

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF OXY USA, INC. FOR	CASE NOS. 16009,
A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION	16010,
UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY	16011,
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	16012

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

March 8, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, March 8, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT OXY USA, INC.:

ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLC
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
agrarkin@hollandhart.com

INDEX

PAGE

Case Numbers 16009, 16010, 16011 and 16012 Called	4
OXY USA, Inc.'s Case-in-Chief:	
Witnesses:	
Stacy Rojas:	
Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	4
Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	20
Phyliscia Saner:	
Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	20
Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan	25
Proceedings Conclude	26
Certificate of Court Reporter	27

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

OXY USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6	19
OXY USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 7 and 8	25

1 (1:31 p.m.)

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I'd like to call this
3 hearing back to order.

4 Are all the cases going to be combined?

5 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, we have opted to
6 do them in two batches just to kind of -- for ease of
7 management. So the first set we'd like to do is Cases
8 16009 through 16012, which are all in the same.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: At this time I'd like
10 to call Case Number 16009, application of OXY USA,
11 Incorporated for a nonstandard spacing and proration
12 unit and compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
13 This case will be combined with Case Number 16010, 16011
14 and 16012.

15 Call for appearances.

16 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, Adam Rankin,
17 with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on behalf of
18 the Applicant. I have two witnesses today.

19 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances?

20 If the witnesses would please stand up and
21 be sworn in at this time.

22 Thank you.

23 (Ms. Saner and Ms. Rojas sworn.)

24 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to call
25 my first witness, Ms. Stacy Rojas.

1 were filed in these consolidated cases?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
4 lands in each of the cases?

5 A. Yes.

6 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would retender
7 Ms. Rojas as an expert in petroleum land matters.

8 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

9 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Ms. Rojas, will you please
10 briefly state for the Examiners what it is OXY seeks
11 with each of these consolidated cases?

12 A. Yes. So for these cases, we are seeking four
13 nonstandard spacing units, and they are approximately
14 320 acres each, more or less. They have lots in them,
15 so they're not exactly 320 acres. They are in irregular
16 Section 1 and regular Section 12 of Township 24 South,
17 Range 30 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. We're seeking
18 these for the development of two-mile laterals and to
19 pool uncommitted mineral interests in the Bone Spring
20 Formation.

21 Q. And these will be two-mile laterals, correct,
22 for these spacing units?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And in some of the cases, you're seeking two
25 initial wells?

1 A. Yes. So -- yes.

2 Q. Are you familiar -- can you recite for the
3 Examiners which cases have two initial wells and which
4 cases are single wells?

5 A. Absolutely. So Case 16009 has the Nimitz MDP1
6 12 Federal Com 1H and 2H wells.

7 I believe 16 -- let me make sure I don't
8 quote you wrong on these.

9 Q. 10?

10 A. Yeah, 10.

11 So the 10 has the Nimitz MDP1 12 Federal
12 Com 6H and 7H wells. That's under Tab B.

13 And then under Tab C -- do I need to state
14 the case to that one?

15 Q. Yeah. I think that would be --

16 A. That is 16011. And that will be for the Nimitz
17 MDP1 12 Federal Com 8H well. That's under Tab C.

18 And then for Case 16012, for the Nimitz
19 MDP1 12 Federal Com 9H well, which is under Tab D.

20 Q. So for each case, you've got -- the exhibits
21 are organized by tabs so that Tab A corresponds with
22 Case 16009, Tab B for 16010, Tab C for 16011, and Tab D
23 for 16012; is that right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Each of the exhibits follows the same sequence

1 for all of these cases?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. So with that, Ms. Rojas, has OXY prepared and
4 filed C-102s for each of these wells with the Division?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And are those reflected in the first set of
7 exhibits in your exhibit book --

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- Exhibit 1A, B, C and D?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. And behind Tab A, which is for Case
12 16009, are the C-102s for the 1H and the 2H, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. And those reflect that the wellbore as proposed
15 will be completely within the required setback for
16 statewide rules?

17 A. For the 1H, yes.

18 Q. For the 1H.

19 Same for the 2H as well?

20 A. No, sir. That's -- that's a nonstandard.

21 Q. Gotcha.

22 Has OXY already filed and been approved for
23 a nonstandard for the 2H?

24 A. We have, yes.

25 Q. Do you have the NSL order for that well? And

1 if you don't, I can recite it to you. Is it NSL 7569 --

2 A. Dash A, yes. Sorry.

3 Q. -- 7569A?

4 A. Dash A.

5 Q. For the 1H, is the API reflected on that
6 exhibit for that well?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And for the 2H as well?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Moving on to the next exhibit, B, which is for
11 Case 16010 --

12 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I just want to
13 point out for the record, I noticed this morning there
14 was an error in our exhibit tabs. And they say -- they
15 reference just 16009 on the exhibit tabs, if you see on
16 the exhibit sticker for each exhibit. It should say
17 "16009 through 16012."

18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Mine says 9 through 12.

19 MR. RANKIN: Does it?

20 EXAMINER BROOKS: So does mine.

21 MR. RANKIN: Well, mine doesn't show that.

22 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) So we were on Tab B, which is
23 Case 16010, for the 6H well. Does that C-102 reflect
24 that this well will be within the required setbacks?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And this does not include the API number on the
2 C-102. Has it been assigned one?

3 A. Yes, it has.

4 Q. Do you happen to know that number?

5 A. I do. Would you like me to read it for the
6 record?

7 Q. Just the last five.

8 A. Last five, 44528.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: And?

10 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) And is that the same case for
11 the 7H well; is that right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And does that C-102 reflect that it's in a
14 nonstandard location?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. It's unorthodox?

17 A. Right.

18 Q. Have you already received an approved NSL for
19 that well?

20 A. Yes, we have.

21 Q. Do you have the NSL, for the record?

22 A. It is 7570-A.

23 Q. And then I know that for the 7H, the C-102 does
24 not yet have an API number. Was one assigned to it by
25 the Division?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Can you recite that for the Examiner, the last
3 five digits?

4 A. 44529.

5 EXAMINER McMILLAN: I have a cheat sheet
6 because I have questions about that.

7 MR. RANKIN: Okay. All right.

8 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Now, moving on to Exhibit C,
9 this is the C-102 for Case 16011.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. Does that also reflect that the well is within
12 the standard -- is a standard location within the
13 setbacks required?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. It also reflects the API number that was
16 assigned to it?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And finally, Exhibit D, which is the last case
19 in our set, 16012, that reflects that the well is within
20 the appropriate setbacks; is that correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And that also has been assigned an API number?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What is the nature of the -- nature of the land
25 in Sections 1 and 12?

1 A. So all of them are federal leases except one
2 tract in the 6 and 7H, which is a fee tract, Snyder
3 [phonetic] Ranch.

4 **Q. So it's comprised of different federal leases**
5 **but for that one tract, which is a fee lease?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. Do any of these proration units within these**
8 **two sections have depth severances within any point**
9 **within the pool?**

10 A. No.

11 **Q. Let's see. Moving on to the next exhibit, Tab**
12 **Number 2, for each of those cases, what do these**
13 **exhibits show?**

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: If I put all the
15 information, I can write it real quick.

16 MR. RANKIN: Let us know --

17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Don't worry.

18 MR. RANKIN: Okay.

19 THE WITNESS: So these are land plats that
20 I prepared showing the different tracts and what
21 percentage of working interest each of the parties have
22 in each of the tracts in the proposed proration unit.

23 **Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Do you have the same -- you've**
24 **prepared a similar exhibit for each of the cases, and**
25 **they're behind each of these tabs, A, B, C, D?**

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And they each reflect the ownership
3 interest by tract?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And now the party -- you're seeking to pool all
6 uncommitted interests. Are there -- as to the working
7 interest owners that you're seeking to pool, is there
8 only the EOG entities that you're seeking to pool as
9 working interests?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. So there is -- there are different EOG entities
12 that are all the working interests for each of these
13 spacing units you're seeking to pool?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Are there any unleased mineral interest owners
16 in any of these tracts?

17 A. No.

18 Q. How about overrides?

19 A. Yes. There are overrides.

20 Q. We'll talk about those. You identified each of
21 the overriding royalty owners for each of these spacing
22 units?

23 A. Yes, I did.

24 Q. Now, on the next exhibit, Number 3, what does
25 this exhibit show?

1 A. So this is basically the same thing for a
2 different well depicting the different tracts within the
3 proposed spacing unit and how much working interest each
4 party has in a particular tract, and they're
5 color-coded, Tracts 1 and 2.

6 **Q. And then moving on to the next full exhibit,**
7 **which would be the numerical representation of the**
8 **ownership interest on a proration-unit basis; is that**
9 **right?**

10 A. Yes.

11 **Q. What does Exhibit 3 show?**

12 A. So that just shows the description of what the
13 proposed spacing unit will consist of, the tracts and
14 the acreage in there, the parties, working interests.
15 And then the bottom, what I did was a summary showing
16 what would be their working interest in that proposed
17 spacing unit.

18 **Q. So the parties that you've highlighted in**
19 **yellow are the parties that you're seeking -- the**
20 **working interests that you're seeking to pool?**

21 A. Yes.

22 **Q. And below there, you've indicated what their**
23 **prorated interest would be approximately for the entire**
24 **spacing unit you're proposing?**

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you've done the same for each of the wells
2 in each of these cases?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And those are reflected behind Tabs A, B, C and
5 D within Exhibit 3?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. In every case, you're seeking to pool -- the
8 only working interest is the EOG entity?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Now, with respect to the overrides, you stated
11 that you've identified all the parties from having
12 overriding interest in each of these spacing units?

13 A. (Indicating.)

14 Q. And did you also identify their addresses?

15 A. (Indicating.)

16 Q. And there was -- were there any issues
17 identifying the correct address for any of these
18 overrides?

19 A. So we did have an issue with about five of the
20 overrides where we found some additional addresses. So
21 out of an abundance of caution, we went on ahead and
22 noticed them at those other addresses as well. So the
23 initial addresses we found, we sent them notice there,
24 and then we gave them another notice at the other
25 addresses to be sure we found them and got their

1 addresses.

2 Q. So we'll be asking for a continuance for two
3 weeks in order to perfect that notice. We'll return to
4 supplement the record and demonstrate that notice has
5 been affected; is that right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Nevertheless, did we also identify all those
8 parties, including the overrides, in the Notice of
9 Publication?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. We'll cover that in a moment.

12 Now, as to the working interests that
13 you're seeking to pool, did you prepare a well-proposal
14 letter for each of these wells?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And did you -- are those reflected in Exhibit
17 Number 4?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And is Exhibit Number 4 a copy of the letters
20 that were sent to the EOG entities?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And for each well, they're behind Tabs A, B, C
23 and D?

24 A. Yes.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Slow down.

1 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) And when were those letters
2 sent out, approximately?

3 A. On January 23rd of 2018.

4 Q. Were all those letters sent at the same time?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. And did those well-proposal letters contain an
7 AFE as well for each of the wells?

8 A. They did.

9 Q. And the costs that you reflected in the AFEs,
10 were those costs consistent with what operators and OXY
11 have incurred for drilling similar wells within this
12 area?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And has OXY also identified and estimated the
15 overhead and administrative costs incurred while
16 drilling and producing the wells if successful?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What are those costs?

19 A. We are seeking for drilling at \$7,500 per month
20 and while the well's producing, \$750.

21 Q. Are those costs similar to what other operators
22 have charged for overhead and administrative costs?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. After sending these well-proposal letters, has
25 OXY engaged in discussions with EOG with regard to

1 coming to a potential voluntary agreement?

2 A. Yes, we have, actually. And they've indicated
3 that it's a high possibility that we'll do a trade with
4 them, but they wanted to address some trades in some of
5 our other areas first that are higher up on their
6 priority list than they are ours. So yes.

7 Q. So should OXY reach an agreement with EOG and
8 each of those EOG entities, will OXY notify the Division
9 it's reached agreement and it's no longer seeking to
10 pool EOG?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. With respect to notice, is Exhibit 5 a copy of
13 affidavits indicating that my office, I believe,
14 prepared notice of this hearing and sent notice to each
15 of the affected parties, including offset operators and
16 overriding royalty owners, for each of these cases?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And are those letters reflected -- or behind
19 each of the tabs, A, B, C and D, for each of the cases
20 today?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay. And behind each of those sample letters,
23 there is a series of green cards and green-card receipts
24 that were received as of -- as of yesterday, and in each
25 case, EOG indicates that it did receive notice on the

1 pooling, correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Now, there are some green cards that did not
4 get returned in time for today's hearing from the
5 overrides and the offsets, but those parties, as we
6 indicated, were provided notice through Notice of
7 Publication; is that correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And are those reflected behind Exhibit Number
10 6?

11 A. Yes, they are.

12 Q. And behind Tabs A, B, C and D, are there
13 notices of Affidavits of Publication stating that each
14 of the parties were identified by name, giving them
15 notice of today's hearing?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. Make sure I got everything else.

18 Ms. Rojas, were Exhibits 1 through 6, Tabs
19 A, B, C and D, in each of the cases that we just went
20 through, were they either prepared by you or under your
21 supervision --

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. -- or direction?

24 MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I'll
25 move to admit Exhibits 1 through 6 for each of the

1 cases.

2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 6
3 for Case 16009, Exhibits 1 through 6 for Case 16010,
4 Exhibits 1 through 6 for Case 16011, and Exhibits 1
5 through 6 for Case 16012 may now be accepted as part of
6 the record.

7 (OXY USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6
8 for each case, Case Numbers 16009, 16010,
9 16011 and 16012, are offered and admitted
10 into evidence.)

11 MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I
12 have no further questions of the witness, and pass the
13 witness.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Where is the
15 application --

16 MR. RANKIN: It's in Exhibit 6, and behind
17 each of the tabs, A, B, C and D, there is an Affidavit
18 of Publication for each individual case identifying each
19 of the parties affected, working interests, the
20 overrides, offsets.

21 So behind Tab A, Exhibit 6, will be the
22 Notice of Publication for Case Number 16009.

23 Behind Tab B is the Notice for Affidavit
24 for 16010 and so forth.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay.

1 Go ahead.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

4 **Q. All these are in the same pool? All these**
5 **wells are in Cotton Draw; Bone Spring?**

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 **Q. And is that spaced on 40 acres with 330-foot**
8 **setbacks?**

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 EXAMINER BROOKS: That's all I have. Yeah.
11 I think that's all I have.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you very much.

13 MR. RANKIN: No further questions.

14 Thank you, Ms. Rojas.

15 Call my second witness, Ms. Phylricia Saner.

16 PHYLICIA SANER,

17 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
18 questioned and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. RANKIN:

21 **Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Saner. How are you?**

22 A. Good. How are you?

23 **Q. Good.**

24 **Would you please state your name?**

25 A. Phylricia Saner.

1 Q. By whom are you employed?

2 A. Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

3 Q. In what capacity?

4 A. I'm a staff geologist.

5 Q. And have you previously had the opportunity to
6 testify before the Division?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Will you please briefly review for the
9 Examiners your background, your education and your
10 degrees and your work experience as a petroleum
11 geologist?

12 A. Bachelor of Science, 2010, at Colorado School
13 of Mines, in geology, Master's of Science in 2012, also
14 in geology at Colorado School of Mines, two internships
15 with SM Energy and Apache Corporation and
16 five-and-a-half years employment with Occidental.

17 Q. And are you familiar with the applications that
18 were filed in each of these consolidated cases?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Have you conducted a study of the lands and the
21 geology underlying the acreage at issue in these cases?

22 A. Yes.

23 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would tender
24 Ms. Saner as an expert in petroleum geology.

25 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified.

1 MR. RANKIN: Thank you.

2 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Ms. Saner, what is the interval
3 here -- the geological interval that you're seeking to
4 develop in each of these cases?

5 A. This will be the 2nd Bone Spring Sand.

6 Q. Have you prepared a structure map reflecting
7 the structure and geology in that zone?

8 A. I have.

9 Q. Has that been marked as Exhibit Number 7?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And that same exhibit is applicable to each of
12 these cases, correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Will you review for the Examiners what that
15 structure map shows?

16 A. Yes. So on the structure map, on the left-hand
17 side, we have the shallower Bone Spring Sandstone
18 Formation, and then moving toward the east, as the
19 colors get cooler, we are deeper in the Basin. The red
20 box outlines the Nimitz area of interest for both of
21 these cases. All of the white wells are the 2nd Bone
22 Spring Sand wells that OXY is planning to drill, both
23 the Nimitz and future development. The black line from
24 A to A prime is the cross section shown in Exhibit 8.

25 Q. So on Exhibit 8, you've got the wells that

1 **you've identified in that cross section; is that right?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. And those wells were selected. And are they**
4 **representative of the geology of the wells in the area,**
5 **in your view?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. Would you review for the Examiners what Exhibit**
8 **8 shows?**

9 A. Sure. On the left-hand side, we have the well
10 TVD. In the middle track, we have our gamma-ray curve.
11 On the right-hand track is the resistivity curve, and
12 outlined in the shady brown is our target interval
13 through all three of the wells. And we find that this
14 target is consistent across the zone and representative
15 for all of these wells.

16 **Q. And across this area, you've also indicated**
17 **your picks for the different intervals within the Bone**
18 **Spring; is that correct?**

19 A. Yeah.

20 **Q. And those are reflected in the light blue and**
21 **light red lines going from top to bottom, right?**

22 A. Yes.

23 **Q. In each of these wells, for each of these**
24 **cases, you're targeting that same interval within**
25 **Sections 1 and 12?**

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And in your view, is this acreage suitable for
3 the development of the two-mile lateral wells?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Have you identified any geologic impediments,
6 faults, pinch-outs or other types of structures that
7 would impair development of these two-mile laterals?

8 A. No.

9 Q. In your view, is the geology consistent across
10 the entire spacing unit?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. In your opinion, will each of the 40-acre units
13 that comprise each of the spacing units contribute more
14 or less equally to the production of the well?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Finally, in your view, will the granting of
17 these applications be in the interest of conservation,
18 the prevention of waste and the protection of
19 correlative rights?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. That's good.

22 Now, Exhibits 7 and 8 for each of these
23 cases, were they prepared by you, under your supervision
24 or using company records?

25 A. Yes.

1 **Q. I think those are all my questions.**

2 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would move the
3 admission of Exhibits 7 and 8 in each of these
4 consolidated cases.

5 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 7 and 8 in
6 Case Number 16009, Exhibits 7 and 8 in Case 16010,
7 Exhibits 7 and 8 in Case 16011, and Exhibits 7 and 8 in
8 Case 16012 may now be accepted as part of the record.

9 (OXY USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 7 and 8 for
10 each case, Case Numbers 16009, 16010, 16011
11 and 16012, are offered and admitted into
12 evidence.)

13 MR. RANKIN: I have no further questions
14 for the witness, and pass the witness.

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN:

17 **Q. Do you expect all quarter sections to equally**
18 **contribute to production?**

19 A. Yes.

20 **Q. Looking at 8, do you expect any other Bone**
21 **Spring intervals to be productive, or this just happens**
22 **to be the one right now you're looking at?**

23 A. Yes. We are also looking at the 3rd Bone
24 Spring very closely.

25 **Q. Okay.**

1 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Nothing.

3 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Let me make sure I'm
4 clear on one point. This is a land question. So there
5 are unlocatable royalty interest owners?

6 MR. RANKIN: No. There were no unleased
7 mineral interest owners at all, so there were no
8 unlocatable interests.

9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: But there were for
10 royalty?

11 MR. RANKIN: No. No.

12 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you.

13 MR. RANKIN: No further questions.

14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So Case 16009 shall be
15 continued to March 22nd. Case Number 16010 shall be
16 continued until March 22nd. Case Number 16011 shall be
17 continued until March the 22nd. Case 16012 shall be
18 continued until March the 22nd.

19 (Case Numbers 16009 through 16012 conclude,
20 2:00 p.m.)

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 26th day of March 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25