#### BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

2005 AUG 3 PM 2 40

APPLICATION SYNERGY OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case No. 13,486 Order No. R-12,376

## SYNERGY OPERATING, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPLICATIONS FOR HEARING DE NOVO

Synergy Operating, LLC ("Synergy") moves to dismiss the Applications for Hearing *De Novo* filed by Edwin Smith, LLC, attached as **Exhibit A**, and by Jerry Walmsley, Trustee of the June H. Walmsley Trust (the "Trust"), attached as **Exhibit B**. In support thereof, Synergy states:

#### I. FACTS.

This case concerns the pooling of interests from the surface to the base of the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool underlying the W½ of Section 8, Township 29 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico. Synergy proposes to drill its Duff 29-11-8 Well No. 104, in the NW¼ of Section 8, and seeks to dedicate the W½ of Section 8 to the well to form a standard 320 acre gas spacing and proration unit.

Synergy sought to pool several people, including Edwin Smith, individually. <u>See</u> Hearing Transcript, attached as Exhibit C, at p. 9. Although Synergy initially sought to pool the Trust, before the hearing the Trust signed an operating agreement. <u>See</u> Hearing Exhibit 10, attached as Exhibit D. As a result, Synergy did not seek to pool the Trust. Exhibit C, at pp. 10 and 19.

#### II. LAW.

The right to a hearing *de novo* is established by NMSA 1978 §70-2-13, which provides in part that, after an Examiner's decision is rendered, "any party of record adversely affected" may file an application. The statute is attached as **Exhibit E**.

#### III. ARGUMENT.

A. Edwin Smith, LLC's Application: Exhibit A was filed on behalf of "Edwin Smith, LLC, a New Mexico limited liability company." However, Edwin Smith, LLC is not a party of record to this case. The only party of record other than the Trustee was Edwin Smith, individually. Exhibit C, at pp. 4, 6. In addition, the comments of counsel for Edwin Smith at hearing clearly show that the appearance was for Edwin Smith, individually. Exhibit C, at pp. 35, 36.

"Edwin Smith, LLC" was not a party of record, as required by statute, and therefore was not entitled to file an application for hearing *de novo*. As a result, its application is invalid and must be dismissed.

B. <u>Trustee's Application</u>: The Trustee was a party of record. **Exhibit C, at p. 10**. In fact, the Trustee has signed an operating agreement. **Exhibit C, at p. 19**; **Exhibit D**. Compulsory pooling is not available when the parties have entered into a voluntary agreement. **NMSA 1978 §70-2-17.C**. More importantly, the Trustee is not subject to Order No. R-12376, and thus cannot be adversely affected by the order. Therefore, the Trustee's application for hearing *de novo* must also be dismissed.

The Trustee's dispute is over its quantum of working interest. Exhibit C, at p. 30. That issue is outside the jurisdiction of the Division or the Commission, and must be resolved in District Court.

WHEREFORE, Synergy requests that the Applications for Hearing *De Novo* filed by Edwin Smith, LLC and by Jerry Walmsley, Trustee of the June H. Walmsley Trust, be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

James Bruce

Post Office Box 1056

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

(50\$) 982-2043

Attorney for Synergy Operating, LLC

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading was served upon the following counsel of record, in the manner indicated, this day of August, 2005:

Hand Delivered
David K. Brooks
Oil Conservation Commission
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Via Fax and U.S. Mail
J. Scott Hall
Miller Stratvert P.A.
P.O. Box 1986
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 989-9857

Via Fax and U.S. Mail
Derek V. Larson
Sarita Nair
Sutin, Thayer & Browne
P.O. Box 1945
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
(505) 888-6565

James Bruce

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SYNERGY OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 13,486 Order No. R-

## APPLICATION FOR REHEARING DE NOVO BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Edwin Smith, LLC, a New Mexico limited liability company, (Edwin Smith, member-manager), a party of record in the above-captioned matter and adversely affected by Division Order R-12376 entered July 1, 2005, by and through undersigned counsel and pursuant to NMSA 1978, § 70-2-13 (1981) and Rule 1220(A), 19 NMAC 15.N.1220.A (8-29-03), hereby requests a rehearing of this matter *de novo* before the Oil Conservation Commission.

SUTIN, THAYER & BROWNE A Professional Corporation

Derek V. Larson

Sarita Nair

Attorneys For Edwin Smith

Post Office Box 1945

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-1945

Telephone: (505) 883-2500



#### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Application for Rehearing was faxed to counsel of record on the \_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2005, as follows:

James Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 VIA FACSIMILE: (505) 982-2151

J. Scott Hall, Esq. Post Office Box 1986 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 VIA FACSIMILE: (505) 989-9614 Gail McQuesten, Esq.
New Mexico Oil Conservation Div.
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
VIA FACSIMILE: (505) 476-3462

SUTIN, THAYER & BROWNE A Professional corporation

749327

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION SYNERGY OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

> CASE NO. 13486 ORDER NO. R-12376

#### APPLICATION FOR HEARING DE NOVO

Jerry Walmsley, Trustee, Bypass Trust U/W June H. Walmsley, pursuant to NMSA 1978 Section 70-2-13, requests this matter be set for hearing de novo before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

MILLER STRATVERT P.A.

By:

1.0.4.11.11

J. Scott Hall

Attorneys for Jerry Walmsley, Trustee, Bypass Trust U/W June H. Walmsley Post Office Box 1986 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1986 (505) 989-9614

#### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was faxed to counsel of record on the 18th day of July, 2005, as follows:

James Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 (505) 982-2151/Facsimile

Sarita Nair, Esq. Sutin Thayer & Browne P. C. Post Office 1945 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 (505) 888-6565/Facsimile Gail MacQuesten, Esq.
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
(505) 476-3462/Facsimile



7. I won dell

J. Scott Hall

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 13,486

APPLICATION OF SYNERGY OPERATING, L.L.C., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

#### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# EXAMINER HEARING CEIVED

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner JUN 3 0 2005

June 16th, 2005 Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico Santa Fe, NM 87505

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, June 16th, 2005, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*



#### APPEARANCES

#### FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

#### FOR JERRY WALMSLEY:

MILLER, STRATVERT P.A. 150 Washington Suite 300 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 By: J. SCOTT HALL

#### FOR EDWIN SMITH:

SUTIN, THAYER & BROWNE, P.C. By: SARITA NAIR 6565 Americas Parkway, N.E. Suite 1000, #2 Park Square P.O. Box 32500 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87190

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:07 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time I'll call Case
4	Number 13,486, the Application of Synergy Operating,
5	L.L.C., for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New
6	Mexico.
.7	Call for appearances.
8	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
9	representing the Applicant. I have one witness to be
10	sworn.
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: Additional appearances?
12	MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott Hall, Miller
13	Stratvert, P.A., Fe, appearing on behalf of Jerry Walmsley,
14	trustee of the June H. Walmsley Trust.
15	That's W-a-l-m-s-l-e-y.
16	I have no witnesses this morning.
17	EXAMINER CATANACH: I'm sorry, is that on a
18	prehearing statement somewhere?
19	MR. HALL: No, hired yesterday. You do have an
20	entry of appearance for me.
21	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, Jerry Walmsley,
22	Trustee?
23	MR. HALL: Yes.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, that's who you're
25	appearing on behalf of?

1 MR. HALL: Yes. MS. NAIR: Mr. Examiner, Sarita Nair for Sutin, 2 Thayer and Brown. We represent Edwin Smith. He's also an 3 We entered our appearance yesterday as well. 4 EXAMINER CATANACH: Let me see if I can find 5 that. 6 7 MS. NAIR: Thank you. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: And you don't have any 9 witnesses, Ms. Nair? MS. NAIR: We have Edwin Smith, who is here and 10 11 available to testify. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, will the witness please 12 13 stand to be sworn in? (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 14 PATRICK HEGARTY, 15 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 16 17 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 19 20 Q. Would you please state your name for the record? My name is Patrick Hegarty. 21 A. 22 Q. Where do you reside? 23 Α. Aztec, New Mexico. What is your relationship to Synergy Operating, 24 Q. the Applicant in this case? 25

broke down the interest into the heirs and basically showed that the heirs of Julia H. Keller and the heirs of May H. Kouns is now owned by Synergy and comprises 25-percent ownership of the southwest quarter of Section 8.

The rest of the interest is the heirs of Jennie H. Hill, which Mr. Walmsley represents -- that's a 12.5-percent interest in the southwest quarter -- and the heirs of Margaret H. Jones, which her husband -- after her death it went to him, and that was David F. Jones, and that's 12.5 percent.

Also there's two other individuals, Joseph C.

Robbins owns a 3.125 percent, and the heirs of Claude

Smith, being Edwin and Earnest Smith, and they own the remaining interest in the southwest quarter, being 46.875 percent.

- Q. Now, there's some title matters regarding these fee interests, regarding the clients of Mr. Hall and Ms. Nair. Will we discuss that toward the end of your testimony?
  - A. Yes, we will.

- Q. Now, on this -- the people on Exhibit 2, who do you seek to pool?
- A. The people we seek to pool are the heirs of Claude Smith, being Edwin and Earnest Smith, and also the heirs of Margaret H. Jones, which we have not been able to

locate. . 1 You do not seek to pool the Walmsley Trust? 0. 2 3 A. No, we do not. Let's discuss your efforts to obtain the -- well, 4 5 before that, Mr. Smith -- you know where he is, and you 6 have his address; is that correct? 7 Yes, we've corresponded and spoken on many 8 occasions. 9 Q. Okav. Are there certain unlocatable interest 10 owners? 11 A. Yes, there are. Okay, and we'll get into that in a minute. 12 13 A. Okay. Now regarding your efforts to obtain the 14 voluntary joinder of the Smiths' interest, let's discuss 15 your contacts with them. What is Exhibit 3? 16 Exhibit 3 basically comprises letters, and there 17 Α. are three of them, the first being May 19th -- I'm sorry, 18 the first being March --19 20 Q. Well, start with the top one. Okay, all right. November 4th, 2004, is a 21 Α. 22 certified letter that we sent to Mr. -- to the attention of 23 Earnest Smith, and at two locations that was given to us by his CPA whom we originally corresponded with, and that was 24

25

a Steven R. Jones.

severed out the Fruitland Coal or anything like that? 1 2 A. No, there was not. Okay. So in your opinion there's nothing to 3 separate Pictured Cliffs ownership from Fruitland Coal 4 ownership in the southwest quarter of Section 8? 5 A. No, there's not. 6 7 Now finally, what is Exhibit 10? 0. Exhibit 10 is the first page and the signature 8 page with the Exhibit A describing the ownership of the 9 well, and a check from Mr. Walmsley in the amount of 10 \$21,250, which is his proportionate share of the cost to 11 drill the Duff 29-11-8 Number 104 well. 12 Okay, so he -- Mr. Hall's client did sign a JOA 13 Q. designating Synergy as operator? 14 15 Α. Yes, he did. And paying for its share of well costs? 16 Q. 17 A. That's correct. And apparently agreeing to the breakdown, Exhibit 18 Q. A, the contract area of the JOA? 19 20 A. Yes. Okay. Were Exhibits 1 through 10 prepared by you 21 Q. 22 or under your supervision, or compiled from company business records? 23 Yes, they were. 24 25 Q. And in your opinion, is the granting of this

Application in the interest of conservation and the 1 2 prevention of waste? 3 Α. Yes, it is. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission 5 of Synergy Exhibits 1 through 10. **EXAMINER CATANACH:** Any objection? 6 MR. HALL: No objection. 7 MS. NAIR: No objection. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 1 through 10 will be 9 admitted. 10 Mr. Hall? 11 MR. HALL: Yes, sir. 12 **EXAMINATION** 13 BY MR. HALL: 14 Mr. Hegarty, your Application proposes the 15 Q. drilling of a parent well and an infill well; is that 16 correct? 17 18 A. The -- That's correct. And is it the 104 well, to be the initial well? 19 Q. That's correct. 20 Α. By the way, are the interests of Burlington 21 Q. committed under the JOA? 22 23 Not currently. Α. What is Synergy's right to drill the 104 well on 24 Q. 25 the northwest quarter currently?

I'm sorry, the Walmsley interest; is that right? -- has 1 signed -- is committed to the well; is that correct? 2 That's correct. 3 Okay, they've signed the JOA? Q. 4 Yes, they have. 5 So you're just pooling the Edwin and Earnest 6 Q. Smith interests at this time, and the David Jones interest? 7 That's correct. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I don't have anything 9 else of this witness at this time. 10 Is there anything further that you have? 11 MR. BRUCE: I have no further questions of the 12 witness. 13 **EXAMINER CATANACH:** Okay. 14 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, briefly, I would ask 15 that the case be continued until such time as we're 16 17 provided with the title opinion and have had a chance a chance to review that. 18 There is a question about the quantum of interest 19 20 owned by my client in the southwest quarter, and the title 21 opinion may shed some light on that, may be helpful to you 22 in making your decision in the case. 23 It appears that my client did execute the AFE --24 I'm sorry, the JOA -- but I would point out that my client 25 is not familiar with the industry and is unsure about the

place and get our wells drilled, and workovers, and so on 1 2 and so forth. So we do have a means to get this done, and we've 3 already drilled four wells this year under that basis. 4 5 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that your title opinion is going to show anything different than what 6 you've shown here today, Mr. Hegarty? 7 None whatsoever. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: I think I'm going to go ahead 9 and deny the request to continue. I would request that you 10 provide us with a copy of the farmout agreement from the 11 Robbins interest. 12 THE WITNESS: Will do. 13 EXAMINER CATANACH: And --14 Excuse me, sir, may I have a chance to 15 MS. NAIR: 16 put these title documents into the record and explain our argument to the Examiner, please? 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Sure. Are you going to put a 18 witness on, Ms. Nair? 19 MS. NAIR: I don't need to, I can just walk you 20 through these documents. 21 22 By way of background, we do not dispute all of 23 the notice that Mr. Hegarty has provided to Mr. Smith. 24 don't dispute that all those negotiations went on.

fact, Mr. Smith was ready to participate until we became

25

aware of this title question as a result of yet another well, the Jones well, that is also on this property.

What we've attached here as Exhibit A is the original deed conveying the one-half interest in the property from the Hasselman sisters to Earl Kouns. This was back when they used to use a straw man to change a tenancy in common to a joint tenancy.

Then on the same day, Exhibit B, there's a warranty deed conveying that same undivided one-half interest from Earl Kouns back to the Hasselman girls as joint tenants.

Exhibit C, the court order, merely confirms that same ownership. Although this is a legal question that's probably outside the scope of this hearing, we don't think that the law supports that a court order is going to destroy an existing joint tenancy unless it affects a conveyance.

And then finally Exhibit D is the warranty deed about which I asked Mr. Hegarty, the 1981 deed from Jennie Hasselman Hill as surviving joint tenant of the Hasselman sisters to June Hill Walmsley.

And again, the reason for the continuance is so that my client, Mr. Edwin Smith, can be comfortable with the state of title. As both Mr. Hegarty and I have stated, we were ready to participate, but as long as there are

#### A.A.P.L. FORM 610-1982

#### MODEL FORM OPERATING AGREEMENT

#### OPERATING AGREEMENT

DATED

**MARCH 1, 2005** 

**OPERATOR** 

SYNERGY OPERATING, LLC

CONTRACT AREA: W/2 SECTION 8, T.29N, R11W, N.M.P.M.

**Fruitland Coal Formation** 

COUNTY OR PARISH OF SAN JUAN

STATE OF

NEW MEXICO

COPYRIGHT 1982 – ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF PETROLEUM LANDMEN, 4100 FOSSIL CREEK BLVD., FORT WORTH, TEXAS, 76137-2791, APPROVED FORM. A.A.P.L. NO. 610 – 1982 REVISED



OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CASE NUMBER EXHIBIT NUMBER

#### ARTICLE XVL MISCELLANEOUS This agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and to their respective heirs, devisees, This instrument may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be considered an original for all purposes. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this agreement shall be effective as of \_\_\_\_\_\_ the 1st\_\_ day of \_March\_\_\_\_, (year) 2005\_. Synergy Operating, LLC who has prepared and circulated this form for execution, represents and warrants that the form was printed from and with the exception listed below, is identical to the AAPL Form 610-1982 Model Form Operating Agreement, as published in diskette form by Forms On-A-Disk, Inc. No changes, atterations, or modifications, other than those in Articles **OPERATOR** SYNERGY OPERATING,LLC NON-OPERATORS The Heirs of Claude Smith BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS COMPANY Earnest Smith, Executor of Estate WALMSLEY June Walmley Estate Jerk T. Walmsley, Executor of Estate

SIGN & RETURN
THIS COPY

### EXHIBIT "A" Duff 29-11-8#104, W/2 Sec. 8, T29N, R11W

Attached to and made a part of that certain Operating Agreement dated March 1, between Synergy Operating, LLC, as Operator, and Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company et al. as Non-Operators.

#### UNIT AREA, DEPTHS AND FORMATION COVERED

W/2 of section 8 of Township 29 North, Range 11 West San Juan Co₀ N.M. Limited to the Fruitland Coal formation.

#### ADDRESS OF THE PARTIES

#### **OPERATOR:**

Synergy Operating, LLC

PO Box 5513

Farmington, New Mexico 87499

#### **NON-OPERATORS:**

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Co.

P.O. Box 4289

Farmington, New Mexico 87499

June Walmsley Estate

c/o Jerry T. Walmsley

801 West Paradise Road

Spokane, WA 99224

The Heirs of Claude Smith

c/o Ed Smith

40758 Jasper Drive (mailing Address)

Kingsberg, CA 93631-1316

Joseph C. Robbins

The Heirs of George B., Bessie H., J.R. & India B. Robbins

2136 Lakeshore Drive

Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

.015625 Division of Interest -

18.7500%
6.2500%
23.4375%
1.5625%

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company	50.00%
TOTAL	100.00%

Page 1 of 1



Same as Hartman Check Entered

## 70-2-13. Additional powers of commission or division; hearings before examiner; hearings de novo.

In addition to the powers and authority, either express or implied, granted to the oil conservation commission or division by virtue of the statutes of the state of New Mexico, the division is hereby authorized and empowered in prescribing its rules of order or procedure in connection with hearings or other proceedings before the division to provide for the appointment of one or more examiners to be members of the staff of the division to conduct hearings with respect to matters properly coming before the division and to make reports and recommendations to the director of the division with respect thereto. Any member of the commission or the director of the division or his authorized representative may serve as an examiner as provided herein. The division shall promulgate rules and regulations with regard to hearings to be conducted before examiners, and the powers and duties of the examiners in any particular case may be limited by order of the division to particular issues or to the performance of particular acts. In the absence of any limiting order, an examiner appointed to hear any particular case shall have the power to regulate all proceedings before him and to perform all acts and take all measures necessary or proper for the efficient and orderly conduct of such hearing, including the swearing of witnesses, receiving of testimony and exhibits offered in evidence subject to such objections as may be imposed, and shall cause a complete record of the proceeding to be made and transcribed and shall certify the same to the director of the division for consideration together with the report of the examiner and his recommendations in connection therewith. The director of the division shall base the decision rendered in any matter or proceeding heard by an examiner upon the transcript of testimony and record made by or under the supervision of the examiner in connection with such proceeding, and such decision shall have the same force and effect as if the hearing had been conducted before the director of the division. When any matter or proceeding is referred to an examiner and a decision is rendered thereon, any party of record adversely affected shall have the right to have the matter heard de novo before the commission upon application filed with the division within thirty days from the time any such decision is rendered.

**History:** 1953 Comp., § 65-3-11.1, enacted by Laws 1955, ch. 235, § 1; 1961, ch. 62, § 1; 1977, ch. 255, § 48; 1981, ch. 63, § 1.

