

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL  
COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,  
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 15732

Consolidated with

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL  
COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,  
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 15733

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

June 22, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER  
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, June 22, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
New Mexico CCR #20  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
(505) 843-9241

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.  
Post Office Box 1056  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504  
(505) 982-2043  
jamesbruc@aol.com

INDEX

PAGE

Case Numbers 15732 and 15733 Called	3
Mewbourne Oil Company's Case-in-Chief:	
Witnesses:	
Mitchell Robb:	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	4
Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	9
Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	11
Charles Crosby:	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	12
Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	16
Proceedings Conclude	17
Certificate of Court Reporter	18

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 7	9
Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 8 through 15	16

1 (9:13 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd call the hearing  
3 back to order.

4 Case Number 15732, application of Mewbourne  
5 Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New  
6 Mexico.

7 Call for appearances.

8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of  
9 Santa Fe representing the Applicant. I have two  
10 witnesses.

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?

12 Please proceed.

13 If the witness would please be --

14 You've (indicating) already been sworn in.

15 (Mr. Crosby sworn.)

16 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, could this case  
17 be consolidated with the next case, 15733?

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Case Number  
19 15732 shall be consolidated with Case Number 15733,  
20 application of Mewbourne Oil Company for compulsory  
21 pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

22 Please proceed.

23 MITCHELL ROBB,

24 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
25 questioned and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BY MR. BRUCE:

**Q. Would you please state your name and city of residence for the record?**

A. Mitchell Robb, Midland, Texas.

**Q. Who do you work for and in what --**

MR. BRUCE: Well, could the record reflect, Mr. Examiner, that Mr. Robb was previously sworn and qualified?

EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

**Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Robb, would you refer to Exhibit 1 and discuss the acreage involved for both wells and the names of the wells and the formation being pooled?**

A. Exhibit 1 is a Midland Map of Section 27, 23 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, the proration unit being the east half of Section 27.

**Q. For both wells?**

A. For both wells.

And the Speedwagon 27 W2PA Fee #1H, with API Number 30-015-43097, and also the Speedwagon 27 W0PA Fee #1H [sic], with an API number of 30-015-4415 [sic].

**Q. And the C-102s for the wells are attached and made a part of Exhibit 1?**

A. Correct.

1 Q. And will the surface locations be in the  
2 northeast quarter -- northeast quarter of the northeast  
3 quarter of the adjoining Section 34?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And will be drilled normally; is that correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Will the producing interval in each well be  
8 orthodox?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Could you identify Exhibit 2 and discuss its  
11 contents to the Examiner?

12 A. Exhibit 2 is the tract ownership of the working  
13 interest owners in the east half of Section 27, 23  
14 South, Range 28 East. It shows 17 owners we are force  
15 pooling denoted with an asterisk.

16 Q. So you're only seeking to force pool a little  
17 bit less than 3-and-a-half percent interest in the well?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Is this fee land?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And are there quite a few mineral interest  
22 owners in the east half of Section 27?

23 A. Yes. There are over 50.

24 Q. Okay. Okay. Could you -- first of all, before  
25 you get into this, are there unlocatable owners in this

1     **half section?**

2           A.     No.

3           **Q.     Unlocatable, people who did not receive --**

4           A.     Oh.  Yes, there are.

5           **Q.     And what steps did you take to locate all of**  
6 **the interest owners in this half section of land?**

7           A.     We went through our files, our internal  
8 databases, the county records, did internet searches.

9           **Q.     And again now, what is Exhibit 3?**

10          A.     Exhibit 3 is our summary of communications with  
11 all the interests we're force pooling.  And, also, the  
12 first page, Mr. Rodriguez -- is a well proposal.  It's  
13 kind of go-by that was sent to all the different  
14 unleased owners.  Then the second one is one we sent to  
15 the Guitar family owners.

16          **Q.     And the same proposal letter was used for both**  
17 **wells?**

18          A.     Correct.

19          **Q.     With separate AFEs also enclosed; is that**  
20 **correct?**

21          A.     Yes.

22          **Q.     And since you sent out the well-proposal**  
23 **letter, at least to those who received the well**  
24 **proposal, have you gotten any further contact from them?**  
25 **Have they evinced any interest in joining in the wells?**

1           A.    No.  We did not hear back.

2           Q.    In your opinion, has Mewbourne made a  
3   good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the  
4   interest owners in the well?

5           A.    Yes, we have.

6           Q.    And has it also made a good-faith effort to  
7   locate all of the interest owners in the well?

8           A.    Yes, we have.

9           Q.    What is Exhibit 4?

10          A.    Exhibit 4 is the two AFEs for both Speedwagon  
11   wells that we sent to the parties.

12          Q.    Exhibits 4 and 5?

13          A.    Oh, yeah, 4 and 5.

14          Q.    And what are the costs of the two proposed  
15   wells?

16          A.    For the W0PA, it's \$5,904,600, and for the  
17   W2PA, it's \$6,287,700.

18          Q.    And the W2PA is a deeper well than the other  
19   well, correct?

20          A.    Correct.

21          Q.    Are these well costs fair and reasonable and in  
22   line with the cost of other horizontal wells drilled of  
23   this depth in this area of New Mexico?

24          A.    Yes.

25          Q.    And what overhead rates do you request?

1           A.     7,500 a month for drilling and 750 for  
2 producing.

3           Q.     And are these rates fair and equivalent to  
4 those charged by other operators in this area?

5           A.     Yes.

6           Q.     In the event that an interest owner goes  
7 nonconsent in the well, do you request the maximum cost  
8 plus 200 percent risk charge be assessed against that  
9 owner?

10          A.     Yes.

11          Q.     And was notice given to all of the parties who  
12 you seek to pool in this case?

13          A.     Yes.

14                   MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 6 is my  
15 Affidavit of Notice. You will see that there are --  
16 there two notice letters, one to the Guitar family  
17 interest and one to everybody else. Exhibit 7 is an  
18 Affidavit of Publication. And between the two, everyone  
19 has either received actual notice or constructive notice  
20 by publication.

21                   EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. The publication  
22 lists everyone who you weren't able to locate?

23                   THE WITNESS: Yes.

24          Q.     (BY MR. BRUCE) Were Exhibits 1 through 5  
25 prepared by you or under your supervision?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And Exhibits 6 and 7, the Notice of Affidavit,  
3 were prepared by me; is that correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner --

6 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Oh, Mr. Robb, in your opinion,  
7 is the granting of this application in the interest of  
8 conservation and for the prevention of waste?

9 A. Yes.

10 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the  
11 admission of Exhibits 1 through 7.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 7  
13 may now be accepted as part of the record.

14 (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Numbers 1  
15 through 7 are offered and admitted into  
16 evidence.)

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

19 Q. The first question is: What's the status of  
20 both wells?

21 A. They are waiting to be drilled.

22 Q. And is it exactly 320 acres, spacing?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And then the question I've got is why didn't --  
25 I'm curious. Why didn't you combine these two wells in

1     **one hearing?**

2                     MR. BRUCE: One application?

3                     EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah. I mean, because  
4 some companies have done that, and you guys chose not  
5 to.

6                     MR. BRUCE: Yeah. I've done that, too. I  
7 can't answer that question.

8             **Q. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) Any depth severances?**

9             A. No.

10            **Q. And then why did you have the wrong name of the**  
11 **well? Why REO? REO Speedwagon?**

12                     MR. BRUCE: That's actually what it is.  
13 Mewbourne people can tell you. But there are areas  
14 where they based the well names on '70s and '80s rock  
15 bands.

16                     EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yeah. This is an '80s  
17 rock band.

18                     Thanks.

19                     Any questions?

20                     EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I think it's a  
21 better name for a well than the Stove Pipe that we have  
22 from COG.

23                     (Laughter.)

24                     MS. MUNDS-DRY: Now, now.

25                     MR. BRUCE: Yeah. Criticize them,

1 Mr. Examiner.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I asked them if  
3 their drilling engineer had any input in naming the  
4 well.

5 But anyway -- let's see. What was I going  
6 to ask? I got so interested in that idea, I forgot  
7 to --

8 This one has not -- this one's not been  
9 pooled before, right?

10 MR. BRUCE: Correct.

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: This is a de novo pool.

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

14 Q. Do you have everybody listed here that is  
15 identified in an instrument of record that identifies  
16 them as having an interest or that you know claims an  
17 interest?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Now, when you were asked about the status of  
20 the well, I couldn't really hear your answer. Did you  
21 say it's waiting to be drilled?

22 A. Correct. We have not drilled it yet.

23 Q. Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

24 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you.

25

1 CHARLES CROSBY,  
2 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BRUCE:

6 Q. Would you please state your name and city of  
7 residence?

8 A. Charles Crosby, Midland, Texas.

9 Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?

10 A. Mewbourne Oil Company as a petroleum geologist.

11 Q. Have you previously testified before the  
12 Division?

13 A. Yes, I have.

14 Q. Were your credentials as an expert petroleum  
15 geologist accepted as a matter of record?

16 A. Yes, they were.

17 Q. And are you familiar with the geology involved  
18 in these cases?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender  
21 Mr. Crosby as an expert petroleum geologist.

22 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

23 Q. (BY MR. BRUCE) Mr. Crosby, you prepared,  
24 basically, two sets of exhibits, one for the sand well  
25 and one for the shale well?

1           A.    Yes, sir.

2           **Q.    Let's run through that, first with the WOPA,**  
3 **the sand well.  What is Exhibit 8?**

4           A.    So this is just a structure contour map of the  
5 top of the Wolfcamp in the area of interest represented  
6 by the maroon contour lines.  It shows continuous  
7 structural dip to the east, no real apparent structure  
8 anomalies.  The Wolfcamp Sand wells are highlighted by  
9 the violet lines, with Wolfcamp Shale wells highlighted  
10 by the navy blue lines.  The proration unit for the well  
11 is lighted in the east half of Section 27 by the dashed  
12 black line and the proposed well represented by the  
13 dashed red arrow.  And there is also a cross-section  
14 reference line, the maroon line, labeled A to A prime.

15           **Q.    Let's move on to the cross section, Exhibit 9.**  
16 **Could you discuss that?**

17           A.    This is just a representative cross section of  
18 the Wolfcamp section in the area of interest.  Our  
19 delineated Wolfcamp zones are listed on the left side of  
20 the cross section.  The proposed well location is shown  
21 by the red arrow with the well name, the Wolfcamp Sand  
22 package delineated by the red pick lines.  It just shows  
23 a consistent thick, clean Wolfcamp Sand throughout the  
24 area.

25           **Q.    So the Wolfcamp Sand is continuous across the**

1 well zone.

2 And will each quarter section, in your  
3 opinion, contribute, more or less, equally to  
4 production?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. What is Exhibit 10?

7 A. So this is just a table showing some of the  
8 production statistics for Wolfcamp wells in the area.  
9 The far right column shows the particular Wolfcamp zone  
10 that the wells were landed in. And the column just to  
11 the left of that shows the orientation of the wells  
12 north-south or east-west. This just shows that four  
13 wells landed in a similar zone and have been on line for  
14 a similar amount of time. There is no real difference  
15 in an east-west or north-south orientation in terms of  
16 production.

17 Q. What is Exhibit 11?

18 A. Exhibit 11 is just the survey statistics for  
19 the proposed well, and then on the very last page is a  
20 schematic areal and cross-sectional view of the well,  
21 with the footing calls and first take point labeled on  
22 the wellbore path.

23 Q. First and last take point?

24 A. Uh-huh. Yes.

25 Q. Let's move on to the shale wells. What is

1 **Exhibit 12?**

2 A. Exhibit 12 is the same structure map on top of  
3 the Wolfcamp, no real structural anomalies. Wolfcamp  
4 sands highlighted by violet lines. Wolfcamp shales  
5 highlighted by navy blue lines. Same proration by the  
6 dashed black line and then the proposed wellbore by the  
7 dashed red line -- red arrow, and then the same  
8 cross-section reference line A to A prime.

9 **Q. Let's move on to the cross section again.**

10 A. So here this is just the same cross section  
11 represented in the Wolfcamp section throughout the area  
12 of interest. But you'll notice that the proposed  
13 Wolfcamp Shale well in the Wolfcamp D is denoted by the  
14 red arrow. And this just shows the Wolfcamp D in this  
15 area is continuous.

16 **Q. And will each quarter section of the well unit**  
17 **contribute, more or less, equally to the production from**  
18 **this well?**

19 A. Yes.

20 **Q. And move on to Exhibit 14. Is this pretty much**  
21 **the same as the other --**

22 A. Same table with the production statistics, yes.

23 **Q. And, again, does it matter in this particular**  
24 **zone whether you drill north-south or east-west?**

25 A. There is no apparent difference.

1           **Q.    What is Exhibit 15?**

2           A.    This, again, is just the survey statistics for  
3    the proposed well with a schematic areal and  
4    cross-section view of the wellbore path on the last  
5    page.

6           **Q.    Were Exhibits 8 through 15 prepared by you or**  
7    **under your supervision or compiled from company business**  
8    **records?**

9           A.    Yes, they were.

10          **Q.    And in your opinion, is the granting of these**  
11    **applications in the interest of conservation and the**  
12    **prevention of waste?**

13          A.    Yes.

14                   MR. BRUCE:  Mr. Examiner, I move the  
15    admission of Exhibits 8 through 15.

16                   EXAMINER McMILLAN:  Exhibits 8 through 15  
17    may now be accepted as part of the record.

18                   MR. BRUCE:  I have.

19                           (Mewbourne Oil Company Exhibit Number 8  
20    through 15 are offered and admitted into  
21    evidence.)

22                   MR. BRUCE:  I have no further questions.

23                           CROSS-EXAMINATION

24    BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

25           **Q.    Okay.  I guess the first question I've got**

1 is -- I'm looking at, essentially, Exhibit 13. So it  
2 looks like your upper well is in the D sand and your  
3 lower well -- I'm sorry -- D -- SDs and the lower one is  
4 in the Wolfcamp D. Is there anything in between  
5 prospective?

6 A. We've targeted Ds just for development  
7 purposes, but we do believe that the Wolfcamp A and C  
8 are prospective in the area.

9 Q. So then the question is: How do you compulsory  
10 pool those? Which case?

11 MR. BRUCE: Well, I think, Mr. Examiner --  
12 yeah. Which case? I suppose either case.

13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Oh, okay.  
14 Did you have questions to ask?

15 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

16 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. I have no  
17 further questions at this time. Thank you very much.

18 Case Number 15732 and Case Number 15733  
19 shall be taken under advisement.

20 (Case Numbers 15732 and 15733 conclude,  
21 9:32 a.m.)

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.

20

21

22 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
23 Certified Court Reporter  
24 New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

24

25