## STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

**CASE NO. 20220** 

APPLICATION OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

**CASE NO. 20221** 

## TAP ROCK OPERATING, LLC'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Tap Rock Operating, LLC ("Tap Rock") hereby moves for a continuance of the above-captioned matters to the next available docket. In support of this motion, Tap Rock states the following:

- 1. In the above-captioned cases, Marathon Oil Permian LLC ("Marathon") has filed forced pooling applications concerning acreage and depths in which Tap Rock has working interests. These matters have been set for the February 7, 2019 docket. Tap Rock has entered an appearance in both cases through counsel.
- 2. It is Tap Rock's position that a continuance is required because Marathon has not met its duty to negotiate in good faith for Tap Rock's voluntary participation before proceeding to hearing.
- 3. Tap Rock initially received from Marathon a well proposal letter, but Tap Rock did not otherwise receive any communication from Marathon before Marathon filed its applications.
- 4. Commission and Division precedent absolutely requires good faith negotiation prior to filing, and an application may be dismissed outright until such time as the applicant has negotiated in good faith. *See, e.g.*, Commission Order No. R-10731-B, Findings Paragraph (23)(g)

("If the force pooling party does not negotiate in good faith, the application is denied and the applicant is instructed to negotiate an agreement prior to refiling the force pooling application.").

- 5. Marathon has not until very recently responded to Tap Rock's inquiries and offers to discuss trading out of the subject acreage.
- 6. Marathon has suggested to Tap Rock that Tap Rock's interests are not going to be force pooled in these proceedings, but Marathon has not provided Tap Rock with any further explanation. Tap Rock does not understand how an uncommitted party can legally be excluded from a pooling proceedings if they are a known interest owner in the unit to be pooled, and Marathon has not provided Tap Rock with a satisfactory explanation.
- 7. These matters should be continued to the next available docket so as to provide Marathon with time to meet its duty to negotiate in good faith with uncommitted interest owner Tap Rock before proceeding to force pooling hearing.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Tap Rock requests a continuance of these matters to the next available docket. Marathon opposes this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P.A.

By: Seth C. McMillan
Seth C. McMillan
Post Office Box 2307
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307
(505) 982-3873
smcmillan@montand.com

Dana Arnold
Tap Rock Resources, LLC
602 Park PointDrive, Suite 200
Golden, CO 80401
darnold@taprk.com

Attorneys for Tap Rock Operating, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the following counsel of record by electronic mail on January 31, 2019:

Deana M. Bennett
Zoe E. Lees
Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.
Post Office Box 2168
500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168
dmb@modrall.com
zel@modrall.com

/s/ Seth C. McMillan
Seth C. McMillan