

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY)
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE)
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:)
APPLICATION OF XTO ENERGY, INC., FOR)
COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY,)
NEW MEXICO)

CASE NO. 13,850

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Hearing Examiner

January 18th, 2007

Santa Fe, New Mexico

2007 FEB 1 AM 9:26

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, January 18th, 2007, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

January 18th, 2007
 Examiner Hearing
 CASE NO. 13,850

	PAGE
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	5

* * *

E X H I B I T S

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	-	4
Exhibit 2	-	4
Exhibit 3	3	4

* * *

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE
 Attorney at Law
 P.O. Box 1056
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2 2:36 p.m.:

3 EXAMINER BROOKS: Call Case Number 13,850,
4 Application of XTO Energy, Inc., for compulsory pooling,
5 San Juan County, New Mexico.

6 Call for appearances.

7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
8 representing the Applicant. Again, I'm submitting this by
9 affidavit.

10 Mr. Examiner, if the record could maybe just
11 incorporate my comments in the prior case, this is force
12 pooling the Fruitland Coal formation in the west half of
13 adjoining Section 8 -- Section 8 adjoining the Section 5 at
14 issue in the prior case.

15 This involves the same parties. Their interests
16 are slightly higher in the west half of Section 8, they're
17 2.5 percent rather than 1 1/4.

18 The same parties are involved, the same overhead
19 rates, et cetera. Only one well is being pooled. Again,
20 proposals were made to these parties, and the only
21 unlocatable person was Harvey Dell Cranmore. Notice was
22 given to the parties in writing. Mr. Pierson and Mr. Mann
23 received the notice, and notice was published against Ms.
24 Cranmore, which is shown by Exhibit 3.

25 And I'd move the admission of Exhibits 1 through

1 3.

2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Exhibits 1 through 3
3 will be admitted, and Case Number 13,850 will be taken
4 under advisement.

5 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
6 2:38 p.m.)

7 * * *

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 13850
heard by me on Jan 18 2007.
David K. Brooks, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
 COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 21st, 2007.



STEVEN T. BRENNER
 CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010