

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED
BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF OXY USA, INC. FOR CASE NO. 20186
COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

January 10th, 2019

Santa Fe, New Mexico

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, CHIEF EXAMINER
KATHLEEN MURPHY, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
LEONARD LOWE, TECHNICAL EXAMINER
DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William V. Jones, Chief Examiner; Kathleen Murphy and Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiners; and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, January 10th, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR
New Mexico CCR #20
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters
500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
(505) 843-9241

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES

FOR APPLICANT OXY USA, INC.:

JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ.
HOLLAND & HART, LLP
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 988-4421
jlkessler@hollandhart.com

INDEX

	PAGE
Case Number 20186 Called	3
Case Presented by Affidavit	3
Proceedings Conclude	9
Certificate of Court Reporter	10

EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED

OXY USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 and 2	8
---------------------------------------	---

1 (2:13 p.m.)

2 EXAMINER JONES: OXY is case next. Okay.
3 Let's go to Case 20186, application of OXY USA, Inc. for
4 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

5 Call for appearances.

6 MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler, from the
7 Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, on behalf of OXY USA.

8 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances?

9 MS. KESSLER: This is a compulsory pooling
10 case for a vertical well.

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: I didn't know we did
12 those anymore.

13 MS. KESSLER: You know, I think in all of
14 my years doing this, this is the first vertical well
15 that I've compulsory pooled.

16 MR. BRUCE: I thought I had cornered the
17 market on that.

18 (Laughter.)

19 EXAMINER JONES: You did. You used to do
20 from the basement to the surface and telescoping spacing
21 units.

22 MS. KESSLER: So we did this one a little
23 bit differently, and that was intentionally,
24 Mr. Examiner. OXY is seeking to compulsory pool the
25 interest owners in the north half of Section 4 in a

1 320-acre spacing unit for the Morrow foundation --
2 Formation and then also for the Strawn Formation, and
3 only those two formations.

4 EXAMINER JONES: Gas?

5 MS. KESSLER: Correct.

6 So a 320-acre gas spacing unit comprised of
7 the north half of Section 4 in Township 23 South, Range
8 28 East, Eddy County, those two gas formations, and is
9 not seeking to compulsory pool the interests above that,
10 so none of the double-scoped -- is that what I heard you
11 say -- spacing units, so not the 40-acre spacing units,
12 not the Wolfcamp Formation.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Yeah. And we know the
14 pool names for the Strawn and the Morrow?

15 MS. KESSLER: The pool names are identified
16 both in the affidavit from Ms. Courtney Carr, which is
17 Exhibit 1, and also in the first two -- attached to her
18 exhibit are the C-102s for each of the two formations.
19 So there is the North Loving; Strawn Gas Pool and the
20 North Loving; Morrow Gas Pool.

21 This well has already been drilled, and
22 these are two recompletions. As she sets forth in her
23 affidavit in paragraph six, the well was initially
24 completed in the Atoka Formation, and then that joint
25 operating agreement expired. So now she's having to

1 compulsory pool the interest owners for those two
2 reasons.

3 EXAMINER JONES: And she's deep enough to
4 get to the Morrow.

5 MS. KESSLER: Yeah. So I think she's
6 coming back.

7 EXAMINER JONES: Because the Atoka is right
8 above the Morrow. So there must be a little bit of room
9 in the well to go deeper.

10 MS. KESSLER: I looked at the stratigraphy.
11 We have a chart.

12 None of the interest owners have indicated
13 opposition to presenting this by affidavit. If you'll
14 look, Exhibit C shows ownership by tract. And the
15 parties on the first page that OXY seeks to pool, these
16 are working interest owners. And then on the third page
17 of that exhibit, there are a handful of overriding
18 royalty interest owners that she --

19 She lays out the overhead costs, so she's
20 requesting \$7,000 during the workover, recompletion, and
21 then 700 a month thereafter.

22 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. 7,000, 700. Okay.

23 MS. KESSLER: Attachment D is the
24 ratification amendment of operating agreement and
25 workover proposal that she sent to all of the working

1 interest owners and a copy of the AFE.

2 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Okay. Yeah. This
3 answers the questions because for them to be drilling a
4 new deep gas well is -- this is just a workover.

5 MS. KESSLER: This is just a workover.
6 Yeah. So there is no geology exhibit because my
7 understanding is that for vertical wells, the spacing
8 unit's already formed, so no geology testimony.

9 We do have the Affidavit of Notice.

10 Once again, we're requesting that this case
11 be called on January 24th, and at that time, notice will
12 be perfected.

13 EXAMINER JONES: But the notice has already
14 been -- it's already in this document?

15 MS. KESSLER: It's all in here in Exhibit
16 2. There is an affidavit from our office.

17 EXAMINER JONES: So it's just a
18 placeholder.

19 EXAMINER MURPHY: So it's an existing well?

20 MS. KESSLER: That's correct.

21 EXAMINER MURPHY: And how deep is it; do
22 you know?

23 MS. KESSLER: I don't know that. I know
24 that it's already been drilled to these depths, but I
25 don't believe that it's been -- it has not been

1 completed in these depths. It's only been completed in
2 the Atoka Formation.

3 EXAMINER MURPHY: And they're going to
4 complete up into two different formations?

5 EXAMINER JONES: No. Down into the Morrow
6 and up into the Strawn. And I'm sure the com agreement
7 already covers the Pennsylvanian. But this is federal,
8 so usually they just say "Pennsylvanian 320." So that
9 old com agreement will still work.

10 Right, Terry?

11 EXAMINER WARNELL: Yes, it would.

12 EXAMINER MURPHY: So they'll recomplete up
13 and down, drill it deeper?

14 MS. KESSLER: Yeah. And so -- actually,
15 the case that I just put on, the Novo case right before
16 this, is the same section in the Wolfcamp Formation. So
17 there we compulsory pooled the north half of the same
18 section into a horizontal spacing unit for the Wolfcamp
19 Formation. Here, we're specifically limiting the
20 formations that we're pooling to the Morrow and the
21 Strawn, so right below this (demonstrating).

22 EXAMINER BROOKS: So you're creating a
23 spacing unit -- you're proposing to create a spacing
24 unit from the Morrow -- 320 in the Morrow and a 320 in
25 the Strawn?

1 MS. KESSLER: That's right.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: But you're not doing a
3 wedding cake, where you have 320 in the south half and
4 160 in the southeast quarter and a 40-acre unit in
5 the southwest-southeast?

6 EXAMINER JONES: Unless they get oil in the
7 Strawn, and then they have to --

8 MS. KESSLER: Then we'll be back.

9 EXAMINER JONES: If they get oil in the
10 Morrow, that'll be a surprise.

11 EXAMINER BROOKS: If you get anything, you
12 can sell the Morrow and it'll to be a surprise.

13 EXAMINER JONES: Normally it's hard to
14 recomplete like that because it's damaged. Usually the
15 wellbore is damaged, but if it works, it works. That'll
16 be good.

17 MS. KESSLER: So, Mr. Examiner, I would ask
18 that these two affidavits be entered into the record as
19 evidence and this case be continued to January 24th.

20 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. The exhibits in
21 Case 20186 are admitted, Exhibits 1, 2 and 3, I think --
22 1 and 2?

23 MS. KESSLER: 1 and 2.

24 (OXY USA, Inc. Exhibit Numbers 1 and 2 are
25 offered and admitted into evidence.)

1 EXAMINER JONES: And the case is continued
2 to January 24.

3 (Case Number 20186 concludes, 2:20 p.m.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

3

4 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

5 I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court
6 Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20,
7 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify
8 that I reported the foregoing proceedings in
9 stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are
10 a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that
11 were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my
12 ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
14 Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
15 the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

16 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17 employed by nor related to any of the parties or
18 attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
19 the final disposition of this case.

20 DATED THIS 31st day of January 2019.

21

22

23 MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
24 Certified Court Reporter
New Mexico CCR No. 20
Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2019
Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters

25