STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF SAMSON RESOURCES COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 13,596

ORIGINAL

<u>5</u>

DEC

ထ

PM 12

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner

December 1st, 2005

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, RICHARD EZEANYIM, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, December 1st, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

December 1st, 2005 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,596

PAGE

3

3

4

10

14

EXHIBITS

APPEARANCES

APPLICANT'S WITNESS:

<u>ELIZABETH E. MOSES</u> (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Ezeanyim

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	5	10
Exhibit 2	6	10
Exhibit 3	. 6	10
Exhibit 4	8	10
Exhibit 5	9	10

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

GAIL MacQUESTEN Deputy General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

	4
1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:18 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, then I call Case Number
4	13,596, and this is the Application of Samson Resources
5	Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
6	Call for appearances.
7	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
8	representing the Applicant. I have one witness.
9	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Any other appearances?
10	Okay, Mr. Bruce. May the witness stand up to be
11	sworn, please?
12	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
13	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my witness has a cold,
14	so if her voice goes out during the hearing we can do sign
15	language or something.
16	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay, good.
17	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
18	ELIZABETH E. MOSES,
19	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
20	her oath, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BRUCE:
23	Q. Would you please state your name and city of
24	residence for the record?
25	A. Yes, Elizabeth Moses, Midland, Texas.

;

1	Q. And what is your occupation?
2	A. Petroleum landman.
3	Q. Who do you work for?
4	A. I'm a consultant for Samson Resources.
5	Q. Have you previously testified before the Division
6	as a landman?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And were your credentials as an expert landman
9	accepted as a matter of record?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And are you familiar with the land matters
12	involved in this Application?
13	A. Yes.
14	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender Ms. Moses as
15	an expert petroleum landman.
16	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Ms. Moses is so qualified.
17	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Would you please identify Exhibit
18	1 for the Examiner and describe briefly what Samson seeks
19	in this Application?
20	A. Exhibit 1 is a land plat indicating the south
21	half of Section 15, 20 South, 33 East, in Lea County, New
22	Mexico. Samson seeks to pool the south half of Section 15
23	to drill a Morrow well, which is on 320-acre pools, and
24	also the southeast quarter, 160-acre pools.
25	Q. What is the well's location?

1	A. It is 1980 from the south line and 1980 from the
2	east line.
3	Q. Okay, what does Exhibit 2 reflect?
4	A. Exhibit 2 reflects the interests and the parties
. 5	who we're seeking to pool.
6	Q. Okay, so everyone who's not there are a number
7	of additional parties in this well unit, are there not?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And everybody else has voluntarily joined in the
10	well?
11	A. Yes, approximately 89 percent of the working
12	interest has joined in the well.
13	Q. Okay
14	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Eighty-nine?
15	THE WITNESS: Eighty-nine.
16	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Let's discuss your efforts to
17	obtain the voluntary joinder of the interest owners in the
18	well. What is Exhibit 3?
19	A. Exhibit 3 is a letter I mailed to all the
20	interest owners, proposing to drill the well. It's dated
21	October 7th, 2005.
22	Q. Besides this letter, have you had contacts with
23	the various interest owners?
24	A. Yes, I've had various phone conversations and
25	e-mails. That is part of the same exhibit, the last page,

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	the synopsis.
2	Q. Okay, so if you go to Exhibit 3, the last few
3	pages show various e-mails, and the final page is a summary
4	of contacts with these parties?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. A number As you said, a number of
7	people have joined in the well. Have any of the parties on
8	Exhibit 2 indicated they may join but haven't signed an
9	operating agreement yet?
10	A. No, but about ten o'clock last night one decided
11	to join, so Kelly Baxter who is listed on Exhibit 2, does
12	not need to be part of this pooling.
13	Q. Okay. So on Exhibit 2, Kelly Baxter could be
14	removed?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. In your opinion, have you made a good-
17	faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the
18	uncommitted interest owners in the well?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. One thing about Exhibit 2, just for clarification
21	for the Examiner, there's about a 4-1/2-percent interest
22	and four parties are listed and it says "Ownership
23	Uncertain". Could you just briefly say what the issue is?
24	A. Yeah, there were some sales of leasehold,
25	beginning a long time ago, by ConocoPhillips subject to

1	unrecorded letter agreements, and title is not clear as to
2	who owns this land. And for two years I've been trying to
3	ascertain the ownership, and these parties have not been
4	able to resolve it.
5	Q. Okay, so they have not worked to resolve the
6	issue among themselves?
7	A. No, they have not.
. 8	Q. Okay. So what you seek to pool is whoever owns
9	this interest, and you'll give notice to them all; is that
10	correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Okay. What is Exhibit 4?
13	A. Exhibit 4 is an AFE for the drilling of this
14	well.
15	Q. What is the approximate depth?
16	A. 14,000 feet.
17	Q. And what is the completed well cost?
18	A. The completed well cost is \$3,596,780.
19	Q. And are these costs in line with the costs of
20	other wells drilled to this depth in this area of New
21	Mexico?
22	A. Yes, this will be the fourth well Samson has
23	drilled in this immediate area, and the costs are in line.
24	Q. Okay. And do you request that Samson be named
25	the operator of the well?

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What overhead rates do you propose?
3	A. \$6500 a month for drilling well rates, and \$650 a
4	month for producing.
5	Q. And are these rates equivalent to those normally
6	charged by Samson and other operators in this area for
7	wells of this depth?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And are those the rates that are used in Samson's
10	JOA?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Finally, were the parties being pooled notified
13	of this hearing?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And is that reflected in the affidavit of notice
16	submitted as Exhibit 5?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, on Exhibit 5 there were
19	Well, I can't see where I did it. There are In the
20	copies of the green cards, et cetera, there are two
21	interest owners, Roger Elliott and Pure Energy Group, who I
22	never received the green cards back from, but they have
23	joined in the well, so there isn't a notice issue.
24	MS. MacQUESTEN: They're not going to be
25	MR. BRUCE: They're not going to be pooled.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

	10
1	MS. MacQUESTEN: Okay, thank you.
2	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Were Exhibits 1 through 5
3	prepared by you or under your supervision, or compiled from
4	company business records?
5	A. Yes, they were.
6	Q. And in your opinion is the granting of this
7	Application in the interests of conservation and the
8	prevention of waste?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission
11	of Samson Exhibits 1 through 5.
12	EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be
13	admitted into evidence.
14	Do you have any questions?
15	MS. MacQUESTEN: No questions, thank you.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY EXAMINER EZEANYIM:
18	Q. How did you determine who you want to pool in
19	this area? Why I'm asking this question is that if you
20	look at your Application, you are pooling from 4000 feet to
21	14,000 feet, approximately, so I don't know, are you
22	pooling from the base of the San Andres or you know,
23	this what
24	A. That's the base of the Teas, is
25	Q. Is that the base of the Teas?

	11
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So is that Okay, the base of the Teas is 4000
3	feet?
4	A. Yeah, about 4000 feet.
5	Q. And how are they concerned there? I mean, if I'm
6	a mineral interest owner and you give me a depth range
7	without mentioning the formation, I mean, I don't know
8	whether I'm supposed to do anything, you know, because I
9	don't know where the 4000 feet started.
10	Because as you know, geology your geologist
11	could have told you where it is, you know. It might be
12	base of Teas somewhere and then maybe the top of the San
13	Andres somewhere, depending on how the geology is oriented
14	in that area. So that's why I would prefer in your
15	second case, I think you are going to tell me that you
16	are going to say base of the San Andres to the base of the
17	base of the Morrow. So that will help anybody, an interest
18	or not, to find out whether he's affected or not.
19	But if you use the depth as a reference point
20	I don't know, depending on geology, it might be different
21	in different areas.
22	A. There's an operating agreement covering depths
23	from surface to 4000 feet in the development of the Teas.
24	The leasehold owners that we're seeking to pool do not own
25	an interest above 4000 feet. These are all leasehold
	STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR

owners. 1 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Mr. Examiner, some of these old 2 agreements out here, they didn't put in, like you said, 3 base of such and such a formation; it was a specific depth 4 as measured in, say, the initial test well. 5 Q. (By Examiner Ezeanyim) Well, was that in the 6 JOA? 7 8 Α. Yes --In the JOA it --9 Q. -- yes, it's surface to 4000 feet. And the 10 Α. leasehold owners we're pooling do not own an interest above 11 4000 feet. 12 13 Q. Okay. It was severed a long time ago. 14 Α. 15 And the well is a standard location, 1980 feet Q. from east and south lines? 16 17 Yes. Α. Have you obtained an APD, or what's going on with 18 Q. 19 this well now? When do you hope to drill? 20 Α. Immediately. 21 Q. Immediately. Are you telling me that the rig is 22 just available right now? 23 Α. Yes. 24 EXAMINER EZEANYIM: I have no more questions, 25 thanks.

I have nothing further in this MR. BRUCE: 1 matter, Mr. Examiner. 2 At this point Case EXAMINER EZEANYIM: Okay. 3 Number 13,596 will be taken under advisement. 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 6 7 8:30 a.m.) 8 9 10 11 12 13 I do hereos centity that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in 14 the Examiner hearing of Case No. 25 15 heard by me on 1 10 6 Σ 16 , Examiner Oil Conservation Division 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

13

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 1st, 2005.

nu

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317