

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED  
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR  
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF ONE ENERGY PARTNERS                      CASE NOS. 16036,  
OPERATING, LLC FOR A NONSTANDARD    16037  
SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND  
COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY,  
NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

April 5, 2018

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE:    WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER  
              LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER  
              DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner, Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, April 5th, 2018, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY:    Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR  
                      New Mexico CCR #20  
                      Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters  
                      500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105  
                      Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
                      (505) 843-9241

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT ONE ENERGY PARTNERS OPERATING, LLC:

ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQ.  
HOLLAND & HART, LLC  
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
(505) 988-4421  
agrarkin@hollandhart.com

FOR INTERESTED PARTY ADVANCE ENERGY PARTNERS:

J. SCOTT HALL, ESQ.  
MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS LAW FIRM  
325 Paseo de Peralta  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501  
(505) 982-3873  
shall@montand.com

INDEX

PAGE

Case Numbers 16036 and 16037 Called	4
OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief:	
Witnesses:	
Jeffrey M. Lierly:	
Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	5
Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks	15
Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	16
Melissa Saurborn:	
Direct Examination by Mr. Rankin	17
Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones	23
Proceedings Conclude	24
Certificate of Court Reporter	25

1	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED	
2		PAGE
3	OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 8	14
4		
5	OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 9, 10 and 11	22
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (11:21 a.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Let's call Case Numbers  
3 16036 and 16037. Both are titled application of  
4 OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC for a nonstandard  
5 spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling, Lea  
6 County, New Mexico.

7 Call for appearances.

8 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, Adam Rankin,  
9 with Holland & Hart, appearing for the Applicant in this  
10 case. I've got two witnesses.

11 EXAMINER JONES: Other appearances?

12 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall, with  
13 Montgomery & Andrews of Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of  
14 Advance Energy Partners in both cases. No witnesses, no  
15 exhibits, likely no questions.

16 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

17 I assume OneEnergy has witnesses? Two  
18 witnesses?

19 Will the court reporter please swear the  
20 witnesses?

21 (Mr. Lierly and Ms. Saurborn sworn.)

22 MR. RANKIN: Call my first witness,  
23 Mr. Jeff Lierly.

24 EXAMINER JONES: I think we've met him  
25 before.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

JEFFREY M. LIERLY,

after having been first duly sworn under oath, was  
questioned and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RANKIN:

**Q. Mr. Lierly, will you please state your full  
name for the record?**

A. Jeff Lierly. I'm the vice president of land  
for OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC.

**Q. And what do your job duties entail?**

A. All the land functions.

**Q. Have you previously testified before the  
Division?**

A. I have.

**Q. Have you been qualified as an expert in  
petroleum land matters?**

A. Yes, I have.

**Q. Are you familiar with the two applications that  
were filed in these consolidated cases?**

A. Yes, I am.

**Q. Have you conducted a study of the lands that  
are the subject of those two cases?**

A. I have.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would  
re-tender Mr. Lierly as an expert in petroleum land

1 matters.

2 MR. HALL: No objection.

3 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.

4 MR. RANKIN: Thank you.

5 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Mr. Lierly, would you please  
6 refer to Exhibit Number 1 in your exhibit packet. And  
7 as you do so, will you please explain for the Examiners  
8 what it is OneEnergy Partners is seeking here with these  
9 two applications?

10 A. Yes. Exhibit 1 is a map of our two proposed  
11 approximately 320-acre nonstandard spacing and proration  
12 units in which we're seeking to pool all uncommitted  
13 interest owners, working interest and unleased mineral  
14 owners in the Wolfcamp Formation.

15 The colors in the map depict the type of  
16 ownership. The red in the west half of Section 2 is a  
17 state lease. The north half-northwest and the  
18 southwest-southwest of Section 11 are federal acreage,  
19 and the green in between Section 11 is fee lands, with  
20 the west half-west half of Section 2 and the west  
21 half-west half of Section 11 being contributed to the  
22 County Fair WCA Fed Com 1H well in the east half-west  
23 half of Section 2 and the east half-west half of Section  
24 11 and contributing to the Blue Ribbon WCA Fed Com 1H  
25 well.

1           **Q.    With these two wells, have you filed APDs yet?**

2           A.    We haven't.  We're in the process of scheduling  
3   the federal on-site with the BLM, so we anticipate  
4   having that concluded and filed probably in the next 45  
5   to 60 days.

6           **Q.    Have you prepared draft C-102 plats depicting**  
7   **the acreage dedication for these two wells?**

8           A.    We do -- we have.

9           **Q.    Are these depicted in Exhibits 2 and 3?**

10          A.    Yes, they are.

11          **Q.    Can you just review for the Examiners the C-102**  
12 **plats noting the two interval proposed locations and the**  
13 **bottom hole and surface hole for each well?**

14          A.    Yes.  Exhibit 2 is the C-102 for County Fair  
15   WCA Fed Com 1H, and, again, that's going to be in the  
16   west half-west half of Section 2 and the west half-west  
17   half of Section 11, Township 25 South, Range 35 East.  
18   And all portions of the productive lateral will be  
19   within the 300-foot required statewide Wolfcamp  
20   setbacks.

21          **Q.    Just to clarify, it's 330-foot setbacks.**

22          A.    330-foot.  Excuse me.

23          **Q.    And so these -- both these spacing units are**  
24 **subject to statewide setbacks for oil wells?**

25          A.    Yes.  Yes.  There's -- yes.  They'll be in the

1 wildcat Wolfcamp statewide rules.

2 Q. And those are spaced currently on 40-acre  
3 spacing; is that right?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. And do any of these -- do any of the -- the  
6 acreage at issue here in both cases, are there any depth  
7 severances or difference in ownership between the  
8 Wolfcamp and Bone Spring?

9 A. No. There is no segregation.

10 Q. Now, looking at your next exhibits, Exhibits 4  
11 and 5, do these both depict the ownership interest by  
12 tract?

13 A. Yes. So each one of those showed ownership on  
14 a tract and on a proposed 320-acre spacing-unit basis  
15 with the owners highlighted in yellow and bold, being  
16 the uncommitted owners on a tract and unit basis, that  
17 we're seeking to pool.

18 Q. Okay. So for both exhibits, the interests  
19 you're seeking to pool was their interest on a tract  
20 basis, as well as an overall proration-unit basis?

21 A. Yes. And I would note that we reached an  
22 agreement with Santo Petroleum yesterday, so we'd like  
23 to remove them from both of the applications. And we  
24 think we're close with a couple of the other parties as  
25 well.



1 letter and AFE for the lessees of record or the working  
2 interest owners that we have.

3 Q. Okay. And did your well proposals that you  
4 sent out -- I'll just note that there is a yellow page  
5 that separates the letters that you sent to the unleased  
6 mineral interest owners from the working interest owners  
7 for each exhibit.

8 A. Correct. Correct. Sorry. The first one being  
9 the unleased mineral owners, and the second one, after  
10 the yellow page, the interest owners.

11 Q. Did you include an AFE with the costs with the  
12 well proposals?

13 A. We did.

14 Q. Are those costs included here in this exhibit?

15 A. Yes. They're after each respective  
16 well-proposal letter.

17 Q. Are the costs identified in that AFE consistent  
18 with what OneEnergy Partners and other operators have  
19 been incurring for similar-length wells in the area?

20 A. Yes, they are. This is pretty accurate as to  
21 some of the other two-mile well proposals received as  
22 working interest owners.

23 Q. And have you identified the administrative and  
24 overheads costs that you will incur and will charge  
25 while drilling the well and while producing it in both

1 **cases?**

2 A. Yes, 7,000 a month while drilling and 700 a  
3 month while producing.

4 **Q. Are these costs on your overhead and**  
5 **administrative costs similar to what other operators**  
6 **have incurred for wells in the area?**

7 A. Yes, they are.

8 **Q. Now, after sending these well-proposal letters,**  
9 **what other efforts have you undertaken to reach**  
10 **agreement with the parties that you're seeking to pool?**

11 A. Like I said, we've been leasing and trying to  
12 track down some folks in this section for well over a  
13 year. So we sent a number of offers to lease, phone  
14 calls, emails. We've exchanged emails with a number of  
15 folks. We have a few different trade proposals with a  
16 few parties that are currently subject to the order that  
17 we think might remove them from these orders. We've  
18 actually done trades with some of those folks to  
19 actually get the federal lease in Section 11. And  
20 we're, you know, kind of working through some additional  
21 JOA amendments that we think will get everyone  
22 comfortable, so phone calls, emails, personal meetings.

23 **Q. And if you were to reach agreement with any**  
24 **parties after this hearing, will you notify the Division**  
25 **that you are no longer seeking to pool their interest?**

1           A.    Yes.  And we've notified all those parties and  
2   continue to work with them after today just to keep them  
3   informed.

4           **Q.    And you indicated you are still continuing to**  
5   **look for some individuals.  Are there some unlocatable**  
6   **interests you're seeking to pool today?**

7           A.    Yes.  There were, I think, between five and  
8   seven parties who -- some of the well-proposal letters  
9   or other types of correspondence, like the  
10   communitization agreements we sent, came back  
11   undeliverable.

12          **Q.    What efforts did you undertake to identify**  
13   **correct and valid addresses for each of these parties?**

14          A.    We've got a subscription to Accurint, which is  
15   a subscription-based service, Google and county records.

16          **Q.    In your opinion, have you undertaken a**  
17   **good-faith and diligent effort to identify the correct**  
18   **and valid addresses for these parties?**

19          A.    We have.

20          **Q.    In your opinion, have you made a good-faith**  
21   **effort to reach agreement with the parties you're**  
22   **seeking to pool?**

23          A.    Yes.  And we will continue to do so.

24          **Q.    Now, is Exhibit Number 8 a copy of the**  
25   **affidavit prepared by myself and our office indicating**

1 that we provided notice -- let me step back because I  
2 left out one thing.

3 Mr. Lierly, in addition to identifying the  
4 parties you're seeking to pool, the unleased mineral  
5 owners and the working interest owners, did you also  
6 identify each of the 40-acre tracts surrounding the  
7 acreages for these two cases, the offset operators and  
8 leased mineral interest owners?

9 A. Yes, we did.

10 Q. Is Exhibit Number 8 a copy of the affidavit  
11 prepared by my office and myself indicating that you  
12 provided notice to each of those parties that you've  
13 identified?

14 A. Yes, it is.

15 Q. And behind that affidavit, is there a copy of  
16 the letter that was sent out to the proposed parties?

17 A. Yes, after the yellow page.

18 Q. Right.

19 And the first letter here is actually a  
20 copy of the letter that was sent to Santo Energy.  
21 You've not reached agreement with them; is that right?

22 A. We have. They're a committed working interest  
23 owner.

24 Q. So if we go back to the exhibit, the letter  
25 after the yellow page, is that the letter that was sent

1 out to the parties you're seeking to pool for each of  
2 these wells; is that correct?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. Now, as you indicated, some of these parties  
5 were unable to receive notice because they were  
6 unlocatable interests; is that correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, our notice of  
9 publication that we issued was late. It was not filed  
10 timely -- it was not published timely. So we're asking  
11 that this case be continued to the May 3rd docket so we  
12 can perfect publication of those unlocatable parties.

13 EXAMINER JONES: To the May 3rd?

14 MR. RANKIN: To the May 3rd docket,  
15 correct, at which time we will supplement the record  
16 with the Affidavit of Publication.

17 With that, Mr. Examiner, I would move the  
18 admission of Exhibits 1 through 8.

19 EXAMINER JONES: 1 through 8 --

20 MR. RANKIN: In both cases.

21 EXAMINER JONES: Objection?

22 MR. HALL: No objection.

23 EXAMINER JONES: 1 through 8 are admitted.

24 (OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit  
25 Numbers 1 through 8 are offered and

1 admitted into evidence.)

2 MR. RANKIN: No further questions of the  
3 witness.

4 MR. HALL: I have no questions.

5 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Lowe?

6 EXAMINER LOWE: No questions.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Brooks?

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

10 Q. Did you testify as to the diligence you used  
11 looking for these unlocatables? I didn't -- my mind  
12 wandered.

13 A. Everyone's getting hungry.

14 We've been using a subscription-based  
15 service called Accurint -- it's kind of a LexisNexis --  
16 so we can track down these folks, because we've been  
17 trying to lease in the area for over a year. So we've  
18 been working on trying to track those folks down for  
19 well over a year.

20 Q. I see you offered a -- you offered to purchase  
21 the interest of the unleased -- of owners of -- small  
22 owners of the leasehold.

23 A. Yes, in the event they didn't want to  
24 participate. We have bought a few people out.

25 Q. Yeah. I think that's a highly commendable

1 practice.

2 Thank you.

3 CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 BY EXAMINER JONES:

5 Q. I guess it's going to mess everything up if  
6 they don't get the well drilled all the way. You'll  
7 have to redo everything, won't you, because -- hopefully  
8 they will get it drilled all the way.

9 A. Yes. Yeah. We own -- in the west half-west  
10 half, we own. I think, you know, it would be a mile and  
11 a half, 100 percent. So we own the entire federal lease  
12 and, you know, we've got the entire state lease in  
13 Section 2.

14 Q. Okay. But -- so there are four tracts in  
15 16037, but in 16036, there are three tracts; is that  
16 right?

17 A. Correct, three fee tracts. Correct. And we  
18 own in every single tract. We just don't own all of the  
19 interest except for in the state lease and the federal  
20 lease.

21 Q. Okay. When do you anticipate spudding?

22 A. We're kind of at the mercy of the BLM on the  
23 permitting. So we anticipate having the on-site, like I  
24 said, hopefully in the next 30, 45 days, at which time  
25 we'll have all the necessary paperwork to submit to the

1 APD, next five to eight months to get the APD. Maybe  
2 that's conservative. Maybe longer.

3 **Q. But you got your com agreements proposed?**

4 A. Well, so the BLM typically requests that we  
5 wait until we have drilled the well, are about to  
6 establish production to get the com agreements. We've  
7 circulated them to all the working interest owners to  
8 try to get them to execute, and we'll do the same with  
9 the State Land Office when we're closer to spud.

10 **Q. Thanks very much.**

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 MR. RANKIN: No further questions on my  
13 part. I'd like to call my second witness.

14 MELISSA SAURBORN,  
15 after having been previously sworn under oath, was  
16 questioned and testified as follows:

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. RANKIN:

19 **Q. Ms. Saurborn, will you please state your full  
20 name for the record?**

21 A. Melissa Saurborn.

22 **Q. And by whom are you employed?**

23 A. OneEnergy Partners.

24 **Q. And what is your job with OneEnergy?**

25 A. I'm a consulting geologist.

1 Q. And have you previously testified before the  
2 Division and had your credentials as an expert in  
3 petroleum geology accepted as a matter of record?

4 A. I have not.

5 Q. Let's review your background, Ms. Saurborn.  
6 Will you please briefly review your education and your  
7 work experience as a petroleum geologist?

8 A. Yes. I have a master's degree from Columbia  
9 University in geology. I worked for ExxonMobil in  
10 Houston, Texas for years and Samson Resources in Denver  
11 for three years, and I've been with OneEnergy Partners  
12 for a year.

13 Q. Have you previously had responsibilities in the  
14 Permian Basin of New Mexico?

15 A. For the past year for OneEnergy, I've been  
16 working the Permian.

17 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the  
18 applications filed in these two cases?

19 A. Yes, I am.

20 Q. Have you conducted a geologic study of the  
21 lands underlying the area?

22 A. I have.

23 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I know that  
24 she's an expert because she and I went to the same  
25 graduate program at the same time.

1 (Laughter.)

2 MR. RANKIN: So I would offer to tender  
3 Ms. Saurborn as an expert in petroleum geology.

4 MR. HALL: No objection.

5 EXAMINER JONES: We'll qualify her as the  
6 first Columbia --

7 THE WITNESS: Well, second, but yes.

8 MR. RANKIN: I'm not qualified as an expert  
9 (laughter).

10 EXAMINER JONES: He never told me that.

11 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Ms. Saurborn, will you please  
12 review for the Examiners what has been marked as Exhibit  
13 Number 9 in your exhibit packet?

14 A. Sure. This is the top of the Wolfcamp  
15 structure map and TVD subsea. You can see from the  
16 depth of the structure map, the top of the Wolfcamp A is  
17 dipping towards the west in this area. And shown in  
18 orange are the two locations of the two wells that we're  
19 talking about here today, the County Fair WCA and the  
20 Blue Ribbon WCA. They do share a surface location.  
21 They're on the same pad. The surface location is shown  
22 in the orange circle, and then the two bottom-hole  
23 locations are shown in the orange squares. Sorry. I  
24 should have said. The contour interval on the map is  
25 100 feet.

1           **Q. Did you also present analysis of the cross**  
2 **section of the area?**

3           A. Yes. The next exhibit, 10, is the same map,  
4 just one of the contour intervals. And it shows the  
5 locations, again, of the two proposed wells, the County  
6 Fair and the Blue Ribbon, and then the relevant offset  
7 wells extending from the southwest through the area  
8 where the County Fair and the Blue Ribbon will be  
9 drilled and then off to the northeast on the cross  
10 section labeled A to A prime on the map.

11           **Q. And the wells you've identified on this cross**  
12 **section, A to A prime, are these three wells**  
13 **representative of the geology in the area?**

14           A. Yes, they are.

15           **Q. Will you review for the Examiners Exhibit 11,**  
16 **which I think depicts your cross section?**

17           A. That is the cross section, correct. Again,  
18 this is A to A prime shown on the previous map. The  
19 three wells here are the Banana Girl, the Reno and the  
20 Proxy WCA pilot hole.

21                       The three tracks on each well: The left  
22 track is gamma ray; the middle track, resistivity; and  
23 then the right track is density and neutron porosity.

24                       The relevant geology shown here is the  
25 lower portion of the 2nd Bone Spring Carbonate, the 3rd

1 Bone Spring Sand, the Wolfcamp X-Y, and then the top of  
2 the Wolfcamp A, same surface we saw on the structure  
3 map, which is the datum for the cross section. And then  
4 below that, of course, is the Wolfcamp A, which includes  
5 our landing interval, which is shown on the Reno well.  
6 And below that will be the Wolfcamp B.

7 The landing interval that I've identified  
8 on this cross section is consistent, the same interval  
9 as seen in the Banana Girl and the Proxy well to the  
10 northeast.

11 Q. And what is the approximate thickness of your  
12 interval across the unit here, the two acres -- the  
13 two-mile interval you're looking at drilling here?

14 A. It's about 60 to 75 feet.

15 Q. Across the entire --

16 A. Across the entire, uh-huh.

17 Q. So in your opinion, is this interval you're  
18 proposing to develop consistent across the entire  
19 320-acre spacing?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And have you identified any faulting,  
22 pinch-outs, other geologic hazards or impediments that  
23 would impair a two-mile lateral in this area?

24 A. No. No.

25 Q. In your opinion, will each of the 320 acres

1 developed in each of these spacing units, on average,  
2 contribute to the production of each of the wells?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Ms. Saurborn, in your opinion, will the  
5 development of these two wells result in the prevention  
6 of waste, the protection of correlative rights and the  
7 conservation of something -- what is the other thing I'm  
8 supposed to say?

9 MR. HALL: Natural resources.

10 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. Natural resources.

11 Q. (BY MR. RANKIN) Is that fair and accurate?

12 A. Yes. That is fair and accurate.

13 Q. Ms. Saurborn, were Exhibits 9, 10 and 11  
14 prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?

15 A. Yes, they were.

16 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would move the  
17 admission of Exhibits 9, 10 and 11 for the record.

18 EXAMINER JONES: No objection?

19 MR. HALL: No objection.

20 MR. RANKIN: No further questions of the  
21 witness.

22 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 9, 10 and 11 are  
23 admitted.

24 (OneEnergy Partners Operating, LLC Exhibit  
25 Numbers 9, 10 and 11 are offered and

1 admitted into evidence.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: Any questions, Mr. Hall?

3 MR. HALL: No questions.

4 EXAMINER BROOKS: No questions.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY EXAMINER JONES:

7 **Q. Looks like you're going for only the porosity**  
8 **zone there.**

9 A. Busted (laughter). Yes. That's what we --  
10 it's the same interval we drilled the Proxy well. So  
11 yes, that's the interval we've chosen.

12 **Q. Good luck with your wells.**

13 A. All right. Thank you.

14 **Q. Thank you very much.**

15 A. Uh-huh.

16 MR. RANKIN: No further questions.

17 I'd ask these cases both be taken -- well,  
18 actually, that they be continued to the May 3rd docket,  
19 at which time we'll present an Affidavit of Publication  
20 correcting the notice for each of the --

21 EXAMINER BROOKS: I thought you had three  
22 witnesses.

23 MR. RANKIN: Just two.

24 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Hall, why were -- you  
25 just made an appearance. What was Advance's -- were

1 they offsetting parties or --

2 MR. HALL: They're in both proposed units,  
3 and they've got 38 percent in --

4 EXAMINER JONES: Oh, big percentage.

5 Okay. We'll continue both of these cases  
6 until May the 3rd.

7 And we'll break until 1:30.

8 (Recess, 11:42 a.m. to 1:32 p.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court  
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,  
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify  
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in  
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are  
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that  
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my  
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's  
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects  
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither  
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or  
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in  
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 22nd day of April 2018.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR  
24 Certified Court Reporter  
New Mexico CCR No. 20  
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2018  
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25