STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 21240, 21241 21244, 21245

APPLICATION OF CHEVRON USA INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

July 9, 2020

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH and TECHNICAL EXAMINER DYLAN COSS on Thursday, July 9, 2020, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

505-843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Applicant:	
3	CANDACE CALLAHAN	
4	BEATY & WOZNIAK PC 500 Don Gaspar Avenue	
5	Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-670-2924	
6		
7		
8	PADILLA LAW FIRM ERNEST PADILLA	
9	1512 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505	
10		
11	I N D E X	
12	CASE CALLED	
13	SUMMARY OF CASE AND EXHIBITS	03
14	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	10
15	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	11
16		
17	EXHIBIT INDEX	
18		Admitted
19	All Exhibits and Attachments	10
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Let's move then to

- 2 matters 21240, 21241, 21244, and 21245, Chevron is the
- 3 applicant in each of these compulsory pooling, and there is
- 4 a non-standard spacing element to it. The wells or Morag
- 5 and Kraken. I have Beatty and Wozniak on behalf of the
- 6 applicant. Is that you, Ms. Callahan?
- 7 MS. CALLAHAN: Yes. Good morning, Madam
- 8 Examiner. Candace Callahan and Jill Fulcher appearing on
- 9 behalf of Chevron USA Inc. in four cases which we are asking
- 10 to be consolidated for purposes of this hearing.
- 11 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Okay, thank you. Mr.
- 12 Padilla?
- 13 MR. PADILLA: Ernest L. Padilla on behalf of EOG
- 14 Resources. We don't have an objection to presentment of the
- 15 case by affidavit.
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Thank you. Let me ask if
- 17 there are any other appearances this morning.
- 18 (No audible response.)
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: No? Please go ahead, Ms.
- 20 Callahan.
- 21 MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you. Cases 21240 and 21244,
- 22 excuse me, seek to pool the Bone Spring Formation and create
- 23 two separate stand-up two-mile horizontal spacing units
- 24 comprised of 640 acres each.
- 25 Case numbers 21241 and 21245 seek to pool the

- 1 Wolfcamp formation and create two separate stand-up two-mile
- 2 horizontal spacing units comprised of 640 acres each.
- In case Number 21244 which seeks to pool the Bone
- 4 Spring formation in the W/2 of Sections 3 and 10 the
- 5 location of one of the wells proposed for the Third Bone
- 6 Spring is unorthodox and located 78 feet from the eastern
- 7 boundary of that well.
- 8 All four of the Bone Spring spacing units include
- 9 proximity tracts related to the four proximity (garbled
- 10 audio) wells which we have identified in each of perspective
- 11 checklists included in the exhibit package we filed on
- 12 Monday.
- 13 As you look through the package you will see the
- 14 cover sheet followed by a table of contents. Behind the
- 15 table of content5 you will find a (garbled audio) which is
- 16 the affidavit of Shalyce Holmes, Chevron's land
- 17 representative who has previously testified and qualified as
- 18 an expert in petroleum land matters before the Division.
- 19 Following Ms. Holmes affidavit are the exhibits
- 20 referenced in her affidavit. Exhibits L-1 through L-5
- 21 pertain to all four cases. Exhibit L-2 is the notice
- 22 affidavit for all four cases. There were two working
- 23 interest owners and three overriding royalty interest owners
- 24 whose notices were returned.
- The ownership is uniform throughout the four

1 proposed spacing units, so it is the same working interest

- 2 owner and overriding royalty interest owners who we had to
- 3 notice by publication.
- 4 Exhibit L-3 contains the certificates of
- 5 publication requested for the notices which are published
- 6 for all cases as to all mineral interests in the perspective
- 7 spacing (garbled audio).
- 8 As to the unorthodox location in Case Number
- 9 21244, offset owners were also given notice as required by
- 10 the Division and that reflected in Exhibit L-2.
- 11 Following L-5 you will find the checklist for
- 12 each case, and Exhibit L-6 through L-21 which comprise
- 13 copies of the application filed with the Division, C-102 for
- 14 each wells in the application, lease tract maps, horizontal
- 15 spacing unit ownership, a list of parties to pool.
- 16 Also included are the AFEs for each well that
- 17 were proposed in the perspective application and which were
- 18 included in the proposal letter which is Exhibit L-4.
- 19 Ms. Holmes' testimony and exhibits demonstrate
- 20 that Chevron made a good faith (garbled audio) to voluntary
- 21 pool the interest owners, provided all necessary well
- 22 proposals and AFEs to the interest owners of the (garbled
- 23 audio) and the AFEs contain fair reasonable estimates of the
- 24 cost per well. Chevron has complied with all notice
- 25 requirements of the Division.

1 The affidavit of Sarah Wright, Chevron's

- 2 development geologist is identified in the package as
- 3 Exhibit G. The exhibits referenced in her affidavit as
- 4 Exhibits G-1 through G-12 include the requisite cross
- 5 sections and structure maps together with spacing unit and
- 6 gun barrel (garbled audio).
- 7 Miss Wright's exhibits and testimony demonstrate
- 8 that the respective horizontal spacing units for the Bone
- 9 Spring and Wolfcamp formations are justified from a geologic
- 10 standpoint, that there are no structural impediments or
- 11 faulting in Chevron's development and that each
- 12 quarter-quarter section within the proposed units will
- 13 contribute more or less equally to production.
- 14 Miss Wright has not yet testified before the
- 15 Division and her (garbled audio) is attached as Exhibit
- 16 G-12, and we ask that she be accepted as an expert
- 17 geologist.
- 18 The affidavit of Gerardo Jimenez, Chevron's
- 19 petroleum engineer is identified as Exhibit E, and the
- 20 exhibits related to his affidavit are Exhibits E-1 through
- 21 E-7.
- 22 Mr. Jimenez's exhibits and testimony demonstrate
- 23 that the wells and of the proposed unit will become
- 24 efficient and effective development resource, that the
- 25 co-development of the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp formations is

1 necessary to reduce vertical interference and will result in

- 2 greater ultimate recovery from the formations, and also that
- 3 Chevron's plan centralized facility will result in lower
- 4 operating costs over the life of the project, greater
- 5 reliability and reduced impacts to the environment.
- 6 Mr. Jimenez has not yet testified before the
- 7 Division. His CV is attached as Exhibit E-7 and we ask that
- 8 he be accepted as an expert petroleum engineer, and we now
- 9 ask that the Affidavits L G F and E, together with the
- 10 exhibits referenced in each of those exhibits be admitted
- 11 into the record in these consolidated cases and that
- 12 concludes my presentation.
- 13 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Callahan.
- 14 Mr. Padilla, do you have questions of Ms. Callahan?
- 15 MR. PADILLA: No, I don't, and I have no
- 16 objection to introduction of the exhibits.
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you
- 18 very much. Mr. Rose Coss, do you have questions of Ms.
- 19 Callahan?
- 20 TECHNICAL EXAMINER COSS: No, I do not. Thank
- 21 you, Ms. Callahan, for your presentation. You can tell your
- 22 geologist, Miss Wright, that her exhibits are very good, if
- 23 not exceptional, and I was also happy to see in her resume
- 24 that she was a classmate of mine at UNM, so -- she has an
- 25 impressive resume. That's all I have at this point. Yes.

1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you.

- 2 Mr. Lowe, do you have questions of Ms. Callahan?
- 3 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: Good morning Ms.
- 4 Callahan, this is Leonard Lowe, can you hear me now?
- 5 MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- 6 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: I just want to reconfirm
- 7 what you stated. My audio on my end was basically sporadic
- 8 here and there. Just, just to understand, and this is in
- 9 reference to case 21244, you have indicated that case number
- 10 is -- it just -- you just noted that that well indicated
- 11 that case has an NSL status?
- MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- 13 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: Are you requesting that
- 14 through the Division as well?
- MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, yes, we are.
- 16 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: Okay. As far as I
- 17 recall, the OCD processes NSL requests administratively
- 18 only. So if you can, if you already provided public notice,
- 19 I would suggest you extrapolate that public notice
- 20 information and submit an NSL application and the OCD will
- 21 process that administratively. We are separating such
- 22 requests in a hearing.
- 23 Let's see another question. That 21244 request,
- 24 what, what's the name of the well for that? For the --
- MS. CALLAHAN: That well is the DL 10 3 Morag Fed

- 1 Com 505H.
- 2 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: 505H, okay. And that's
- 3 a Bone Springs; correct?
- 4 MS. CALLAHAN: It is a Third Bone Spring.
- 5 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: When you submit your
- 6 NSL application to the OCD to process, if you could, please,
- 7 in the application indicate and reference any -- reference
- 8 this case number, that it was -- it's pertaining to a case
- 9 that was brought forth in the case or noted in the case.
- 10 This is so that we can close this loop that we have here
- 11 when this scenario is brought up in a case, in a hearing.
- 12 MS. CALLAHAN: All right.
- 13 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: And so 21241, 21245 are
- 14 Bone Springs; correct?
- 15 MS. CALLAHAN: No, those are the Wolfcamp.
- 16 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LOWE: Wolfcamp, okay, yeah.
- 17 And you also indicated this is non-stand-up proration
- 18 status -- yeah, 640 acres, okay. That's all I have for
- 19 questions right now.
- MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Lowe.
- 22 Anything further on these four matters?
- 23 (No audible response.)
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: No? All right. Thank
- 25 you, Ms. Callahan.

Page 10 MS. CALLAHAN: We ask you to take the cases under advisement. HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Yes. So your witness affidavits are accepted the, the exhibits are admitted, and the four matters will be taken under advisement. MS. CALLAHAN: Thank you. (Exhibits admitted.) (Taken under advisement.) (Concluded.)

Page 11 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court 6 7 Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the 8 foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and 9 that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript 10 of those proceedings to the best of my ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 11 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case 12 13 and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 14 case. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was of poor to extremely poor quality. 16 Dated this 9th day of July 2020. 17 18 /s/ Irene Delgado 19 Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 20 License Expires: 12-31-21 2.1 22 23 2.4 25