Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED ORIGINAL BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4. APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC CASE NO. 15163 5 FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY 6 POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CONSOLIDATED WITH: 7 APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC CASE NO. 15164 8 FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY 9 POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. APPLICATION OF COG OPERATING, LLC CASE NO. 15165 10 FOR A NONSTANDARD SPACING AND ·11 PRORATION UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 12 FCEWED (REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 13 29 14 EXAMINER HEARING σ July 24, 2014 15 $\dot{\sim}$ 16. Santa Fe, New Mexico $\frac{\omega}{2}$ 17 BEFORE: PHILLIP GOETZE, CHIEF EXAMINER 18 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetze, Chief Examiner, on Thursday, July 24, 2014, at the 19 New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources 20 Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, 21 New Mexico. 22 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 24Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241 25

Page 2 1 **APPEARANCES** 2 FOR APPLICANT COG OPERATING, LLC: JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. 3 MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A. 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000 4 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 5 (505) 848-1888 jlk@modrall.com 6 7 8 INDEX PAGE 9 Case Numbers 15163, 15164 and 15165 Called 3 COG Operating, LLC's Case-in-Chief: 10 11 Witnesses: 12 David Michael Wallace: 13 Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler 3 13 Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze 14 Henry Zollinger: 15 Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler 13 18 Cross-Examination by Examiner Goetze 16 20 Proceedings Conclude 17 Certificate of Court Reporter 21 18 19 20 21 EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED 22 COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 through 17 13 23 COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 18 through 22 18 24 25

Page 3 1 (8:53 a.m.) 2 EXAMINER GOETZE: We'll go back to the 3 original docket, and we have Case 15163, application of COG Operating, LLC for a nonstandard spacing and 4 5 proration unit and compulsory pooling, Lea County, 6 New Mexico. 7 Call for appearances. MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler from Modrall, 8 9 Sperling on behalf of the Applicant. EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. And you have 10 witnesses? 11 12 MS. KESSLER: Two witnesses today. 13 EXAMINER GOETZE: Would those witnesses 14 please stand, identify yourselves and be sworn in? 15 MR. WALLACE: David Michael Wallace. 16 MR. ZOLLINGER: Henry Zollinger. 17 (Mr. Wallace and Mr. Zollinger sworn.) EXAMINER GOETZE: Proceed. 18 19 DAVID MICHAEL WALLACE, 20 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 21 questioned and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KESSLER: 23 24 Would you please state your name for the record Q. and tell the Examiner by whom you are employed and in 25

	Page
1	what capacity?
2	A. My name is David Michael Wallace. I'm a
3	landman for COG Operating, LLC.
4	Q. Have you previously testified before the
5	Division?
6	A. I have.
7	Q. And at that time were your credentials as a
8	petroleum landman accepted and made a matter of public
. 9	record?
10	A. They were.
.11	Q. Are you familiar with the three applications
12	that have been filed by COG in this case?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
15	lands that are the subject of this application?
16	A. I am.
17	Q. And the APDs that have been approved?
. 18	A. That's correct.
19	MS. KESSLER: I would tender this witness
20	as an expert in petroleum land matters.
21	EXAMINER GOETZE: He is so accepted.
22	Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Would you please turn to
23	what's been marked as Exhibit Number 1, and could you
24	please identify this and explain what COG's seeking
25	under this application?

4

Page 5 This is a plat showing the acreage associated 1 Α. with the Goldfinger wells in Section 17, 24 South, 32 2 We seek to -- we seek to form three nonstandard 3 East. spacing units and proration units for these two wells, 4 5 Goldfinger 2H, 3H and 4H, one in the east half of the west half of Section 17, one in the west half of the 6 7 east half and one in the east half-east half. And is this information reflected in the C-102 8 0. 9 that has been attached as Exhibits 2, 3 and 4? Yes, that's correct. 10 Α. 11 What is the acreage of each of these Q. nonstandard project areas? 12 They will be 160 acres. 13 Α. And you seek to pool the mineral interests 14 Q. underlying each nonstandard spacing unit in the Brushy 15 Canyon-Delaware Formation, correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Are the working interests committed, or are you 18 Ο. 19 also seeking to pool them? 20 Α. I'm seeking to pool two parties. 21 Has EOG elected to participate in the 2H well? Q. 22 Α. Yes, that is correct. And that's shown in Exhibit 5, correct? 23 0. A. 24 Yes. 25 Are you seeking to dedicate the nonstandard Q.

Page 6 spacing unit, the Goldfinger 17 Fed Com #2H, 3H and 4H 1 2 wells? 3 Α. Yes. What about the API numbers for these wells? 4 0. 5 EXAMINER GOETZE: If I may interrupt you at Since we're going to be discussing three 6 this moment. 7 wells close together, may I recommended that we go and consolidate the three cases for testimony, and we will 8 issue separate orders for them. 9 Thank you. 10 MS. KESSLER: Okay. 11 EXAMINER GOETZE: So to enter into putting the three cases together, along with Case 15163, we will 12 13 also hear Case 15164, application of COG Operating, LLC 14 for a nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico, and Case 15 15165, application of COG Operating, LLC for a 16 nonstandard spacing and proration unit and compulsory 17 18 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico, the consolidation of 19 the three. 20 I do not see anybody else who was going to appear. It would just be you folks. 21 22 MS. KESSLER: Correct. 23 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Then let's go ahead and discuss all three wells at the same time, and 24 25 that way we'll make it easier. Okay?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

. . .

Page 7 MS. KESSLER: Good. Thank you. 1 The API numbers to the wells are 3002541902 and 2 Α. 3 41903 and 41904, for the three wells respectively. (BY MS. KESSLER) What is the characterization 4 0. of the --5 They are -- there are two Fed leases associated 6 Α. 7 with the acreage, the north half lease and the south half lease in Section 17, and they're federal leases. 8 What pool is involved in this application? -9 0. 10 Α. It's the Mesa Verde-Delaware pool, and it's Pool Code 96191. 11 Are there special rules for this pool? 12 Ο. 13 Α. No. So the 330-foot statewide rule will apply for 14 Q. setback? 15 16 Α. That is correct. And will the completed intervals for each well 17 0. be in compliance with the setback requirements? 18 19 Α. That's correct. Have you been able to identify the interest 20 0. 21 owners for the proposed nonstandard spacing and 22 prorations? 23 Α. I have. And if you could now turn to Exhibit Number 6, 24 0. 25 please. Does it identify the working interest owners

	Page 8
1	for each of these nonstandard units?
2	A. It does. The ownership is the same throughout.
3	This exhibit shows Tract 1, the ownership by tract and
4	the unit recap, and it also shows that there are
5	uncommitted owners in the spacing units, the bolded
6	interests on the exhibit.
⁻ 7	Q. Okay. So the highlighted parties are the
8	parties who you seek to pool?
9	A. That is correct.
10	Q. Have you proposed the well to the interest
11	owners listed in Exhibit 6?
12	A. I have.
13	Q. And is that reflected in Exhibits 7, 8 and 9?
14	A. Yes, that is correct.
15	Q. So the initial well-proposal letter that went
16	out April 16th, was that to all parties or to one party?
17	A. That was to EOG.
18	Q. And the letter was subsequently amended and
19	re-sent on June 26th to include all of the additional
20	parties that were identified?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. And that's Exhibits 10 through 12, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Is Exhibit 13 the cost proposal for the 2H
25	well?

	Page 9
1	A. Yes, that is correct.
2	Q. What is the date that that AFE was sent?
3	A. June 26th approximately June 26th.
4	Q. What are the dry hole and completion costs?
5	A. The dry-hole costs for these wells will be
6	2,064,000 and the well, go ahead.
· 7	Q. Okay. And Exhibit 14, the AFE for the 3H well,
8	is that reflected in this exhibit?
9	A. That is correct. It will be the same. And the
10	completion cost will be 5,629,000 for the wells.
11	Q. And on the same date?
12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. What about Exhibit 15?
. 14	A. The same.
15	Q. And this is the AFE for the 4H well?
-16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. And dry-hole costs are the same
18	A. That is correct.
19	Q completion costs?
20	In addition to sending Exhibits 7 through
21	15, what other efforts has COG undertaken to obtain
22	voluntary joinder from the interest owners?
23	A. I've spoken to Conoco and EOG numerous times.
24	They do not object to our wells. They are evaluating
25	our operating agreements and our well proposals and

	Page IC
1	AFEs.
2	Q. Can you please look again at Exhibits 13
3	through 15, which are the AFEs? Are thes'e costs
4	reflected on the AFEs in line with costs that COG has
5	incurred on similar horizontal wells in this area?
6	A. That is correct.
• 7	Q. In addition to the AFEs, has COG estimated the
8	overhead costs and the cost while drilling this well
9	should it be successful?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Are these costs included in the supplemental
12	well-proposal letters?
13	A. Yes, that is correct.
14	Q. What are those costs?
15	A. 7,000 for drilling and 700 a month for
16	producing.
17	Q. And that's for each of the three wells?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Are these costs in line with what COG and other
20	operators in this area charge for similar wells?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Do you ask that these administrative and
23	overhead costs for each well be incorporated in any
24	order resulting from this hearing?
25	A. Yes.
r	

Page 11 Do you ask as well that they be adjusted in 1 0. accordance with the appropriate accounting procedures? 2 Α. I do. 3 And with respect to the interest owners who 4 Ο. 5 remain uncommitted to this well, do you request that the Division impose a 200 percent risk penalty in addition 6 to the cost of the well? 7 8 Α. Yes. Now, let's talk about the formation of the 9 Q. nonstandard units. Has COG brought a geologist here 10 today to testify about the nonstandard units? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Did COG identify the operators or ownership of 13 Q. leased minerals on the surrounding 40-acre tracts? 14 We did. 15 Α. 16 Is that listed offset from interest owners 0. shown on Exhibit 16? 17 That is correct. 18 Α. And are you asking that this case be continued 19 Ο. for purposes of noticing those offset owners? 20 21 Α. That is correct. EXAMINER GOETZE: So we still have 22 notification requirements to be sent out? 23 24 MS. KESSLER: Yes. 25 EXAMINER GOETZE: Okay. Very good.

Page 12 (BY MS. KESSLER) If you could turn to Exhibit 1 0. 17, is this an affidavit with attached copies of the 2 3 letters and supplemental notice letters to the pooled 4 parties giving them notice of this hearing? 5 Yes, that's correct. Α. And you were able to locate all of the working 6 Ο. interest owners? 7 Α. Yes. 8 9 0. Did you publish notice? Yes, we did. 10 Α. Are these three Affidavits of Publication also 11 0. included as part of Exhibit 17? 12 13 Α. Yes, that is correct. 14 In addition to -- in your opinion, have you Q. made a good-faith effort to identify the interest 15 16 owners? 17 Α. Yes. Were Exhibits 1 through 15 prepared by you or 18 Q. compiled under your direction or supervision? 19 20 Α. They were. 21 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I would move to have Exhibits 1 through 17 admitted into evidence, 22 23 including Exhibits 16 and 17, which I prepared. 24 EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 1 through 17 are 25 so entered.

Page 13 (COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 1 1 through 17 were offered and admitted into 2 3 evidence.) 4 MS. KESSLER: That concludes my 5 examination. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY EXAMINER GOETZE: Everything seems to be pretty straightforward. 8 0. 9 I did notice the increase in expenditures from 600 a month, 6,000 to 700 to 7,000 in two months' time. Is 10 11 that readjustment based upon doing final costs analysis? 12 Yes. Α. Okay. Just interested in seeing the difference 13 Q. 14 in rates. 15 EXAMINER GOETZE: I have no further 16 questions for this witness. 17 Your next witness. 18 HENRY ZOLLINGER, 19 after having been previously sworn under oath, was 20 questioned and testified as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. KESSLER: 23 Can you please state your name for the record, Q. and tell the Examiner by whom you are employed and in 24 25 what capacity?

Page 14 My name is Henry Zollinger. I'm a senior 1 Α. geologist with COG Operating out of Midland, Texas. 2 3 Have you previously testified before the Ο. Division? 4 I have not. 5 Α. Could you please review your education? 6 Ο. 7 Α. I received my bachelor of science from Morgan State University in 2012 in earth science. Then I 8 received my master's of geology from New Mexico State 9 10 University in 2007 in geology. Could you review your work history as well? 11 Ο. My work history, I worked for Hess Corporation 12 Α. 13 out of Houston as a development exploration new ventures geologist for five years in the time period of 2007 to 14 Since 2012, I have been working for COG Operating 15 2012. .16 in the Delaware Basin. 17 Are you a member of any professional 0. associations? 18 I am a member of the American Association of 19 Α. 20 Petroleum Geologists, Geological Society of America and 21 the West Texas Geological Society. And what years did you join those 22 0. 23 organizations? I joined those back in 2005 when I was admitted 24 Α. 25 into graduate school.

Page 15 How long have you worked in the Delaware Basin? 1 0. 2 Α. For two years. Are you familiar with the applications that has 3 0. 4 been filed by COG in this case? 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. And have you conducted a geologic study of 7 Section 17? 8 Α. Yes. MS. KESSLER: I would offer this witness as 9 10 an expert in petroleum geology matters. EXAMINER GOETZE: So gualified. 11 12 Ο. (BY MS. KESSLER) Would you please turn to 13 what's been marked as COG Exhibit Number 18? And beginning with the legend, please identify what this 14 exhibit is for the Examiner and walk us through it. 15 This is a structure map and subsea depth of the 16 Α. Bone Spring lime -- top of the Bone Spring Lime 17 Formation, which directly underlies the Brushy Canyon of 18 19 the Delaware Mountain Group. The orange-dashed lines 20 represent the wellbores which we are looking to pool 21 today, starting with the 2H in the east half of the west 22 half, the 3H and the 4H in the east half-east half. The 23 yellow square represents the acreage in Section 17 that COG operates, and then the purple line represents the 24 25 cross section, which is Exhibit 20 from COG.

Page 16 1 The orange circles represent vertical wellbores that are producing from the Delaware Mountain 2 Group in the area, and then the solid yellow lines 3 represent horizontal wells producing in the area. 4 5 Have you identified any geologic impediments in 0. 6 this section? 7 I have not. Α. 8 Can you please identify the wells located on Q. 9. Exhibit 19? These are four wells which I believe 10 Α. Yes. represent the formation in which we are targeting with 11 12 these Goldfinger wells: the Mesa Verde 7 Fed 7, Jack 13 Tank 8 Fed 2, the Mesa Verde 8 Fed 2 and the Double X 14 Deep 16 1. And all of these are north of our proposed wellbores. 15 16 0. Do you consider these wells to be 17 representative of the area that is subject of the 18 proposed nonstandard units? Α. I do. 19 Do representations show continuity in the 20 Q. 21 target intervals? Yes, it does. 22 Α. 23 Can you please describe the color Q. 24 representations on Exhibit 19? 25 Α. Yes. The blue line at the base of the cross

Page 17 section represents the top of the Bone Spring Lime 1 Formation, which is the base of the Brushy Canyon 2 3 Formation. The orange-dashed line at the top of the cross section is the top of the Brushy Canyon A Unit, 4 which is the most basal Brushy Canyon Unit in the Basin. 5 What conclusions have you drawn from your 6 Ο. 7 geologic study of this area? 8 Α. I have concluded that there are no geologic hazards in drilling horizontal wells through this . 9. formation, that horizontal wells are the most prudent 10 way to exploit these hydrocarbons and that each 11 quarter-quarter section along each wellbore path will 12 13 contribute equally over the production life of this well. 14 Moving to Exhibits 20, 21 and 22, can you 15 Ο. please identify these exhibits? 16 17 These are generalized wellbore schematics Α. Yes. for each wellbore. Exhibit 20 is the #2H well. 18 On 19 these diagrams are shown the representation of the section boundaries in blue vertical lines on the right 20 21 and left of the page. The surface-hole location for each of these three wells will be 190 feet from the 22 23 south line, but the first perf will be no closer than 330 from that south line. The last perforation will not 24 25 be any closer than 330 from the north line of Section

	Page 18
1	17. That's about it.
2	Q. So the completed interval will be within the
3	330-foot setback requirements?
. 4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. In your opinion, will the granting of COG's
6	applications be in the best interest of conservation and
″ 7	the prevention of waste and the protection of
8	correlative rights?
. 9	A. It would.
10	Q. Were Exhibits 18 through 22 prepared by you or
11	compiled under your direction or supervision?
12	A. Yes, they were.
13	MS. KESSLER: I'd move to have these
14	exhibits admitted into evidence.
15	EXAMINER GOETZE: Exhibits 18, 19, 20, 21
16	and 22 are so entered.
17	(COG Operating, LLC Exhibit Numbers 18
. 18	through 22 were offered and admitted into
19	evidence.)
20	MS. KESSLER: I have nothing further from
21	this witness.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY EXAMINER GOETZE:
24	Q. Let's take a look at your Exhibit 18. The west
25	half of the west half of 17, are there any plans to look

Page 19 1 at the Brushy there? Yes, sir. We're proposing a mile-and-a-half 2 Α. wellbore to access the south half of the southwest 3 4 quarter of -- I'm sorry -- the west half of the southwest guarter of Section 8 as well. 5 6 Okay. And then what is the completion in 0. 7 Section 8, which is, I guess, the west half of the east 8 half of Section 8? Is that a Delaware, or is that a 9 Bone Spring? 10 Α. Yes. Delaware? 11 0. 12 · A. That is a Delaware. That is the Bimini 8 Fed #2H, which COG drilled and completed at the end of last 13 14 year. 15 And how is the production in that well? 0. Fantastic. To date, it has produced over .16 Α. 17 84,000 barrels of oil since last year. 18 Q. Very good. 19 And then the remaining wells in 7 and 18, 20 are those your interests or someone else's? 21 Α. The wellbore in the west half-west half of 18, the Golden Eye #1H, which was an acquisition from OGX 22 [sic], is COG's. We did not drill or complete that 23 24 well. Performance in it is not up to the standards of 25 what we are drilling now. The wellbore in Section 7, I

	Page 20
1	believe, is a Chevron-drilled well, which is somewhat
2	older. It was drilled back in the early 2000s.
3	Q. These are all Brushy?
. 4	A. Yes, sir. All the same target, as well as
5	highlighted on the cross section.
6	Q. Okay. Very good. And I'll just make a note
7	that on Exhibits 22 and Exhibits 21 and 22, that the
8	first take point should be the first perf point, so
9.	they're all the same.
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Very good.
12	EXAMINER GOETZE: No further questions for
13	this witness.
14	MS. KESSLER: That concludes COG's
15	presentation, and we'd just ask that this be continued
16	for notice purposes until the next docket.
17	EXAMINER GOETZE: To the next docket?
. 18	MS. KESSLER: I'm sorry. 20 days.
19	EXAMINER GOETZE: You're looking at the
20	August 21st docket. So for Cases 15163, 15164 and
21	15165, these cases will be continued to the August 21st
22	docket.
23	(Case Numbers 15163, 15164 and 15165
24	conclude, a subject of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Code No. 15163/15164/15165
25	the Examiner hearing of Case No. 15163/15164/15165 heard by me on 444 24 2014
	Rullo L'Anniner

Г

	Page 21
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
. 4	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
5	I, MARY C. HANKINS, New Mexico Certified
6	Court Reporter No. 20, and Registered Professional
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the
8	foregoing proceedings in stenographic shorthand and that
. 9	the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of
10	those proceedings that were reduced to printed form by
11	me to the best of my ability.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's
13	Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects
14	the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
16	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
17	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in
18	the final disposition of this case.
19	Many Hankins
20	MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR
21	Paul Baca Court Reporters, Inc.
22	New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2014
23	
24	
25	