

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, CASE NO. 15998
LLC FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND
PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING,
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

March 8, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER
 DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan,
Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on
Thursday, March 8, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino
Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall,
Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
 New Mexico CCR #20
 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, LLC:

JENNIFER L. BRADFUTE, ESQ.
 MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
 (505) 848-1800
 jlb@modrall.com

INDEX

PAGE

Case Number 15998 Called 3

Marathon Oil Permian, LLC's Case-in-Chief:

Witnesses:

Ryan Gyllenband:

Direct Examination by Ms. Bradfute 4
 Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan 12

Kate Zeigler, Ph.D.:

Direct Examination by Ms. Bradfute 14
 Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan 22

Proceedings Conclude ^

Certificate of Court Reporter ^

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit Numbers
 1 through 4 12

Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit Numbers
 5 through 9 22

1 (9:03 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to call this
3 hearing back to order.

4 I'd like to call Case Number 15998,
5 application of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC for a
6 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory
7 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

8 Call for appearances.

9 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, Jennifer
10 Bradfute, with the Modrall Sperling Law Firm, on behalf
11 of the Applicant.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?

13 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I would like
14 to call my first witness. This case is a case where
15 we're requesting pooling and creation of a 320-acre oil
16 spacing proration unit.

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to have all
18 the witnesses in this case stand up and be sworn in.

19 Thank you.

20 (Mr. Gyllenband and Dr. Zeigler sworn.)

21 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to
22 call my first witness.

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Please proceed.

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

RYAN GYLLENBAND,

after having been first duly sworn under oath, was
questioned and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BRADFUTE:

**Q. Could you please state your name for the
record?**

A. Ryan Gyllenband.

**Q. Mr. Gyllenband, who do you work for and in what
capacity?**

A. I'm a land professional with Marathon Oil
Permian.

**Q. What are your responsibilities as a land
professional?**

A. Negotiating leases, JOAs, getting wells ready
for drilling.

**Q. And have you previously testified before the
Division?**

A. Yes.

**Q. And were your credentials as a landman accepted
and made a part of the record?**

A. Yes.

**Q. And does your area of responsibility at
Marathon include the area of Lea County?**

A. Yes.

1 Q. Are you familiar with the application that's
2 been filed by Marathon in Case 15998?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
5 lands which are the subject matter of that application?

6 A. Yes.

7 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to
8 tender Mr. Gyllenband as an expert witness in land
9 matters.

10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

11 Q. (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Mr. Gyllenband, could you
12 please turn to Exhibit Number 1 in the packet in front
13 of you? And could you please explain what this document
14 is for the Hearing Examiners?

15 A. This is the application filed by Marathon
16 proposing to create a 320-acre nonstandard spacing and
17 proration unit in the Wolfcamp Formation, comprised of
18 the west half of Section 32, Township 23 South, Range 32
19 East in Lea County, New Mexico.

20 Q. And did Marathon also request compulsory
21 pooling as part of this application?

22 A. We did.

23 Q. Has Marathon subsequently obtained joinder from
24 all of the working interest owners within the proposed
25 spacing and proration unit?

1 A. Yes.

2 **Q. Is Marathon still seeking to pool overriding**
3 **royalty interest owners in this application?**

4 A. Yes.

5 **Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit Number 2?**
6 **This exhibit has three different tabs, Tab A, B and C.**
7 **I want to first turn to Tab A. Could you please**
8 **identify what this document is?**

9 A. This is the Form C-102 for the Ballista Federal
10 3H -- WXY 3H. You can see the well is placed on the
11 west side of the proposed 320-acre spacing unit, so it's
12 just 330 feet off of that western boundary.

13 **Q. And does this C-102 identify a pool?**

14 A. Yes, it does.

15 **Q. And what pool is identified?**

16 A. The Diamond Tail; Wolfcamp Pool, Pool Code
17 17645.

18 **Q. And has this C-102 been submitted to the**
19 **district office?**

20 A. It has not. It's been submitted to the BLM,
21 and we're waiting to have approval from them before it's
22 filed with the district office.

23 **Q. So Marathon has not yet obtained an approved**
24 **APD from the BLM?**

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Could you please turn to Tab B of this exhibit
2 and identify what this document is for the Hearing
3 Examiners?

4 A. This is the Form C-102 for the Ballista Fed WA
5 6H well. And you can see that it's drilled right in the
6 middle of the proposed 320-acre spacing unit, so it's
7 about 13 --1,323 feet off of that western boundary line.

8 Q. And does the C-102 also identify the Diamond
9 Tail; Wolfcamp Pool as the pool for the well?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And could you please turn to Tab C and identify
12 what this document is?

13 A. This is a Form C-102 for the Ballista Fed WXY
14 12H. Again, the spacing of the well is on the eastern
15 portion of the 320-acre spacing, 330 feet off of that
16 eastern boundary.

17 Q. And this well is also proposed to be drilled
18 within the Diamond Tail; Wolfcamp Pool?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And is the Diamond Tail; Wolfcamp Pool an oil
21 pool that's governed by the Division statewide rules?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And will the completed intervals for each of
24 these wells comply with the Division setback
25 requirements?

1 A. Yes.

2 **Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit Number 3 in**
3 **the packet in front of you and identify what this**
4 **exhibit contains?**

5 A. This is the lease tract map showing the
6 different tracts within the western half of Section 13.
7 As you can see, Tract 1 is a federal lease, and then
8 also Tract 2, which is up in the northeast corner of the
9 spacing unit, is a separate federal lease.

10 **Q. And I just want to kind of circle back to the**
11 **size of the spacing unit. Marathon is proposing to**
12 **create a 320-acre spacing unit for these three wells?**

13 A. That's right.

14 **Q. And that is because the 6H well is going to**
15 **be -- going to be drilled near the middle of this**
16 **proposed proration unit, correct?**

17 A. Yes.

18 **Q. Okay. And why does Marathon want to locate the**
19 **6H well running across the center of this half section?**

20 A. We just believe that that's the best placement
21 for the wells to effectively drain and develop that
22 western half. And then, also, we believe that would be
23 the most equitable way to distribute production and
24 revenue, is to pool the entire western half.

25 **Q. And why would that be the most equitable way to**

1 **distribute production?**

2 A. If we were to space that center well just on
3 either side of that unit, it would be unfair to the
4 differing overrides and differing interests in the Tract
5 2 that you can see on the lease tract map.

6 **Q. Okay. So there is different ownership in Tract**
7 **2 identified here in Exhibit 3?**

8 A. Yes.

9 **Q. And by locating a well near the center of the**
10 **proposed project area, owners on both halves of the**
11 **proposed proration unit will receive payment from**
12 **production?**

13 A. That's right.

14 **Q. Okay. Could you please turn to the second page**
15 **of this exhibit and explain what that shows?**

16 A. This is the summary of the interests. As you
17 can see, we have 100 percent committed working interests
18 under an operating agreement. Marathon owns 87.5
19 percent, all of Tract 1, and Cimarex Energy Co. owns
20 12.5 working interest, which is all of Tract 2.

21 **Q. And Marathon has entered into a joint operating**
22 **agreement with Cimarex?**

23 A. Yes.

24 **Q. And that joint operating agreement will govern**
25 **the terms of any nonconsenting -- accounting procedures**

1 and well proposals?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Could you please turn to the last two pages of
4 this exhibit? Does this information contain a list of
5 the overriding royalty interests that Marathon also
6 seeks to pool?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And these overrides are interests that were
9 created under a federal lease agreement; is that
10 correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So out of an abundance of caution, Marathon is
13 seeking to pool these overriding royalty interest
14 owners?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit Number 4? Is
17 this exhibit an affidavit confirming that notice was
18 sent by your counsel in Case Number 15998 to all
19 affected parties of Marathon's request for a nonstandard
20 proration unit, as well as compulsory pooling?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And could you please turn to the very last page
23 of this exhibit? Does the last page of this exhibit
24 contain an Affidavit of Publication confirming that
25 notice was also published in a newspaper of general

1 **circulation?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. When I look at the last about five or six pages**
4 **right before the Affidavit of Publication, there are**
5 **certain mailings that came back as being undelivered,**
6 **correct?**

7 A. Yes.

8 **Q. Could you please explain to the Hearing**
9 **Examiners what efforts you made to locate correct**
10 **addresses for these entities?**

11 A. Internet searches, calling, and then also we
12 published in the newspaper, just in an abundance of
13 caution, to make sure that everyone was noticed.

14 **Q. In your opinion, did Marathon exercise**
15 **reasonable diligence in trying to locate addresses for**
16 **these parties in order to provide them with notice of**
17 **today's hearing?**

18 A. Yes.

19 **Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 prepared by you or**
20 **compiled under your supervision or from company business**
21 **records?**

22 A. Yes.

23 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to
24 tender Exhibits 1 through 4 into the record.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 4

1 may now be accepted as part of the record.

2 (Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit Numbers
3 1 through 4 are offered and admitted into
4 evidence.)

5 MS. BRADFUTE: And that completes my
6 questions for this witness.

7 CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

9 **Q. Are there any depth severances?**

10 A. Not in the formation we're seeking to pool, no,
11 sir.

12 **Q. Okay. So this is the most equitable method**
13 **owners of both halves will receive production; is that**
14 **correct?**

15 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead.

18 EXAMINER BROOKS: I think you've covered
19 all the notice issues. I don't know that I have
20 anything.

21 I'm curious if these override assignments
22 have pay as Fed [sic] clauses, but it doesn't really
23 matter whether or not because you're going to pool
24 anyway.

25 MS. BRADFUTE: That's right. Yeah.

1 EXAMINER BROOKS: So I won't bother to ask
2 that question.

3 MS. BRADFUTE: We appreciate that. We
4 don't have the actual assignments in front of us today.

5 EXAMINER BROOKS: That's what I was afraid
6 of.

7 MS. BRADFUTE: Yeah. Okay.

8 EXAMINER BROOKS: There is a case in
9 Wyoming that I found that says pay as Fed [sic] clause
10 commits [sic] the override owner to -- notice. It's
11 just a Wyoming case, so who knows if it applies in
12 New Mexico or not, but it sounded like it was good to
13 know.

14 MS. BRADFUTE: Yeah, it does.

15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Costs are irrelevant,
16 right, because --

17 EXAMINER BROOKS: Yes. The cost-bearing
18 interests are already pooled.

19 MS. BRADFUTE: That's right.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Thank you.

21 MS. BRADFUTE: Thank you.

22 We would like to call our second witness.

23 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Please proceed.

24

25

1 KATE ZEIGLER, Ph.D.,
2 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
3 questioned and testified as follows:

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. BRADFUTE:

6 Q. Could you please state your name for the
7 record?

8 A. Kate Zeigler.

9 Q. And who do you work for?

10 A. Zeigler Geologic Consulting.

11 Q. What are your responsibilities?

12 A. I am a consulting geologist, and I work on
13 understanding local and regional geology and
14 stratigraphy.

15 Q. And have you been hired by Marathon to come in
16 and provide expert testimony in this matter?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And have you previously testified before the
19 Oil Conservation Commission?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And were your credentials accepted and made as
22 part of the record?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And are you familiar with the application
25 that's been filed by Marathon in this case?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
3 lands which are the subject matter of this application?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Are you familiar with the drilling plans for
6 the wells that have been proposed in this application?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And have you conducted a geologic study of the
9 area embracing the proposed spacing unit for the wells?

10 A. Yes.

11 MS. BRADFUTE: I'd like to tender
12 Ms. Zeigler as an expert in petroleum geology matters.

13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

14 Q. (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Ms. Zeigler, what is the
15 targeted interval for the two wells that are at issue in
16 this application?

17 A. In this case, we're looking at the Upper
18 Wolfcamp.

19 Q. And would you please turn to what's been marked
20 as Exhibit Number 5 and explain what this map is to the
21 Hearing Examiners?

22 A. So this is a structural contour map that's
23 developed on the top of the Wolfcamp in the area of
24 interest. The yellow area is the acreage at question,
25 and within the box line is the project area for the

1 three Ballista wells. And three of them are Wolfcamp.
2 One is a Bone Spring. So today this part will focus on
3 the Wolfcamp wells.

4 So the numbers 1 through 4, you can see the
5 small boxes in the western half of Section 13 showing
6 where each of the surface-hole locations will be, and
7 then the straight lines heading north are the
8 trajectories of the well paths. And I should note that
9 if you look at the structure, structure contours here is
10 on a 100-foot contour interval, and there is a
11 structural dip from the northeast -- or northwest down
12 to the southeast. And the wells will be drilled from
13 south to north with a slight toe up at the northern end.

14 **Q. And just to confirm, Ms. Zeigler, the well**
15 **that's shown in this map, that is not part of the**
16 **application as the Ballista Federal 23-32-13 TB 7H,**
17 **correct?**

18 A. Yes.

19 **Q. And that's because that's a 3rd Bone Spring**
20 **well?**

21 A. Yes.

22 **Q. Have you also prepared a cross section of logs**
23 **to determine the relative thickness and porosities of**
24 **Wolfcamp Formation?**

25 A. Yes. And that is based on the three

1 red-circled wells on this map that show a cross section
2 that heads from the northwest to the southeast, and
3 these are wells that are located in the area. They're
4 actually targeting Avalon Shale and Upper Bone Spring.
5 So these are not Wolfcamp wells, but they do go deep
6 enough to show us what's happening with the geology in
7 the Upper Wolfcamp?

8 **Q. And let's turn to Exhibit 6. Could you please**
9 **explain what that exhibit shows to the Hearing**
10 **Examiners?**

11 A. So this is a set of three electrical logs for
12 those wells that were shown circled on the previous
13 exhibit. So we're going from northwest to southeast,
14 from left to right across this diagram. And in each of
15 the three well logs, we have the gamma ray curve on the
16 left that's in the greens, blues and yellows, your depth
17 track, then your resistivity log and then your neutron
18 density and porosity log. And these are all hung on the
19 top of the Wolfcamp, which is the blue line that's
20 straight across the middle of the diagram.

21 And in this case, we're showing that the
22 producing zones in the Upper Wolfcamp are consistent in
23 their thickness across this area and that we don't see
24 signs of any faulting or other discontinuities that
25 might cause issues in the production zone.

1 Also, there is the Wolfcamp Y Sand which is
2 sort of in the upper third of that interval, and there
3 is a slight thickening in that unit as you go to the
4 southeast but nothing that would be of concern.

5 **Q. And do you consider the logs that are included**
6 **within your cross section to be representative of the**
7 **Wolfcamp Formation in the area near the proposed**
8 **proration unit?**

9 A. Yes.

10 **Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit Number 7 and**
11 **explain what this document shows to the Hearing**
12 **Examiners?**

13 A. So this is a gross interval isochore for the
14 Wolfcamp to the Wolfcamp B interval, and effectively
15 we're looking at the same yellow box for the acreage and
16 the black-dashed line box for the project area. You can
17 see the wells that are spaced out in the western half of
18 Section 13 and the wells that we looked at for that
19 cross section on the previous exhibit. And this is
20 simply showing that the effective thickness of the
21 interval at question in this area is consistent across
22 the project area, so again just noting that there is no
23 apparent faulting or any other issues that would cause
24 issues with production.

25 **Q. What conclusions have you drawn from your**

1 **geologic study of the area?**

2 A. That the thickness of the interval at question
3 is consistent throughout the project area, and so this
4 leads us to expect that we should see an even and
5 consistent production throughout that interval as these
6 wells are drilled through it and that we don't expect to
7 see any geologic issues with production from this.

8 **Q. Will each quarter-quarter section of the**
9 **proposed proration unit be productive within the**
10 **Wolfcamp Formation?**

11 A. Yes.

12 **Q. And will each quarter-quarter section**
13 **contribute approximately equally to the development of**
14 **these wells?**

15 A. Yes.

16 **Q. Could you please turn to what's been marked as**
17 **Exhibit 8 and explain what that document is to the**
18 **Hearing Examiners?**

19 A. So this is a development plan for the wells in
20 question. And on the left-hand side, you have a
21 satellite photo of the section, so we're zoomed into
22 Section 13 now. And you have the spacing of the wells
23 in the green lines. And so the surface hole will be at
24 the southern end, and then the well paths will all track
25 north on the western side of Section 13. And you can

1 see each of them labeled with the 3H on the western
2 side, the 6H down the middle and the 12H on the eastern
3 side.

4 And then the right-hand part of that figure
5 is both a vertical and lateral showing of how each of
6 these wells will be tracking through the subsurface. So
7 we have their spacing horizontally out and then showing
8 which of the target units that they will be completed
9 in.

10 Q. And you noted that the 6H well is going to be
11 drilled near the centerline of this half section,
12 correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Why does Marathon want to drill a well near the
15 centerline of the half section?

16 A. They're looking to drill the 6H down the center
17 of this area in order to develop the most efficient
18 drainage of the wellbore area as understood from
19 previous experience in the Basin so that we're looking
20 to do the most efficient drainage of that area and also
21 minimize communication between offset laterals.

22 Q. And will the 6H well obtain at least some
23 production from each 40-acre tract included within the
24 proposed spacing and proration unit?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how do you know that?

2 A. This is based on looking at other wells in the
3 Delaware Basin and understanding hydraulic stimulation
4 in those wells and how that works and coming back to the
5 idea that we pointed out in the cross section that we
6 have this very consistent thickness throughout the area,
7 that we have overall consistent quality in the Upper
8 Wolfcamp reservoirs, and so any resulting oil and gas
9 production is expected to follow that consistency
10 throughout the project area.

11 Q. And then there will be two additional Wolfcamp
12 wells drilled, one close to the west line of the
13 proposed proration unit and one drilled close to the
14 east line of the proposed proration unit, correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And do you believe that developing three
17 Wolfcamp wells across the half section is the most
18 efficient and economical way to develop the Wolfcamp
19 Formation within this area?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. In your opinion, would the granting of
22 Marathon's application be in the best interest of
23 conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection
24 of correlative rights?

25 A. Yes.

1 **Q. Could you please turn to Exhibit Number 9?**
2 **Does this exhibit contain wellbore diagrams for each of**
3 **the three wells that are being proposed in Marathon's**
4 **application?**

5 A. Yes.

6 **Q. And were Exhibits 5 through 9 prepared by you**
7 **and compiled under your direction and supervision?**

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. BRADFUTE: I would like to move to
10 admit Exhibits 5 through 9 into the record.

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 5 through 9
12 may now be accepted as part of the record.

13 (Marathon Oil Permian, LLC Exhibit Numbers
14 5 through 9 are offered and admitted into
15 evidence.)

16 MS. BRADFUTE: And that concludes my
17 questions for this witness.

18 CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

20 **Q. Okay. The first question I have is for the 6H.**
21 **What's going to be the first perf?**

22 A. So if you turn to the second page on Exhibit 9,
23 the very last exhibit, you have the Ballista Federal 6H
24 listed at the top. And at the bottom there, it notes
25 the first perforation will be no closer than 330 feet

1 from the south line of the section.

2 Q. What about the east-west -- no. The first perf
3 and last perf?

4 MS. BRADFUTE: They are shown, Mike, in
5 Exhibit 2B.

6 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I couldn't read that
7 very clearly.

8 MS. BRADFUTE: It looks like it's 1,322
9 feet from the west line.

10 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

11 EXAMINER McMILLAN: 1,322. And then --

12 MS. BRADFUTE: And then 1,323 in the last
13 perf from the west line.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So the last perf is
15 going to be the bottom hole?

16 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes.

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. And what's the
18 footage called from the south for the -- I'm looking at
19 the 6H.

20 MS. BRADFUTE: 330 feet.

21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: 330. Okay.

22 Q. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) And so for the 3H and
23 12H, the proposed project area will be orthodox, but
24 this well will be 13 -- will be 330 -- for the 6H, it's
25 going to be 330 from the south, 1,322 from the west, and

1 the last perf, which is terminus, is going to be 330,
2 1,322; is that correct?

3 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes, that's correct.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. That's critical
6 because --

7 Q. (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) Okay. What's the
8 drilling plan? What well will be drilled first?

9 MR. GYLLENBAND: (Indicating.)

10 MS. BRADFUTE: The 3H is going to be
11 drilled first.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: But then here's the
13 giant problem. You're forming a 320-acre spacing unit,
14 and the 3H is the first well drilled. What's going to
15 happen to that well if you don't drill --

16 MS. BRADFUTE: I apologize. It's going to
17 be the 6H.

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Ah. Okay. That
19 changes everything.

20 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes.

21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Because, you
22 know, it doesn't make a lot of sense to create a
23 320-acre if you only drill the east half of the west
24 half.

25 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes.

1 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Realistically, you're
2 actually -- it's not fair to everyone in the west
3 half-west half.

4 MS. BRADFUTE: Yeah. So I think -- my
5 understanding is Marathon has been drilling the center
6 wells first, and then Ryan just confirmed.

7 EXAMINER McMILLAN: That's what they're
8 doing in the San Andres. They're drilling the center
9 well first.

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: Makes life easier.

11 MS. BRADFUTE: Yeah, it does.

12 EXAMINER BROOKS: For us, not necessarily
13 for your drilling engineer.

14 MS. BRADFUTE: I know.

15 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead.

16 EXAMINER BROOKS: I have no questions.

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you.

18 MS. BRADFUTE: We ask this case be taken
19 under advisement.

20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And by the way, a lot
21 of those people who you're trying to pool live in
22 Roswell are actually --

23 Case Number 15998 will be taken under
24 advisement.

25 MS. BRADFUTE: Thank you.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(Case Number 15998 concludes, 9:28 a.m.)

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 26th day of March 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25