hearing, I think it's safe to say that they're probably 24 identical to Endurance Resources, LLC. 25 Q. So Tritex is equal to Endurance?

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 44 Α. Yes, sir. 1 2 Ο. I'm trying to see if there is another land 3 question here for you. 4 Okay. Now, on the well that was started on 5 December 25th, the target is -- is that the -- is that the Bone Spring? 6 7 Α. Yes, sir. Bone Spring. And you stopped at 5,000 feet? 8 Ο. 9 You just stopped right there? 10 Α. Chevron stopped at 5,000 feet and set 11 intermediate casing. 12 Q. And where are you going? Let's say it's 13 approved to continue. Where are you going? 14 Α. Our target is the Bone Spring Formation. 15 Ο. So you are about close to kickoff? 16 Α. (Indicating.) 17 You are about close to where you kick off into Ο. 18 the Bone Spring? 19 Α. I think we need to drill a little further --20 Drill to 7,000? Ο. 21 Α. Yeah. And then --22 Q. ConocoPhillips -- I don't see ConocoPhillips. 23 Where is ConocoPhillips in this? ConocoPhillips doesn't 24 have anything in the north half? 25 Α. That's correct.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 45 And you testified they didn't object to you 1 Ο. drilling your well? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Why did you talk to them? They don't own 4 0. 5 anything there. 6 Α. They own an interest in the south half. 7 0. Yeah, I see that. They own interest in the 8 south half. Okay. Now, your well will be on a standard --9 within the completed interval? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 I don't find any of the information on the Form Q. 13 C-102. Did we go through it? I forgot. 14 Α. Yes, sir, Exhibit 1. 15 Is there anybody that should get notice that Ο. 16 didn't get notice? 17 Α. No, sir. 18 Now, let's see. What is the total for AFE? Ο. 19 Let me see. Is it in there? 20 Sir, if you --Α. 21 Q. Completed well costs? 22 Yes. If you go to Exhibit 3, on November 12th, Α. 23 2013, Chevron had -- if you go to the bottom of the 24 page, the total, I will add that that total has been 25 revised.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 46 To what? To what? 1 Ο. My colleague, Sean Cheben, will testify to 2 Α. 3 that, sir. So it's a different number? Ο. 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. It's lower. 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, that 7 particular exhibit we haven't gotten to, but it's Exhibit Number 7. 8 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, okay. So I can get 10 the well costs. 11 MR. FELDEWERT: You will get that, uh-huh. 12 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Very good. 13 Okay. I have nothing further for you. 14 Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, with your 17 permission, I'd call our next witness, Sean Cheben. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: At this point I think 19 it's important that all witnesses stand up, and we'll 20 swear them in, do it at the same time, and then we can 21 We need to get this done today. continue. 22 Start from here (indicating) and state your 23 name fully for the record, and then you may be sworn. 24 MR. CHEBEN: Sean Cheben. 25 MR. SIGMUNDIK: Zachary Sigmundik.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 47 MR. SCHWARTZ: Ken Schwartz. 1 MR. LEHMAN: Lyle Lehman, L-E-H-M-A-N. 2 MR. HARRIS: Randall Harris. 3 MR. SOUTH: Jason South. 4 MR. SIRGO: Manny Sirgo. 5 MR. RITTER: Don Ritter. 6 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You may swear them. (Witnesses sworn.) 8 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Call your next witness. 9 SEAN CHEBEN, 10 11 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was 12 questioned and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 14 BY MR. FELDEWERT: Would you please state your full name for the 15 Q. 16 record, identify by whom you're employed and in what 17 capacity? My name is Sean Cheben. I'm employed by 18 Α. Chevron as a facilities engineer. 19 And how do you spell your last name? 20 Ο. 21 It's C-H-E-B, as in Bravo, E-N, as in November. Α. 22 Now, you have previously testified before this Q. 23 Division as an expert in petroleum facilities 24 engineering? 25 Yes, I have. Α.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 48 And at that time were your credentials accepted 1 Ο. 2 and made a matter of public record? 3 Α. Yes, they were. Are you familiar with Chevron's well proposal 4 Ο. for its fee lands in the north half of Section 18? 5 Α. I am. 6 7 Q. And have you examined the AFE that was developed by Chevron for its well? 8 9 Α. I have. 10 Have you also examined the AFE that was Ο. 11 submitted by Endurance for its rates proposed in the east half-east half well? 12 I have. 13 Α. 14 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would 15 tender Mr. Cheben as an expert witness in petroleum 16 facilities engineering. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: He is so qualified. 18 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Cheben, Chevron proposed Q. its well, I guess, over three months ago, correct? 19 20 Α. Correct. Has the company had an opportunity to recently 21 Ο. 22 examine and update its AFE for the proposed well? 23 Α. We have. If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron 24 Q. 25 Exhibit Number 7, is that the revised AFE for its

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 proposed well?

3

4

2 A. Yes, it is.

Q. It contains three pages; does it not?

A. It does.

Q. Now, first off, please explain -- and this is a
little more detail than you normally see in an AFE.
Would you please explain how this AFE is organized?
A. Sure.

9 As Mr. Feldewert stated, this AFE is 10 comprised of three pages. The first is a cost estimate 11 from our drilling department, and the total can be found 12 on the bottom, right-hand corner of the page. The 13 second page is the cost estimate from our completions 14 department, and, again, the total can be found in the 15 bottom, right-hand page. I apologize for the small 16 The third page was completed by our facilities font. 17 group, and the total can be found at the top of the 18 In all three cases, it's circled. page.

Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you this: Did you do the math to add all three of those numbers up for the Examiner?

22 A. Yes.

25

Q. Would you give him the total number now for this revised AFE?

A. The total cost is 8.452 million.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 49

Page 50 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 8.452? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 3 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) And that's comprised by the number at the bottom of the right-hand corner of the 4 5 first page, the bottom of the right-hand corner of the 6 second page, and then the bolded number at the top of 7 the third page; is that correct? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 Now, with respect to this new figure, what has 0. 10 been revised from the proposal that was submitted over 11 three months ago? 12 Two aspects of our original proposal were Α. 13 revised. The first is that our G&A line items, which you can see at the bottom of the first and second pages 14 15 and near the top of the third page, have been zeroed 16 The justification for that is -- G&A stands for out. 17 general and administrative. It's an internal accounting 18 mechanism that we include in our internal AFEs, that we 19 use to allocate payments for salaries and such. Our 20 accounting practices do not allow us to charge that 21 department. We are not supposed to ballot [sic] for 22 that, and it was an error. 23 So that was a mistake? 0. 24 Α. Correct. 25 And that was one that you caught when you Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 reviewed the AFE?

2 A. Correct.

3

Q. So you had that removed?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. What else was changed with respect to the AFE 6 that was submitted over three months ago as compared to 7 this revised AFE?

8 A. We also reduced our total facilities cost by9 \$418,000.

10 Q. And why was the company able to reduce its 11 facility costs reflected on the last page of this 12 exhibit?

A. The original AFE was based on -- the estimate for that AFE was based on historical costs that we've incurred across the Basin for similar scopes. As we neared and entered into execution, we were able to beat [sic] these costs out to have a solid price, which was lower than we had estimated.

19 Q. So because of the passage of time, you were 20 able to eliminate the uncertainty associated with the 21 bids three months ago?

22 A. That's correct.

Q. Your new estimated figure, are those costs consistent with what the company has incurred for the 25 2nd Bone Spring wells in this area?

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 51

1 A. They are.

5

Q. Now, I believe Endurance's AFE that they submitted back in December -- end of December was roughly 7.3 million in its proposed costs?

A. That's correct.

Q. Can you explain to the Examiner what accounts
for the roughly \$1 million difference between Chevron's
revised AFE and the AFE that Endurance submitted back in
December?

10 The difference is primarily found in the Α. 11 facilities costs. Our costs come to about \$2.2 million 12 for all facilities, and Endurance's, if you account for 13 their costs similarly to how Chevron does, round out to about 900,000 to a million. And I'll explain that. 14 In 15 Chevron, we put roadwork, dirt work, pad work, those 16 sorts of costs in with our facilities cost, so that's 17 why it may be higher than what you-all are used to 18 representing.

19 Q. That's usually not -- that's, for example, not 20 in Endurance's AFE?

A. It's in their AFE, but not advertised as afacilities cost.

Q. So roughly the difference that's in the two AFEs is the facility cost?

25 A. That's correct.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 52

Page 53 Now, when Chevron met with Endurance back in 1 Q. November, did Endurance present some information on its 2 3 proposed facilities? 4 Α. They did. If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron 5 0. Exhibit Number 8, is that one of the slides that 6 Endurance then presented to Chevron back in November 7 that addresses its proposed tank battery? 8 Yes, it is. 9 Α. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Counsel, please let's 10 go back and address that \$1 million difference in 11 facilities cost. I know you are addressing it, but I'm 12 13 not following. Why is there that difference, because if we 14 15 get it out of the way now, I might reduce the questions being asked here. Why is the facility cost this and 16 17 that? What is the difference? 18 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, that's what we're getting to right now. That's what this is helpful 19 20 for, I think (indicating). EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Why there is a 21 22 difference? MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 23 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Cheben, would you answer 24 Ο. the Examiner's question? 25

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 54 So Chevron designs to a very high Yes, sir. 1 Α. level of safety and environmental standards. It's our 2 view that, on our job sites, nobody should get hurt ever 3 and that we should never spill any oil or produced 4 water, and we should never attempt to flare if at all 5 possible. We want to get, as much as possible, every 6 molecule from the well to the sales point. Those safety 7 and environmental standards feed directly into that cost 8 9 and are a large driver of the \$1 million difference. I'll refer you to Exhibit Number 8. 10 The tank closest to you in the picture appears to be a 11 12 fiberglass tank. Chevron has a standard. It does not use fiberglass tanks in any service. Many folks in the 13 industry use fiberglass tanks in water service. We 14 believe that there is a severe explosion hazard to the 15 use of fiberglass tanks due to the high potential, and 16 in our experience, with static electricity accumulating 17 18 in those tanks, an oil skim on the surface, plus potential oxygen ingress, if there is a leaky thief 19 20 hatch leaking into the atmosphere. So we, as a 21 standard, do not use fiberglass tanks in our facilities. 22 Now, these steel tanks that Chevron uses as Ο. 23 opposed to the fiberglass tanks, are those more 24 expensive? 25 Α. Yes. Our steel tanks are more expensive than

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 fiberglass.

2 Q. Now, do you also, then, do some kind of coating 3 to your steel tanks?

A. We do. We internally plasticoat the entirety of our steel tanks to mitigate any corrosion. Produced water is very corrosive, and experience has shown us that coats left partially coated or uncoated will leak much quicker than coats not entirely coated.

9 Q. Now, in addition to differences in the types of 10 tanks, are there other aspects of the facilities that 11 Chevron uses that adds to the cost?

A. Uh-huh. There are, and I'll point out a few more examples related to the tanks. We install downcomers in our tanks, which eliminate splash loading, which, again, mitigate that static buildup that I referred to before.

I already covered the plastic coating. 17 18 We also put large emergency vents on our 19 tanks. As everyone knows, the oil fuel valves fail, and 20 frequently those valves introduce large volumes of gas 21 to the tanks. If a large emergency vent is not 22 installed on that tank, then rather than that vent 23 popping during an overpressure situation with large volumes of gas, very frequently the tank will lose 24 25 containment and a spill will occur.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 56 In addition to that, we also incorporate 1 2 more robust metering and sales, allocation equipment. 3 Then what appears to be in the picture shown on Exhibit 4 8, we use truck -- lease automated custody transfer, our 5 LACT units, on all of our facilities. The justification 6 there is twofold. One, you eliminate any safety 7 exposure by folks out at the gauge tanks and also 8 eliminate the oxygen ingress risk. But we also believe 9 that we result in a 1 percent net increase in revenue 10 and, you know, accounted-for production by using those 11 LACT units versus tank gauging, which leads to a much 12 more profitable facility.

Q. Now, you mentioned this additional metering is not evident from the picture Endurance provided from its facilities. Is the downcomer that you discussed on the tanks evident from -- does it appear that Endurance has taken that aspect of safety into account in their facilities design?

A. So it's difficult to say whether they're downcomerzied [sic], their internal -- internal to the tank. However, it does appear that their tanks are loaded from the top, which would suggest that they are not fitted with downcomers. But that's only speculation on my part.

Q. Now, what about the large emergency tank?

25

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

A. Those are not visible in the picture. Actually
 they're there, just not visible.

Now, with respect to the additional costs that 3 Q. Chevron incurs for facilities, is there an additional 4 cost component that goes into its AFE that addresses the 5 contract -- or results from the contracting standard? 6 Yes, there are. As I've stated before, we 7 Α. believe that nobody on our job sites should get hurt 8 ever, and one way we ensure that that happens is that we 9 10 only use contractors that have a proven history of excellent safety performance. Our metric of that is the 11 12 Total Reportable Incident Rate, or TRIR, and our threshold for determining which contract companies have 13 a standard of excellence that we approve of is 1.0. 14 Now, that, we believe, leads to higher costs, as we 15 16 generally cannot use the lower-cost bidder, as they do 17 not have that proven safety record that meets our 18 standards.

19 Q. These additional safety contracting standards 20 that the company imposes on itself, does that come with 21 a price?

A. Yes, it does. The contracting standard, we believe, adds somewhere between 4- and \$500,000 to the cost of our facilities.

25 Q. Now, are these more robust safety, design and

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 57

Page 58 environmental standards included in the revised AFE for 1 the Bell Lake well that is Exhibit Number 7? 2 3 Α. Yes, they are. And in your opinion, does that account for most Ο. 4 of the \$1 million difference between the --5 Α. Yes. Those items that we have discussed in my 6 7 testimony I believe account for the majority -- or the vast majority of the \$1 million difference. 8 9 Were Chevron Exhibits 7 through 8 prepared by Ο. you or compiled under your direction and supervision? 10 11 Α. Yes. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'd move 12 admission into evidence of Chevron Exhibits 7 through 8. 13 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 15 MR. PADILLA: No objection. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 7 and 8 will 16 17 be admitted. 18 (Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 7 and 8 were offered and admitted into evidence.) 19 20 MR. FELDEWERT: And that concludes my examination of this witness. 21 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Padilla? 23 MR. PADILLA: Just a couple of questions, 24 Mr. Examiner. 25

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 59 CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. PADILLA: Mr. Cheben, you're not implying that Endurance 3 Q. operates substandard -- has substandards in regard to 4 5 its facility, are you? I make no such implication. I only state that 6 Α. Chevron has a very high standard of safety and 7 environmental components. 8 Let me ask you: When did you change your AFE? 9 Q. We changed our AFE -- don't quote me on this --10 Α. approximately three to four weeks ago is when we came up 11 with the new number and were working to revise to 12 13 present in this hearing. 14 0. So you revised your AFE for purposes of this hearing, correct? 15 16 A. Yes, that's correct. 17 MR. PADILLA: That's all I have. CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 19 I see you use LACT units, and you don't use 20 Ο. 21 fiberglass on your safety equipment. Anyway, you don't have to -- but he addressed it. Do you know whether 22 Endurance uses LACT units? I think you said they don't. 23 24 So per the picture shown in Exhibit Number 8 --Α. 25 Q. Yeah, I'm looking at the picture.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 60 There is no LACT unit there. 1 Α. Q. Are you a facilities engineer? 2 I am, yes. 3 Α. No further questions. You may step down. Ο. 4 5 Α. Thank you. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Call your next witness. 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would call 7 Ken Schwartz to the stand. He's a geologist. 8 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What's your name? 10 THE WITNESS: My name is Ken Schwartz. 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Very good. We have to go fast, because I don't know if you want to take a 12 13 lunch break. 14 MR. FELDEWERT: It's entirely up to you. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah. Why don't we 15 take a five-minute break so we can collect our thoughts? 16 17 I hope you don't mind, Mr. Padilla. 18 MR. PADILLA: No. 19 (Break taken, 11:06 a.m. to 11:18 a.m.) 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Let us go back on the 21 record and continue the consolidated cases. 22 KEN SCHWARTZ, 23 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 24 questioned and testified as follows: 25

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 61 DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. FELDEWERT: 2 3 Would you please state your name, by whom Q. you're employed and in what capacity? 4 Yes. My name is Ken Schwartz. I work for 5 Α. Chevron, and I'm our subsurface team lead for our 6 7 Delaware Basin asset. As a team leader, how many geologists do you 8 Ο. 9 supervise? Currently, I have nine geologists under my 10 Α. 11 direction. Have you previously testified before this 12 Ο. Division as an expert in petroleum geology? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And at that time were your credentials accepted 15 Ο. and made a matter of public record? 16 17 Α. Yes. And do you have a master's degree in geology? 18 Q. Yes, I do. 19 Α. How many years of experience do you have as a 20 Ο. 21 petroleum geologist with an oil and gas company? 22 I have 12 years' experience, the last nine Α. 23 years with Chevron, and prior to that, three years with ExxonMobil. 24 25 And have your more recent years been focused on Ο.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 62 the Permian Basin? 1 Α. Yes. 2 Are you familiar with Chevron's application 3 0. here? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. And have you conducted a geologic study of the area? 7 Α. Yes. 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Once, again, I'd tender 9 Mr. Schwartz as an expert witness in geology. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 11 12 MR. PADILLA: No. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Schwartz is so 13 14 qualified. 15 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Schwartz, would you turn Ο. to Exhibit Number 9 and just briefly orient the Examiner 16 17 as to where this particular area is --Yeah. This is an introduction to the location 18 Α. we've talked about. The Bell Lake, you may not know 19 20 where it is, but it's in southern Lea County, in the 21 northeast part of the Delaware Basin, the western 2.2 subbasin of the Permian Basin. 23 And one of the Examiner's questions was where Q. 24 the company intended to drill its well. Can you 25 identify the interval of the Bone Spring Sand that

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 63 Chevron seeks to develop in the Bell Lake area? 1 2 It's the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. Α. 3 Q. Have you conducted an analysis of this particular producing interval? 4 5 Α. Yes. If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron Q. 6 7 Exhibit Number 10, would you tell the Examiner what this is, what it's based on and what it shows? 8 9 Α. So this is a -- this map is a structure map utilizing the Bell Lake seismic volume. It's one of the 10 11 few areas in this part of Lea County that has seismic. 12 So this is a structure map on the top of the second Bone Spring. As you can see, through Section 18 is the crest 13 of the anticline. 14 Now, you mentioned this is based on 3D seismic? 15 Q. Yes. It's from 3D seismic. 16 Α. 17 And what does that provide as opposed to, for 0. 18 example, trying to do a structure map based on well data? 19 So in this case we're fortunate that we do have 20 Α. 21 seismic, so it gives the entire picture. In a well base 22 study, you have to extrapolate between the wells, and 23 you're kind of giving best estimate of what the rock is 24 doing between those points. In this case, you eliminate 25 all that. You have a full picture.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 64 Is that important here? I mean, is there a lot 1 Ο. 2 of well data available in and around Section 18? 3 Α. There is some -- there is well data on Section 18 and 17. Outside of that, there is limited data. 4 And so the seismic was of assistance here? 5 Ο. Α. Yes. 6 Now, you mentioned what you call an anticline. 7 Ο. What is the nature of this anticline based on 3D? 8 9 So an anticline is a term in geology, Α. structural geology, where you have a crest and the 10 structural high. There is a high point. In this case, 11 it runs along Section 18, and you have two parallel 12 limbs that dip in opposing directions off that, east to 13 the west in this case. 14 Now, in terms of the trending, the fold is 15 Ο. north to south, if I'm looking at this map? 16 17 Yes. I think if you go to Exhibit 11, I've Α. kind of outlined that. Sorry if I'm jumping around. 18 19 That's all right. Why don't you turn to Ο. Exhibit Number 11 and first identify -- identify for the 20 Examiner and then tell us what it shows? 21 So Exhibit 11 I've kind of outlined the 22 Α. anticline, and then I've dashed in generally what the 23 fold axis would be. And you can see how it's a 24 25 north-trending axis.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 65 1 And commonly, you know, we've observed this 2 in outcrops and at the surface. As you bend the rock ---3 you can imagine that as you bend the rock along that 4 crust [sic], you'll get natural fractures in a form. 5 And we observed that in field studies.

Q. Now, with respect to this particular exhibit,
we see the seismic data, your time structure map on the
left, and then we see some illustrations on the right.
Where did that come from, and what does that show?

10 A. So these are just some examples I pulled out of 11 my structure textbook in college. You know, this is 12 where it's discussed. It's just an example. It's a 13 schematic of how the fractures form in an anticline 14 along the crest of the structure.

Q. So when I have a north-to-south trending anticline, how does that impact the natural fracture system? What happens to those fractures?

A. So if you have a north-south trending fold axis, the natural fracture system will parallel that fold axis. So you can see from the schematic, when you fold it, all the fractures are going to parallel that fold axis.

Q. And what does that mean for this particular area? Will the natural fractures be oriented based on the seismic data?

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 66 So the natural fractures oriented north-south 1 Α. 2 paralleling that fold axis. And what does that tell you in terms of how you 3 Q. want to orient your well for maximum drainage? 4 5 So for maximum drainage, you want to intersect Α. as many fractures as you can. So at this point, you 6 7 would want to drill east-west, so you're going to cross a number of these north-south trending fractures. 8 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Let's get that clear. 9 Very important. Your seismic -- you did your 3D 10 seismic. You are showing that the fracture is 11 12 north-south, right? THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And you want to drill 15 your well -- you want to drill your well --16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: -- not parallel to the 18 natural strikes; is that correct? THE WITNESS: We'd want to drill a well 19 20 perpendicular to the fractures. 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. And there are 22 consequences for fracturing, too. When you want to 23 fracture a well, if you, you know --24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Well, anyway.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 67 1 THE WITNESS: You're right. 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I understand what 3 you're saying, but that's fine. I just wanted to clarify what you're saying. You are saying that you 4 5 have -- you did -- I like the seismic, because seismic gives the natural orientation of the natural fractures. 6 7 Then in that case, we decide on where to go, you know. 8 It's not necessarily that you have to go against it, but 9 for how they're fracturing, you need to do that right. 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So if you have --11 regardless of your wellbore orientation, if you have 12 natural fractures, when you complete your well, it's 13 going to tend to follow those weaknesses in the rock. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Of course, yeah. So it 15 will follow the least resistance. 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Very good. Go 18 ahead. 19 0. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Let me ask a couple of 20 things. First off, you used the seismic up to this 21 point, Mr. Schwartz, to identify the anticline, correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Ο. And then the nature of the anticline is such, 24 then, that it shows your -- based on just textbook 25 information, that the natural fracture is just following

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 68 the anticline and running north-south, is that right? 1 2 Yes. Yes. Α. Does the seismic data -- since you have it 3 Q. available, does it not only allow you to confirm the 4 anticline that you need for this area, but does it also 5 allow you to confirm the natural fracture system? 6 Yes. And if you go to Exhibit 12, because we 7 Α. have the three seismic, there are algorithms you can run 8 9 on a seismic. And in this case, there's a curvature analysis. And think of it as kind of a roughness of the 10 11 surface. And in this case, you can kind of see how 12 the red and the purple form lineaments that also trend 13 14 north-south, and that's also suggesting that the 15 fracture orientation will parallel those lineaments. So 16 there are strong or soft lineaments in nature to the 17 seismic. 18 Q. And just to orient the Examiner for the record, you have outlined on this particular seismic reading, in 19 yellow, Section 18, correct? 20 21 Α. Yes. Outlined in yellow is Section 18, and then that is the section of interest. 22 23 Ο. And what you're referencing here is the fact that the colors tend to go north to south? 24 25 Α. Yes, in Section 18.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 69 And that reinforces what you would expect to 1 Ο. see from an anticline system that we see in Section 18? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. And that anticline that we see in Section 18, 4 5 is that unique to the Delaware Basin? I mean, do you 6 see that very often? No. It's -- during the Permian time, it was 7 Α. pretty quiet tectonically. You don't see a lot of 8 faulting and folding, so it is a unique feature in the 9 Basin to see a structure like this at this time period. 10 And based on your analysis, it seems to be 11 Q. 12 centered, basically, across the entire Section 18, 13 correct --Α. 14 Yes. -- if I look at Exhibit Number 11? 15 Q. 16 If we go back to Exhibit Number 11, it Α. Yes. 17 is -- the fold axis and the crest of the anticline crosses the center of Section 18, and, also, this 18 19 suggests the maximum horizontal stress orientation. Ιt 20 would be east-west at this time. And then it falls off as you go to the right or 21 Q. 2.2 left of Section 18? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Did you have a chance to look at -- now, I need Q. to step back. You participated in the meetings with 25

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 70 Endurance in November? 1 2 Yes, I was there. Α. 3 Ο. And did they present a structure map at that time? 4 5 Α. Yeah, they presented a structure map. Did you have a chance to review it? 6 Q. 7 Α. I reviewed it with them at the time they 8 presented their data, and I -- yeah, I reviewed it. 9 Now, let me ask you something. Was it based on Q. 10 seismic? As far as I can tell, it was based on well 11 Α. control. 12 13 And how does their structure map that they 0. 14 presented in November compare with your structure map that is based on seismic? 15 16 So if you go to Exhibit 14, I labeled both --Α. 17 Ο. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13, wasn't it? Sorry. Yeah, 13. I just did a comparison with 18 Α. 19 Endurance and Chevron structure maps. They did 20 pretty acc- -- you know, it was pretty good. They 21 defined the anticline using the well data, but I think, 22 with Chevron having the seismic, we were better -- we 23 more accurately placed that anticline over Section 18. 24 And, you know, the anticlines are fairly parallel. You 25 know, they also suggest a, roughly, north-south trending

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 fold axis.

And just for the record, on the left-hand side, 2 Q. you have Section 18 outlined in blue, with the dotted 3 black line going through the middle of it? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And then on the right-hand side, the comparable 6 Q. area is also outlined in blue, Endurance's well 7 8 structure --9 Yeah. I apologize. It blends in with their Α. 10 coloring scheme, but if you can tell, it's outlined in 11 blue. Now, when Endurance did its structure map, did 12 Ο. 13 they have any well control to the east or to the 14 north -- to the west or northwest? Well, as I testified earlier, you know, this is 15 Α. a focused area for them, so I'm sure, you know, this is 16 an area they focused on. So they just used the data in 17 18 the immediate area. 19 You know, Chevron has a million acres. We've mapped the 2nd Bone Spring throughout the whole 20 21 Delaware Basin, so we utilize a much larger data set. 22 So we utilized wells to the north, to the west. Ιt 23 covers a much larger data set. So when we grid and 24 contour, you know, when we have to or we utilize the 25 seismic, we have a better picture.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 71

Page 72 And the seismic, then -- I mean, you don't have Ο. 1 any holes with your seismic, right? 2 Α. 3 No. No. It gives you continuous coverage? 4 0. It's continuous coverage to this area. 5 Α. This unique structure that we see in Section 6 Q. 18, does it also assist with drainage if you have an 7 east-to-west oriented well? 8 9 Yeah. I believe that's pictured on the next Α. 10 exhibit, 14. Okay. Let's turn to Chevron Exhibit 14. 11 Ο. Why don't you tell us what it is and what it shows? 12 So this is a cross section of the seismic 13 Α. 14 through the Bell Lake seismic sheet, going across 15 east-west through Section 18. So I've outlined -- the yellow arrows represent the top of the 2nd Bone Spring, 16 and the base of the Bone Spring is the top of the 3rd 17 Bone Spring. So that's the interval in question, 18 between the 2nd and 3rd Bone Spring. And this is just 19 20 an outline of how our east-west well is going to be 21 drilled in a toe-up configuration. And, you know, 22 because we have the seismic, we also have the advantage to land the well and steer it and make sure it stays 23 24 within the zone. What's the advantage of a toe-up configuration? 25 Q.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS
Page 73 So our production engineers like that, because 1 Α. the fluid -- the liquids flow to the, you know, in this 2 case, the heel, right below the vertical portion of the 3 wellbore, and it allows for easier production 4 5 capability. Anything else about this map? 6 Ο. 7 Α. No. I think it's good. Now, have you also had a chance in preparation 8 Ο. 9 for this hearing to look at just the depositional system 10 that you see in this part of the Delaware Basin with 11 respect to the Bone Spring Sand? 12 Let me just step back on one thing about Α. 13 talking about stress orientations and things like that, because it is kind of a topic of concern. But Chevron 14 has participated in 60 to 100 Bone Spring wells, partner 15 wells, in the last year and a half. We've collected 40 16 17 FMI image logs, gotten core from the Bone Spring. We've 18 had microseismic. We've looked at multi-arm caliper 19 logs. We use dipole sonic. 20 And I can testify that the stress 21 orientation varies greatly within the basin. So we've 22 drilled east-west wells that outperformed north-south 23 wells. We've drilled, you know, north-south -- we 24 prefer some areas to drill north-south, some areas we 25 prefer east-west. We've got a pretty good handle on the

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 74 stress orientation throughout the Basin from all this 1 information, and it varies. And this is a unique area 2 because of the fold, and the orientation of the 3 fractures is going to be north-south. You don't see 4 5 that in any other part of the Basin. 6 0. So Section 18 has kind of a unique feature that results in the fractures going north-south? 7 Α. Yes. 8 So sorry, you can go ahead with your --9 10 Q. Well, I was going to turn, then -- I think you did an analysis -- putting aside all the seismic data 11 12 and putting aside the anticline we have here in Section 18, what is -- just as a general matter, how is the Bone 13 Spring Sand generally deposited in this particular area? 14 So the next exhibit kind of shows the 15 Α. depositional model for the Bone Spring Sand. 16 17 You're on Exhibit 15? Ο. Yes, Exhibit 15. 18 Α. So you have sands shedding off from the 19 northwest shelf, channelized system moving south to the 20 21 deeper part of the Basin. At that point it folds and 22 forms basically -- in this case, around Section 18, it's 23 more of a channel to a weakly confined system. So in 24 the areas, we've -- when we don't quite understand --25 with a channel axis, the channel axis generally has a

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

better reservoir quality and better porosity plum. We have drilled east-west to mitigate some of the risk. So we know we will cross that better porosity streaks and ensure a better well.

Q. Now, let me focus a little bit more on this exhibit in terms of where Section 18 would fall, that general area. On this, you show a number of systems here. Where would Section 18, that area, fall within your Exhibit Number 15?

10 A. So it's -- you know, it's a slope valley system 11 to weakly confined, so it won't matter. We're off the 12 gradient of the slope. It's entering more of the deeper 13 part of the Basin. And it is an area that -- you know, 14 it's starting to emerge. We're starting to see more 15 drilling activity, and this picture will become clearer.

Q. And you mentioned that in the areas where you don't have a lot of well control and you don't know where the channel axis exists, that you tend to go east to west because you have a better chance of hitting that channel axis?

A. Yeah. We've done that, and we've had successand produced great wells.

Q. Because that's the sweet spot, right?
A. Yeah. You want to find. You know, obviously,
landing your well in the right zone, reservoir, good

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 75

Page 76 porosity, good perm, you're going to have a better well. 1 2 If you land it in poor rock, you're not going to have a 3 better well. 4 0. In an area like this, where you have this 5 north-south channel system, if you drilled north-south, 6 you might hit right in the middle of the axis and have a 7 really good well, or you could be outside of the 8 channel, correct? 9 Yeah, that's a possibility. Α. And will an east-west well provide information 10 0. 11 perhaps on where the axis of this channel actually is? 12 Α. Yes. Have you been able to examine the available 13 0. 14 data in the area to develop an isopach map for the 15 Division? 16 Α. Yes. 17 0. Why don't we turn to what's been marked as 18 Chevron Exhibit Number 16. Identify it for the 19 Examiner; explain what it's based on and then what it 20 shows us. 21 Again, Section 18 is roughly in the center of Α. the map, and it shows the well data we've used to create 22 23 this regional isopach map. It's the gross interval of 24 the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. The contour interval is 50 25 feet. And from this map, you can see how the 2nd Bone

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 77 Spring thickens to the northwest, so towards the A. 1 And 2 the A point on the map -- that blue line that goes from A to B, that's a cross section that we'll see in a 3 4 moment. But, in general, you see thickening of the 2nd 5 Bone Spring to the west. 6 And the data points -- you mentioned Chevron 0. 7 has a lot of data in this particular area. In 8 developing this map, you took into account all of the 9 data? 10 Α. Yes, all the data that we had available to us. 11 And I see below the well symbols there will be 0. That's total thickness? 12 a number. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Now, this is a gross isopach map? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. Did you -- for the Examiner, did you provide, then, a close-up of Section 18? 17 18 Α. Yes. One observation I want to make about this 19 map is notice how over Section 18, it's a little thinner 20 and gets thicker to the west. That kind of corresponds 21 with where you see that anticline. So is that control 22 deposition? Maybe, maybe not. So you do see some 23 thickening as you go west. So there is a question that 24 maybe the anticline did form some -- did have some 25 control over the deposition.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 78 So we did narrow it down. So the next map 1 2 will show a more focused -- looking at Section 18. 3 If I turn to Chevron Exhibit 17, that's sort of 0. the same map. It's just a close-up, for the Examiner, 4 5 focusing on Section 18 in the middle and that nine-section area around it? 6 7 Α. Yes. We're just bringing in the map closer. You know, we are focused on 18, and I just want to show 8 that generally the gross thickness is, you know, uniform 9 throughout Section 18. It's around 430 to 450 feet. 10 11 Q. And that's your gross isopach; is that correct? 12 Α. Yes, it is. 13 Now, I know the Examiner is always interested Ο. in this. What is your -- did you develop a net isopach 14 15 map? 16 Yes, I did. Α. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Good. 18 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Is that Exhibit 18? Q. 19 That is, yeah, Exhibit 18. Α. 20 Why don't you tell us what you used to develop 0. 21 this and what it shows you? 22 So from the gross isopach -- pardon me. From Α. 23 the gross thickness, we used defined cutoffs. In this 24 case, we used a density porosity cutoff of 8 percent and 25 a gamma ray range of 40 to 80 to define the sand

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 79 reservoir interval. 1 2 And, again, this just represents a nice, 3 consistent thickness across Section 18, around 265 feet 4 of net reservoir. 5 Do you see here a continuity of sand across Ο. Section 18? 6 7 Α. Yes. Does that allow for the development of this 8 0. 9 area using horizontal wells? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Now, you mentioned -- you talked about your Q. 12 blue line that is seen on Exhibits 18, 17 and 16, and they correspond to a cross section, correct? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron 0. Exhibit Number 19, is that a cross section of the logs 16 17 that you've utilized for your study? Did I say that 18 right? 19 These are part of the wells that we used Α. Yeah. in the study, and, also, these wells represent that 20 21 cross section. 22 And how does it correspond to the previous 0. 23 exhibit in terms of the orientation, because I think we 24 lost our A to A to B? 25 Α. Yeah. So A was the farthest well up to

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 80 1 northwest where it thickens, and B is in Section 17, in 2 the immediate contiguous section to the east of Section 3 18. 4 0. So on this particular cross section, you have two wells in the east half of Section 17? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 And then two wells in the center of Section 18? Ο. 8 Α. Yes. 9 And then you were able to add that additional Ο. 10 well toward the northwest? 11 Α. That was just one of the nearest wells as Yes. 12 a controlling point to the northwest. But the four wells on the east half of this 13 cross section are the closest wells to the immediate 14 15 Section 18. As you can see, I defined the top of the 16 2nd Bone Spring and the base of the 2nd Bone Spring. It's pretty easily mapped with just a nice carbonate 17 18 unit that define that interval, and there are two, you 19 know, lines. One is kind of a darker orange that 20 defines the top and a lighter orange that forms the 21 As you can see, in Sections 17 and 18, there's a base. 22 pretty uniform thickness of that 2nd Bone Spring 23 interval. 24 Anything else about this exhibit? Ο. 25 Α. No.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 81 Were you able to use this data to also develop, 1 0. 2 for the Examiner, a porosity map? 3 Α. Yes. Is that Chevron Exhibit Number 20? Ο. 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And what does this show us? How was it 7 developed, and what does it show us? 8 So this is a map that just represents the total Α. 9 thickness -- porosity thickness using our cutoff, and, 10 you know, basically, we're just stepping down and 11 defining quality rock. In this case, again, it just shows a nice continuance of similar-quality rock through 12 13 Section 17 and through 18, roughly 28 feet of porosity thickness. 14 15 Now, there was some talk about, you know, the 0. 16 federal acreage here involved in the south half of 18 17 and also in Section 19. Do you see this continuity as you move south into the south half of 18 and then into 18 19 19? 20 Α. Yes. 21 In your opinion, Mr. Schwartz, is the north Ο. 22 half of Section 18 an area that can be effectively 23 developed within east-to-west horizontal wells? 24 Α. Yes. 25 ο. You don't see any geologic impediments to a

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 full-section east-to-west well?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Does your study indicate that a stand-up well 4 in this area is superior to a lay-down well?

A. No.

5

Q. So if you were going to summarize it, what do you see here as the basis for your opinion? What do we have in the summary basis?

9 So, yeah, it's a good point to reiterate, you Α. 10 know, that this anticline is a unique feature, and we 11 don't see that much in the Basin. So we really -- you 12 really need to take that into account in the orientation 13 of your wells. So you have a nice anticline over 14 Section 18. You're going to have natural fractures that 15 develop on the crest of that anticline in the 16 north-south orientation, so you want to drill east-west 17 to intersect as many of those natural fractures as 18 possible.

I see a nice continuous uniform thickness of reservoir through Section 18. Utilizing the seismic, we can make sure we stay in the zone and drill, you know, the best part of the rock. We'll stay in a toe-up configuration when we drill that well. Anything I'm missing?

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 82

Page 83 1 Now, based on your study, do you believe that waste will occur from a lay-down well from the fee 2 3 lands in the north half of Section 18? Α. 4 No. 5 And based on your opinion, do you believe that Q. Chevron's east-west well will efficiently develop the 6 7 reserves in the north half -- the north half of Section 8 18? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Now, at the pre-hearing conference, Ο. 11 Mr. Schwartz, the Division indicated that if it was 12 possible, they wanted to see an EUR study. 13 (Indicating.) Α. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: He's not an engineer. 15 MR. FELDEWERT: What's that? 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: He's not an engineer. 17 MR. FELDEWERT: He's not, but there are two 18 aspects that I want to address. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 20 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) As a geologist -- as an 0. 21 expert in petroleum geology, what factors impact an EUR 22 false? Have you summarized them on Chevron Exhibit 21? 23 Α. Yes. If we look at Exhibit 21 -- you know, 24 there are many factors that affect an EUR and well 25 performance. Your completion design can really affect

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 84 how well -- how great of a well you have, you know, your 1 2 stage spacing, how much fluid you pump, how big a frack 3 to put on it, number of clusters, fluid types, et 4 cetera, but then also geology plays a critical role. 5 You can land your well in a poor part of the reservoir, and the performance of your well won't be 6 7 as good if you land in the best porosity. The 8 orientation does matter in some areas, because like this 9 case, the orientation of east-west because it's on an 10 anticline, and, you know, some parts of the Basin, more 11 north-south is preferred. You have a lateral length. 12 Commonly we see that longer laterals have better 13 producibility. 14 But unless you take all these into 15 account -- you know, you've got to look at each 16 individual well, and look how they're completed, land 17 those wells -- look at the type of reservoir they landed 18 in before you do a proper EUR analysis. 19 0. Now, did Endurance represent to you at their 20 meeting that they had done an EUR analysis for the area? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And did they identify what that EUR analysis Ο. was based upon? 23 24 Yes. Α. 25 If I turn to what's been marked as --Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 85 MR. FELDEWERT: I'm going to skip ahead a 1 2 little bit, Mr. Examiner. 3 Ο. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron Exhibit 23, is the -- and we're going 4 5 to have another witness discuss this, but the depiction 6 on the left-hand side column, is that what Endurance 7 presented to you either at the November 20th hearing or 8 thereafter? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And did they represent that those were the Ο. 11 wells that they analyzed in doing their EUR analysis? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. If I'm reading this correctly, Section 18, at 14 least on their map, is identified in red on Exhibit 23 15 in that left-hand column, correct? 16 Α. Yes. Says "Subject Acreage." 17 Q. And then green identifies Endurance's 18 19 acreage, correct? 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Am I looking at the 21 same thing? 22 MR. FELDEWERT: Exhibit 23. 23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: This one? (BY MR. FELDEWERT) So I'm looking on the 24 0. 25 left-hand side of Exhibit 23?

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 86 1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 And I see that Section 18 is identified, 0. according to their map, in red. 3 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, that small dot 5 there? 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. 7 Q. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Now, this study that they 8 apparently did looks like they included wells that were 9 almost 15 miles away? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. And it looks like they included wells in their 12 EUR analysis that covered almost eight townships? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. In your opinion as a geologist, an expert in 15 petroleum geology, can you compare the performance from a well 15 miles, let's say, to the north of Section 18 16 17 with wells three to seven miles, let's say, to the southwest of Section 18? 18 19 The geology will vary. Α. No. 20 Q. Is it like mixing apples and oranges, in your 21 opinion? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. If you were going to conduct -- now, 24 recognizing we have a unique feature in Section 18 -- we 25 have an anticline -- if you were going to conduct an EUR

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 87 study in general, just to compare how a north-south 1 2 orientation does to east-west, what would you look for? What would you tell your engineer to look for? 3 So we would define the reservoir, make sure 4 Α. all -- we'd look at the wells, make sure they were 5 6 landed in the same reservoir, and then make sure they 7 had the same completion design, very similar completion 8 design. And in that case, you would have a proper EUR 9 study. 10 Ο. So you'd look for an area that's got some 11 development, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Same zone? 14 Yes. Α. 15 Ο. Same -- you'd hope, similar geology or same 16 geologic? 17 Α. Yes. Similar completion techniques? 18 0. 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. Would the time frame in which the wells were 21 drilled and the number of operators involved be helpful 22 in determining, you know, whether you use similar 23 completion techniques? 24 Α. Yes. With those parameters in mind, was Chevron able 25 Ο.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 88 to locate a nearby field that fit your criteria? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. And where was that located? Directly to the southwest of the Bell Lake 4 Α. acreage. I think it's the Dos Equis area. 5 So if I look at Exhibit 23, and I look at 6 Q. 7 Section 18 in red and I go to the southwest, along, 8 ironically, what is an orange line here, I get to an 9 area that has more extensive development in it? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And I see both lay-down and stand-up wells? Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Is that the area, to develop an EUR study, for Ο. the Examiner, you had your engineers focus on? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 And in that type of an area, would you expect Q. 17 to be able to compare a north-south orientation and east-to-west in more of an apples-to-apples orientation? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did you assist in performing that study? Ο. 21 Α. Yes. Do you have a witness that's going to address 22 Ο. 23 it? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Here's the big question: What did you find in Ο.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 89 1 doing that apples-to-apples comparison in this nearby 2 area? 3 Α. So we did a study in that area. We actually 4 found east-west outperformed north-south. 5 But, again, to be fair, in Section 18, we have 0. a unique area, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. It's an anticline? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. Were you able to locate an area in the Basin 11 where you could do a study of north-south versus 12 east-to-west wells in an anticline environment like you 13 see in Section 18? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. In your opinion, would the granting of 16 Chevron's application be in the best interest of 17 conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And were Chevron Exhibits 9 through 21 prepared Ο. 21 at your direction -- or complied by you or at your 22 direction and supervision? 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would move 25 admission into evidence Chevron Exhibits 9 through 21.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 90 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 2 MR. PADILLA: No. 3 MR. FELDEWERT: That concludes my examination of this witness. 4 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Very good. Exhibits 9 through 21 will be admitted. 6 7 (Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 9 through 21 were offered and admitted into 8 evidence.) 9 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Padilla. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. PADILLA: Mr. Schwartz, looking at Exhibit 23, you 13 Ο. 14 testified that you looked at those wells clustered 15 around the lower half of this map, right? Α. 16 Yes. 17 Q. And how many wells are north-south in that 18 cluster? 19 Α. Let's see. You know, 10, 12, somewhere in 20 there. 21 Q. I'm sorry? 22 10 to 12, roughly, somewhere around there. Α. 23 How many wells on the east-west orientation? Ο. 24 Α. Two. 25 Q. Did you do the geology for the wells that

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

|    | ···· ··· ··· ···                              |   |
|----|---|---|
|    |   | Page 91                                     |
| 1  | Chevron has dr                                | cilled in Sections 23 and 24 of             |
| 2  | A. Yes.                                       |   |
| 3  | Q. Can y                                      | you tell us why you orient those wells      |
| 4  | north-south?                                  |   |
| 5  | A. In th                                      | nat case, we did a study, and we determined |
| 6  | that north-south was the proper orientation.  |   |
| 7  | Q. Now,                                       | down in 24 South, 33 East in Section 29,    |
| 8  | you've drilled four wells, haven't you?       |   |
| 9  | A. Can y                                      | you give me that section again? Sorry.      |
| 10 | Q. 24 Sc                                      | outh, 33 East, Section 29.                  |
| 11 | A. 24 Sc                                      | outh, 33 East, Section 29, correct?         |
| 12 | Q. Right                                      |   |
| 13 | A. Those                                      | e are permitted wells. We haven't drilled   |
| 14 | those yet.                                    |   |
| 15 | Q. But y                                      | you're going to orient them north-south,    |
| 16 | right?  |   |
| 17 | A. Yes.                                       |   |
| 18 | Q. Did y                                      | you do the geology for those wells?         |
| 19 | A. No.  | Those were Chesapeake wells that we         |
| 20 | inherited. So they're permitted wells, but we |   |
| 21 | haven't                                       |   |
| 22 | Q. You'ı                                      | re not going to change the orientation, are |
| 23 | you?  |   |
| 24 | A. Don't                                      | t know yet.                                 |
| 25 | Q. How a                                      | about the well in Section 11 of 23 South,   |
|    |   |   |

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 92 33 East that Chevron is drilling or proposes to drill? 1 Yeah. It's a north-south well. 2 Α. Is that drilled? 3 Ο. 4 Α. Yes. Is that on this anticline that we're talking 5 0. about today? 6 7 Α. No. Did you review the geology before participating 8 0. in the wells in Section 20, in the immediate area 9 southeast of Section 18? 10 11 The Caza well? Α. 12 Ο. Yes. 13 Α. Yes. And did you agree with the orientation there? 14 Q. 15 Α. Say that again. 16 Q. Did you agree with the north-south orientation of that well? 17 18 Α. Yes. We have a small interest in that well, 19 and, again, it's off structure. And we weren't the 20 operator. How about the wells in Section -- in the 21 Ο. section to the west that are proposed by Endurance? 22 23 Have you made any objection to the orientation there, 24 the north-south orientation? 25 MR. FELDEWERT: Let me -- section to the

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 93 west of what? 1 (BY MR. PADILLA) Section 29. 2 Q. Section 29? 3 Α. 0. Yes. 4 Of 23 South, 34 East? 5 Α. Q. Right. 6 So two sections down from Section 18? 7 Α. Ο. Yes. 8 I've seen the Section 19 well proposal. 9 Α. Remind me of Section 29. What wells are 10 11 those? Wells proposed by Endurance. 12 Q. What are the names of the wells? 13 Α. They're the Broadcaster wells. 14 Q. 15 What are the Section 19 wells? Sorry. Α. What 16 are those names? MR. RITTER: Nocaster. 17 I recall the Nocaster, but I'm not sure about 18 Α. the Section 29 wells. 19 20 (BY MR. PADILLA) You haven't objected to any Ο. wells north-south? 21 I haven't expressed that, but obviously, 22 Α. through my testimony today, I'm concerned. I am 23 24 concerned. When did you conduct the seismic that you're 25 Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 94 testifying today about? 1 When did I conduct that seismic study? 2 Α. 3 Q. Yes. It was post-meeting with Endurance on November Α. 4 5 20th. 6 0. Did you ever tell Endurance about the results 7 of your seismic findings? 8 Α. No. Endurance and Chevron were going through a 9 strained -- I would call it a strained relationship at 10 that point. We were competing for mineral interests in 11 Section 18. We were partners, yet competitors, so 12 obviously it was a difficult relationship. And obviously we don't like to have a relationship like 13 14 that. We would like to be more open. I'm sure 15 Endurance doesn't like where this has ended up, but this is where we're at. 16 17 So at that point, it seemed like everything I was relaying to Endurance, they were using against us 18 19 as we were competing for mineral interests. So at that 20 point, I felt Endurance was pretty adamant about 21 drilling a north-south well, so, unfortunately, this is 22 where we're at. 23 So you've developed this theory --Q. It's not a theory. It's proven. It's 24 Α. 25 textbook.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 95 Yeah. I heard your testimony that you looked Ο. 1 in your college textbook. And then you've also 2 testified that Endurance utilized well control, and 3 you're relying on seismic. Is that fair to say? 4 5 We use well control and seismic. We're using Α. 6 the best of both worlds. 7 And you use well control, as I understand your Q. testimony, from all over southeast New Mexico? 8 9 Α. We have a lot of acreage, so we look at a lot 10 of area. So we have a large regional study, so, you know, we understand the system throughout the whole 11 Delaware Basin. 12 And it's my understanding that Chevron has not 13 Ο. drilled any wells in the immediate area of Section 18. 14 15 Well, you asked about our Section 11 and Α. Section 23 wells. Would you call that immediate area? 16 17 Yes, we have. And they're oriented north-south, right? 18 Ο. 19 Α. They're also off the anticline, and it seems 20 like you have a thicker reservoir over there. It's more of a channel axis. 21 22 And you've also testified about stress Ο. 23 orientation, correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And is your engineer going to talk about stress Ο.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 96 orientation in terms of intersecting -- intersecting 1 those stress fractures by a horizontal well? 2 3 Α. No. You're not going to -- you haven't testified 4 Ο. about that, correct? 5 The reason why I haven't testified is 6 Α. No. because that's a natural fracture system. I did testify 7 that those natural fracture systems will control. When 8 9 you complete your well, that's your path of least 10 resistance, so north-south trending fractures. It doesn't matter where you drill, where you frack it, it's 11 12 going to follow the paths of least resistance, 13 regardless of what the current stress orientation of the 14 Basin is. 15 Other than your folding theory on the Ο. anticline, you don't have any testimony here today, as I 16 17 understand, neither are you testifying concerning orientation of stress fractures -- the stress 18 19 orientation, right? 20 I am testifying as an expert in geology and Α. understanding the stress orientation in the Delaware 21 22 Basin. Like I said, we have 40 FMIs that show the 23 fractures, and image logs, through the Bone Spring. We 24 have microseismic studies that show the fracturing. We 25 have caliper logs. We have dipole sonics. We have

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 97 1 four-hole breakout evaluations we've done throughout the 2 Basin. So we have a pretty good handle on the stress 3 orientation. Unfortunately, that is confidential and --4 I can testify that I have an understanding of the stress 5 orientation in the Basin, and it varies greatly where 6 you're at.

Q. And you didn't bring anything here today to support your theory in this immediate area as far as stress orientation?

Well, that would be pointless because Section 10 Α. 18 is anticline. It's a unique feature. So like I 11 said, regardless of the stress orientation -- because 12 13 there is already an established weakness in the rock, when you break -- when you complete the well, put a 14 hydraulic fracture on it, it's going to follow the 15 natural fractures regardless of the stress orientation. 16 And that's theoretical because you're not using 17 Ο. any well control at this point, right? 18 19 Α. Right. Yes. 20 Do you know what direction the maximum Q. 21 orientation is, the max stress orientation? 22 Where? Α. I mean, do you haveit in your testimony here, 23 Q. 24 based on what you're presenting today? Yeah. I mentioned we have a lot of data, and 25 Α.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 98 it varies throughout the Basin. It just depends where 1 2 you are in the Basin where the max stress orientation 3 is. MR. PADILLA: I think that's all I have, 4 5 Mr. Examiner. 6 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Thank you. 7 Mr. Feldewert? 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Just a couple. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. FELDEWERT: 11 I think they went through a litany of wells in Q. 12 Sections 23, 24, 29. Would you turn to Exhibit 13, 13 please? Actually, a better exhibit might be Exhibit 11. Do any of those sections that you just discussed with 14 Mr. Padilla involve an anticline like we see from the 15 seismic data for Section 18? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Is it important, as we move forward in Q. 19 analyzing the data, that we make sure that it's focused 20 on this unique geologic feature that we have in Section 18, in your opinion? 21 22 Α. Yes. Now, with respect to your seismic data that you 23 Q. 24 have available, is that information that the company treats as confidential? 25

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 99 No. In this case, the seismic data is public. 1 Α. You could buy it. This seismic, you could buy it. 2 3 And the company expended the funds to purchase Ο. it? 4 Yes. We have a license. We probably can't 5 Α. share it. You know, we can't, like, show you the map. 6 I can't --7 Can't share the data? 8 Ο. 9 Α. No. 10 Ο. And at the time after your meeting, you 11 mentioned that they were competing with you for leases 12 in this area, correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 MR. FELDEWERT: That's all I have. Thank you. 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other questions? 16 MR. PADILLA: No. I don't have anything 17 18 further. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 22 What is the exhibit that shows the net isopach Ο. 23 map? What exhibit? I forgot. MR. FELDEWERT: I believe it's Exhibit 18, 24 25 Mr. Examiner.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 100 Is that right? 1 THE WITNESS: The net isopach is Exhibit 2 3 18. (BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM) Your gamma ray is 40 to 4 Ο. 80, right? I can see that. 5 Α. Yes. 6 And is that density or porosity? 7 Ο. Density porosity. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Density porosity. And that's your Ο. 10 cutoff? 11 Α. Yeah, that's the cutoff. It varies with the company. That's what we use. 12 13 And this is drilling east to west, right? Q. 14 Α. Yes. Is that porosity determined if you are drilling 15 Ο. north to south? 16 We use the same porosity cutoff regardless 17 Α. 18 east-west or north-south. 19 Q. Very good. 20 You are the senior geologist at Chevron. I 21 know you've gone through this. I'm looking at this net 22 isopach now. Is there anything wrong going north-south 23 in that isopach map that you gave me? 24 Just looking at the isopach map without any Α. 25 other knowledge, east-west, north-south equal.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 101 That's not my question, because not what I 1 Ο. wanted to say, and you told me that now without even 2 going to do the calculation, because that's what that 3 shows me there. It shows me that you can do it 4 5 east-west; you can do north-south, right? Right. 6 Α. 7 Ο. Now you are going to do a calculation to see which one is best? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 This is what the net isopach shows? Ο. 11 Α. Yeah. What average thickness are you using? 12 Of Ο. course, you're not supposed to answer that. You're no 13 14 good to answer that. You answered my questions, Mr. Schwartz. 15 But if there is any more geology, you might be recalled, 16 17 because this is a contested case, and you don't know what their geologist will say. If it's in conflict, be 18 19 prepared to be recalled, but, meanwhile, you are excused. 20 21 Okay. Thanks. Α. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I don't know what you 23 guys -- I think we should take a break now. 24 MR. FELDEWERT: This may be a good time to 25 take a break, Mr. Examiner.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 102 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, take our lunch 1 And we have to finish this before tomorrow 2 break. 3 because I have another appointment tomorrow. MR. PADILLA: We can finish. 4 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 (Break taken, 12:11 p.m. to 1:20 p.m.) 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: We've finished with two 8 witnesses, right? 9 MR. FELDEWERT: Three, Mr. Examiner. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. You have your 11 last witness, right? 12 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir. 13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Call your last witness. 14 MR. FELDEWERT: We'll call Zachary 15 Sigmundik. 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You've already been 17 sworn, right? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Good. You are still 20 under oath. 21 ZACHARY SIGMUNDIK, 22 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was 23 questioned and testified as follows: 24 25

Page 103 DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. FELDEWERT: Would you please identify by whom you're 3 Q. employed and in what capacity? 4 5 Α. I work for Chevron USA, Inc. as a petroleum 6 engineer. 7 And how long have you been with Chevron USA? Ο. 8 Α. I've been there for three years now. 9 Have you had a chance to previously testify Q. 10 before this Division? 11 No, I haven't. Α. 12 Why don't you outline your educational Ο. 13 background? 14 I was a 2011 graduate of Texas A & M University Α. 15 with a bachelor of science in petroleum engineering. 16 Have you been, then, employed by Chevron Ο. 17 full-time since graduating? 18 Α. That's correct, Mike. 19 As a petroleum engineer? Ο. 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 What has been your area of focus? Ο. 22 I've been primarily focused in unconventional Α. 23 resources in Colorado, New Mexico and West Texas. The horizontal development in the 2nd Bone 24 Ο. 25 Spring Sand that's being discussed today, would that be

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 104 considered an unconventional resource? 1 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 And have your responsibilities included the 0. Permian Basin of New Mexico? 4 5 Α. Yes, they do. 6 0. Are you a member of any professional 7 organization? I'm an eight-year member of the Society of 8 Α. 9 Petroleum Engineers. 10 Are you familiar with Chevron's application in Ο. 11 this case? 12 Α. Yes, I am. 13 And have you conducted a study of the 2nd Bone 0. 14 Spring Formation in the subject area? 15 Yes, I have. Α. 16 MR. FELDEWERT: I'll tender Mr. Sigmundik 17 as an expert witness in petroleum engineering. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 19 MR. PADILLA: No objection. 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You made a good choice. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: But you need get your 23 registration. I would to see you get your registration. 24 You are from the best club. 25 You are so qualified. Go ahead.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 105 1 Ο. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Mr. Sigmundik, did you 2 review the EUR study that Endurance presented to Chevron 3 back at the November meeting? 4 Yes, I have. Α. 5 Q. And as an expert in petroleum and reservoir engineering in production, does that study indicate a 6 7 stand-up well in Section 18? 8 Α. No, I believe it doesn't. If I turn to what's been marked as Chevron 9 Ο. 10Exhibit 22, does that provide a summary of some of your 11 opinions? 12 Α. Yes, it does. 13 And what can you offer the Examiner here with Ο. 14 respect to an EUR study in this type of an area? 15 If you recall from Mr. Schwartz' testimony, to Α. 16 conduct an effective EUR analysis of an area, you have 17 to have a few things. He identified similar geology, 18 similar completions, similar lateral length, just to 19 name a few. 20 In addition to that, you want a significant 21 well count so that you have a full understanding of the 22 range of outcomes, and you want significant time on 23 production, particularly with unconventional resources 24 to understand the late time effects of production. 25 Did you observe problems when you looked at Ο.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 106 Endurance's EUR study that they presented in November? 1 2 Α. Yes. When I reviewed Endurance's EUR analysis, I identified, one, that the subject area that they were 3 4 investigating was significantly large. I believe it covered eight townships. 5 Number two, the well count was rather 6 7 insignificant given that large area. The total well count was 42 wells, of which there were only ten in the 8 east-west direction, and 32 in the north-south 9 direction. 10 11 And finally, when you consider the actual 12 production, the historic productions, for those wells, 13 you see an additional discrepancy, that there is very 14 little to no history on the wells that they've 15 identified in their analysis. Excuse me, Counselor. 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 17 What's your name again? 18 THE WITNESS: Zachary. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Zachary, this Bone 20 Spring pool, is it unconventional? You used that word. 21 What is the nature of the permeability here, before we 22 start talking about unconventional? Are those so tight 23 that you've classified them as unconventional? 24 THE WITNESS: So the definition of an 25 unconventional resource -- and I'll talk about this

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 107 This is identified out in the Society of 1 later. 2 Petroleum Evaluation Engineers Monograph 3. That's 3 identified as a region that has similar characteristics, 4 from a regional perspective, in which case you would 5 require statistical analysis to fully understand the 6 broad spectrum of outcomes of the particular wells. 7 That doesn't say that there aren't pockets of sweet 8 spots, so to speak, within those regions, but you do 9 understand that you do need to have sufficient well count to identify and fully describe those sweet spots. 10 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, I understand 12 My question didn't really go directly to what that. 13 you're saying. It's just for my own knowledge because 14 I'm in charge of all this. 15 I just wanted to know whether this area 16 now, are we going to classify them as unconventional? 17 I've never thought about them as unconventional. They 18 are a resource play in the Bone Spring, especially the 19 Bone Spring Sand. Some of them have been prolific. So 20 when you use the word "unconventional" -- it doesn't have anything to do with your case, the way you are 21 22 presenting, but I want to -- when you use that word, I 23 want to make sure I understand that we are not going to 24 label that play as unconventional in the Bone Spring. I 25 know we have those shales, and we have those gas sands

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 108 [sic], but I don't think it exists in the Bone Spring. 1 See what I mean? 2 3 I mean, you know, because if you are telling me that, that's a different question. 4 It's 5 not -- it's not related to what you're saying. So don't 6 try -- don't be trying to, you know, say something, you 7 know to advance what you say. But I wanted to learn from you whether -- are you trying to say that that play 8 9 is unconventional? 10 THE WITNESS: I understand that you're saying there is indeed a discrepancy between a shale 11 12 play and this Bone Spring Sand. 13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: No, no. That's not 14 what I'm saying. What I'm saying is that -- this is the 15 2nd Bone Spring Sand, right? 16 THE WITNESS: This is the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. 17 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Now, is this unconventional? 19 20 THE WITNESS: The permeability is so tight 21 that yes, I would consider it. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: In the other 23 microdarcy? 24 THE WITNESS: At least in the microdarcy 25 scale, and in places, probably nano.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS
Page 109 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Let's not go 1 2 there, because it doesn't -- it's not related to either 3 of you. I just want to know. You see the problem I recognize -- I didn't want to let it go because I want 4 to know if it's unconventional. Then I have to develop 5 with a different, you know, plan or program to see how 6 we can go through it. That's where -- you know, when 7 you used the word "unconventional," it strikes my chord 8 9 because I don't want it to be unconventional. I want it 10 to produce. MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Shall we move on? 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Sure. 12 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) If I turn -- now, you 13 Ο. 14 mention the problems that you saw. Let's turn to what's 15 been marked as Chevron Exhibit 23. Did you create this 16 exhibit, Mr. Sigmundik? 17 Α. Yes, I did. Now, on the left-hand side, is that a map of 18 Ο. 19 the EUR study area that Endurance presented at the 20 meeting in November? 21 This is the map they used in the November Α. 22 study, correct. 23 And did they represent at that time that their Ο. 24 study included all the wells shown on here in this eight-township area? 25

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 A. That's correct.

| 2  | Q. In observing the wells that they included on          |
|----|--|
| 3  | here, were there a couple of wells that you noticed that |
| 4  | did not fit even in the 2nd Bone Spring criteria?        |
| 5  | A. Correct. As Ken Schwartz testified earlier,           |
| 6  | the first thing we need to do is make sure we're looking |
| 7  | at the same geologic interval. So right off the bat, we  |
| 8  | identified one particular well, the Mad Dog 35. It's     |
| 9  | actually a 3rd Bone Spring well. That's a well Chevron   |
| 10 | has an interest in, so we have confidence that this is   |
| 11 | not a well that's in the interval that we're discussing. |
| 12 | Additionally, they identified kind of a                  |
| 13 | hybrid diagonal trajectory well that for purposes of     |
| 14 | evaluating north-south versus east-west doesn't really   |
| 15 | offer much for this evaluation.                          |
| 16 | Q. Now, did you take, then, their map and create         |
| 17 | the depiction that we see on the right-hand side of this |
| 18 | exhibit?   |
| 19 | A. That's correct. So using the stick-figure map         |
| 20 | that they provided to us, I looked through public        |
| 21 | databases and constructed our own map basically to find  |
| 22 | the same wells that were analyzing the same area. So     |
| 23 | what you see on the right map is Section 18, the red dot |
| 24 | with the blue circle around it. You see east-west wells  |
| 25 | that are identified in yellow and green wells that are   |
|    |  |

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 110

1 the north-south wells.

Q. And those are the same wells, then, that
Endurance had in their study with the exception of the
3rd Bone Spring well and the diagonal trajectory?
A. That's correct.

Q. And this study covers a large geographic area;7 does it not?

A. I believe. If you count, there are roughly9 eight townships represented.

Q. Does such a large -- in your opinion, is it appropriate to conduct an EUR study for such a large geographic area?

13 A. If you have sufficient well count, then I would 14 say yes, but in the situation we have at present, with 15 42 wells, for the hundreds of square miles that are 16 represented here, I would say no.

Q. Did you then take these wells and begin to analyze them for purposes of understanding Endurance's EUR study?

20 A. Yes, I did.

Q. Turn to what's been marked as Chevron Exhibit Number 24. Why don't you explain to the Examiner what you did here with those wells starting on the left-hand side and then moving to the right?

25 A. These plots are identical, with the exception

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 111

Page 112 that they're looking at two different sets of wells. So 1 2 the left-hand side describes the north-south trending 3 wells in the analysis. The right side shows the 4 east-west wells. For both charts, the scale on the left 5 is operating oil rig in barrels per day. The right 6 y-axis is number of producing wells, so essentially a 7 well count. Both of them are running off of normalized 8 time on the x-axis.

9 What you see on the left-hand side, in the 10 north-south analysis, is all 30 wells normalized to a 11 maximum IP. From that, we see the wells trending off to 12 the right as time progresses, and as history runs out 13 for each individual well, we see this black line, which 14 represents our well count, dropping off significantly. 15 So if you follow the black line, you see at month zero 16 we have 30 wells. And, for instance, at six months of 17 production, we're down to approximately 13 wells. Βy 18 the time you get to 12 months, you're down to seven 19 wells.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Excuse me. On
this Exhibit 24, did Endurance prepare this chart, or
did you do it?
THE WITNESS: These are my charts.
EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Why do you call it

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Why do you call it

25 Endurance?

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 113 1 THE WITNESS: It's just the way that I 2 categorized them in my software. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Well, because it was 4 confusing to me. Did you get this information from 5 Endurance? So I wanted to know who prepared these 6 charts. 7 MR. FELDEWERT: So let me address that. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) If we go back to Exhibit 23, 8 Ο. 9 Mr. Sigmundik, if we look at the wells on the left-hand 10 side or on the right-hand side, it's basically the same 11 well set, correct? 12 Α. That is correct. 13 And then you took that well set from Exhibit 23 Ο. 14 and then charted them in Exhibit 24; is that, correct? 15 That's correct. Α. 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Is that 24 and 23? 17 Those wells in 23 and 24, where are the wells? THE WITNESS: So the wells that we are 18 19 discussing are the same wells that Endurance included in 20 their analysis. They're the wells that you see on Exhibit 23. Those 40 wells -- 42, minus the two, are 21 22 the wells that are considered in the curves that you see 23 on Exhibit 26 -- 24. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: On Exhibit 24, you did 25 the work, right?

Page 114 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. This is my work. 1 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You did the work, but you decided to call it Endurance? 3 4 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What's wrong with 6 calling it Chevron? 7 THE WITNESS: I just categorized -- this is 8 how I categorized it. 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Well, you can call it anything, but it puts me off. See, I was thinking maybe 10 Endurance gave you their study. And, you know -- I'm 11 12 sorry. 13 MR. FELDEWERT: I think the way to look at 14 this, Mr. Examiner, is Exhibit 24 does analysis of the wells that Endurance chose to use in their EUR. 15 That's 16 why he named it Endurance. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. That's very 17 18 important. 19 MR. FELDEWERT: These are not the wells 20 that Chevron chose. These are the wells that Endurance 21 chose. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. So we are going 23 to get an identical study now from the same wells. 24 MR. FELDEWERT: We're going to get -- we're going to get to that. We have our own study. We're 25

Page 115 just trying to show you what their study did, so you can 1 2 compare it to Chevron's study. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Could you go back and explain what you said, because I was wondering. 4 5 I said, This is not your exhibit; it might be for 6 Endurance. What did you do? Tell me what you did. You 7 described it before, but I want to hear it again. 8 THE WITNESS: Sure. As we mentioned 9 earlier, the area of interest that we're studying is 40 10 wells. There were 10 east-west wells. There were 30 11 north-south. That's where -- that's what you see 12 visible on these charts. On the left chart, you see 13 those 30 wells' production history. On the right side, 14 you see the 10 east-west wells and their production 15 history. 16 So, again, the key thing to look at in this 17 exhibit is this black -- dark black line. This is the well count of a number of producing wells at each 18 19 increment of normalized time. 20 Ο. (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Now, why, Mr. Sigmundik, is 21 it important or why did you emphasize how many of the 22 wells that Endurance chose to use were producing at the 23 12-month period of time? 24 Α. So when you're conducting decline-curve 25 analysis, this is derived from the Arps equation. So

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 116 you have a B factor. You have an initial point, as well 1 2 as the decline. And as we know for unconventional type 3 resources plays, whatever you'd like to call them, you need a significant amount of production history to 4 understand the late-time effect of what the well is 5 6 doing further out into its well history. The reason I've selected 12 months is that 7 is our Chevron-internal normalized standard of what we 8 assess or what we claim to be an appropriate length of 9 10 time before we conduct an EUR study. 11 Is it also a study that other petroleum Q. 12 engineers use? 13 Α. It's a recommended standard. There have been plenty of Society of Petroleum Engineers papers that 14 15 attest to the importance of understanding late-time 16 effects. 17 So when you take a look at all of the wells Ο. 18 that Endurance chose to include in their EUR study over 19 this broad geographic area, how many of the wells that they chose to use end up with production at 12 months or 20 21 beyond? 22 Again, if you are looking at the Exhibit and Α. 23 you follow the blue arrows, you see that the north-south 24 wells have seven wells that meet the 12-month criteria. 25 Ο. Let me stop you there. You got that because

Page 117 you've got your black line intersecting your blue line 1 2 on the left, and if you go to the right, that's the 3 number of wells that are producing at 12 months? 4 Α. Correct. And that's for the north-south wells? 5 Ο. That's also right. 6 Α. 7 And if I go to the right side, you're examining Q. the wells that they used that had an east-to-west 8 orientation? 9 10 Α. Yes. And at 12 months, how many of those wells that 11 Q. 12 they started with are still producing at 12 months or 13 longer? There were five. 14 Α. 15 Only five. So let's keep that in mind. Q. We have a total, then, of -- all the wells they chose --16 17 Α. 12 wells. -- only 12 wells have a production history of 18 Ο. 19 12 months or more? 20 Α. That's correct. Now, with that in mind, let's turn to Exhibit 21 Ο. 22 And what did you then do with the 12 wells that had 25. 23 the minimum of 12 months of production? 24 So this is a similar map to what we've seen Α. 25 earlier. It's the same data set, the same wells. I've

Page 118 circled or highlighted or bubbled around the 12 wells in 1 2 question that met this 12-month criteria. So, for 3 instance, at the top area of this map, you see four or 4 five wells that have met the 12-month criteria. 5 Okay. So let me stop you there. Of the Q. east-west wells that Endurance analyzed, four of the 6 five that had 12 months of production or more are 7 located to the north of Section 18? 8 9 Α. That's correct. 10 If you look -- again, we've color-coated 11 the wells based on trajectory, so east-west wells are 12 yellow wells. You count that four of the five wells 13 that did have 12 months of history are isolated, as well as north of our Section 18. 14 15 And if I look on this exhibit and locate 0. 16 Section 18, it's the area that has the red circle with 17 the strike line through it? 18 Α. That's correct. That's our Section 18. 19 Ο. 20 If I go up -- one, two, three, four, five, 21 six, seven, eight -- nine, I encounter the first 22 east-west well that they used in their analysis that had at least 12 months of production? 23 24 That's correct. Α. 25 Q. And then I continue, 10, 11 and 12. I hit the

Page 119 next one, and then I go up to 15 and hit another one? 1 2 Α. All those statements are correct. 3 Ο. Then what did you observe with respect to the seven wells that met the 12-month criteria that were 4 5 north-south oriented? Α. So if you again look at the map, you identify 6 7 that -- or, again, looking at seven wells -- and these 8 are going to be colored in the dark green -- you 9 identify one that is extremely to the north. I believe that's 15 miles away. And then the remaining six are 10 11 located to the south and west of Section 18, and they're 12 all clustered together. 13 Ο. So six of the seven north-south wells that met the 12-month production criteria are all clustered down 14 15 to the southwest of Section 18? 16 Α. That's correct. 17 And is that more of a developed area? Ο. 18 Α. You would assume so considering the well count 19 in that area. 20 Ο. Are the wells in that particular area 21 high-performing wells compared to the wells to the 22 north? 23 Yes, they are. Α. 24 So what does that tell you with respect to Q. 25 their EUR study?

Page 120 So if you were looking at an EUR study and 1 Α. 2 you're basing it off of the decline of the wells that 3 have significant production, you could make the conclusion from this data that the wells that were 4 analyzed in the north-south trajection are in a 5 developed area that has preferential geologic attributes 6 and would therefore have better production. 7 My conclusion from the Endurance study is 8 9 that it is more so a study of geology than it is a study 10 of east-west versus north-south trajectory. 11 Q. Because it's biased to an area of 12 high-performing wells? 13 Α. That's correct. And those high-performing wells in that 14 Ο. developed area, you saw both stand-up and lay-down wells 15 that are high performing in that area? 16 That's correct. 17 Α. 18 Yet only one of the five wells that they Ο. 19 included in their analysis with 12 months of production 20 existed in that well-developed area? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 The rest of the lay-down wells in their Ο. 23 analysis was way to the north? 24 That's correct, in a poorer-performing area. Α. 25 Ο. Now, in addition to that bias that we see in

Page 121 1 their study -- let me ask you: You said there were --2 if you look at the entire study, there were only 12 3 wells in this eight-township area that had 12 months of 4 production or more?

5 A. That's correct.

Q. In your opinion, is that a sufficient number of wells to conduct an EUR study that includes such a broad geologic area?

9 A. When you consider the range of EURs -- and you 10 could even quote Endurance's range of 130 up to 500,000 11 standard barrels. The well count at 40 is insufficient 12 for a study area of this size, and when you reduce that 13 count to 12, it's certainly insufficient.

Q. Are there standards that are published by asociety that you look to when you do an EUR analysis?

A. I referred earlier to the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers, the SPEE. They have produced or published what they call Monograph 3, which explains some basic guidelines for well count with regard to analysis of these tight resource plays.

Q. And those standards that they've published, what are they for? What are they used for?

A. They're to ensure that from company to company,
their reservoir engineers are using similar standards
with regard to how they book reserves, and that directly

Page 122 relates into stock price. So you want some 1 2 consolidation amongst different companies of how 3 reserves are estimated in these resource plays. And so these guidelines assist in determining 4 0. 5 how many wells you would need within your study based on 6 how large a geologic area is that you're dealing with? 7 To a proxy, yes. The larger your geographic Α. area, the more variation you're going to get in EUR 8 9 outcome. The larger that variation in EUR outcome, the 10 more well count you're going to need to have confidence 11 in the estimates that you're pumping out. 12 Q. And did you look at those guidelines before 13 this hearing? 14 Α. Yes, I did. 15 And did you apply those guidelines to this Q. eight-township area that Endurance included in their 16 study? 17 18 Yes, I did. Α. 19 And based on those guidelines, approximately Q. 20 how many wells should a company have within their study 21 to meet these standards? 22 You would need approximately 100 wells. Α. 23 And Endurance started with 40? Ο. That's correct. 24 Α. 25 And by the time you got to 12 months' Q.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 production, they were down to 12?

A. That's also right.

2

Q. Given the unique nature of Section 18 here, do you think this particular EUR study has any use for the trajectory issue that is before the Division involving Section 18?

A. For two reasons, I would say no. Again, we recall from Ken's outline that we're on an anticline in Section 18. Meaning that most of these EUR studies that we're conducting are relatively useless. In addition to, that the study that we've conducted doesn't have enough well count to have any confidence in the expected value of the outcome of an EUR study.

14 Q. You're talking about the study that Endurance 15 conducted?

16 A. That's correct.

Q. You were advised, were you not, at the pre-hearing that the Division requested, to the extent possible, that the companies present an EUR analysis to the Examiner, correct?

21 A. That's correct.

Q. Did Chevron attempt to go out and find an area
that would be potentially suitable for an EUR analysis
that was somewhat close to the area in question?
A. Yes, we did.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 123

Page 124 And did you -- first off, did you go out and 1 0. 2 try to find an area with an anticline like we see in Section 18? 3 We did, but we were unsuccessful. 4 Α. So then what was the area that you could find, 5 Ο. you know, within a reasonable length or distance from 6 the subject area that perhaps yielded itself to some 7 type of EUR study that would compare the performance of 8 9 north-south wells versus east-west wells? 10 When you reduce the geographic area, you also Α. reduce the uncertainty in several of the variables, such 11 12 as thickness, porosity, permeability, et cetera. So by shrinking an area, we were able to come up with an area 13 of analysis that would be acceptable for an EUR 14 15 analysis. So you found an area that similar geology? 16 Q. 17 Α. Correct. Similar completion techniques? 18 Ο. 19 Α. Correct. 20 Ο. Similar lateral length? 21 Correct. Α. 22 And in the same zone that you're dealing with Ο. 23 here? 24 Α. Yes. 25 So you did an apples-to-apples comparison Q.

Page 125 between north-south wells and east-west wells? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 0. If I turn to Exhibit 26, does that identify the area that you were able to locate that met that 4 5 criteria? If you look at the left side of this 6 Α. Yes. particular exhibit, you see a blue box that's around a 7 8 smaller portion of the wells from Endurance's study. 9 Q. Okay. So let's get oriented there. Within 10 that blue box that you see on the left-hand side of this 11 exhibit, there is an area shaded in blue that has a red 12 dot in it with a line through it. That's Section 18? 13 Α. Again, that's Section 18. 14 Ο. And is the area, then, to the west and 15 southwest of that is what you studied? 16 Α. Yes. That's a much smaller geographic area? 17 Ο. Yes, it is. 18 Α. 19 All right. Now, with that in mind, did you Q. 20 then do a blowup of that particular study area to the 21 right of this exhibit? 22 That's correct. Α. 23 First off, what do the colors on these wells to 0. the right of this exhibit and these bubbles indicate? 24 25 What do the colors indicate?

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 126 Let's talk through this. Again, we see Section 1 Α. 2 18 is our circle with a dash through it on the right-hand side of this map. The bubbles are colored 3 based off of completion year. So we had one well that 4 5 was completed in 2011, a handful completed in 2012 and 6 the remainder completed in 2013. The bubbles are sized 7 based on the maximum oil initial production. So for a 8 month, the highest IP. So this gives us an estimate of 9 the kind of natural drive of the reservoir, the 10 producibility of the reservoir. 11 Now, this particular area studied, in addition Ο. 12 to being smaller geographically, is, what, three to 13 seven miles from Section 18? Correct. 14 Α. 15 And what you see here -- it looks to me like, Ο. 16 if I look at the size of the bubbles --17 Α. Yes. -- that there is even a distinction between 18 Ο. 19 this particular study area. 20 Yes. Even in this smaller geographical area Α. 21 there is still variation in the production of these 22 particular wells. 23 So did you find -- were you able to then -- did Ο. 24 you essentially split the study area to account for the 25 variations in maximum oil initial production that you

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 see on here?

2

3

4

5

6

Α. I did. And if we proceed on to Exhibit 27, you'll see the outline of those two particular areas. So this is the same map as in Exhibit 26? Ο. Α. It is the same map with the exception that we're now looking at a three-month cumulative oil bubble 7 chart.

8 To again talk you through, we're looking at 9 the same blue box, and as we see -- similar to our IP 10 chart, we see the majority of our larger bubbles are 11 again occurring in what I've now labeled the tier area, 12 and our smaller bubbles I've circled and labeled as a 13 core area. So this particular map correlates with 14 Chevron's internal analysis of well producibility. So 15 we take into account a well's production, as well as its 16 geologic features, such as porosity and net thickness, 17 to determine a core versus a tier area.

Okay. So now in the tier area, for example, it 18 Ο. 19 looks like you have a grouping of wells in which you 20 have a mixture of north-south and east-west, correct?

21 Α. That's correct.

22 Ο. And if I look at your core area, again we have 23 a grouping of wells that seem to have similar production 24 capabilities that, again, have some in the north-south -- actually, most are north-south and some 25

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 127

|   |    | Page 129   |
|---|----|--|
|   | 1  | are east-west?   |
|   | 2  | A. Correct.  |
|   | 3  | Q. Now, keeping in mind your tier area and the           |
|   | 4  | core area, did you first analyze the tier area to see    |
|   | 5  | what type of performance you were seeing based on        |
|   | 6  | trajectory?  |
|   | 7  | A. I did.  |
|   | 8  | Q. And is that set forth in Exhibit                      |
|   | 9  | A. Should be 28.   |
|   | 10 | Q. 28.   |
| - | 11 | A. Correct.  |
|   | 12 | Q. And what do you see now, this is the study            |
|   | 13 | of your tier area?                                       |
|   | 14 | A. Correct.  |
|   | 15 | Q. Explain to us what you did in the left-hand           |
|   | 16 | side of this exhibit in the blue box.                    |
|   | 17 | A. Sure. So the wells that are evaluated in this         |
|   | 18 | tier-area analysis are the wells that are highlighted in |
|   | 19 | yellow on the map to the left. It's the same wells that  |
|   | 20 | are in our green-boxed area from the previous exhibit.   |
|   | 21 | When you analyze those wells and plot out the production |
|   | 22 | curves of each individual well, we were able to          |
|   | 23 | attribute a P10, a P50 and a P90 analysis, meaning a low |
|   | 24 | case, a mid case and a high case, for the wells that     |
|   | 25 | were in question.  |
|   |    |  |

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 129 So when we fit a decline curve to that P50 1 2 line, which would be considered an average well for this 3 tier area, we result in an EUR estimate of 414,000 4 standard barrels of oil, an expected well life of 31 5 years 5 months, with the Arps equation constance of B factor of 1.163. And I used a minimal decline 6 7 percentage 10 percent. 8 Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you a couple of 9 questions about this tier area that you studied. Within 10 this tier area, there are a number of north-to-south wells, correct? 11 12 The majority of them are north-south. Α. 13 0. And how many of those wells are east to west? 14 Α. There were two. 15 Q. Only two? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Ο. Looking at the legend on the right-hand side, 18 which wells are the east-to-west wells in this tier 19 area? 20 Those would be the Macho Nacho State 3H and the Α. Macho Nacho State 1H wells. 21 22 So that would be the first well listed and the 0. 23 third well listed? 24 Α. Correct. 25 And then there is the color line associated Ο.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 130 with each of those wells? 1 2 Α. That's correct. So if you wanted to, you could go onto this map 3 0. and follow that color line and see how it compared to 4 5 your P50 line; is that right? You could. And, unfortunately, it gets a 6 Α. 7 little bit jumbled and messy in this particular plot. Okay. Now, with respect to the EUR that is 8 Q. calculated there, your quantity of 414,000 --9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. -- is that the average EUR for these wells? 12 Α. That's the average for all of the wells, north-south and east-west. 13 That would be P50, essentially? 14 Ο. 15 Α. P50. With all that data, then, in mind, focusing on 16 Ο. 17 this smaller tier area, were you then able to compare 18 how the east-west wells compared to north-south wells in 19 this area -- in this developed-grouped area? I believe if we flip onto the next exhibit, 20 Α. 21 we'll see just that. Now, first off, we have two graphs here. 22 Ο. What 23 does the graph on the left reflect? 24 So, again, I'm looking for things that are Α. 25 concrete, not EUR projects. I'm looking at concrete

|    | Page 131   |
|----|--|
| 1  | data of what was the maximum IP and the three-month and  |
| 2  | six-month cumulatives for these particular wells.        |
| 3  | So what you see on the left chart is the                 |
| 4  | wells that were included in this tier analysis rated     |
| 5  | [sic] out based on their maximum initial production of   |
| 6  | oil rate. So from what you see on this particular plat,  |
| 7  | I've identified which two wells were our east-west wells |
| 8  | in this tier area, the Macho Nacho State 3H and 1H.      |
| 9  | I've placed blue boxes, and I've also made the bars red. |
| 10 | What you see from this particular analysis of IP is our  |
| 11 | two east-west wells. We're in the top percentile of      |
| 12 | producibility from an IP standpoint.                     |
| 13 | When you look to the right-hand side of the              |
| 14 | chart or the right-hand side of this exhibit, you see    |
| 15 | the three-month and the six-month cum oil. And, again,   |
| 16 | I've identified which two wells are the east-west wells  |
| 17 | in this particular tier area. The Macho Nacho State 3H   |
| 18 | and lH were both the top performers at three-month       |
| 19 | intervals and were within the top percentile on the      |
| 20 | six-month interval.                                      |
| 21 | Q. So based on this EUR study for this similar           |
| 22 | depositional environment that we have in the tier area,  |
| 23 | this reflects that the east-to-west wells outperformed   |
| 24 | the north-to-south wells?                                |
| 25 | A. I think it's worth mentioning that they not           |
|    |  |

Page 132 only outperformed, but if you look at the north-south 1 2 wells, they were also the least performers as well. 3 Ο. Did you then also take a look at the data that you have in this smaller tier area with respect to the 4 5 overall EUR estimate? Correct. So if we take a look now at Exhibit 6 Α. 7 30, we see a cumulative oil production chart. So the left axis is cumulative oil production, 1,000 barrels 8 per day -- or thousands of barrels, and the right 9 10 axis -- or the x-axis is normalized time. 11 So, again, I've placed our P10, P50, P90 12 EUR type curves on this plot for reference. I've also identified our two east-west wells with a blue and a 13 green star for easy reference. 14 15 What you take away from this chart are two 16 east-west trajectory wells on a cumulative basis, 17 looking at all the available production history, we have 18 our top performer that's producing better than our Pl0, 19 and our other east-west well is performing above P50. And if you wanted to rank them out, it would probably be 20 third or fourth overall. 21 So both of the east-west wells in this 22 Ο. tier-study area, where you have a lot of development, 23 were above the average EUR line for all of the wells in 24 25 that section --

Page 133 That's correct. 1 Α. ο. -- that area? 2 3 Yes. Α. Ο. That common area. 4 5 And if I'm understanding this correct, 6 Mr. Sigmundik -- correct me if I'm wrong -- does that 7 mean, based on the analysis, that those two wells should recover more oil than the north-south wells in this tier 8 9 area? 10 That would be a logical conclusion, yes. Α. 11 Now, our data's limited; is it not? Ο. 12 It is limited, yes. Α. 13 But we took the available data you had in a Ο. 14 common area? 15 That's correct. So, again, backtracking, what Α. 16 we did is we found an area where we had the greatest 17 confidence that geology was similar, completion methods were similar, lateral lengths were similar and 18 19 production methods and completion methods were all 20 similar. And when that study was conducted, it seemed 21 that east-west is our best performance. 22 Now, taking advantage of this area that's Ο. 23 fairly close to Section 18 -- let's go back to Exhibit 24 27, please. Let's keep a finger here. Keep a finger 25 here, and flip back to Exhibit 27. Now, the analysis

Page 134 you just went through is for the tier area outlined in 1 2 green, correct? Α. 3 Yes. Now, you notice that even within your study 4 Q. 5 area, there seemed to be a different depositional environment? 6 7 Α. Correct. Which you identified as the core area? 8 Ο. Correct. Within our blue box, we were still 9 Α. 10 able to separate out a core in a tier area. 11 Q. Now, for those -- one, two, three, four, five, 12 six, seven, eight -- nine wells in this core area, did you do a similar analysis? 13 14 Yes, I did. Α. 15 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 31. First off, 16 Mr. Sigmundik, if I -- consistent with what you did before, if I go down on Exhibit 31 and look at that blue 17 18 box in the bottom, left-hand corner, I now see a 19 different set of wells highlighted in yellow? 20 That's correct. Those wells highlighted in Α. yellow are the ones that are included in this ensuing 21 22 analysis. And did you do, then, the same analysis for 23 Ο. that set of wells as you did for the tier area? 24 25 Correct. I again sent out a P10, P50 and P90 Α.

Page 135 estimate for production -- for the production curve and 1 2 fit a best fit to the P51. The result of this particular study in the core area -- which I'll remind 3 you is, from a geologic standpoint, poorer performing 4 5 with regard to porosity. The EUR estimate was 122,000 6 barrels, an expected well life of 20 years 7 months, with a B factor from Arps equation of 1.3, using a 7 minimal decline of 10 percent. 8 9 So you have less estimated ultimate recovery Ο. and a shorter time life for this set of wells because 10 11 they're in a less-productive area, essentially? 12 Α. That's correct. And I guess I'll throw in one other crucial 13 14 piece of information. Our end-of-well-life cutoff is at 15 three barrels per day. All right. Now, first off, before we move to 16 Q. the next exhibit, which of this grouping of wells -- can 17 18 you identify the wells that are east-to-west oriented 19 within this small -- within this study group? 20 Α. That would be the Trucker BRK State, which is 21 kind of a gravish-blue. 22 Ο. That's the fourth well down on the legend; is 23 that right? That's correct. 24 Α. 25 And the Macho State well, which is a blue

Page 136 well that is approximately seven down. 1 2 I count nine; is that right? Ο. Oh, sure. Nine. 3 Α. Nine down, the Macho State, blue line? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Those are your two east-west wells? Right. 7 Α. The rest of them are north-south? Ο. 8 That's correct. 9 Α. Now, with that in mind, having conducted your 10 Ο. analysis, let's turn to Exhibit 32. And is this similar 11 12 for this -- what you call the core area, the less-performing area? Do you see a similar result when 13 you compare the two east-west wells with the north-south 14 15 wells? Yes. You'll recall this is similar analysis to 16 Α. what I did in the previous exhibit. You see maximum oil 17 initial production in the left chart barred out, and the 18 right chart is three-month and six-month cum where 19 20 available also barred out. I've also identified the two east-west 21 wells in this investigation area by putting them in blue 22 boxes. And, again, we see the two east-west wells, the 23 Macho State and the Trucker BRK State, performing at the 24 top percentile both in the maximum IP category, as well 25

Page 137 as in the three-month and six-month cums. 1 2 And the flip side of that is that the Q. 3 lowest-performing wells were the north-south wells? 4 Α. That's correct. 5 Now, to be fair, there were a couple Ο. north-south wells that did fairly well? 6 That is true. 7 Α. But with respect to the two east-west wells, 8 Q. 9 you said they're in the top percentile? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Q. Then if I turn to Exhibit 33, is that a similar 12 EUR estimate for this particular core-study area? 13 Α. Correct. So, again, cumulative oil is on the 14 15 left-hand side. X-axis is time. The red-dotted line 16 represents our P50, what we use to conduct our EUR 17 analysis. That would be average. And, again, I've 18 identified our two east-west trajectory wells using 19 stars. So we again see one of our top performers. Ι believe would be second overall is the Macho State 1H, 20 and then, additionally, the Trucker BRK State is 21 22 performing with the blue star. Now, going through this EUR study, in your 23 Ο. opinion, an EUR study like Chevron did, and even like 24 25 Endurance did, does it add much to the analysis with

Page 138 1 respect to Section 18? 2 Α. As we've discussed before, because of the 3 Antelope Ridge anticline, Section 18 is a unique area, 4 and this EUR analysis, either Endurance's or my own, 5 really doesn't offer significant evidence with regard to lateral trajectory in Section 18. 6 7 But if you're going to do an EUR study, then Q. 8 you want to find an area that has similar geology, 9 similar time frame and wells, similar completion? 10 That's correct. Α. 11 Q. And that's what Chevron did? 12 Α. Yes, we did. 13 Ο. In that area, that's only three to seven miles 14 away from Section 18, and you found that the east-to-west wells outperformed the north-south? 15 Yes, we did. 16 Α. Now, getting back to Section 18, the anticline 17 Ο. 18 here, as the petroleum engineer here, given the 19 anticline that we have and the natural fractures that we 20 have within that area, what is your opinion as to how the wells should be oriented in that Section 18 area? 21 You obviously want to complete -- or drill your 22 Α. 23 well in a trajectory that's perpendicular to the natural 24 fractures. As Ken Schwartz has already testified, 25 because of the nature of the anticline, those natural

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 139 fractures are most likely to be in a north-south 1 2 orientation, meaning that the preferred trajectory of a 3 well in Section 18 would be east-west. And you are a petroleum engineer, and you heard Ο. 4 testimony that an east-to-west trajectory would also 5 6 line [sic] with toe-up, correct? That is also correct, given the structure of 7 Α. the 2nd Bone Spring in Section 18. 8 9 Ο. And is that preferable when you're trying to drill a commercial well? 10 Yes, it does. By drilling toe-up, it allows 11 Α. 12 the fluids that are being produced to fall back to the heel, where artificial lift is most efficient, and being 13 able to lift those fluids to the surface. 14 In your opinion, will the granting of Chevron's 15 Ο. application be in the best interest of conservation, the 16 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative 17 18 rights? Yes, it would. 19 Α. 20 Ο. Were Chevron Exhibits 22 through 33 prepared by you or compiled under your direction and supervision? 21 22 Α. Yes, they were. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'd move 23 admission into evidence of Chevron Exhibits 22 through 24 25 33.

Page 140 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 2 MR. PADILLA: No objection. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 22 through 33 will be admitted. 4 5 (Chevron USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 22 through 33 were offered and admitted into 6 7 evidence.) 8 MR. FELDEWERT: That concludes my examination of this witness. 9 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thank you very much. 11 Mr. Padilla? 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. PADILLA: 14 Ο. Mr. Sigmundik --15 Sigmundik. Close enough. Α. 16 Sigmundik. Sorry. Did you use any well data Q. 17 in any of your studies from any of the Endurance wells in Section 19? 18 19 The data that was available to me were wells Α. 20 that Chevron has interest in and publicly available 21 data, so we're talking getting it from the State or his. So Section 19 well is not -- Chevron doesn't have an 22 interest in. Therefore, we would not have an EUR study. 23 24 Q. Do you know when that well was completed? 25 Α. No, I don't.

Page 141 1 Q. So it's not included in your study at all, 2 right? 3 Α. That's correct. So you have no idea of what the IP for that 4 Q. 5 well is? Α. No, I don't. 6 How about the Stratocaster well in Section 20? 7 0. That well -- what's the full name of that 8 Α. particular well? 9 10 Ο. Stratocaster 1H. 11 Α. Would it be the Stratocaster 20 1H? 12 Ο. That's probably right. 13 Α. Yes. I believe that well was both in your analysis and mine. 14 15 Where is it shown in your analysis? Q. 16 Let's see. That was, I believe, Exhibit 23. Α. MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. 17 That would be -- if you're looking at Exhibit 18 Α. 19 23, that would be the red well that's located to the immediate south and east in Section 18. 20 21 (BY MR. PADILLA) Did you have an IP for that Ο. 22 well? It was available, but for the purposes of my 23 Α. 24 core and tier area study, I didn't include it. As I 25 mentioned before, my study was focused on wells that had

Page 142 significant production, and that Stratocaster well had a 1 2 few months of production, not many. It had at least a one-month period, right, of 3 Ο. initial production? 4 5 Α. It did have an IP. And it did have at least a 90-day period of 6 0. 7 production, right? I don't recall. 8 Α. Did you selectively delete this well from your 9 Ο. study in terms of IP? 10 11 In terms of IP, yes. Α. Do you know whether this well, IP, did 1,300 12 Q. 13 barrels per day? Sounds like you do. 14 Α. 15 Q. Well, do you know? That's my question. 16 No, I don't. Α. 17 Interesting. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: (BY MR. PADILLA) Would this information be in 18 Ο. 19 public data? 20 If the well is on production for more than, I Α. 21 think, four months or so, then, yes, it would be. 22 Assuming this well, IP, the 1,300 per day, what Q. kind of a bubble size would that have? 23 24 Α. I reckon it would be about the same size as 25 some of the wells that were investigated in the tier

Page 143 1 area. 2 Q. Big bubble or little bubble? 3 Α. We're talking big. 4 0. Do you know the IP for the Endurance 5 Stratocaster 3H in Section 20? As much as I wish I were a walking Excel 6 Α. 7 spreadsheet, I don't know that number. 8 Q. I'm not asking you for a smart-ass kind of 9 question -- or answer. I'm just asking you whether you 10 know it or don't. 11 For that particular well, it's probably a --Α. 12 it's probably included in this analysis. 13 But you didn't include that in your study, 0. 14 right, that well? 15 Α. No. 16 Would it surprise you that well IP'd at 1,100 0. 17 barrels per day? 18 Α. No. 19 Would that be a big bubble or a little bubble? Q. 20 Α. Big. 21 In Section 29 -- did your investigation include Q. 22 any of those wells in Section 29? 23 Could you help me identify Section 29 on this Α. 24 map? 25 Q. We've talked about the Caza well this morning.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 144 Did you include the Caza well in your study? 1 2 Α. No. That well wasn't in Endurance's initial analysis, nor is it in mine. 3 Does Chevron own a part of that well? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Yes, it does. 6 Ο. And you're telling me you don't know the IP of that well? 7 No, not in front of me. 8 Α. 9 So, again, you chose those wells that would Ο. favor your presentation here today, right? 10 11 I chose wells that would best represent an Α. 12 east-west versus north-south analysis. 13 How many wells has Chevron drilled in this Ο. 14 area -- I mean drilled and is actually operating? I don't know off the top of my head. 15 Α. 16 So when did you begin your study here for Ο. 17 today's presentation? This study was conducted once we found out that 18 Α. this particular case would be going to this hearing. 19 20 So is it fair to say that you began maybe Ο. 21 sometime in January? 22 That's correct. Α. And you base your study solely to refute the 23 Ο. presentation that Endurance gave to you on November 20th 24 25 of last year, right?
Page 145 MR. FELDEWERT: Object to form of the 1 2 I think he testified he did it for the question. 3 hearing. 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Are you raising an 5 objection? 6 MR. FELDEWERT: I am. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I would require you to 8 rephrase the question. 9 (BY MR. PADILLA) So in your presentation today, Ο. 10 you're refuting the presentation that was made to 11 Chevron on November 20th, correct? 12 Α. Yes. And you started this presentation sometime in 13 Ο. 14 January solely to refute what was presented to you as a 15 well proposal, correct? 16 Α. The intention was to use a similar data set and 17 come up with reasonable conclusions. 18 So did you at any time present any data to Q. 19 Endurance showing that an east-west well was more 20 favorable? 21 Α. No, I didn't. 22 Ο. In fact, Chevron presented nothing to Endurance 23 on November 20th or any other time. Is that fair to 24 sav? 25 A. I believe as Mr. Schwartz already answered, in

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 146 your cross-examination, that Chevron and Endurance were 1 2 already in a contested relationship with regard to 3 leases, so, no. 4 Ο. But despite that, Endurance did present a number of slides to you containing geologic information, 5 6 and that's --7 I'm really sorry. You're going to have to Α. restate the question. I'm hearing rattling from 8 9 outside. 10 Q. I think my question was that Endurance did 11 present to you geologic information and, of course, 12 their IP study to try to convince Chevron that a north-south well was better? 13 14 Α. I believe Endurance -- they did show us maps, and they provided an EUR study. I'm not familiar with 15 16 any IP study. 17 Well, EUR -- they did present an EUR? 0. Yes. It was an EUR study. 18 Α. 19 My question to you is: Did Chevron at any time Q. 20 present anything to Endurance to try to convince 21 Endurance that an east-west well was more favorable? 22 Α. No. 23 Ο. How did you categorize this land in Section 18, 24 at least the 2nd Bone Spring, as unconventional? 25 That's based on the porosity, as well as the Α.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 147 permeability here in the rock itself. From a basinwide 1 2 perspective, this rock is in the micro- and nanodarcy levels. It requires long laterals, with frack 3 4 stimulation to produce. 5 So when we speak of unconventional, that's a 0. term of art; is that right? 6 A term of what? 7 Α. Of art, a classification of some sort. 8 0. 9 Α. Yes. And it's based on thickness or -- what is that 10 Ο. 11 based on? Millidarcy? 12 Α. Like I said, an unconventional resource is a 13 resource that cannot be predictive from a single -- a single well or even a small group of wells. You need to 14 consider an entire basin or a specific target area with 15 the sufficient well count to fully understand the broad 16 17 range of outcomes. Now, your study -- and I'm not sure that I 18 0. 19 should use the word "criticize," but it criticizes the 20 total number of acreage in the map that Endurance showed you, that was presented to Chevron, yet Mr. Schwartz 21 this morning was testifying about having a basinwide 22 study of the Bone Spring anywhere from north to south 23 all over southeast New Mexico. And you seem to be now 24 25 saying that it's got to be more refined; is that,

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 correct? 2 When you understand that the principal stress Α. 3 is changing throughout the basin, then for purposes of 4 identifying where to drill a well, how to drill a well, 5 you do want to take into consideration wells that are similar. You want to take into consideration wells that 6 are closer. 7 Did you do any stress-orientation studies at 8 Q. 9 any time involving the drilling of your well -- your 10 proposed well? 11 Α. No. 12 Is stress orientation important? Ο. 13 Especially when you're on an anticline. Α. 14 It's important at any time you're drilling Bone Ο. 15 Spring wells. Wouldn't you agree with me? 16 Yes, and especially when it's on anticline. Α. 17 But you did not do any type of 0. stress-orientation studies even though you contend your 18 19 proposed well is going to cross an anticline, right? 20 Α. I'm not an expert in geology. I believe Ken has already testified to our sentiments and our 21 22 interpretation of the geology in Section 18. 23 MR. PADILLA: That's all I have, 24 Mr. Examiner 25 Thank you very much. EXAMINER EZEANYIM:

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 148

Page 149 Anything further? 1 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Sigmundik, in your opinion, is one month of 4 Q. production enough for any EUR analysis? 5 An IP is a poor correlation to EUR. 6 Α. 7 Ο. And having one well in a particular section, is 8 that enough for a study of an EUR? 9 No, it isn't. Α. 10 Q. And, in fact, I guess it goes to say there is nothing to compare; is there not? You just have one 11 well. 12 13 Α. No. 14 The area that you focused on for your study, Q. was it an area that had a number of developed -- a 15 16 number of wells? 17 Α. Yes, it did. And different orientation? 18 Ο. 19 Α. Yes, it did. And once you had enough wells to at least 20 Q. 21 compare how the performance is being done? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Ο. And similar geologic setting? 24 Correct. Α. 25 In which were drilled about similar time Ο.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 150 1 frames, correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 And that's why you chose your study area just Ο. to the southwest of Section 18, because it met the 4 5 criteria? 6 Α. Yes, that's correct. MR. FELDEWERT: That's all the questions. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Padilla, anything 8 9 else? 10 MR. PADILLA: Nothing. 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: For goodness sake, I 12 hope the 2nd Bone Spring is not an unconventional 13 resource play. I'm going to be very unhappy if it is, 14 if that 2nd Bone Spring is unconventional. 15 Has anybody calculated the mobility ratio 16 here in the Bone Spring? I mean, it should be prolific, 17 as far as I know. So anyway, that's -- but I just don't 18 want it to go to unconventional. It's not for anything 19 anyway. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 22 Ο. I think I'm going to -- in the interest of 23 time, I'm not going to ask all the questions because 24 I've gotten what I wanted. But I wanted to mention 25 something to you, Mr. Sigmundik. Can you explain to me

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 151 1 your P10, P50 and P90. What is that? 2 Α. It's -- to put it in perspective, it's a 3 probability of percentile. Q. Of what? 4 5 Α. A percentile of the production curves. So if you had, for instance, ten wells, P50 would be the 50th 6 7 percentile value of those. P10 would be the tenth 8 most -- the top tenth-most percentile, and 90 would be 9 the tenth lowest percentile. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You know we shouldn't 11 be here if you guys have really -- you guys met for 12 one-and-a-half hours in Houston, according to testimony 13 this morning, but nobody wanted to really do any 14 business. It was one-and-a-half hours for nothing. Ι 15 thought at that meeting you presented to each other 16 whether east-west or north-south would be better or not. 17 But I'm hearing that you guys didn't even talk about it. 18 Then I begin to wonder, what did you talk 19 about? I mean, what issues did you talk about? I am 20 hearing that you never discussed east-west better than 21 north-south. I don't know what you are talking about in 22 that meeting. The first witness said that at the 23 meeting -- you shouldn't be here if you had talked about 24 it. 25 Okay. For interest of due process, you

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 152 1 mentioned, anyway, Mr. Sigmundik, that here you are, and 2 I think I requested that information. It had nothing to 3 do with this case, so I don't want to go back and 4 examine your parameters, how you got porosity, the B factors and how you come up with 10 percent, because I 5 6 can do my calculations, too. So I don't want to go 7 there now, because -- that EUR doesn't mean anything. Ι 8 think you guys are busy with your rendition of a natural 9 fracture that you did and microseismic and decided to go 10 east-west. 11 Ο. (BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM) Do you have any 12 interest -- I mean confidence in the EUR you calculated? 13 Do you have any confidence in that? 14 I can say with confidence that if we drill --Α. 15 actually, no. 16 Ο. You don't? 17 Α. No. 18 Okay. That's my point. The point is you have Q. 19 it because I told you to do it, and you did. That's 20 That's your testimony. qood. 21 So now natural fractures. That's how you 22 determine that east-west -- it would be a better 23 orientation than north-south, right? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Not based on the EUR? Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 153 1 Α. Correct. 2 0. Then I don't have to go back and ask you all 3 those questions, and we are running out of time. 4 Anyway, we have about five testimonies to go. If there 5 is anything that comes up, I will ask you. I've got tons and tons of questions to ask you, but I'm not going 6 7 to because you have dismissed that evidence. So what's the point? If I want to know how you got 1.168 B factor 8 9 or the other 10 percent, then I can equate that. So we 10 can continue here, right? 11 Α. We can. 12 Q. Is that okay? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. Let me check and see if there is anything that 15 might be --16 Okay. Now, even when you did your EUR, you 17 assumed exponential decline? 18 Α. Correct. Once we reached a minimum decline of 19 10 percent, we set it to an exponential decline. 20 It can happen, a hyperbola, when I look at, you Ο. 21 know, some declines there. I'm not saying they are, but -- that's a different B factor or how to calculate 22 23 EUR. Why I'm not going there? Because you don't put faith in your EUR. But that's fine. 24 25 I think we collect -- as agencies, we

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 154 collect information, and if you go to OCD online, you 1 know, or something, even his, you can get production 2 3 data from OCD online once the 90 days is over. There 4 are some data we don't keep. I apologize. Like 5 pressure data, we don't keep pressure data. You can get some oil production data from the OCD. People did not 6 7 know that we have OCD online. I want to make sure you know that. 8 9 Did you try to find -- when you calculated 10 it, did you try to calculate the original oil in place? 11 Α. I didn't make that calculation, no. 12 You didn't. Okay. So we don't know how much Q. 13 to extrapolate from the oil. Okay. But then you have 14 your EUR Form 414? 414. 15 Α. 16 And decline, right? Decline --Ο. 17 Decline analysis [sic], yes. Α. 18 Ο. Porosity is 8 percent? Average porosity is 8 19 percent? 20 Α. I'd have to defer to the geologist on that. 21 Q. I think I heard it from him. 22 Okay. Like I said, in the interest of time, you can step down, and let's hear from the other 23 24 side. 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you have anything?

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 155 MR. FELDEWERT: I have two more questions. 1 2 I just don't want the record to be confused on one 3 point. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 4 Okay. 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FELDEWERT: 6 Mr. Sigmundik, I think you answered a question 7 Q. from the Examiner, and you said no confidence. 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. Now, let me ask you something. With respect to 11 the EUR analysis that you did for your tier area and for 12 wells within that particular geologic setting, do you 13 have confidence in your EUR study and your comparison 14 for that particular geologic area? 15 The reason for that is within the smaller Α. Yes. 16 geologic area, there is less variability in low case 17 versus high case. Because there is less variability, 18 there is more certainty in an outcome when you do drill 19 a well. 20 So do you have confidence in the EUR study that Ο. you did for that particular area? 21 I'm saying if I were to drill or if Company X 22 Α. 23 were to drill an oil well in the 2nd Bone Spring within 24 our tier area, I have confidence that the outcome would 25 be that particular expected value, the P50 value that I

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 calculated.

Q. And then with your second core area or the second area you analyzed, which had its own geologic setting, you have confidence in what you did there? A. Yes.

Q. When you said no confidence, what did you mean7 by that?

A. No confidence as in -- I interpreted the question as to drill a north-south well or an east-west well. For instance, I were to drill an east-west well, I'm essentially doing the analysis basing my outcome on two data points. It would be like rolling a dice twice and then being asked to predict what the outcome of the third roll was going to be.

Q. And then do you have -- when you said no confidence, do you have any confidence that any of these EUR studies, whether the one Endurance did or the one you did, has any real bearing on the proper orientation for a well in Section 18?

20 A. No.

21 Q. And is that because of the unique geologic 22 feature that is associated with Section 18?

23 A. Yes.

25

24 Q. That's all the questions.

EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Anyway, I don't have

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 156

Page 157 any more questions for you now. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You can step down. How many witnesses? 4 5 MR. PADILLA: We have five witnesses, and 6 we'll move through them as fast as we can. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You want to start with the engineer? 8 9 MR. PADILLA: I can. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You sure? Because it's 11 up to you. 12 (Discussion off the record.) 13 RANDALL HARRIS, 14 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 15 questioned and testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. PADILLA: 18 Mr. Harris, state your full name, please. Ο. 19 Α. My name is Randall Harris. 20 0. Mr. Harris, you've been qualified as a 21 petroleum geologist before the OCD before, right? 22 Yes, I have. Α. 23 Q. And are you familiar with the drilling prospect 24 that Endurance has in this presentation today? 25 Yes, I am. Α.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 158 Have you prepared certain exhibits for 1 Ο. introduction at this hearing? 2 3 Α. Yes, I have. MR. PADILLA: We ask that Mr. Harris be 4 5 qualified as a petroleum geologist. 6 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 7 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: All right. Mr. Harris 8 9 is so qualified. 10 Q. (BY MR. PADILLA) Mr. Harris, let me have you --11 MR. PADILLA: Well, first of all, if I may 12 approach the witness? 13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Sure. 14 (BY MR. PADILLA) Mr. Harris, I've handed you a Ο. 15 seismic picture that Endurance presented, and would you 16 please identify that page, what exhibit that may be, for 17 the record, the Chevron? The Chevron? It is --18 Α. 19 Ο. There's a tab before that, I believe. 20 It's the east-west cross section through Α. 21 Section 18, Exhibit Number 14. 22 Mr. Harris, Mr. Schwartz testified at length Q. this morning about an anticline pretty much on Section 23 24 18 that was being very unique. First of all, I want to 25 ask you whether you agree with that assessment.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 159 No, I do not agree. It is not an anticline. 1 Α. It is a drape feature over a deep-seated fault. 2 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Which exhibit are we looking at? For Chevron [sic], Exhibit Number 14? 4 THE WITNESS: And also Exhibit Number 5. 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: For Endurance? 6 THE WITNESS: For Endurance. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Please let me know 8 9 where you are, so I can follow. 10 THE WITNESS: And I'll start with Exhibit Number 5. 11 12 May I begin? 13 (BY MR. PADILLA) Go ahead. Describe Exhibit Ο. 14 Number 5. What is it, to begin with? 15 Exhibit Number 5 is a contour map on the top of Α. 16 the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. It's contoured on a 20-foot 17 interval. The previous testimony was about this anticline. Everybody needs to understand. An anticline 18 19 is a fold. It is a fold (demonstrating), a fold of rock 20 draping down. That is an anticline. A syncline is the 21 reverse. 22 If we look at this map on the western side 23 and it goes from blue to green, you'll see that the 24 contours tighten up significantly. Well, this is a 25 reflection of a deep-seated Devonian and pre-Devonian

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 160 This particular fault is well-known; it's been fault. 1 well-documented in a lot of literature. It goes 2 3 approximately 21 miles in a north-south direction, and that -- the green part is downthrown, and the eastern 4 5 part is upthrown. And that is what is setting up the sand pile in the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. It is not an 6 7 anticline. The sand --8 May I move on to map number two? That's the isopach, which is Exhibit 6? 9 0. 10 That's the isopach. Α. 11 I'll run through these pretty quickly. I 12 can take questions at any time. 13 This is an isopach of crossover sands, not 14 the thickness of the 2nd Bone Spring Sand. This is only 15 neutron density crossing over at 10 percent to give us 16 pore volume available for oil saturation. As we can 17 see, that pile of sand is also lineated north and south, lining up against or structured north-south. 18 So I contend that there is no anticline strictly in Section 19 20 18. It is a long, broad feature. 21 And as I was reviewing this Exhibit 14 from 22 Chevron, in the seismic picture, we can see some 23 faulting in the lower portion. And after that faulting 24 has stopped, we can just simply see the draping of the 25 sands going from -- or formations going from the east to

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 161 1 the west causing a drape feature. It is not a syncline -- an anticline. So, therefore, the stress of 2 3 a folded syncline -- or anticline would not be the same 4 as simply draping over a deep-seated structure. 5 How many Bone Spring wells have you dealt with 0. 6 in this area of southeast New Mexico, Mr. Harris? 7 Α. Of my own geology? 0. Yes. 8 9 Approximately 25. Α. 10 And how many Endurance wells have you been Ο. 11 involved in? 12 Α. All of them. We have currently drilled seven 13 in just this area, plus participated in three, of which 14 I also did the geology on. 15I would like for you to highlight in red the Ο. 16 fault that you see in Exhibit 14 of Chevron, and show 17 that and highlight it on the Examiner's exhibit? 18 MR. PADILLA: May he, Mr. Examiner? 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What? 20 MR. PADILLA: May he highlight Exhibit 14 21 on yours? 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: On this one? On yours? 23 MR. PADILLA: No. On Chevron's. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah. I have it. 25 MR. FELDEWERT: Hold on a second. They

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 162 want to write on our exhibit? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Well, faulting is -- I am not 3 a seismologist. 4 MR. FELDEWERT: I will give you that. 5 I think I started to object to them writing on our exhibit. 6 7 MR. PADILLA: Fair enough. (BY MR. PADILLA) Can you point it out? 8 Ο. 9 Α. This general area right here (indicating). 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Is what? THE WITNESS: Would be the fault section. 11 This is the layered section of the Bone Spring 12 13 (indicating). 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Counsel, let me ask a 15 question, since we are here now, before I forget it. What is your name, again, please? 16 Ι 17 forgot. 18 THE WITNESS: Randall. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Randall. Okay. I 20 think this is Exhibit Number 5. I mean, I have to talk. 21 Chevron said it's anticline. You say it's syncline, 22 right? I mean in Section 18, right? 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, Section 18. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You say it's a 25 syncline.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 163 1 THE WITNESS: No. I'm sorry. I just 2 misspoke. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Well, what is it? Is it an anticline? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Neither one. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What is it? 6 7 THE WITNESS: It's simply a drape. It's just a roll-over of the sands and the shales over a drop 8 9 in elevation due to a deep-seated fault. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: So if somebody says 11 it's an anticline in that Section 18, it's not true? THE WITNESS: No, it's not true. 12 13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Even though I'm not a 14 geologist, I can really -- but that's fine. I mean, we 15 are just getting testimony. 16 Okay. Go ahead. 17 (BY MR. PADILLA) So what ultimate conclusion do Ο. 18 you reach with regard to -- based on your experience and 19 knowledge of this area as to the folding effect and the 20 natural fracturing that Mr. Schwartz testified about? Well, let me start off with this is a very long 21 Α. 22 feature, and several piles of sand, these sand ties, has laid up against the upthrown side of this fault block --23 24 of this deep-seated fault, and that has set up some 25 very, very good wells. Some of the best wells in the

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 164 Bone Spring is on this trend. 1 2 And north and south? Ο. North and south. 3 Α. And how are those wells oriented? 4 Ο. 1-5 Α. North and south. 6 Q. Does an east-west well make any sense to you 7 geologically in Section 18? No, it doesn't, just because of our experience. 8 Α. 9 We have drilled Section 30, two wells, north-south, 10 which happens to be on the exact same apex of the -- or 11 the crest of this fold, and both of them have turned out 12 extremely well. What kind of wells are they, when you say 13 Ο. 14 extremely well? 15 The latest IP on our Telecaster 4H was a little Α. over 1,800 barrels a day, and the IP on the Telecaster 16 17 3H was 1,350. 18 Let me ask you about this issue of conventional Ο. 19 or unconventional. Does that have anything at all to do 20 with drilling Bone Spring wells? Not in the way that I explore. I look for 21 Α. conventional sands within the Bone Spring. I look for 22 23 sand piles, identifiable. Yes, they are low perf, but 24 sand is a sand is a sand, and it's conventional. 25 Would approval of the north-south well proposed Q.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 165 by Endurance be in the best interest of conservation and 1 the protection of correlative rights? 2 3 Α. Yes. MR. PADILLA: That's all I have. 4 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Thanks. Mr. Feldewert? 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FELDEWERT: 8 Mr. Harris, did you develop Exhibit Number 5? 9 Ο. 10 Yes, I did. Α. 11 Q. Now, I'm trying to understand. You show -- on 12 this exhibit, you show what appear to be represented as stand-up horizontal wells in this area? 13 14 Α. Correct. 15 Are you representing to the Division that all Ο. of these stand-up wells that you show on this map have 16 17 been drilled? No, they haven't. No, they have not been 18 Α. drilled. They are also locations. 19 20 Have any of them been drilled? Ο. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. How many? 23 Α. Seven. 24 Where are they located? Q. We have two of them in Section 30. 25 Α.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 166 Hold on a sec. Section 30 is two miles to the 1 0. 2 south? 3 Α. Yes. Ο. Okay. 4 5 Two in Section 29, which are on top of each Α. other. 6 Again, two miles to the south? 7 Q. Well, actually, it would be just one mile when 8 Α. 9 you take the toe to the heel. Now, from surface to 10 surface, yes, it would be two miles, but it would only be one pile mile from the toe to the heel. 11 12 0. In Section 29, you say you have two? 13 Α. Yes, the Caza Copperlines. Those are drilled by Caza? 14 Q. 15 Α. Yes, they were. Where is the other one? 16 Ο. There are three of them in Section 20. 17 Α. Have they been drilled? 18 Ο. 19 Α. Yes. And we're starting our fourth. 20 Ο. That's seven? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And all the rest of them on here are just 0. 23 proposed wells? Is that what you're trying --24 Proposed and approved locations, yes. Α. 25 Now, the same thing with respect to your Ο.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 167 Exhibit Number 6. You're not representing that all of 1 these wells on there have been drilled? 2 3 Α. Correct. With respect to your Exhibit Number 5, if I 4 Ο. look at -- I'd like you to take a look at -- I want you 5 to keep that on, and I want you to take a look at 6 Chevron Exhibit Number 13, please. Do you have that in 7 front of you? 8 9 Α. Yes, I do, sir. 10 Ο. Did you prepare the map on the right-hand side? 11 Α. One of my earlier works, yes. The Endurance map? 12 Ο. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. One of your earlier works? Correct. As we drill, we continue to refine 15 Α. 16 our maps. Okay. Have you drilled anything to the east of 17 Q. Section 18 to give you data points? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Have you drilled anything to the northwest of Q. 21 Section 18 to give you additional data points? 22 Α. No. It would appear to me that you moved the top of 23 Ο. 24 your structure, shown on Chevron Exhibit 13, more to the 25 center of Section 18 on your new Exhibit Number 5; is

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 168 1 that, correct? Yes, slightly. 2 Α. So we have a couple of different 3 Q. interpretations going on here. 4 Are you suggesting that there are new data 5 points that caused that structure to shift to the left? 6 No, just a refinement of the map. 7 Α. Ο. No additional data points? 8 9 Α. No. Would you agree with me that your Exhibit 10 Q. Number 5 does show a structural high running through the 11 middle of Section 18? 12 13 Α. Yes. And extending a little bit into the center of 14 Ο. 15 Section 19? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And if you look at Chevron Exhibit Number 13, they show -- what they show is an anticline that 18 19 basically follows that same structure; does it not? 20 Α. Yes, it does. 21 And then the structure drops off to the right Ο. 22 and the left as you move out of 18? 23 Α. Yes. And is it your testimony -- or did you say that 24 Ο. 25 there was well-documented literature that indicated that

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 169 that structure high in Section 18 is not an anticline? 1 2 Α. I'm saying that the deep-seated fault is well 3 documented. The deep-seated fault located where? 4 0. Beyond the eastern side of Section 18, Section 5 Α. 19, Section 30, Section 31, going to the north through 6 Section 7. 7 Is that your big red area on Exhibit Number 6? 8 Ο. No. The big red area on Exhibit Number 6 is 9 Α. 10 porosity feet. I'm trying to figure out where you contend this 11 Ο. well-documented structural fault is located? 12 13 Well, it's not on these maps. I'm not working Α. 14 with the Devonian. I'm working with the shallower zone. 15 You're not working with the Devonian. So the Ο. fault deals with the Devonian? 16 17 Yes. It sets up the Bell Lake Bone Spring, the Α. Antelope Ridge Bone Spring. 18 But you don't know show that, what you call a 19 Ο. 20 well-documented fault, anywhere on this map? No, because it's not -- it's not faulting 21 Α. through the Bone Spring. The faulting stopped in the 22 23 Devonian. 24 And you haven't brought any of that literature Ο. here with you today? 25

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 170 Α. 1 No. Did you present any of that literature to Q. 2 Chevron when you showed up in November? 3 Α. No. 4 5 Ο. Now, on Exhibit Number 6, that big red area there in Section 20 and into 19 -- do you see that? 6 7 Α. Yes, I do. Are you contending that that is a big pile of 8 Ο. sand, as you put it? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. I don't see a data point in Section 19 for that 11 Q. big pile of sand, do you? Or am I missing something? 12 Section 19 has two data points. 13 Α. And what are those data points? Where are they 14 Q. located on this particular map, this Exhibit Number 6? 15 16 Α. It's not written down. I'm sorry. I don't see it. 17 Ο. MR. RITTER: I see them. They're on there. 18 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Do you see them, Mr. Harris? 19 Q. 20 You're a geologist. You're looking at the data. Did 21 you put this map together? 22 Yes, I did. Α. 23 Okay. Where is your data point in Section 19? Q. Well, there is -- I've got to get myself 24 Α. oriented here. Section 18, there is a 48 in the 25

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 171 north -- approximately 1,980 from the north and 1,980 1 from the west, 48 feet of pay. And right below that, it 2 states 54 feet of pay. 3 And what's the third data point in Section 18? 4 Ο. 5 Α. 54 feet of pay. 6 Ο. Why does it have yellow rather than green if it's 54? 7 That is a contention of -- a function of a Α. 8 mapping program that did that. 9 So you have two data points at 54 with two 10 Ο. different colors? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 Now, in answer to my question, going into Ο. Section 19, where is there a data point to support your 14 15 big pile of sand in Section 19? The data points would actually be down in 16 Α. Section 30. 17 No data points in Section 19? 18 Ο. Not on this map. 19 Α. Now, I'm looking over at Section 20. On this 20 Ο. map, which you created, where is the data point for the 21 22 big pile of sand in Section 20? It's marked at 143. 23 Α. And that comes off of what well? 24 Q. Comes off the Stratocaster #1H, formerly known 25 Α.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 172 as the Paloma Blanco 20 #1. 1 2 Q. Do you have a type log for that well anywhere 3 in your exhibits? Α. No, I don't. 4 5 Ο. So that's the only data point that you have in 6 Section 20 --7 No. There's --Α. -- for that big pile of sand? 8 Ο. 9 We also have number 24. Α. Number 24 where? 10 Q. 11 Section -- sand count of 74, and that would be Α. 12 in the back basin, Unit Number 1, which is in the 13 southeast quarter. 14 The east half of the east half of Section 20? Ο. 15 Α. Correct. 16 Ο. 74, right? 17 Right. Α. 18 Ο. Okay. And if I move more north into 17, do I 19 see any data points there? 20 Yes, you do. You see 110, and that would be Α. the Paloma 17 #2, drilled by Devon. 21 22 Paloma 17 #2? Q. 23 Α. Yes. That happens to be right where the label 24 is. 25 Q. And that's 110 there according to your mapping?

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 173 I believe, yes. 1 Α. 2 I want you to turn to Chevron Exhibit Number Q. 3 19, please. I'm sorry. Do you have a type log here of 4 that particular well in Section 17? 5 6 Α. No, I don't. Turn to Chevron Exhibit Number 19, please. 7 Ο. 8 Okay. Α. Do you see the type log on the right-hand side 9 Q. 10 of that exhibit? Yes. 11 Α. That's the same -- that's the type log for the 12 Ο. 13 Paloma Blanco 17; is it not? I would assume. 14 Α. Same well you just talked about? 15 Q. 16 Α. I'm assuming. 17 Q. You're assuming. Let's flip one forward to Exhibit 18 --18 Chevron Exhibit 18. Keep your finger on there, and move 19 20 to Chevron Exhibit 18. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Do you see that cross section --Ο. 23 Α. Yes. -- Paloma Blanco 17? 24 Ο. 25 That's the same well as your 110 data

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 point; is it not?

2 A. Yes.

Q. Go over to the cross section, then, on Exhibit 19. Are you suggesting that that 110 data point is supported by that type log shown on the right-hand side of Exhibit 19?

7 A. The way they constructed the cross section, I8 couldn't tell.

9 Q. This is a type log; is it not?

10 A. It's a type log, but it's not --

Q. Show me on the type log the data that supports your 110 data point that you show on your map that's Exhibit Number 6. What would we look at?

You would look at the cross-over of neutron and 14 Α. density curves above 10 percent, which is not evident on 15 16 these logs, on the cross section. It cannot be seen with my eyes. It's totally different than the contour 17 18 interval and uniform thickness that they have presented. 19 They are using a net sand above 8 percent density only. 20 I'm using neutron density cross-over above 10 percent 21 identifying what I would consider fairway sands. 22 And you're saying that data supports 110 as a Q. 23 data point? Is that what you're saying?

A. If we're talking about the same well, yes.

25 Q. Do you have that data here today?

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 174

Page 175 1 Α. No. 2 So the Division can't examine it? 0. 3 Α. No. Is your neutron affected by clays? 4 0. 5 Yes, it is. Α. 6 Now, you're not a seismologist? 0. No, sir. 7 Α. You're not a geophysicist? 8 Ο. 9 Α. No, sir. 10 Q. Do you have any seismic data on Section 18? I do have some of the deep Devonian. 11 Α. No. I'm talking about 2nd Bone Spring Sand. 12 Ο. 13 Α. No, sir. Would you agree with me that seismic data is 14 Ο. 15 better to determine structure and geologic conditions rather than the well data? 16 17 Α. Structure, yes. What about geologic conditions? 18 Q. Depends on the conditions you're speaking of. 19 Α. 20 Porosity, no. 21 Would you -- porosity, no. Is that what you 0. 22 just said? 23 I say no, in thinning vetted sands such as Α. 24 these. 25 Would you agree that the seismic data is Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 176 helpful in determining whether there is an anticline? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. FELDEWERT: That's all the questions I 4 have. 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Thank you very 6 much. 7 Any redirect? 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. PADILLA: 10 Q. Mr. Harris, looking at Exhibit 14 of the Chevron packet, does that show an anticline or a draping 11 feature? 12 It shows a draping feature. 13 Α. Because of what? 14 Ο. 15 You don't see two distinct arms draping of the Α. 16 anticline. Now, how much mapping have you done of the Bone 17 Q. 18 Spring in southeast New Mexico? 19 Α. I have covered just about the entire Basin, 20 from Jal to Loco Hills, all the way south to Carlsbad. 21 Q. 2nd Bone Spring? 22 1st, 2nd and 3rd. Α. 23 So when you say that this feature extends north Q. 24 and south through Section 18, is that included in your 25 mapping, and is that sort of thing that comes into play

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 177 in terms of defining your pile of sand? 1 2 Yes, sir, it does. Α. MR. PADILLA: That's all. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Anything 4 5 further? 6 MR. FELDEWERT: No, Mr. Examiner. CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 8 9 Did you determine the fracture orientation in Q. 10 Section 18? Did you try to determine the 11 fracture orientation? 12 Α. I do not, no. 13 Q. But you didn't try to do that? 14 Α. No, sir. 15 So you would agree with me that the fracture Q. 16 orientation that was done, the microseismic, is north-south? Is that done correctly? Would you agree 17 18 with that? 19 A. I would agree with that. Then it has nothing to do -- when you're 20 Ο. 21 talking about your fault in your Devonian, what has this 22 got to do with the Bone Spring? 23 Α. As the fault block lifted on the up-dip side 24 and fell on the down-dip side, it left this mountain, so 25 to speak, and as valley fields came in, each layer

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 178 continued to build up over the top of it. And finally, 1 on the Bone Spring's side, it is still evident as a 2 3 slope. But you testified -- I mean, Section 19 4 Ο. Good. is neither a syncline or a anticline. It's a mass of 5 6 sand, right? 7 Right. Α. I hope that sand is conventional. Do you think 8 Ο. 9 it's conventional sand? 10 Α. Yes, I do. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I think I need to clear 11 something up in the interest of time, because, again, we 12 13 are going to talk to the engineer. First of all, in this application, in case 14 15 we start making -- what exactly does Endurance want? Do you want 120 acre, or you need 160? 16 320. 17 MR. PADILLA: 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 320. 19 MR. PADILLA: Yes. 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. And you are going to drill the well in the east half-east half? 21 22 That's correct. MR. PADILLA: 23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You are going to develop the west half-east half? 24 25 MR. PADILLA: That'll follow after drilling

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 179 the east half-east half. 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, you just want to 2 3 form the unit first? MR. PADILLA: Yes. 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I just wanted to make 5 sure I understand that. 6 And, again, when you found that 320 from 7 the compulsory pooling; is that right? 8 MR. PADILLA: And, obviously, if we're 9 successful at the end of the day. 10 If 320 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I understand. 12 is formed, then you can compulsory pool it. 13 MR. PADILLA: Yes. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: That's the statement I 14 15 made in the morning about compulsory pooling in a vacuum, because with 320, there is nothing to pool. 16 See 17 what I mean? 18 MR. PADILLA: Right. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Good. I mean, I 20 just want to clarify that. 21 Okay. Coming back to Mr. Harris. 22 Ο. (BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM) So when we have a massive sand, in your geologic opinion, what is the best 23 orientation for wells drilled in Section 18, because it 24 25 appears to me no well has been drilled there, no well as

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 180 of now, except the one that was drilled by Chevron, 1 stopped at 5,000. No well has been drilled in Section 2 18, right? 3 Α. Correct. Nothing in Section 18. 4 Okay. Now, what is the best orientation? 5 Q. What I did is still going to be north-south. 6 Α. Why? Why? 7 Q. Staying within the thickest part of the sand 8 Α. 9 body. And which exhibit are you looking at of yours? 10 0. Exhibit Number 6? 11 Yes, Number 6. The east half-east half 12 Α. location would drill through the thickest part of the 13 14 isopach sands. 15 Did we talk about porosity? Did you say you Q. didn't know -- you don't know what it is in this area? 16 17 Α. No. The porosity? This map is based on 10 18 percent porosity of neutron and density cross-over. 19 And the average thickness in this area is what; 0. 20 do you know? 21 The average --Α. 22 Ο. Thickness. Oh, these sands? Two- to six-foot thick. 23 Α. How much? 24 Ο. 25 Two- to six-foot thick. That's what the cross Α.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS
Page 181 section on the bottom, highlighted in pink -- those are 1 2 actual points of cross-over between the neutron density. 3 You didn't try to construct what I call the net Q. isopach map? 4 5 Α. This is a net isopay map. Which one? Number 6? 6 Ο. 7 Yes, Number 6. Α. 8 Q. Okay. Oh, you called it a porosity map. Okay. 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You may step down. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Call your next witness. 12 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we move the 13 admission of Exhibits 5 and 6. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 15 MR. FELDEWERT: No objection. 16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 5 and 6 will 17 be admitted. 18 (Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 5 and 6 were offered and admitted into 19 20 evidence.) 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Do you want to take a 22 five-minute break before we -- let's take five minutes. 23 (Break taken, 3:15 p.m. to 3:24 p.m.) 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Call your next witness. 25 MANNY SIRGO,

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 182 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 1 guestioned and testified as follows: 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. PADILLA: 4 Mr. Sirgo, state your name. 5 Ο. Manny Sirgo. 6 Α. Mr. Sirgo, where do you live? 7 Ο. Midland, Texas. 8 Α. And what do you do? 9 Ο. I'm a VP of Operations for Endurance Resources. 10 Α. Are you an engineer or --11 Ο. I'm a petroleum engineer. I graduated from 12 Α. Texas Tech in 1978. 13 And have you previously testified before the 14 Q. 15 Oil Conservation Division? 16 Α. I have. And as a petroleum engineer? 17 0. Α. Correct. 18 Do you do reservoir -- have you done reservoir 19 Ο. studies -- are you primarily a reservoir engineer? 20 21 I started my career as a reservoir engineer for Α. 22 Exxon. 23 Have you prepared an exhibit for introduction Ο. 24 here today dealing with reservoir ultimate recovery 25 studies and things of that nature?

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 183 1 Α. Yes, I have. MR. PADILLA: We offer Mr. Sirgo as an 2 3 expert petroleum engineer. 4 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: He is so qualified. 5 Ο. (BY MR. PADILLA) Mr. Sirgo, let me call your attention to Exhibit 8 and tell us what that contains. 6 7 Α. Okay. Endurance is going to look at -- since 8 the Examiner asked for an attempt to put EUR to the 9 location we've drilled in this area and what we think 10 the EUR might be for an east-west versus a north-south 11 well. 12 The isopach we generated, the net pay 13 isopach, based on the 10 percent cutoff that Mr. Harris 14 talk to you about. There are four wells in this sand 15 pile that have had some production history, enough 16 production history to put an EUR based on decline curve 17 analysis. 18 Ο. Let me ask you before you continue, in drilling, what's your experience with 2nd Bone Spring 19 20 wells? 21 Α. Well, we have a very active drilling program 22 right on this very pile of sand, and we've been at it 23 since April of last year drilling in this particular 24 location. 25 Ο. How about in southeast New Mexico?

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 184 This is -- well, we have other areas that -- we Α. 1 have other areas that we're developing our own -- so, I 2 mean, we're working pretty much the entire 2nd Bone 3 4 Spring play in Lea County and Eddy County. How many wells have you been involved in more 5 0. or less directly in this area and in other areas, 2nd 6 7 Bone Spring? Between the operator wells and nonoperated 8 Α. 9 wells, probably 18. Is this the first time you've done an EUR for 10 Ο. 11 the 2nd Bone Spring? We've developed an EUR for every Bone Spring 12 Α. 13 well that was ever drilled. We've had that for over a 14 year. 15 0. So you have quite a bit of experience with 16 that? Correct. 17 Α. Let's go on back to Exhibit Number 8. What 18 0. does that contain, again? 19 Okay. So if you go back to Mr. Harris' 20 Α. exhibit, Exhibit 6, which is our isopach map, you'll see 21 we've got some red polygons drawn on that isopach map. 22 23 When you say polygon, what do you mean by Ο. 24 polygon? We refer to those as the drainage area for that 25 Α.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 185 horizontal well, 160-acre drainage area for that horizontal well. And the purpose of that polygon relative to this isopach is to calculate acre-feet of pay at this porosity payoff within that red box to use in our volumetric [sic]. So that's the significance of each of these red boxes.

7 The mapping software is PETRA. PETRA goes 8 in, does a load analysis, comes up with an average 9 footage of pay within each of those red boxes. And 10 we're using a volumetric formula. And on the front of 11 Exhibit 8, you'll see the formula we used to calculate 12 original oil in place for each of the polygons. And 13 anyone who does a lot of volumetrics knows that water 14 saturation is a pretty important number because it 15 occupies a big part of your pore space, or can.

16 Exhibit 8-2 is core analysis that came out 17 of the Copperline well, which is the well located in 18 Section 29. It's the 2nd Bone Spring horizontal. Caza 19 drilled it. Both Endurance and Chevron participated in 20 that well. And so we had four sidewall cores out of the 21 2nd Bone Spring area. And the whole point of that 22 exhibit is just to show where I got the water saturation 23 I used to plug into the oil-in-place calculation, which 24 is 48.44 [sic]. Simple average. I used a nomograph to 25 come up with the BCI [sic], about a 1425 average EUR.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 186 The average feet of pay is calculated by PETRA through 1 2 load [sic] analysis, put it all in the oil-in-place 3 calculation, and that gets us to an oil-in-place 4 calculation for each of those polygons. 5 The first two in red are the proposed east-west, north-south polygons; north-south, Endurance; 6 7 north-south, Chevron. And then the last four are the active 2nd Bone Spring horizontal wells that we have 8 9 production data on. 10 Ο. What conclusion do you have in terms of 11 ultimate recovery from your proposed well and Chevron's 12 proposed well? Attached as Exhibits 8-3, 8-4, 8-5 and 8-6, 13 Α. those are the decline curves for the four active 2nd 14 15 Bone Spring horizontals that are in the red polygons. 16 There are three Endurance wells: Stratocaster 1H, 4H, 17 Caza Copperline 1H, and the Endurance Telecaster 3H. 18 I've put the cline curve parameters we use. We have a 19 B factor of 1.4; initial cline, 99.1; and a minimum 20 cline of 6 percent. So that gave us EUR that you see in 21 black for each of those polygons. We looked at the 22 average recovery versus the oil in place. Took the four 23 wells, came up with an average recovery for four 24 polygons, 13.71 percent of original oil in place. 25 We then took the oil-in-place numbers we

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 187 calculated for the proposed Endurance north-south well 1 2 and the proposed east-west Chevron well, applied the 3 13.71 percent recovery factor to that oil in place. The 4 Endurance well yielded an EUR of 3365,000 barrels. The Chevron Bell Lake well yielded an EUR of 289,000 barrels 5 of oil. 6 7 So the point of the exercise was to try and apply a volumetric approach to estimate what the 8 9 recovery should be either from a north-south or 10 east-west. 11 Ο. And what result did you -- do your calculations 12 make? 13 Α. Well, the north-south Endurance well, like I 14 said, is going to have an EUR of 365,000 barrels versus 15 281,000 or 282,000 barrels for each Chevron well. So 16 that's 83,000 more barrels recovered by the Endurance 17 north-south well versus the Chevron east-west proposed 18 well. 19 Ο. Why did you choose four wells that you chose? 20 Α. Well, because they're active producers in the 21 2nd Bone Spring. 22 And as far as drilling activity on this particular pile of sand we have mapped, in Section 30, 23 24 which is where one of the red polygons are, that's the 25 Telecaster 3H -- the 4H well has now since been

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 188 completed. The Stratocaster 2H, which is the east 1 2 offset to the 1H, has been drilled, has not been 3 completed. And then the Caza would be in the 1H 4 location, is currently drilled in the 2nd Bone Spring. 5 The one well on the east side, it's in there because it's on the map. It's an east-west well. It's a 3rd 6 7 Bone Spring well that Mewbourne drilled, and it's a poor 8 well.

I've also -- if you're interested, I have 9 10 the daily production volumes for those four wells. They 11 have more -- they have a lot of history at this point, 12 several months. But Chevron, I know, looked at IPs. 13 Just to give you a flavor for the guality of these 14 wells: The Stratocaster 1H, 58 days of production; cum 15 oil, 41,000 barrels; the Telecaster 30-3H, 52 days of 16 production; cum oil 35,272 --

17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Where are you looking? 18 THE WITNESS: I've got these. If you want 19 this data, I can make it available to you. Do you have 20 the decline curves?

21EXAMINER EZEANYIM:Is there a completion?22THE WITNESS:No.23this data with Chevron before.

24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: But is it a part of 25 your exhibits?

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 189 THE WITNESS: It's not in there. You have 1 2 the decline curves and the monthly production as 3 reported to the OCD. Those are in the exhibits. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What do you have there? 4 5 THE WITNESS: This is the actual initial 30 to 50 days of production for each of these new wells, so 6 7 you can see what kind of wells we're talking about here. 8 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I would like to see that, if you don't mind, Mr. Feldewert. I would like to 9 10 see the IPs that you have. Do you have any objection? 11 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, if you want 12 to see that, that's fine. I would ask that we get 13 copies. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, yeah, if you have 15 it. 16 THE WITNESS: Those are the daily 17 production vibes [sic] for when the well was brand-new. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Which well is this? 19 Which well are you talking about? Most of the wells. 20 THE WITNESS: So there are four polygons we 21 calculated the oil in place for. These are the four 22 wells. 23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Oh, okay. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay? So let's just pick 25 one: The Stratocaster 1H. So that well cumed 41,000

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 190 barrels of oil in the first 58 days. If you go to your 1 2 isopach map --3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I had to see where 4 you're -- which one -- where is it? I need to see it. THE WITNESS: Stratocaster 20-1H 5 (indicating). So it's Section 20. That's this well 6 7 right here (indicating) that we did volumetrics on. 8 That's that well (indicating). 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Now, the other obvious big issue here is the 10 Α. 11 discussion about what is the geologic event here. 12 Obviously, we don't think there is anything unique about Section 18. Geologically, it's set up by default. It's 13 14 been known forever. We did do a geomechanical study, 15 and we have the analysis from the actual frack results 16 from these wells on this pile of sand to help indicate 17 which stress orientation is going on here. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: This well is a 19 north-south well, right? 20 THE WITNESS: They're all north-south 21 wells. 22 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Let's get this 23 straightened out. Go back to 8-1. I know the ones that 24 are proposed in red. The rest are in black. Those 25 wells are already producing, right, in Sections 20,

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 191 29? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is the 3 Telecaster 3H well, which is in Section 30. It's got a 4 red square around it. That's one of the wells on 5 Exhibit 8-1 that we did a volumetric on. That's the table in the right hand. 6 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Let's go here 8 (indicating). 9 THE WITNESS: Right. So the first two 10 wells on that list are in red. Okay? That's the two 11 proposed wells, Endurance versus Chevron. The third 12 well is the Stratocaster 1H. That's this red polygon --13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, I see it. 14 THE WITNESS: -- in Section 20. 4H is the 15 other red polygon in Section 20. The Caza Copperline is 16 the red polygon down here in Section 29, and the 17 Telecaster 3H is the red polygon in Section 30. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And all those are 19 north-south? 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: The only one that is 22 east-west is the Chevron Bell Lake? 23 THE WITNESS: The proposed well. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah. 25 THE WITNESS: At the time they permitted

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 192 that well, there were nine approved north-south permits 1 2 on this pile of sand already existing. 3 And like I said, we have two other engineers here. We did do a geomechanical study on the 4 stress orientation, and we also have a history match on 5 actual frack data to further support the orientation. 6 7 We have two other engineers here to testify about it. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Go ahead. 8 9 Ο. (BY MR. PADILLA) I lost track, Mr. Sirgo. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I'm sorry. I'm sorry I 11 interrupted. 12 Ο. (BY MR. PADILLA) I lost track of where we were. 13 Did you get through 8-6? 14 Α. Well, like I said, the decline curves, the 15 actual reported monthly production is in there. That's 16 where the new [sic] oil column came from. 17 Since there's been so much talk about our 18 previous EUR study -- the only thing we did was go out 19 and find every Bone Spring well we could find in the We didn't -- we didn't rate them versus being on 20 area. 21 3 months, 6 months, 12 months. We were just looking for 22 all the wellbores in the area. 23 And probably -- you know, if you've been to 24 any industry talks on the Bone Spring, there's always a 25 slide, and there's a presentation about east-west versus

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 193 1 north-south, and everybody accumulates all this 2 production data. And we keep seeing the same exhibits, 3 where one orientation dominates the other orientation. 4 That's about the extent of the effort we put in to 5 identifying what we did there.

And the point of Exhibit 9 is just that 6 7 Caza, who releases a lot of public information, did the same thing in basically the same study area, the 3rd 8 Bone Spring, and came up with the same conclusion, that 9 10 east-west wells did poorer than north-south wells. And 11 maybe the only thing relative about that is we've got an 12 east-west 3rd Bone well on our east news [sic] line, 13 east of the sand pile, that's a fairly poor well by our 14 standards.

15 Q. You're pointing to an exhibit that shows that 16 well. Can you -- what exhibit were you --

17 So on Exhibit 6, Randall's isopach map, the Α. 18 east-west well in Section 21 is actually a 3rd Bone 19 Spring well that Mewbourne drilled. And the only point 20 being that public data for the same area that Caza put 21 out there said that east-west wells were poorer than 22 north-south wells in the 3rd Bone Spring. 23 Do you find any difference in the stress Ο.

24 orientation in the 1st, 2nd or 3rd Bone Spring?

25

A. No, not in this area. And I think we can get

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 194 our engineers on here to talk about work we've done for 1 2 geomechanical modeling. I think that will help 3 everybody. And they're going to talk about the 2nd Bone 4 Q. 5 Spring? Α. 6 Correct. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Who is going to talk about it? Who? 8 9 MR. RITTER: I will. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I thought he is an 11 engineer. 12 THE WITNESS: He is. We have three 13 engineers here. 14 MR. RITTER: Two and a chemist. 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Good. 16 MR. PADILLA: Pass the witness. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Feldewert? MR. PADILLA: Before that, let me move the 18 introduction of exhibits -- all of Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 19 20 9. 21 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 22 MR. FELDEWERT: No. 23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 8 and 9 will be 24 admitted. 25 (Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Numbers 8

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 195 and 9 were offered and admitted into 1 2 evidence.) EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Then what do we do with 3 4 this information? Mr. Feldewert, if you don't mind, we 5 can copy these two pieces of paper. 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, we have copies. 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: You have a copy? 8 MR. PADILLA: We can mark that as Exhibit 9 15. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: No objection to that? 11 MR. FELDEWERT: No. 12 MR. PADILLA: Move the introduction of 13 that. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibit 15 will be 14 15 admitted. And this is Endurance. (Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Number 15 16 17 was offered and admitted into evidence.) 18 MR. PADILLA: Before we move on, I have 19 another exhibit I'd like to introduce through Mr. Sirgo. 20 (BY MR. PADILLA) Mr. Sirgo, I admitted Exhibit Q. 21 Number 7. Will you identify that for the Examiner, 22 please? What is that? 23 Exhibit 7 is a map of approximately eight Α. 24 townships in Lea County, New Mexico. It identifies 25 Endurance's acreage position in green. It identifies

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 196 the north half of Section 18, with the section that's 1 being discussed here today. It's identified in red. 2 And the wells in red -- or the wells that have red 3 circles on them are Chevron permitted or drilled wells. 4 Are any of those wells of Chevron that you show 5 0. oriented east-west? 6 7 Only the proposed Bell Lake well that we're Α. talking about today. 8 Did you prepare this exhibit or have it 9 0. prepared under your supervision? 10 People under my supervision. 11 Α. MR. PADILLA: We'd move the admission of 12 13 Exhibit 7. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibit 7 will be 14 15 admitted. (Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Number 7 16 was offered and admitted into evidence.) 17 MR. PADILLA: Pass the witness. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Feldewert? 19 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FELDEWERT: 21 22 Mr. Sirgo, I'm looking at Exhibit Number 8. Ο. That's your volumetric study? 23 24 Α. Correct. 25 If I'm understanding the four wells, there are Q.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 197 two in Section 20, one in Section 29, one in Section 30? 1 That's the four active wells included in the 2 Α. 3 study. If I go to example page 83 -- 8-3, does that 4 Q. 5 relate to one of those --Correct. So that's the Stratocaster 20-1H. 6 Α. And how long is that well on production that 7 0. 8 you utilized? That looks like it came on in September. We've 9 Α. got the actual first start date. No, it's not on there. 10 Where did I have it? 11 12 Looks like almost two months. Q. Well, we have through January data, so --13 Α. 14 I'm talking about on this particular map. 0. On that curve, it's -- so that looks like it 15 Α. has January 2014 on it, and that well --16 So looking at Exhibit 8-3, what does it 17 0. indicate, in terms of a time frame, that you're 18 19 utilizing in your analysis for the production period? September, October, November, December and 20 Α. 21 January. 22 Ο. So is that reflected on here? 23 Α. Well, this curve is a monthly curve. And it looks like they stopped, roughly, in 24 Q. January; did it not? 25

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 198 1 Α. Correct. So that's a few months. What about the next 2 Ο. one, 8-4? I'm just looking at your exhibit. 3 4 Α. Correct. How many months are reflected on there for 5 Q. production? 6 So that's the Stratocaster 20-4. That would be 7 Α. October, November, December, January. 8 Three or four months? 9 Ο. 10 Α. Four months. Again, moving to 8-5, how long has that well 11 Q. been on production? 12 That's the Telecaster 3H. That's a brand-new 13 Α. well. So some of December and January. 14 15 Ο. And then --16 Α. Copperline. 17 Q. Six, yes? November, December and January. 18 Α. And so none of your wells produced -- that you 19 Q. utilized produced any longer than four months, at most? 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Ο. With respect to -- I want you to lay out Exhibit Number 5 in front of you and then Exhibit Number 23 24 6, please. 25 Α. Okay.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 199 And then I want you to go to our notebook and 1 Q. 2 lay out Exhibit Number 13. 3 Α. 15? 4 0. 13. 5 Α. Oh, sorry. Okay. So we have laid out in front of us Endurance 6 Q. 7 Exhibit Number 5, Endurance Exhibit Number 6? 8 MR. FELDEWERT: And then, Mr. Examiner, I 9 laid out Chevron Exhibit Number 13. 10 (BY MR. FELDEWERT) Now, with respect to your 0. 11 first well, the Stratocaster #1H, that is in Section 20? 12 Α. 20. Correct. 13 Ο. If I'm looking at Chevron's Exhibit Number 13, 14 that's off that structural high and into Section 20, 15 right? It's in the west half of the west half of 20. 16 Α. 17 Q. It comes off the structural high there? 18 Α. Well, I assume this entire thing is a structure 19 that you're showing (indicating). 20 If I look at your Exhibit Number 5, it comes Q. 21 off that structural high you show in Exhibit 8 into 22 Section 20. That's where your well is? 23 Our well is right there in the west half-west Α. 24 half. 25 Q. It's off the structural -- off the structural

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 200 high? It's not off towards the crest, right? 1 I guess that's correct. 2 Α. And you have a second well in Section 20? Q. 3 East half of the east half of Section 20. Α. Δ East half of the east half. So it's way on the 5 0. east half of Section 20, and that's way off the 6 structural crest, right? 7 It's down dip. 8 Α. It's not on the crest. It's on the -- as you 9 Ο. fall off the crest? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And if I go down to Section 29, it's the same 12 0. 13 thing; is it not? It's not on the structural crest. It's as you fall off the crest? 14 15 Α. Correct. And then Section 30 -- where is your well in 16 0. 17 Section --West half of the east half? It's on the --18 Α. It it's on the crest with the same structural feature. 19 runs all the way through there. 20 21 And that's what you show there? 0. 22 Α. Correct. And if I look over at Chevron Exhibit Number 23 0. 13, it's based on seismic. They don't show the 24 25 structure going down into Section 30, do they?

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 201 Well, you know, there are two really strong 1 Α. data points. There is a toe-and-heel well there in the 2 3 logs. So those structural points in Section 30 are off log data, not off --4 Now, if I then look at your Exhibit Number 6, 5 Q. this sand pile that you talk about these wells being 6 7 completed in --8 Α. Correct. -- that sand pile exists in Section 20, right? 9 Ο. Correct. 10 Α. 11 Q. And then down into 30? Correct. 12 Α. And that's been the focus of your development? 13 Ο. Correct. 14 Α. Now, that sand pile that you show here doesn't 15 0. exist up in the north half of Section 18, does it? 16 No. We have two data points there with the 17 Α. 18 sand that's standing up. In fact, it shows up there -- the data points 19 Ο. we have in Section 18 show a sand of 54, 54 and 48? 20 21 Α. Right. Those are the only data points, right? 22 Q. Well, you have one just northeast of it that's 23 Α. 24 35. 25 0. So a different sand -- we don't have a sand

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 202 pile there that you keep talking about? 1 2 Well, the sand pile is diminishing to the Α. 3 northwest, and it's diminishing to the north. And 4 that's based off of log data. 5 The wells that you utilized are not -- that are 0. in what you call the sand pile don't exist up in Section 6 7 18? Oh, part of it exists in 18. Our isopach 8 Α. 9 indicates that. That's why we chose the location we 10 chose. 11 Q. And that isopach you're talking about --Exhibit 6. 12 Α. If I'm looking at Exhibit 6, I show a data 13 Ο. 14 point of 54? 15Correct. Α. 16 And you show a different sand depth there than Q. 17 you show -- the identical data point of 54 in the middle 18 of Section --19 Α. That's our PETRA map. So if we pull it in too 20 tight, it would have shrunk -- it would have shrunk the 21 acreage going north and west even more so. 22 Something's not right there; don't you think? 0. 23 You're showing the same number with different depths? 24 Α. Well, it would be negative to your position. 25 You're showing the same number with different Q.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 203 depths? 1 2 Α. It's not different depth. It's a different 3 thickness. But you don't have the concentration of sand 4 Ο. that you have -- in your wells that you've just 5 6 completed, correct? 7 Α. Well, you can see from our isopach; the sand thins a little bit as it goes northwest. 8 9 Ο. Let's talk about that. You want to orient your 10 well north-south, correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Ο. You want to include federal acreage in the 13 south half of Section 18 and federal acreage in the 14 north half of Section 18? 15 Α. State fee acreage. 16 Ο. With fee acreage in the north half. 17 Α. Right. 18 Q. And based on your map, you're showing a greater 19 amount of sand in the federal acreage than you show in 20 the fee acreage, right? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 You don't think the BLM's going to have an Q. 23 issue with you mixing the more productive sands, as you 24 show it in the south half of 18, with less productive sands in the north half of 18? 25

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 204 1 Α. I don't think so. Are you going to be able to testify, Mr. Sirgo, 2 Q. 3 that a north-south well is going to contribute 4 equally -- that all 40-acre tracts are going to 5 contribute equally to the wellbore based on how you've 6 mapped it? 7 Α. Well, I think --Q. You can't say that, can you? 8 9 Α. No, you can't say that. You've got a hundred 10 isopach. 11 Q. So the isopach says based on how you map it, if 12 they create a stand-up well in the east half-east half 13 of Section 18, the south half of that acreage is going 14 to contribute more than the north half of that acreage, 15 correct? 16 Well, you would have to say that based on the Α. 17 sand. 18 MR. FELDEWERT: Pass the witness. 19 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any questions? 20 MR. PADILLA: No. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 23 Mr. Sirgo, let's go to Exhibit 8-1. So what Q. 24 are you trying to achieve there in Sections 23, 29 and 25 30 --

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 205 Α. Correct. 1 2 0. They are the northeast wells. Anyway, they are 3 producing. These black ones are producing now for about 4 four to six months, right? 5 Right. Α. 6 Ο. Now, let's go to the red ones that have been a 7 point of contention here. When you orient your well 8 east-west, your original oil in place, it's less than 9 when you go north-south? 10 Based on our isopach. You have less the net Α. 11 feet of pay in that polygon. 12 And that was -- okay. Ο. 13 Α. It's a box, yes. 14 And because the original oil in place is more, Ο. 15 you cover more --I get more net feet of pay in the north-south 16 Α. 17 well than I get in the east-west well. 18 Even though it doesn't have the same factor. Ο. 19 If we go -- what you are trying to insinuate is if you 20 go north-south, you hit more oil? 21 Correct, regardless of stress orientation. Α. 22 Now, the other one is in Sections 20, 29, 30. Ο. 23 They are all north-south? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Q. Okay. Now, how did you calculate your initial

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 206 water situation? 1 I took it off the core data on the second 2 Α. 3 exhibit. We have --So that's really the -- you didn't calculate it 4 Q. 5 by equation? 6 Α. I have five sidewall cores. Just averaged the 7 five cores. And then on your 8-2, what is the yellow? Is 8 Ο. 9 that yellow? 10 Α. That's the five 2nd Bone Spring sidewall cores. 11 Q. What? What? 12 Α. The area that's highlighted? Okay. So it's -they have core numbers on the left, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 13 14 and then they have the depth that the core was taken. 15 Okay? So those five cores are all 2nd Bone Spring sidewall cores. 16 17 And we are talking about 2nd Bone Spring, all Ο. 18 these wells? 19 Α. All this is 2nd Bone Spring. 20 Q. And this is how you calculate your decline curve? 21 22 That's where we got the EUR after we calculated Α. 23 the oil in place for each of those wells. 24 Q. Okay. Very good. 25 Let's go to Exhibit Number 9. You

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 207 calculated it. How did you get those numbers for 1 east-west and north-south? 2 3 Α. Exhibit Number 9? This is public data that was released by this company, Caza. 4 5 By who? Ο. Caza Petroleum. Α. 6 7 Okay. They released -- which pool are these Q. wells coming from? 8 9 Oh, it's a big study area similar to all these Α. 10 EUR study areas we've been talking about, except that 11 they were focused on the 3rd Bone, not the 2nd Bone. 12 0. So this is their study? The whole point of this was we've got 13 Α. Yeah. 14 people looking at the 3rd Bone in the same area we're 15 looking at for stress orientation in the 2nd Bone, and 16 they're seeing the same relationship between north-south 17 and east-west. And the only significant point to that 18 is on our immediate acreage area, we have an east-west 19 well right on our east leaseline, right here 20 (indicating), that Mewbourne drilled in the 3rd Bone and 21 it's a poor well. So my point being, the stress orientation thing is not unique to Section 18, and it's 22 23 not unique to the 2nd Bone Spring. 24 So Mewbourne didn't indicate what sections or Q. 25 what townships?

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 208 Α. Right. Right. 1 They just said 3rd Bone Spring? 2 Q. 3 They talked 3rd Bone Spring, and this -- you Α. know, this map that they did that's colored here is --4 5 you know, they've got these bubble maps. 6 0. Point being? 7 The whole point is it's just public data that Α. 8 was released that someone compared north-south, east-west in the 3rd Bone, in the same general area of 9 10 Lea County that we're in. 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Maybe somebody is going 12 to talk about 10-1. 13 MR. PADILLA: Yes. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Let me see if I 15 have any more questions. 16 Okay. You may step down. 17 Call your next witness. 18 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we call Lyle 19 Lehman. 20 LYLE LEHMAN, 21 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 22 questioned and testified as follows: 23 24 25

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 209 DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. PADILLA: 3 Mr. Lehman, have you testified before the Oil Q. Conservation Commission? 4 5 This is my first time. Α. Have you testified before the Texas Railroad 6 Ο. 7 Commission as an engineer? 8 Α. Yes, several times. 9 And have you been qualified as an expert in 0. court cases? 10 11 Α. Yes, I have been. 12 Ο. In federal court? 13 Α. Not federal court. 14 Q. State courts? 15 Α. State court. 16 Ο. Where? 17 Texas. Α. 18 Q. How about any other states? 19 Wyoming. Α. 20 Wyoming. Q. 21 And in what kind of cases were you 22 qualified as an expert? 23 Typically they've been in stimulation cases Α. 24 where there has been some kind of incompatibilities or 25 some kind of -- fracking over into other acreage, things

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 210 There is a name -- legal term for that, but 1 like that. I don't know what it is. 2 Tell us what you do for a living. 3 Q. Okay. I'm the managing principal consultant Α. 4 for StrataGen, which is a wholly owned subsidiary for 5 CARBO Ceramics. 6 7 What do you do? Q. I'm a stimulation expert. Α. 8 And do you do work related to stress 9 Ο. orientation and things of that nature? 10 I don't do so much stress orientation as much 11 Α. as I do stress environment in frack -- in the well. 12 Ι 13 help optimize profit placement in unconventional and conventional wells. 14 Have you made a study for Endurance with regard 15 Ο. to preparation for this hearing? 16 Yes, I did, and I don't have it. 17 Α. 18 It should be up there. Q. MR. PADILLA: If I may approach the 19 witness? 20 21 THE WITNESS: Am I missing it? Oh, yeah, 22 here it is. Sorry about that. MR. PADILLA: We're taking this out of 23 24 order, Mr. Examiner. 25 Q. (BY MR. PADILLA) Tell us what Exhibit 12 is.

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 211 1 Α. This is --2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Excuse me. You work 3 for Endurance? THE WITNESS: No. I'm a consultant. 4 5 MR. PADILLA: Let me finish his qualifications. I'm sorry. 6 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Yeah, that's what I 8 want. 9 I have a bachelor of science in chemistry from Α. the University of Oklahoma. It's not in Texas; it's not 10 11 A & M. And I have worked for several major service 12 companies. I'm considered an expert in data analysis of 13 stimulation, and I've got 37-and-a-half years in the 14 industry. I have about two dozen STU [sic] papers; I 15 have seven patents, all in the area of stimulation. 16 I've done a lot of expert-witness work. And I don't 17 know. What else do you want to know? 18 MR. PADILLA: Can we tender Mr. Lehman as 19 an expert? 20 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 21 MR. FELDEWERT: Expert in what? 22 MR. PADILLA: In petroleum engineering. 23 THE WITNESS: Or stimulation. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Stimulation. 25 MR. FELDEWERT: I'm trying to figure out --

### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 212 1 he has a degree in chemistry. 2 THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. And you're trying to 3 4 be qualified as an expert in petroleum --5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm a trained engineer. I don't have a degree in engineering. 6 7 MR. PADILLA: He's an expert in fracture stimulation. 8 9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? 10 MR. FELDEWERT: As an expert in fracture 11 stimulation? 12 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. FELDEWERT: You said you have a degree in chemistry? 14 Q. 15 Α. Uh-huh. You said you don't do stress orientation? 16 Ο. 17 No. That's not my -- normally it's Α. 18 stimulation. That's geomechanics issues. 19 All right. So you're just -- you're just here Q. to talk about fracture-stimulation issues? 20 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 And what's your -- do you have -- do you have Q. 23 any degrees that bear on that? 24 I have years of training and experience that Α. 25 bear on that, extensive frack-modeling work.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 213 With whom? 1 Q. 2 Frack-modeling work? With StrataGen, Pinnacle Α. 3 Technologies, my own firm, and Halliburton. 4 0. Do you have a CV here today at all? 5 Α. No, but I can get you one. 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I really 7 don't have enough on his background to be able to 8 examine him. He doesn't have a degree --9 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: What are you saying? 10 MR. FELDEWERT: I don't see where he's 11 qualified to be an expert fracture stimulation. I don't 12 see where they have come forth with any evidence to 13 indicate he has any expertise in this area. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Do you object to 15 him testifying to fracture stimulation? 16 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, until he's able to 17 show us how he has expertise in that. 18 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. This is -- this 19 is not, you know, a good meeting [sic], and I think I 20 need to get all the information. I may have to give 21 some time due process, let us hear him and see what he's 22 going to say. 23 MR. FELDEWERT: It's your decision. Ι 24 understand. 25 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Let's hear him today.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 214 This is not relying on him -- I'm not relying on him to 1 say something. You may choose not to cross-examine him 2 3 because you can't, but I think I will allow him to testify. 4 5 MR. FELDEWERT: I understand. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Would you say your 6 7 name? 8 THE WITNESS: Lyle Lehman. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. You will be able 9 10 to testify to fracture stimulation. 11 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. PADILLA: Mr. Lehman, what is Exhibit 12? 13 Ο. 14 Α. It is a short presentation on some academic and 15 then a pressure match and production history, March data 16 showing the difference between stimulating into the maximum -- or drilling a well into the minimum stress 17 18 direction and stimulating the maximum stress direction 19 versus drilling a well into a maximum stress direction 20 and having a longitudinal fracture. 21 Q. How does that relate to the dispute in this case, drilling east-west versus north-south? 22 23 Well, as I understand it, the maximum stress Α. 24 direction in the area of the sand pile, as it's 25 poetically called, is in an east-west orientation. And

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 215 1 the wells are drilled to the north, much like they are 2 in the Grant Wash and the Texas Panhandle, and much like they are in other formations in the Bach and other areas 3 where I have some experience. And it's quite common to 4 5 fracture -- to design wells that way and to stimulate in that way more than to try to take advantage of exposing 6 7 the formation, because the impact of completing these is a combination of contact and conductivity. 8 9 In a formation like the Bone Spring? Ο. 10 Like the Bone Spring or, you know, similar to Α. 11 like the Eagle Ford, like the Bakken, the Barnett, any 12 of these that are below a -- below a millidarcy. 13 Let's go to the second page of Exhibit 12. Ο. 14 What do you show in that? Α. 15 This is an academic relationship that was 16 established in the Society of Petroleum Engineering 17 Paper 133985. The principal author is Bob Shelley. The 18 second author is Mohamad Salman, who is currently the head of the Petroleum Engineering Department at Texas 19 20 Tech. 21 Who is Mr. Shelley? Ο. 22 Α. Pardon? 23 0. Who is Mr. Shelley? Mr. Shelley? He's an associate of mine at 24 Α. 25 StrataGen. He's a professional engineer.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 216 1 And anyway, it shows the relationship 2 between how the impact of permeability has on the 3 percentage of recovery over ten years versus well type. 4 And it starts off with the 5 millidarcy case, with a 5 vertical well, where you just mainly perforate it. And you may ask -- BillMatrix [phonetic; sic] asks the 6 7 stimulation, and then if you did a frack job, how much more improvement production you would have. And then if 8 9 you just laid a horizontal in it unstimulated, that 10 would give the most impact, because as you go beyond 11 that, if you fracked it under 5 millidarcy -- because 12 you really don't improve the well in a 5 millidarcy 13 case. 14 Q. Let's be sure we understand that. The light blue columns are what? 15 The medium blue in the back? 16 Α. 17 Q. Right. 18 Α. Yeah. They're the 5 millidarcy case. So 19 you've got a vertical well with a, quote, "natural 20 completion," a vertical well that's fracked, a 21 horizontal well that's not stimulated, a horizontal well 22 that would be -- like in our case, it would be an 23 east-west well. That's just like, basically, an open 24 hole, and then a horizontal with -- turned around 25 north-south, in our case, with five transverse fracks

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS
Page 217 and then horizontal with 11 transverse fracks. In other 1 words, these are all built on a 40-acre spacing, and it 2 would be that you go from 5 to 11, so a little bit more 3 than double the frequency of your stimulation. 4 And the red is? 5 0. The red is you've moved it one order of 6 Α. magnitude lower on permeability to half a millidarcy. 7 And you see that the well performance starts to require 8 9 that you need more and more stimulation, and you work 10 towards having a horizontal well. The third one is .05 millidarcy rock, and 11 it shows that the only way that you have a performing 12 well is that you have 11 transverse fracks in a 13 14 horizontal. And then the 5 microdarcy case, the light 15 16 blue, shows the same thing. 17 And one way of looking at it is the 5 microdarcy case still has -- with 11 transverse fracks, 18 outperforms five hundredths of a millidarcy, five 19 transverse fracks. And, of course, the red arrow at the 20 bottom shows as you go into these more unconventional 21 type of reservoirs, you do increase your well costs. 22 This is theoretical on this one? 23 Ο. 24 Yeah, this is theoretical. Α. 25 Q. Let's go on to the next page.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 218 So the next page is -- we took the 40 days of 1 Α. production from the Telecaster 30 Fed 3H, which is a 2 3 very short time. I mean, I think everybody in this room has heard enough to know that 40 days of time is what we 4 5 had available to us, and we -- the history matched the 6 performance as closely as we could, and this is a table 7 of some of the results, which we have a 3,000-foot We were assuming it would run 40 acres. 8 lateral. The 9 reservoir pressure is 4,610, which is a normal pressure 10 The bottom-hole pressure minimum to drawdown gradient. 11 is 300 psi. That would be at abandonment. 12 The effective permeability was high in this case because we've got early data. So in order to get a 13 14 match, we had to run our permeability up. Porosity at 12 percent, water saturation at 40 percent, which is 15 16 what Manny's core analysis showed. The fracture half-lengths are 170 feet. 17 18 That's part of the match from the reservoir model. When we put -- what I've done for Caza and for Endurance is I 19 20 have pressure-matched the frack treatments on about five 21 wells in the 2nd and 3rd Bone. And we have a pretty good mechanical earth model that we're using now, and 22 23 it's showing a fracture length. But we're also getting 24 back to actual useful length, which in this case is 170 25 feet per wing of the fracture. Okay?

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1 So in other words, we probably have 2 proppant out in the 400-foot range, but only 170 feet of 3 it is productive. We're contributing according to the 4 40 days of data.

5 We're showing that we have a propped fracked height of 105 feet, and then we have a fracture 6 7 conductivity of 40.2 millidarcy feet. This is higher than a lot of the other operators, I understand, because 8 9 both Caza and Endurance use ceramic proppant, which 10 yields a lot higher conductivity. And then, of course, 11 there would be 12 fracture stages, but there are 3 12 clusters per stage, so there are 36 fracks going on in 13 the zone.

14 Q. You talked about conductivity. What do you 15 mean by that?

A. That's the ability to flow fluids. It's akin to permeability. It's the ability to flow fluids -- or reservoir fluids through the proppant. And it's the induced fracture.

In their case, when they're talking about natural fractures, they're hoping -- they're hoping that their natural fractures will have either -- you can call it a natural completion like permeability, but if they -- if they dilate them, which, in theory, can cause shear dilation, it would be conductivity.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

fa7c9cf3-d457-4bd9-823f-d3f9fc4f3d45

Page 219

Page 220 Did you do a study in this same area for Caza? 1 Ο. Yes. 2 Α. 3 Q. When? I've done two for them, and I've also evolved Α. 4 frack designs for them, which we are going to do on the 5 next well. 6 7 Ο. For Caza? Α. For Caza. 8 And you're also consulting with Endurance on 9 Ο. frack stimulation? 10 Yes. They initiated -- they actually got an 11 Α. initial design from Halliburton, and I tweaked it and 12 approved it based what we have learned through my time 13 14 and expertise in other fields, looking at this 15 permeability range and the reservoir pressure range. 16 And then at the bottom of this page, what 17 we're showing is -- again, what I said earlier is the name of the game in these types of reservoirs is contact 18 19 and conductivity. And in terms of contact, if you had a north-south well, with 36 fractures, with 170 foot of 20 effective propped length per wing, times two wings, with 21 22 105 feet of effective proppant height, you've got 1.285 23 million square feet of contact in the reservoir. 24 If you rotated that well to east-west, 25 assuming you can prop the entire net, which is very

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 221 optimized -- and I've done a lot of frack jobs in that 1 type of scenario. And it's very difficult to do, 2 3 because the fracks tend to run into each other as you stage back toward the hill, and you get a lot of 4 rotation and a lot of really bad geometry going on. 5 The best you could get, if you propped the 3,000-foot 6 7 lateral with all 160 foot of net pay, would be 480,000 square feet of contact. 8 What does that mean in terms of oil recovery? 9 Ο. That means -- remember, the combination is 10 Α. 11 contact and conductivity. What we did is we zeroed out 12 conductivity. We're saying we have the same 13 conductivity in both fracture scenarios. This says that you probably have a -- they're different in ratio in 14 15 production of about a ten-year period. You would 16 produce that much more oil out of the north-south --17 north-south wellbore, east-west fracture. 18 What you're saying is that an east-west well Q. just simply won't recover as much oil as the 19 20 north-south? 21 Α. It's not possible. 22 Ο. What's on the next page? 23 Α. Next the page is the match. In the course, again, it's 40 days for production and -- well, 37, 40 24 25 days. And the important part is that the lines are

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 222 pretty much parallel, and they meet at the end point. 1 2 And then as we have more data, these matches get better. 3 And, unfortunately, the reservoir results tend to decrease a little bit. 4 5 Then the last page is forecasting the 6 production over a ten-year period for the two scenarios. 7 The blue is a north-south well trajectory, with 36 8 fracks, using the matched -- the current data, 9 state-of-the-day, as we have now, 37, 40 days of data, 10 what we would forecast the production to be. The red is 11 an optimized case with an east-west trajectory. And as 12 you can see, it's showing about -- guite a bit more production from the north-south wellbore direction. 13 14Ο. It's almost twice as much? 15 Almost twice as much. Α. 16 Or a little bit more than twice as much? Q. 17 Α. Yeah, a little bit more when you've got --18 Q. And for the record, where are these numbers 19 shown on this last page? 20 Α. What do you mean? 21 Q. Where exactly on this last page are you 22 referring to --23 Α. You mean how to read the graph? 24 No, no, no. The table. Q. 25 Α. Oh, the table. So I had my reservoir engineer

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 223 put them on there, so we could read them, instead of 1 2 interpreting them off of the chart. You mentioned that you have patents. Do you 3 Q. have patents with regard to fracture stimulation? 4 Yes. All seven of my patents are for fracture 5 Α. stimulation. 6 7 0. Is there any scenario -- you've been here all day long, right? 8 9 Α. Uh-huh. 10 Q. And have you listened to the Chevron witnesses? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ο. Is there any scenario under which you would agree that, with respect to Section 18, you would advise 13 14 drilling such a well east-west? 15 The only way that I would do that is if I knew Α. for sure that the maximum stress direction was north and 16 17 south. 18 Ο. Is there any information out there that you have otherwise that would tell you that the stress 19 20 direction is north-south? Not that I'm aware of. And I've looked at the 21 Α. world stress map output, and I've also looked at 22 23 other -- at the other exhibits that you have coming up, 24 and I haven't seen anything. 25 Q. So on the Endurance wells that are north-south,

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 224 is it your opinion that they're oriented correctly? 1 2 Α. Yes. MR. PADILLA: I'll pass the witness and 3 move the introduction of Exhibit 12. 4 5 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any objection? MR. FELDEWERT: No objection. 6 7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. Exhibit 12 will be admitted. 8 (Endurance Resources, LLC Exhibit Number 12 9 was offered and admitted into evidence.) 10 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Before you say anything, Mr. Feldewert, let me ask a question. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 14 BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM: 15 Q. What's your name? 16 Α. Lyle Lehman. This is Exhibit Number 12, right --17 Q. 18 Α. Yes. -- the one you just --19 Q. 20 Α. Yeah. 21 Q. The study was on everything from -- the paper 22 is 133985? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Can you summarize what that paper says? What Q. 25 relation does it have to do with Section 18?

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 225 Α. It -- it -- it just sets the stage for the --1 so this paper was written in 2010. And before 2010, the 2 work in the Barnett, which GMI was involved in -- which 3 4 I'm not -- I didn't work for GMI. You know, people got together with geomechanical studies, and the idea that 5 we had to turn the rotation of wells from longitude --6 to produce longitudinal fractures to transverse 7 fractures was a function of permeability. It's also a 8 function of viscosity mobility. Really, it's functional 9 10 mobility. You know, it's --Yeah, I understand that. But now you analyzed 11 Ο. 12 that data and gave us some of your results. How do we 13 then begin to apply it in this township and this section 14 and all this, because as you know, I can drill east-west here and drill north-south? 15 16 Α. Right. 17 Does this paper address -- address those? Ο. 18 Well, you use the permeability range over on Α. 19 the bottom right. Is that the permeability? 20 Ο. 21 So you say, Well, you know, the Bakken Α. Yeah. 22 is .5. The Bone Spring is close to .05, and you just 23 kind of dial in what the plan would be. It gives you 24 the 30,000-foot view of how you would do it. 25 Okay. We're trying to extrapolate, right? Q.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 226 Yeah, just an overarching kind of plan. 1 Α. Yeah. 2 You know, because it's my duty to give due 0. 3 If I say, Well, let's not hear you, then that process. 4 might be -- but I'm glad we heard you. 5 And then you want to extend there -- you 6 just extrapolate into Section 18 or that township, 7 right? Pardon me? 8 Α. 9 You wanted to use this information (indicating) 0. 10 as an analogy to what we're doing in Section 18? 11 Α. Yes. Right. 12 That's what I want to understand. Ο. Okay. 13 That's good. 14 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Feldewert, do you 15 have any questions? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Lehman, you mentioned -- I'm looking at 18 0. Exhibit 12. You talked a little bit about oil recovery. 19 20 I'm looking at the third page of that exhibit. 21 Α. This one (indicating)? 22 Q. Yes. 23 If I'm understanding what you're saying here, these are factors that, from a stimulation 24 25 standpoint, will affect the recovery of the oil from the

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 227 wellbore? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. And so you have to keep these factors in mind when you're doing any kind of a study, correct? 4 Yeah. You can strain the models. You can bias 5 Α. 6 them pretty heavily. 7 Ο. And oil recovery is also dependent on the 8 geology of a particular area; is it not? 9 Α. Yes, it is. 10 Q. Based on permeability and conductivity and the 11 stimulation method you used, right? 12 Α. Number one is how big is the tank. Number two 13 is the bullet, permeability. 14 Ο. There you go. 15 And your completion method that you 16 utilized? 17 Α. Yes. If I look at, for example, Endurance's Exhibit 18 Ο. 19 Number 6 -- do you have that in front of you, that 20 colored map right there? 21 Α. Sure, I do. 22 If you look over there to the right of what 0. 23 they call their sand pile in Section 21 -- there is a Section 21. Do you see that? 24 25 Α. No. I don't look at these very often.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

|    | Page 228  |
|----|---|
| 1  | Q. See where you've got the red circle there?           |
| 2  | A. So 21 is in kind of a pink. Yeah, I got it. I        |
| 3  | got it. I'm with you. I'm with you.                     |
| 4  | Q. The blue and the green?                              |
| 5  | A. Yes, sir.  |
| 6  | Q. Now, that's kind of a different isn't that a         |
| 7  | different geologic setting that what we see in Section  |
| 8  | 20?   |
| 9  | A. It is, but for me looking at this, Section 20        |
| 10 | is this map is drawn on the 2nd Bone Spring, and the    |
| 11 | well in Section 21 is in the 3rd Bone Spring.           |
| 12 | Q. Yeah. That came out. I mean, they keep               |
| 13 | referencing that well in Section 21. First off, it's in |
| 14 | the 3rd Bone Spring Sand?                               |
| 15 | A. That's what I that's what this tells me.             |
| 16 | Q. And doesn't this tell you, also, that the            |
| 17 | geology is a little different for that particular well  |
| 18 | than what you perhaps see in Section 18?                |
| 19 | A. Section 18 is to the left.                           |
| 20 | Q. Let me step back. The geology in that                |
| 21 | particular area is different than what you would see,   |
| 22 | for example, in the 2nd Bone Spring, as they map it     |
| 23 | Section 20 or in Section 19 or down in Section 30?      |
| 24 | A. I assume. But you know what, I had one class         |
| 25 | in geology at O. U.                                     |
|    |   |

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 229 I understand. Ο. 1 I'm not a geologist. I am a stimulation 2 Α. 3 expert. At least you can see that, correct? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Yeah, I think I can. I am a layman, too. 6 Ο. Let's get to what you're talking about. 7 So I guess my point is the productivity and the success of that well --8 Uh-huh. 9 Α. 10 Ο. -- that they keep harping on, that east-to-west well --11 12 That's the Mewbourne well? Α. 13 Ο. -- could be a factor of the geology, correct? 14 Α. Absolutely. 15 Could be a factor of the completion methods? Q. 16 Α. More so it's going to be the reservoirs. 17 More so the reservoirs? Ο. Yeah. What you're going to find out is on your 18 Α. 19 mud logs, your heavy gas content is going to describe that reservoir quality better than anything. 20 21 Would you agree with me that the fracks that Ο. occur during the stimulation process tend to follow the 22 23 path of least resistance? 24 Absolutely. They have no other choice. Α. 25 And so knowing the natural fractures would be Ο.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 230 important in orienting your well? 1 Α. They also need to know what their opening 2 Yes. 3 pressure is. If I turn -- if I have you look at our exhibit 4 Ο. book, would you look at Exhibit Number 11, please? 5 Oh, I finally get to see it. Okay. This one? 6 Α. 7 Yeah. This is the exhibit in which Chevron --Ο. Yes. 8 9 Yeah, the 2nd Bone Spring and then the textbook Α. 10 look. 11 Ο. Using seismic, correct? 12 Α. That's what I've been -- that's what I heard 13 today. 14 Ο. And this shows, according to Chevron's expert in geology using seismic, that there is an anticline 15 structure on the top of 18. Do you see that? Remember 16 17 when we were talking about that? T remember that. 18 Α. Do you disagree with the fact that if there is 19 Ο. an anticline structure, as shown by the seismic, that 20 21 you're going to have a fold and that you're going to 22 have natural fractures --23 I've done enough tiltmeter mapping to tell you Α. 24 that is absolutely correct. 25 Q. That is absolutely --

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 231 I've done enough tiltmeter mapping in 1 Α. microseismic. 2 You were asked what circumstances would cause 3 Ο. you to drill an east-to-west well. Remember that? 4 5 I said -- and I said, if this was Α. Yeah. exactly correct (indicating) --6 7 Ο. You would drill an east-to-west well, right? I would. Α. 8 9 I'm with you on that one. Ο. 10 Now, you also mentioned that -- you seem to have some problem with, generally, east-to-west wells 11 versus north-south wells? 12 Uh-huh. 13 Α. But, again, that would depend upon geology, 14 Ο. would it not, and where you're at, what deposition? 15 It's more the geomechanics. It's a combination 16 Α. of several factors, but geology is probably the bigger 17 umbrella it's going to fit under. 18 Would you turn to what's been marked as Chevron 19 Ο. 20 Exhibit Number 30 for me, please? 21 Α. 30. 30. Okay. Were you here when Chevron's engineer was on 22 Ο. the stand and talked about the studies that he did in 23 areas that had, at least on appearance, similar geology, 24 similar completion methods, similar times frames and 25

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 232 similar lateral length? 1 2 Α. Right. 3 Q. And were you here for his testimony where he indicated that in those areas, at least for this study, 4 5 the east-to-west wells outperformed the north-south? I'm on Exhibit Number 31? 6 Oh, okay. Are you trying to mislead me? You 7 Α. told me 30. 8 9 Did I tell you 30? Ο. 10 Yeah. She'll (indicating) read it back to you. Α. 11 Q. Well, we can stay on 30. Which one do you want 12 to ---13 No, no. Let's do 31. Α. 14 You like 31? Okay. Let's go back. Let's go Q. 15 back to where I was when I said 30. Let's go back to 30. 16 17 Okay. Α. 18 Yeah. You were here when he conducted this --Ο. Yeah, yeah. 19 Α. 20 Q. -- right? 21 And he showed that the east-to-west wells 22 were outperforming the north-south wells? Right. And he gave us a little selective 23 Α. 24 carve-out. 25 In the area that had similar depositional Q.

## PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 233 features, similar completion methods, similar geology; 1 is that, correct? 2 3 Α. That's what he said. Do you have any reason to disagree with it? 4 Ο. 5 I have no reason to disagree or agree with it. Α. 6 Are you surprised by the result by that Q. 7 analysis? I wouldn't -- I am, but I don't think it tells 8 Α. 9 the whole story. Do you have any other story to tell outside of 10 Ο. 11 what's been presented here? 12 Α. Yeah. The only other thing I will tell you is and I didn't -- it's proprietary to Endurance and to 13 Caza, but in my net pressure matching, which you don't 14 seem to be so proud of my ability to do that, I have not 15 16 seen anything that indicates we have longitudinal 17 fractures. In other words, when we go from the toe to 18 stage 2 to stage 3 to stage 4, I see no collision, any 19 kind of torquing up. 20 0. Where? 21 Α. From the data that we're providing. 22 What data? Q. The frack data. 23 Α. 24 From where? Q. 25 Α. From the surface company.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 234 I'm trying to figure out where you are. Let's 1 Q. take a look at Exhibit --2 Α. Let me draw it out for you. 3 No. Let me ask you. I mean, let's look at 4 Ο. 5 exhibit -- the data that you're talking about. It's not -- it's not in any of your exhibits. 6 Α. 7 Q. It has nothing to --If your wellbore is this way and I'm fracking 8 Α. this way (demonstrating), the only time you see stage 1 9 10 talk to stage 2 is if the permeability is high and the 11 fluid invasion into that envelope is in the same area 12 where stage 2 is initiated. 13 0. Let me stop you there, Mr. Lehman, because my question is: Are you talking about any data with 14 15 respect to the area in question, which is Section 18? 16 Α. No. 17 MR. FELDEWERT: That's all the questions I 18 have. 19 THE WITNESS: Good enough for me. 20 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender 21 Mr. Lehman as an expert. I believe he would qualify as 22 an expert in stimulation. 23 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: We did qualify him as a 24 stimulation expert. 25 MR. PADILLA: Okay.

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 235 1 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Are you done? 2 MR. FELDEWERT: I am. Thank you. 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any cross for Mr. Lehman? 4 5 It appears we're not going to be done 6 today, so we are going to continue tomorrow. We can't 7 get it done today, because we have three witnesses, 8 right? 9 MR. PADILLA: Two. 10 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: We will continue 11 tomorrow with this, because this is very important. We'll continue at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow. 12 We have an engineer, again, coming? 13 14 MR. RITTER: Yes. 15 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: And a land person? 16 MR. RITTER: Yes. 17 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Because I think it's 18 fair -- I'm talking to both counsels -- for us to hear 19 everybody, since we're cutting it off here, because 20 there is no way we can finish by 5:00. So I hate to do 21 that, but we have to do it tomorrow. I have to cancel 22 my schedule. I have a meeting tomorrow, but I'm going 23 to cancel it and continue this at 9:00. Hopefully by 24 10:00 or 11:00, we can be done, hopefully, you know. Is 25 that going to pose to anybody -- of course, we need to

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 236 do it. We have to complete it. We're not done. 1 THE WITNESS: Are you done with me? 2 3 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: No. Well, I wanted to see before I get an agreement. 4 5 MR. PADILLA: That's fine. EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Mr. Feldewert, what do 6 7 you think? I know you have some work to do tomorrow. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I understand 8 9 you're canceling an appointment you have at 9:00 10 tomorrow. 11 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: No, no. I have an 12 appointment, but I'm going to cancel it, so we can 13 continue at 9:00. 14 MR. FELDEWERT: I had an appointment at 15 9:00, but I can get that canceled if that's --16 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Because we need to I'm sorry. I have to give due process to 17 finish. 18 everybody. I don't want to cut somebody off. That 19 might, you know, give you an opportunity to say 20 mistrial, even though this is not a trial. 21 So is it okay if we continue tomorrow at 22 9:00? 23 MR. PADILLA: Yes. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. The record will 25 reflect that we are going to continue at 9:00 tomorrow.

#### PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

Page 237 I'm sorry you have to cancel. 1 MR. FELDEWERT: That's fine. 2 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: We need to do this. 3 I'm interested in your work. Why did you 4 decide to use this paper? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Because it sets up the story. It illustrates the, you know --7 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: That's what I wanted to 8 9 hear. I'm done with you for now. Thank you. I hope to see everybody, because it might 10 be necessary to recall somebody, so I think it's 11 12 important that everybody shows up again tomorrow. (Discussion off the record.) 13 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: This concludes today. 14 See you guys by 9:00 in the morning, same room. 15 (The proceedings recessed, 4:34 p.m.) 16 17 18 I do hereoy certify that the foregoing is complete rec 19 the Examiner hacil Lby me on 20 21 Conservation Divisi 22 23 24 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

|    | Page 238   |
|----|--|
| 1  | STATE OF NEW MEXICO                                      |
| 2  | COUNTY OF BERNALILLO                                     |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER                            |
| 5  | I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified                 |
| 6  | Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional       |
| 7  | Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the          |
| 8  | foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that |
| 9  | the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of |
| 10 | those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by   |
| 11 | me to the best of my ability.                            |
| 12 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's                    |
| 13 | Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  |
| 14 | the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties. |
| 15 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither                      |
| 16 | employed by nor related to any of the parties or         |
| 17 | attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in    |
| 18 | the final disposition of this case.                      |
| 19 | n  |
| 20 | Mary C. Hantins  |
| 21 | Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.                          |
| 22 | Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014                       |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |