STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 15755

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

December 21, 2017

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: SCOTT DAWSON, CHIEF EXAMINER

PHILLIP GOETZE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Scott Dawson, Chief Examiner, Phillip Goetze, Technical Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, December 21, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR

New Mexico CCR #20

Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR APPLICANT CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY: 3 SETH C. McMILLAN, ESQ. MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS LAW FIRM 4 325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 5 (505) 982-3873 smcmillan@montand.com 6 7 FOR EOG RESOURCES, INC. AND MATADOR PRODUCTION: 8 JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART, LLP 9 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 10 jlkessler@hollandhart.com 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Case Number 15755 Called	4
4	Cimarex Energy Company's Case-in-Chief:	
5	Witnesses:	
6	Kelly Reese:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMillan	5
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	15 17 20
9	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson Michael Patson:	20
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMillan	21
11	Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze	31 32
12	Cross-Examination by Examiner Dawson	32
13	Proceedings Conclude	35
14	Certificate of Court Reporter	36
15		
16	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
17	Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5	14
18	Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 6 through 8	30
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (8:47 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER DAWSON: At this point we'll go
- 3 back to Case Number 15755, which is number 25 on the
- 4 list, and this is an application of Cimarex Energy
- 5 Company for a nonstandard spacing and proration unit and
- 6 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 7 Call for appearances.
- 8 MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Examiner, Seth McMillan,
- 9 of Montgomery & Andrews, on behalf of Cimarex.
- 10 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.
- MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, Jordan Kessler
- 12 behalf of EOG Resources, Inc. and Matador Production.
- 13 No witnesses.
- 14 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.
- 15 MR. McMILLAN: If I may, I have exhibits.
- 16 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Do you have
- 17 witnesses?
- 18 MR. McMILLAN: I do have two witnesses.
- 19 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. Can Mr. McMillan's
- 20 witnesses stand up and be sworn in at this time?
- 21 (Ms. Reese and Mr. Patson sworn.)
- 22 EXAMINER DAWSON: You may call your first
- 23 witness.
- MR. McMILLAN: Okay. Thank you. Cimarex
- 25 would call its first witness, Kelly Reese.

- 1 KELLY REESE,
- 2 after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
- 3 questioned and testified as follows:
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. McMILLAN:
- 6 Q. Good morning.
- 7 A. Good morning.
- 8 Q. Would you please state your full name and place
- 9 of residence?
- 10 A. Kelly Reese, Midland, Texas.
- 11 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what
- 12 capacity?
- 13 A. By Cimarex Energy Co. as an exploration
- 14 landman.
- 15 Q. Are you authorized today to testify on
- 16 Cimarex's behalf?
- 17 A. I am.
- 18 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 19 Division or one of its Examiners and had your
- 20 credentials accepted and made a matter of record?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. Excellent.
- 23 Are you familiar with the applications
- 24 filed in this case?
- 25 A. Yes, I am.

1 Q. And are you familiar with the subject area.

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
- 4 Kelly Reese as an expert petroleum landman.
- 5 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objections?
- 6 MS. KESSLER: No objections.
- 7 EXAMINER DAWSON: Ms. Reese will be
- 8 admitted as an expert petroleum landman at this time.
- 9 MR. McMILLAN: Thank you.
- 10 Q. (BY MR. McMILLAN) Ms. Reese, would you state
- 11 for us what Cimarex seeks by its application?
- 12 A. Cimarex seeks an order approving a nonstandard
- 13 oil spacing and proration unit comprised of the west
- 14 half of Sections 12 and 13, Township 25 South, Range 28
- 15 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, including all the
- 16 underlying mineral interests in the Wolfcamp Formation,
- 17 Purple Sage Formation Gas Pool 98220, underlying the
- 18 nonstandard unit. The unit will be dedicated to
- 19 Cimarex's proposed River Bend 12-13 Fed Com 29H well to
- 20 be drilled from an approximate surface location of 1,379
- 21 feet from the south line and 1,396 feet from the west
- 22 line of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 28 East,
- 23 with a bottom-hole location of 330 feet from the south
- 24 line and 2,200 feet from the west line of Section 13,
- 25 Township 25 South, Range 28 East.

1 Q. And have you prepared certain exhibits for

- 2 introduction in this case?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. Can we turn together to your Exhibit 1. Does
- 5 this show -- is this a C-102 showing the proposed unit?
- 6 A. It is.
- 7 Q. And are the surface- and bottom-hole locations
- 8 shown on the C-102?
- 9 A. Yes, they are.
- 10 O. And have those -- has the surface location
- 11 changed since the C-102 was issued?
- 12 A. Yes, they have. The surface-hole location has
- 13 changed. The bottom hole has not.
- 14 Q. Okay. Can you please discuss with us why the
- 15 surface location has changed and -- well, let's start
- 16 there. Could you discuss that with us?
- 17 A. There were numerous topographical issues in BLM
- 18 wetlands and numerous archives [sic], and so we had to
- 19 go out with the BLM and EOG and agree on a location
- 20 off-lease in Section 1.
- 21 Q. And so did Cimarex get together with BLM and
- 22 EOG at a recent meeting to do exactly that?
- 23 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. And do you recall when that meeting took place,
- 25 more or less?

1 A. It was last Tuesday. I'm not sure what that

- 2 date is.
- 3 Q. Last Tuesday.
- 4 Okay. And is it your understanding,
- 5 following that meeting, all parties believe that the
- 6 revised surface location is acceptable?
- 7 A. Yes, they did.
- 8 Q. And that BLM is he also approving of the new
- 9 surface location?
- 10 A. Yes, they have.
- 11 Q. Has BLM yet issued an approved NOS?
- 12 A. Yes, they have.
- 13 Q. Excellent.
- 14 What pool rules govern development of the
- 15 Wolfcamp in this area?
- 16 A. Purple Sage.
- 17 Q. And do you know what the setbacks are for this
- 18 **pool?**
- 19 A. 330.
- 20 Q. Is it your understanding that the take points
- 21 will be situated within the setbacks?
- 22 A. Yes, they will.
- 23 Q. Remind us what the primary objective is for
- 24 this well?
- 25 A. Lower Wolfcamp.

1 Q. Okay. Does Cimarex own the right to drill on

- this tract that will be traversed by the wellbore?
- 3 A. Cimarex owns in every tract except for the
- 4 southwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the
- 5 northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section
- 6 12, which is owned by COG, and they have agreed to
- 7 participate and sign the JOA.
- Q. Perhaps we should turn now to your Exhibit 2.
- 9 Is this an ownership breakdown that you worked up?
- 10 A. Yes, this is. This is an ownership breakdown
- 11 showing the interests that will be pooled and which
- 12 tracts they are underneath.
- 13 Q. Can you describe for us Cimarex's ownership
- 14 here in Sections 12 and 13?
- 15 A. Cimarex has a 64.57 [sic] working interest in
- 16 all of the west half of 12 and 13.
- 17 Q. And how long has Cimarex owned its interests
- 18 here?
- 19 A. Cimarex inherited their interest through
- 20 Magnum-Hunter, which still owns interests, and they
- 21 obtained it back in 2004 from Tom Browning.
- 22 Q. And when did Cimarex first commence its
- 23 geologic evaluation in the area?
- 24 A. For several years, they've been reviewing this
- 25 area to drill a one-mile directly in Section 14 in 2015.

- 1 Q. And was that a Wolfcamp well?
- 2 A. It was.
- Q. When did Cimarex submit its APD to OCD for this
- 4 well?
- 5 A. July 25th.
- 6 Q. Okay. And has it been -- has it been approved
- 7 it yet?
- 8 A. It has not. They are holding it deficient for
- 9 our new plat showing the surface-hole location.
- 10 Q. Is it your understanding that that's the only
- 11 kind of glitch holding up approval, is the surface-hole
- 12 location?
- 13 A. Yes. Yes.
- 14 Q. Remind us what percentage of the acreage in the
- proposed unit is voluntarily committed to the well?
- 16 A. 91 percent.
- 17 Q. Great.
- 18 And of the remaining percentage, is Cimarex
- 19 asking the Division to pool any unjoined working
- 20 interests and mineral interests?
- 21 A. Yes, we are.
- Q. And are there, in fact, any unleased mineral
- 23 interest owners?
- A. Yes. There is one. And it's CWPLCO, Inc.,
- 25 which is Clayton Williams.

- 1 Q. And was this entity locatable?
- 2 A. Yes. They are locatable.
- 3 Q. And was notice -- notice furnished to this
- 4 mineral interest owner?
- 5 A. Yes. We've given them notice to participate.
- 6 I've offered them a leasing offer. And they had
- 7 responded at first, and then they were just
- 8 unresponsive.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did they, however, receive notice of
- 10 this hearing?
- 11 A. Yes, they did.
- 12 Q. In connection with this application, does
- 13 Cimarex seek the imposition of a 200 percent risk
- 14 penalty for any unjoined working interests?
- 15 A. Yes, we do.
- 16 Q. Does Cimarex also seek to be designated
- operator for the well?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Can you discuss for us the efforts that Cimarex
- 20 took -- undertook to obtain the voluntary participation
- of any unjoined working interest owners?
- 22 A. Yes. Exhibit 3 are the letters that I sent out
- 23 to everyone in the west half of Sections 12 and 13.
- 24 It's a well proposal. Additionally, I've been in
- 25 communications with all of the parties involved. I'm

- 1 continuing some of the -- I know that Devon Energy has
- 2 recently reached out, wanting to possibly do a farm-out.
- 3 So we're going to continue to work with them. And RKI
- 4 Exploration, Inc., or WPX, additionally has a few issues
- 5 they just want to address on the JOA. So we're going to
- 6 try and come to agreement with them. As I said, CWPLCO
- 7 has been unresponsive, and it is my understanding that
- 8 EOG will make an election under the pooling order.
- 9 Q. In your opinion, has Cimarex made a good-faith
- 10 effort to locate all the unleased mineral interest
- 11 owners and working interest owners and communicate with
- them in order to obtain their voluntary participation in
- 13 this well?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. With respect to the well-proposal letters in
- 16 Exhibit 3, did each of those include an AFE?
- 17 A. Yes, they did.
- 18 Q. Is that AFE also set forth as separate Exhibit
- 19 4?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Great.
- 22 Can you review for us the total for the
- 23 completed well?
- 24 A. 12,681,293.
- 25 Q. And have these AFE cost estimates been updated

since the time of Cimarex's original well proposals?

- 2 A. No. They have not.
- Q. Are the costs sent forth in Cimarex's AFE in
- 4 line with what is being charged by other operators in
- 5 the area for similar wells?
- 6 A. Yes, they are.
- 7 Q. Have you made an estimate of overhead and
- 8 administrative costs while drilling and producing the
- 9 **well?**
- 10 A. Yes. We're asking for 6,500 per month drilling
- overhead and 650 a month producing overhead.
- 12 Q. And in your opinion, are these costs in line
- 13 with what is being charged by other operators in the
- 14 area?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And do you recommend that these drilling and
- 17 producing overhead rates be incorporated into the order
- 18 that results from this hearing?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And does Cimarex request that the order issued
- 21 in this case provide for adjustments of the drilling and
- 22 overhead rates in accordance with the current COPAS
- 23 bulletin for the area?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. In your opinion, Ms. Reese, would the granting

- of Cimarex's application be in the best interest of
- conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection
- 3 of correlative rights?
- 4 A. Yes, we do.
- 5 Q. And were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you
- 6 or at your direction and control?
- 7 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And if we turn to Exhibit 5, does that appear
- 9 to be an Affidavit of Notice produced by my law firm
- 10 with notice letters and green slips attached showing
- 11 that all of the appropriate individuals and entities
- were given notice of the hearing?
- 13 A. Yes, they are.
- 14 Q. Great.
- MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Examiner, I would move
- 16 at this time for the admission of Exhibits 1 through 5.
- 17 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?
- MS. KESSLER: No objection.
- 19 EXAMINER DAWSON: At this time Exhibits 1
- 20 through 5 will be admitted to the record.
- 21 (Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 5 are offered and admitted into
- evidence.)
- 24 MR. McMILLAN: And that's all the questions
- 25 I have for this witness at this time.

- 1 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.
- 2 Ms. Kessler, do you have any questions?
- MS. KESSLER: I do have questions.
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MS. KESSLER:
- 6 Q. Good morning, Ms. Reese.
- 7 A. Good morning.
- 8 Q. You mentioned that EOG stated that they would
- 9 potentially make an election under the pooling order; is
- 10 that correct?
- 11 A. It is my understanding that that is what
- 12 they're interested in doing.
- 13 Q. Can you tell me the basis of that
- 14 understanding, please?
- 15 A. In my communications with them, they did not
- 16 indicate that they wanted to elect under the JOA, or we
- 17 briefly mentioned trying to do a term assignment, and
- 18 then they hadn't gotten back to me. And so at this
- 19 point, it's my understanding that that is probably what
- 20 they're wanting to do.
- Q. Okay. Can you turn with me to Exhibit 2. This
- 22 reflects various parties' interests in the spacing unit,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. This reflects just the parties that we are
- 25 interested in pooling.

1 Q. Okay. How did you -- what information did you

- 2 rely on to prepare this exhibit?
- 3 A. A title opinion.
- Q. Was that title opinion provided to EOG?
- 5 A. Yes. I believe they have -- well, so there is
- 6 an old JOA that covers a portion of these lands, and I
- 7 know they have the title as to their interest to those
- 8 lands. And then I have additionally submitted to them
- 9 information from the new, updated title opinion that
- 10 covers the new JOA.
- 11 Q. And you mentioned -- let's see. I'm looking at
- 12 well-proposal letters. What other -- what other offers
- did you make to EOG in order to achieve an agreement?
- 14 A. We have -- I only had discussed them joining
- 15 the JOA and signing, and then also, vaguely, we
- 16 discussed the idea of doing a term assignment.
- 17 Primarily, the communications we have had with them have
- 18 been working together on getting the surface-hole
- 19 location figured out.
- Q. Okay. Did EOG raise the term assignment, or
- 21 did you raise the term assignment?
- 22 A. I raised the term assignment.
- Q. That's all I have. Thank you.
- 24 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Goetze, do you have
- 25 any questions?

1 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no questions of

- 2 this witness. Thank you.
- 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: I do have some.
- 4 EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:
- 7 Q. In looking at Exhibit Number 1, the Block 12
- 8 dedicated acres is filled in "360 acres." That doesn't
- 9 appear to be right based on both the fact that it's two
- 10 half sections and the measurements, which indicates that
- 11 those half sections are full-measure -- excess.
- 12 A. No, it does not. And we can -- we will be
- 13 getting an updated C-102 to reflect the new surface-hole
- 14 location, and I guess we can submit that at another
- 15 time --
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. -- and update this as well. That is not right.
- 18 Q. It should be 640 acres or more?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Looking at Exhibit 2, you have two federal
- 21 leases -- no. You have one federal lease covering two
- 22 tracts, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. That's Tract 1, and that's going to be in --
- well, I won't read through all that.

- 1 A. Yeah, it's in Sections 12 and 13.
- Q. Part of Section 12 and part of Section 13.
- Now, the other tracts in Section 12 are labeled "fee,"
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. The other tracts in Section 13 are not labeled.
- 7 What is the land type on those?
- 8 A. There are also fee interests in there.
- 9 Q. So those are fee tracts?
- 10 A. Yes, they are.
- 11 Q. This diagram is a diagram of only the west
- 12 half, not the entire section?
- 13 A. Correct. This is only the west half of 12 and
- 14 13.
- 15 Q. Okay. That's what appeared to be the case.
- 16 A. Yes. And I had not labeled the other ones
- 17 because they're all committed.
- 18 Q. Okay. Are there any overrides on the federal
- 19 lease?
- 20 A. Yes. I believe there are some.
- 21 Q. Okay. Have those owners been notified?
- 22 A. Well, I'll need to double-check that. I
- 23 believe they all have, but I'll need to -- I don't know
- off the top of my head right now.
- 25 Q. If you can find out if they have been --

- 1 A. Yeah.
- Q. I am getting -- I have -- some things I have
- 3 run into have caused me to be concerned about overrides
- 4 on federal and state leases in terms of the compulsory
- 5 pooling, and I'm not sure which way I will eventually go
- 6 on that. I probably would not make it -- require it to
- 7 be done if it hasn't been done until I figure the
- 8 situation out a little bit more, but I do want to know
- 9 about that.
- 10 A. Right. And I can get that information.
- 11 Q. Now, this CWPLCO, are they the only unleased
- 12 mineral owner in this unit?
- 13 A. Yes, they are.
- 14 Q. And all of this 57.05 percent interest shown on
- 15 Exhibit 2 doesn't seem to correlate with what you said.
- 16 60-something percent is committed?
- 17 A. It's 57 net acres.
- 18 O. 57 net acres?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. It's not percentage.
- 21 A. It equates to about 10 percent uncommitted.
- Q. Would you be willing to give us a revised
- 23 exhibit that shows --
- A. Percent?
- 25 Q. -- a percentage interest in the unit of each of

- 1 these owners that are pooled?
- 2 A. Yes. I can do that.
- 3 Q. I would appreciate that.
- 4 Thank you. That's all I have.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 6 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:
- 7 Q. Okay, Ms. Reese. In looking at your
- 8 well-proposal letter --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- in Exhibits -- in Exhibit 3, those
- 11 well-proposal letters --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- the surface-hole location, it says on this
- letter, is intended at 330 from the north and 2,200 from
- 15 the west in Section 12.
- 16 A. We proposed this well prior to going out and
- 17 staking it, and so at that time when we went out is when
- 18 we discovered we could not put a surface-hole location
- 19 anywhere on Section 12. And at that time, got into
- 20 discussions with EOG on putting the surface-hole
- 21 location on their section, Section 1.
- 22 Q. So these interest owners, are you going to
- 23 provide them another letter with the correct locations
- 24 on it?
- 25 A. I have -- I've been talking with them, and

1 they're all aware of the situation, which is partly why

- 2 this hearing has been continued numerous times, for us
- 3 to get the surface-hole location corrected. But yes,
- 4 once we get everything finalized, I will send them
- 5 notification.
- 6 Q. Okay. All right. That's all the questions I
- 7 have. Thank you very much.
- 8 EXAMINER DAWSON: You may call your next
- 9 witness.
- 10 MR. McMILLAN: Great. Thank you.
- 11 Cimarex would call Michael Patson.
- 12 MICHAEL PATSON,
- after having been previously sworn under oath, was
- 14 questioned and testified as follows:
- 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. McMILLAN:
- Q. Good morning, sir. Would you please state your
- 18 full name for the record?
- 19 A. Michael Patson.
- 20 Q. And where do you reside?
- 21 A. Midland, Texas.
- Q. By whom are you employed?
- 23 A. Cimarex Energy.
- Q. And what is your current position with Cimarex?
- 25 A. Exploration geologist.

- 1 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 2 Division and had your credentials as an expert in
- 3 petroleum geology accepted and made a matter of record?
- 4 A. No, I have not.
- 5 Q. Well, we better discuss your educational
- 6 background. Can you please give the Examiners a sense
- 7 of that?
- 8 A. Yes. I received my bachelor's degree from
- 9 Villanova University in earth science. I went on to the
- 10 University of Texas at Austin and received my master's
- 11 in geoscience.
- 12 Q. And will you please also summarize your work
- 13 experience?
- 14 A. Yes. I've been employed with Cimarex for about
- 15 3-1/2 years working as an exploration geologist for our
- 16 Permian region.
- Q. Are you familiar with the application filed in
- 18 this case?
- 19 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And are you familiar with the geology in the
- 21 subject area?
- 22 A. Yes, I am.
- 23 Q. And have you conducted a geologic investigation
- 24 of the same?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. Great.
- 2 MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
- 3 Mr. Patson as an expert in petroleum geology.
- 4 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?
- 5 MS. KESSLER: No objection.
- 6 EXAMINER DAWSON: Mr. Patson will be
- 7 admitted as an expert petroleum geologist at this time.
- 8 Q. (BY MR. McMILLAN) Sir, you've conducted a
- 9 geologic investigation to determine whether each of the
- 10 tracts comprising the proposed project area for the
- 11 subject well are all prospective for production,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. And what have you concluded?
- 15 A. They are all equally prospective along the
- 16 entire well --
- Q. Which is to say each tract will contribute
- 18 approximately the same amount of reserves to the well?
- 19 A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for use in
- 21 this case?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Let's take a look at your Exhibit 6, which
- 24 appears to be a PowerPoint with a cover page and then
- 25 three pages following, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Can you please discuss with the Examiners, with
- 3 reference to your Exhibit 6, the geologic development in
- 4 this area, the Permian Basin and the depositional
- 5 environment of the Wolfcamp Formation?
- 6 A. Yes. The Wolfcamp is a series of shale and
- 7 interbedded limestone located below the Bone Spring, and
- 8 it's above the Pennsylvanian interval. The shale is a
- 9 marine organic-rich shale, and it's deposited in the
- 10 shallow sea environment.
- 11 Q. Okay. Looking at your Exhibit 6 -- let's flip
- 12 past the cover page -- can you tell us what we're
- 13 looking at here on the first page of your -- or the
- second page, really, of Exhibit 6?
- 15 A. This is a structure map of the Lower Wolfcamp.
- 16 It's subsurface TVD. I called out our Wolfcamp
- 17 producers here with the red diamonds. Our proposed well
- 18 is with the purple line. I have a cross section
- 19 prepared, A through A prime, and my control points
- 20 labeled in black.
- 21 Q. And is the project area delineated by a dashed
- 22 purple line?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 O. Excellent.
- With respect to your cross section, A to A

1 prime, let's take a look at the next page of your

- 2 exhibit, and can you tell us what that is?
- 3 A. Sure. I flattened a cross section on what we
- 4 call the Lower Wolfcamp. We have our gamma ray, our
- 5 resistivity and our density logs. I've summed pay
- 6 calculated from our density logs, and this cross section
- 7 shows that pay is continuous throughout the area of
- 8 investigation.
- 9 Q. Excellent.
- 10 Turning to the fourth and final page of
- 11 Exhibit 6, can you tell us what we're looking at here?
- 12 A. Yes. This is, again, that net pay map I've
- 13 generated from calculating from density logs through
- 14 this area. We see that the pay is continuous through
- 15 our interval.
- 16 Q. Excellent.
- 17 Are you seeing, sir, any faults or
- 18 discontinuities in the project area --
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. -- in the Wolfcamp?
- 21 A. No. I have not mapped any faults or dis- --
- 22 Q. Discontinuities.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 **Q.** Sure.
- 25 Let's take a look at Exhibit 7. Is this

- 1 Cimarex's development plan?
- 2 A. Yes. This is our idealized development plan.
- 3 We believe that in the Lower Wolfcamp we can space eight
- 4 wells, an additional seven to our proposed well.
- 5 Q. And are those additional wells shown in the
- 6 bluish color on Exhibit 7?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q. And -- let's see. Okay. Have you developed an
- 9 opinion as to whether Wolfcamp reserves in this area are
- 10 best developed with your proposed two-mile lateral as
- 11 opposed to a one-mile lateral?
- 12 A. Yes. A two-mile lateral will be the best.
- Q. And, generally, what is the basis for your
- 14 opinion in this regard?
- 15 A. It's been Cimarex's experience that drilling
- 16 two-mile wells is more economic.
- 17 Q. And Cimarex has run the economics on this
- 18 particular well, correct?
- 19 A. Yes. My petroleum engineer and I have run
- 20 those calculations.
- 21 Q. And in doing so, your conclusion is that the
- 22 two-mile lateral is preferable?
- 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 24 Q. Does drilling a two-mile lateral entail more
- 25 mechanical risk than a shorter lateral?

1 A. Yes, but the risk is very slight. Cimarex has

- 2 experienced two-mile laterals in the Wolfcamp. There is
- 3 a two-mile lateral shown on this map right in our area.
- 4 That's Mewbourne's Hoss well. And we believe that any
- 5 risk is more than justified by the economic gain.
- 6 Q. Does Cimarex actually have experience drilling
- 7 Wolfcamp Formation horizontal wells in the area?
- 8 A. Yes. Cimarex has much experience drilling
- 9 wells in this area, and we've also successfully drilled
- 10 hundreds of Wolfcamp wells in the Delaware Basin.
- 11 Q. Are there additional potential targets for
- 12 developments in the west half of Section -- or in
- 13 Sections 12 and 13?
- 14 A. Yes, there are. We believe we can develop
- 15 Lower Wolfcamp, Upper Wolfcamp and the 2nd Bone Spring.
- Q. With reference to your Exhibit 7, what's the
- 17 prevailing development pattern in the Wolfcamp in this
- 18 area?
- 19 A. Wells that are drilled north to south are
- 20 stand-ups.
- 21 O. And is Cimarex's orientation consistent with
- 22 that prevailing development pattern?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And, in fact, is it Cimarex's opinion that
- 25 developing these sections in lay-down units could result

- in the drilling of unnecessary wells?
- 2 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 3 Q. Would waste result?
- 4 A. Absolutely. Yes.
- 5 Q. And by developing Sections 12 and 13 with
- 6 stand-up unit orientations, will the drilling of
- 7 unnecessary wells potentially be avoided?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And in your opinion, if the Division approves
- 10 Cimarex's application, will Cimarex be able to recover
- 11 additional incremental reserves that would otherwise go
- 12 unproduced?
- 13 A. Yes. That's my opinion.
- 14 Q. And would waste occur as a result?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Would this -- is Cimarex's proposed
- 17 configuration likely to result in improved project
- 18 economics so that premature abandonment can be avoided?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let's look at your Exhibit 8 now. And
- 21 can you please give the Examiners a brief overview of
- 22 drilling and completion plans for the proposed well?
- 23 A. Yes. We'll drill 8-3/4 hole approximately to
- 24 75 degrees set in the Wolfcamp D or the Lower Wolfcamp.
- 25 We'll set 7-inch casing. We'll drill 6-1/8 hole out of

- 1 7-inch casing and land the well in the Lower Wolfcamp.
- 2 We'll drill to approximately 10,000 feet vertical
- 3 section, then we'll run a 4-1/2-inch liner and cement it
- 4 in place. We will then fracture stimulate the lateral
- 5 in approximately 50 stages, then drill out the plugs and
- 6 place the well on production.
- 7 Q. Was this well-design casing plan reviewed and
- 8 approved by OCD?
- 9 A. This -- we have used this plan with other wells
- 10 that we've drilled in the Wolfcamp in New Mexico.
- 11 Q. And it has been -- this plan has been approved
- 12 in other wells?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Is it your understanding that this particular
- 15 well design and casing plan has not yet been approved
- 16 because of the holdup with the surface location?
- 17 A. It's my understanding it's been held up because
- 18 we don't have a surface-hole location.
- Q. Okay. Any reason -- well, that's good enough
- 20 there.
- 21 So let's talk about surface disturbance.
- 22 Is it your opinion that the development of these
- 23 sections with horizontal wells will allow Cimarex to
- 24 minimize surface disturbance?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- 1 Q. How so?
- 2 A. Less pads, less roads, production facilities,
- 3 those sorts of things, surface lines.
- 4 Q. And in your opinion as an expert petroleum
- 5 geologist, will the granting of Cimarex's application be
- 6 in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of
- 7 waste and the protection of correlative rights?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were Exhibits 6 through 8 prepared by you or at
- 10 your direction?
- 11 A. Yes, they were.
- MR. McMILLAN: Mr. Examiner, I would at
- 13 this time move the admission of Exhibits 6 through 8.
- 14 EXAMINER DAWSON: Any objection?
- MS. KESSLER: No objection.
- 16 EXAMINER DAWSON: At this time Exhibits 6
- 17 through 8 will be admitted to the record.
- 18 (Cimarex Exhibit Numbers 6 through 8 are
- offered and admitted into evidence.)
- 20 MR. McMILLAN: Those are all my questions
- 21 for this witness.
- 22 EXAMINER DAWSON: Ms. Kessler, questions?
- MS. KESSLER: No questions.
- 24 EXAMINER DAWSON: Phillip?

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
- Q. Good morning, Mr. Patson. Just a few
- 4 questions.
- 5 With Cimarex's history with doing two miles
- 6 in the Wolfcamp, do we have a number as to how many
- 7 wells have been completed and are operating at this
- 8 time?
- 9 A. We've drilled many two-mile Wolfcamp wells down
- in Culberson County. In Eddy County, we've drilled
- 11 less, and we've been drilling those mostly to the west
- 12 in what we call our White City area. And we have now --
- 13 we've drilled three wells in the last year that are
- 14 two-mile Wolfcamp laterals.
- 15 Q. And you feel, with that information, that
- 16 pushing to two miles is enough an economic incentive to
- 17 continue with this pattern?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Especially in the Wolfcamp?
- 20 A. Especially in the Wolfcamp.
- 21 Q. And we're looking at Lower Wolfcamp, so we're
- 22 looking at very tight shales with -- or low
- 23 nano-darcies --
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no further

- 1 questions for this witness.
- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 3 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:
- 4 Q. You're only proposing one well at this time; is
- 5 that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. But you eventually plan to drill three wells in
- 8 this unit?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. So I assume those would be proposed under the
- infill provisions of the rules?
- 12 A. I'm not sure.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY EXAMINER DAWSON:
- 15 Q. Mr. Patton [sic], you were talking about a
- 16 Mewbourne well?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And is that the well that looks like a two-mile
- 19 lateral over in Sections 2 and 11?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. What's the name of that well? The Hoss?
- 22 A. Hoss, H-O-S-S.
- Q. Okay. How long has that well been producing?
- A. Less than a month, I believe.
- 25 Q. Do you have any initial production rates on

- 1 that one?
- 2 A. I'm not sure at this time.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. We have been receiving those. I just don't
- 5 know what they are.
- 6 Q. Okay. But it looks like Mewbourne kind of has
- 7 the same plan of development, drilling either
- 8 north-south or south-north in two-mile laterals?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. And that plan we view as a positive
- 10 result, the current well. And what production we do
- 11 have, we view it as positive results for our drilling
- 12 plan.
- 13 Q. Do you know if Mewbourne has any other two-mile
- 14 laterals in the immediate area?
- 15 A. In the Wolfcamp, I don't believe they do.
- 16 Q. So it's really just beginning to take off in
- 17 the Wolfcamp?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And in the -- let's see. Bear with me
- 20 here.
- Under Exhibit 6, in your Wolfcamp cross
- 22 section --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- can you tell me which one of those Wolfcamp
- 25 zones you're targeting in this well?

1 A. Sure. We're targeting between the Wolfcamp D

- 2 and D floor. Our proposed landing zone will be about
- 3 160 to 200 feet below the top of that Wolfcamp D, the
- 4 green line.
- 5 Q. Okay. So between the green and the -- the dark
- 6 green and light green?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. All right. That's all the questions I
- 9 have. Thank you very much.
- 10 A. Thank you.
- MR. McMILLAN: May the witness be excused?
- 12 EXAMINER DAWSON: Yes.
- MR. McMILLAN: That's all we have.
- 14 EXAMINER DAWSON: So that concludes Case
- 15 Number 15755, which will be taken under advisement at
- 16 this time.
- 17 MR. McMILLAN: Just one quick question.
- 18 I'm sorry. It looks like we have a little bit of
- 19 homework supplementing a couple of exhibits. Would it
- 20 be the pleasure of the Examiners to continue this
- 21 pending the submission of those items, or should we just
- 22 submit them in the next week or two?
- 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't believe it's
- 24 necessary. I can we can take it under advisement. The
- 25 Commission will not close the record at a particular

Page 35 point, so --MR. McMILLAN: All right. I would ask that the Division take the case under advisement. EXAMINER DAWSON: Okay. We will do so. We will take it under advisement, and we will expect exhibits -- further exhibits from you. MR. McMILLAN: You got it. EXAMINER DAWSON: Thank you. At this point it's 9:23. We'll take a break until 9:30. Be back at 9:30. Thank you. (Case Number 15755 concludes, 9:23 a.m.) (Recess, 9:23 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.)

- 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- 2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

- 4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
- 5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
- 6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
- 7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
- 8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
- 9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
- 10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
- 11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
- 12 ability.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
- 14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
- 15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
- I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
- 17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
- 18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
- 19 the final disposition of this case.
- 20 DATED this 12th day of January 2018.

21

22

MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter

New Mexico CCR No. 20

Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25