

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
15 December 1983

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE
vation Division on its own motion for 8033
an order creating, abolishing, re-
classifying, and extending certain
pools in Chaves, Eddy, Lea, and
Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation	W. Perry Pearce, Esq.
Division:	Legal Counsel to the Division
	State Land Office Bldg.
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2

I N D E X

ROY JOHNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce

3

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One, Documents

1
2
3
4 MR. STOGNER: We'll call next
5 Case Number 8033, which is in the matter of the hearing
6 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion
7 for an order creating, abolishing, reclassifying, and
8 extending certain pools in Chaves, Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt
9 Counties, New Mexico.

10 MR. PEARCE: May it please the
11 Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce, appearing in this matter of
12 behalf of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

13 MR. STOGNER: Are there any
14 other appearances here this morning?

15 MR. PEARCE: I have one witness
16 who need to be sworn.

17 (Witness sworn.)

18 ROY JOHNSON,
19 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
20 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. PEARCE:

23 Q For the record, sir, would you please
24 state your name, your employer, and position of employment?

25 A Roy Johnson, petroleum geologist for the
Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

1
2 Q Have you caused an exhibit to be prepared
3 pertaining to the nomenclature in certain pools in Chaves,
4 Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico?

5 A I have.

6 Q Would you point out to the Examiner, and
7 those in attendance at this hearing, any substantial
8 differences between the docket of this case and the case as
9 advertised in those counties?

10 A There is no substantial difference
11 between the docket and the exhibit.

12 However, we request the Examiner that
13 paragraph (a) of the exhibit be dismissed.

14 Q Do you have any other proposed changes in
15 this case?

16 A I do not.

17 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I
18 have nothing further on direct.

19 I move the admission of Exhibit
20 One to this proceeding.

21 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit One will
22 be admitted into evidence.

23 Is there anything else in Case
24 Number 8033 today?

25 If not, the witness may be
excused and this case will be taken under advisement, and
this hearing is adjourned.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said
transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the
hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete and true transcript of the proceedings in
the Examination of Case No. 8033,
heard by me on Dec. 15, 1983.

Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division