#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 14,008

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION FOR A COMPLIANCE ORDER

# ORIGINAL

### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# **EXAMINER HEARING**

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Hearing Examiner

RECEIVE B FEB 29 PM

November 29th, 2007

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New

Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr.,

Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, November 29th, 2007, at the

New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Secretary's

Conference Room, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner,

Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

#### INDEX

November 29th, 2007 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14,008 PAGE 3 **EXHIBITS APPEARANCES** 4 OPENING STATEMENTS: By Ms. Altomare 6 By Mr. Padilla APPLICANT'S WITNESS: **DANIEL SANCHEZ** (Compliance and Enforcement Manager, NMOCD) Direct Examination by Ms. Altomare 13 Cross-Examination by Mr. Padilla 26 Examination by Examiner Brooks 37 Redirect Examination by Ms. Altomare 39 Recross-Examination by Mr. Padilla 42 YESO WITNESSES: **EUGENE LEE** (President, Yeso Energy, Inc.) Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 43 Cross-Examination by Ms. Altomare 65 Examination by Examiner Brooks 81 RICHARD QUINTANA Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 84 Cross-Examination by Ms. Altomare 88 Examination by Examiner Brooks 89 CLOSING STATEMENTS: By Ms. Altomare 90 By Mr. Padilla 91 (Continued...)

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

93

\* \* \*

# EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit A	15	42
Exhibit B	15	42
Exhibit C	15	42
Exhibit D	16	42
Exhibit E	22	42
Exhibit F	64	_

\* \* \*

# APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT:

MIKAL M. ALTOMARE
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR YESO ENERGY, INC.:

PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A. 1512 South St. Francis Drive P.O. Box 2523 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2523 By: ERNEST L. PADILLA

\* \* \*

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:55 a.m.:
3	
4	
5	EXAMINER BROOKS: Call Case Number 14,008, the
6	Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation for a
7	compliance order.
8	Call for appearances.
9	MS. ALTOMARE: Mikal Altomare on behalf of the
10	Oil Conservation Division.
11	MR. PADILLA: Ernest L. Padilla on behalf of Yeso
12	Energy, Inc.
13	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Do you How many
14	witnesses?
15	MS. ALTOMARE: I have one witness.
16	MR. PADILLA: Two witnesses.
17	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, would the witnesses state
18	your names please for the record?
19	MR. SANCHEZ: Daniel Sanchez, for the OCD.
20	MR. LEE: Gene Lee, Yeso Energy.
21	MR. QUINTANA: Richard Quintana.
22	EXAMINER BROOKS: Witnesses will be sworn.
23	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
24	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Do you wish to make
25	an opening statement, Ms. Altomare?

大

See tout,

MS. ALTOMARE: I do.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Proceed.

MS. ALTOMARE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is a compliance case that is a good example of why the rules are in place and why we need to have procedures in place to -- for enforcement. It's a good example of an operator who has taken it upon himself to decide that the rules don't apply.

This is a compliance case involving an operator who decided that he did not want to file production reports under Rule 1115. He was repeatedly informed of these deficiencies, repeatedly assured the Division that he was going to come into compliance.

He was notified that if he did not come into compliance his authority to transport and produce was going to be canceled. That did come to fruition, that authority was canceled. He confirmed that he was aware that that authority was canceled.

It has recently come to the knowledge of the Division that despite the fact that he was canceled and he knew he was canceled, he was producing a number of wells during this period of time. This only came to our knowledge because those wells were subsequently transferred, and the new operator brought the records up to date.

Twenty-four wells were transferred by operator Yeso to operator Kerns Petroleum, and 19 of those wells were found to be producing during the period of cancellation between November of 2006 and the date of transfer, which occurred June 1st, 2007.

Yeso's period of cancellation has not been reinstated, his authority to produce has not been reinstated at this point.

It has recently come to my attention -- Although I would like to establish for the record that I did not get the prehearing statement. I suspect, as I'm reading through the record, that it is because it was faxed to my telephone instead of my fax number until about three o'clock yesterday afternoon, so I did not know the full extent of opposing party's position until about three o'clock yesterday afternoon.

It is my understanding that it is his position that he was not the operator of these wells and therefore was not operating them in violation of this order of cancellation.

However, under the rules operators have a duty to keep the OCD informed of transfers of wells, of their operatorship of those wells.

These wells were under his -- under Yeso's operatorship during this period of time, he was aware that

they were under the operatorship of Yeso, the wells were transferred to Yeso and signed by Mr. Lee. The signatures match multiple other documents that I don't he will dispute that he actually did sign. He is the only authorized person to log onto the system and confirm these documents and confirm the well transfers.

Simply, there is no argument that regardless who was actually out there pumping the wells, he was the operator of record and he was operating them in violation of this order of cancellation.

Because of this, this is a clear example of somebody who is operating and thinking that the rules don't apply, and we feel that it justifies penalties.

And we think that in order to bring our records current, it would be appropriate for us to have access to all the records of wells that he was operating during that period of time, so that we can make sure that all of the C-115s for that period of time were actually being reported properly and that our records are proper and complete.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good.

Mr. Padilla, did you want to make an opening statement at this time?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, I do.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Go ahead.

MR. PADILLA: First of all, I want to clarify the

record.

We did inadvertently -- well, we did not inadvertently, we filed on November 26th, Monday, our prehearing statement. We filed two, one with the Division, the original, and we also served another copy so that it would be served on counsel for the Division. Why it never got to her I don't know.

In terms of the entry of appearance I suppose we may have made a mistake, but nonetheless I have been in touch with counsel and knew that I was representing Yeso Energy.

Now, in terms of the facts of this case, Mr. Lee will testify that he as president of Yeso Energy never operated this well, he's never been in the business of operating oil wells. He does have a consulting firm called Lee consulting, and he was contacted to perform work on three of these wells.

The chain of title for the oil and gas leases and the wells in this case, on the relevant period, goes from Whiting Oil and Gas to a company named Aleanna Resources, LLC, then to Kern Petroleum Corporation -- or Kerns Petroleum Corporation.

At no time did Mr. Lee either individually or through Yeso or through Lee Consulting operate these wells. In fact, there will be testimony here that the signs were

never changed, the Whiting Oil and Gas signs were changed.

The testimony here from Mr. Quintana will be that he actually performed mechanical work on three of the wells, and that work was referred by Mr. Lee as under Lee Consulting. The type of work that was done was not operating-type, but mechanical work on three of the wells, all of which are the subject of this case.

Now Mr. Lee will testify that he had no contact and had no contractual relations with Whiting Oil and Gas Corporation, he had no contact with them, contractual or otherwise, that he never had any relationship other than with the three wells that he was asked to perform mechanical work, and that he referred to Mr. Quintana.

The billing was done through Lee Consulting for the three wells, and he has had no contractual relationship with Kerns Petroleum Corporation, therefore -- He will also testify that he has had usurpation of his OGRID number by someone in Roswell who has used his OGRID number and used his operating bond for purposes of performing or operating wells.

But in terms of being the actual operator of these wells, he has simply not been the operator as -- in terms of being the designated operator.

Now, he's fully aware that there is a change of operator form on file with the OCD records showing what

appears to be his signature on the operatorship from Whiting Oil and Gas to Yeso Energy.

He will testify that with regard t the change of operator from Yeso to Kerns Petroleum, that he did sign that on the advice of the Oil Conservation Division, the Artesia office, and I think dealing through Mr. Sanchez, that in order to get him out of this OGRID and in order to transfer the operatorship so that he would have some correction of the records, he did that only for that purpose. Not because he was the operator, but it was some way to get the monkey off his back in order to be able to change operator and get those well records, and so that Kerns could then operate the wells, because they did receive title from Aleanna Resources.

That will be our case. Thank you.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you.

You may call your witness.

MS. ALTOMARE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Before I begin, a couple of logistical matters. The exhibits that the OCD plans to present at this point are basically those that were initially attached to our application with one minor change to that.

There was an error -- there was an omission to Exhibit B that has been remedied in this packet, so I'd like to hand you -- This is the packet that was initially

attached to the Application, with the addition of what 1 should have been included in Exhibit B and which was 2 actually referenced as part of -- actually I think I handed 3 you the wrong -- I think I handed you my stack, sorry. 4 5 It is actually referenced in the Application, so 6 the document should have been included in the Application 7 to begin with, and --EXAMINER BROOKS: And what you've handed me is a 8 9 complete set of your exhibits? MS. ALTOMARE: It's a complete set of the 10 exhibits that was attached to the Application. We will 11 also be presenting through our witness an additional 12 exhibit, which we have labeled Exhibit E. Would you like 13 me to hand that to you at this pint, or go ahead and do it 14 in the course of the --15 EXAMINER BROOKS: If you have a copy. 16 17 original needs to be handed to the court reporter at the time they're admitted into evidence. 18 MS. ALTOMARE: And then depending on the course 19 that Mr. -- Yeso's examination takes, we may have one 20 additional exhibit. 21 Okay, at this time the Oil Conservation Division 22 calls Daniel Sanchez. 23 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: You may proceed with examination of Mr. Sanchez. 25

DANIEL SANCHEZ, 1 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 2 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. ALTOMARE: 5 Please state your name for the record. 6 Q. 7 Α. Daniel Sanchez. And by whom are you employed? 8 Q. The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. 9 Α. And what is your title? 10 Q. Compliance and enforcement manager. 11 Α. And what are your duties as compliance and 12 Q. 13 enforcement manager? I supervise the OCD's four district offices, the 14 Α. 15 Environmental Bureau and the UIC program director, and I oversee compliance and enforcement cases. 16 17 And have you reviewed the well files for the Q. 18 wells at issue in this hearing? 19 Α. Yes, I have. 20 And are you familiar with the history of the Q. 21 operatorship of those 19 wells? 22 Α. Yes, I am. 23 Who was the operator of record for the 9 wells in Q. 24 this matter between November 20th, 2006, and June 1st, 25 2007?

A. Yeso Energy.

- Q. And how do you know that?
- A. From the information I obtained from our well files.
- Q. How do you go about determining the operator of record when you review a well file?
- A. I look through the actual well file. If there's a change of operatorship, it will be in the well file that indicates that there was a change and who that change was made from and who it was made to.
- Q. Under the Oil Conservation Division rules, what are the duties of an operator in terms of keeping the Oil Conservation Division informed of the change of operatorship of wells?
- A. Under Rule 19.15.3.100, operator registration and change of operator, change of operator occurs when the entity responsible for a well or a group of wells changes. Change of operator may result from a sale, assignment by a court, a change in operating agreement or other transaction.

Under a change of operator, wells are moved from the OGRID number of the operator of record with the Division to the new operator's OGRID number. And the operator of record with the Division and the new operator shall apply for a change of authority to jointly file for a

1 C-145 in order to make the change of operator official with 2 the OCD.

- Q. So the transfer in the application for the change of operatorship is jointly filed between the two operators?
  - A. It's supposed to be.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Are you familiar with that process?
- A. Not entirely, I don't really deal with that.
- Q. Just to make it a little bit easier as we go through your testimony, let's go ahead and identify the exhibits that are included in the packet at this time.
- A. Okay. Exhibit A is the notice of cancellation of authority letter, dated November 20th, 2006.
  - Q. Okay, and Exhibit B?
- A. Exhibit B is going to be the e-mail from Mr. Lee in response to the November 20th letter, dated November 27th, 2006.
  - Q. Okay, and also included in Exhibit B --
- A. -- are the original e-mail from David Bradshaw in our office, informing Yeso that they were short on the C-115 filings, and a response to that e-mail from Mr. Lee to David Bradshaw, explaining why they would -- or what they would be doing.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Exhibit C is a follow-up letter from OCD to Yeso
  dated January 8th, 2007.

Exhibit D is the operator transfer documentation, 1 Yeso to Kerns, which was effective on June 1st of 2007. 2 And finally Exhibit E is a production report 3 summary for Yeso Energy. 4 5 And also included beneath the production Q. Okay. 6 report summary, what are the other documents in that packet of Exhibit D -- or E rather? 8 They are from our well master files, and they're 9 the production reports for each of the wells in question. 10 0. As the compliance and enforcement manager, are 11 you familiar with Rule 1115 and production reporting requirements? 12 Α. 13 Yes. 14 0. Okay, and what are an operator's obligations 15 under that rule? 16 The operator under Rule 115 must file a monthly 17 report for each nonplugged well completion for which the 18 Division has an approved C-104, authorization of transport, 19 on a Form C-115. The operator has -- or shall follow the 20 reports using the Division's web-based on-line application 21 on or before the 15th day of the second month following the month of production. 22 23 If an operator fails to form a C-115 that the

Division accepts, the Division shall within 60 days of

24

by electronic mail or letter of its intent to revoke the
operator's authorization to transport or inject, if the
operator does not submit an acceptable and complete Form C
115.

If the operator does not submit an acceptable C
115 or request a hearing within 120 days of the original

If the operator does not submit an acceptable C115 or request a hearing within 120 days of the original
due date of the C-115, the Division may cancel the
operator's authority to transport from or inject into all
of the wells that it operates.

- Q. So the C-115 reporting is done, at least in part, on line?
- A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Are you familiar with the efforts of the Division in this particular case to obtain compliance with Yeso Energy with Rule 115 in 2006?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And can you discuss a little bit what the beginning of those efforts were back in -- I think it was September of 2006?
- A. Yeah, under Exhibit B we have the original electronic e-mail that was sent from David Bradshaw to Yeso Energy. That was on --
- Q. I'm sorry to interrupt. What was David
  Bradshaw's position at the time with the Department?
  - A. I can't remember his exact position, but he

tracked the C-115 filings, and he had other duties as well, 1 but one of them was tracking the C-115s. 2 But it was done on behalf of the Oil Conservation 0. 3 Division? 4 Α. 5 Yes. 6 Q. Okay. 7 The e-mail was sent on September 7th of 2006, and it was notifying Yeso that they had not received 8 electronically submitted C-115s for the following period --9 at that time it was just May of 2006 -- and it laid out the 10 Rule 1115, the 120-day deadline to turn in the missing 11 reports or requesting hearing. 12 13 Also in that --EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me, the copy of Exhibit 14 15 B that I have submitted has two e-mail, one from LeeCon to 16 David Bradshaw on September the 8th, and then a response on 17 September the 8th from Bradshaw to LeeCon, and then there is -- attached are two e-mails dated November 27th. 18 But I don't have an e-mail dated September 7th in 19 20 this package. Yeah, I apologize. 21 MS. ALTOMARE: 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, you're going to be tendering this into evidence? 23 MS. ALTOMARE: Yes, and it may also -- it may 24

actually already be attached to the Application.

Okay, you may continue. 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: 2 MS. ALTOMARE: There was some confusion --EXAMINER BROOKS: You can clarify it at the time 3 you offer the exhibits into evidence. 4 5 MS. ALTOMARE: Yes. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I see that. 7 MS. ALTOMARE: I think it was originally -- I will clarify that, I apologize. 8 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Continue. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay, on September 8th of 2006, the 11 day after that initial e-mail was sent, there was a 12 response by Yeso and it said, I will get them submitted by 13 Monday a.m. I thought it showed up that they were sent. 14 Is there any certain way I can confirm that they were 15 received for sure? I am out of town until Sunday a.m., but 16 will have them in to you and follow up with an e-mail or 17 phone call to ensure you did receive them. 18 And Mr. Bradshaw responded to that, that same 19 day, letting him know that he got the e-mail. 20 Q. (By Ms. Altomare) And what was Mr. Bradshaw -- I 21 apologize -- What if any response did Mr. Lee have beyond 22 that? Did he ever turn in any additional C-115 information? 23 24 No. 25 Are you familiar with any further action taken by Q.

the Division after that point in time?

- A. Yeah, when the 120-day period came and went, the November 20th, 2000, letter in Exhibit A was issued, and that's the cancellation of authority to transport from or inject into wells operated by Yeso Energy.
- Q. And what if any response was received from Mr. Lee in response to that cancellation of authority letter?
- A. Again, Exhibit B has the e-mail response by Mr.

  Lee and it says, I received a letter Gail MacQuesten about delinquent C-115s and revoking my authorization to transport. I have been out of commission for several months due to health problems. I thought that the lady in Midland, Texas, was filing all the C-115s and just found out that she was filing only on the Whiting Pet wells transferred to Yeso for Aleanna Resources, Incorporated. I will get the info back today from Midland, Texas and will get the C-115s filed by Wednesday in the p.m.
  - Q. And just for -- just to clarify, is it -- it specifies in that e-mail that there were Whiting wells that were transferred to Yeso?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did Mr. Lee ever submit the missing reports as promised on the 29th?
    - A. No, he didn't.
      - Q. Did he ever submit them after the 29th, to your

knowledge?

- A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Was there any further communication between Mr. Lee and the Division?
- A. Another letter was sent to Mr. Lee on January 8th, 2007. This was in regard to a request by Mr. Lee for an extension on an agreed compliance order for inactive wells and a waiver of penalties.

It was a separate issue, but in that letter Mr.

Lee was once again notified that the authority -- the OCD

canceled the authority of Yeso to transport from or inject

into any of the wells it operates, and based on its failure

to file production reports from May of 2006. Yeso has

still not filed its production reports for May, 2006, and

is still subject to the cancellation of authority to

transport or inject.

So he was warned again through that letter that the authorization to transport was still in effect, the cancellation.

- Q. Okay, and for the record you're referring to Exhibit C?
  - A. Yes, I'm sorry, Exhibit C.
- Q. To your knowledge, who's the current operator of record for the 19 wells at issue in this matter?
  - A. Kerns Oil and Gas.

1	Q. Okay, and how do you know that Kerns is now the
2	current operator?
3	A. From a review of the well files. We show a
4	transfer that occurred on June 1st of 2007 from Yeso to
5	Kerns.
6	Q. Okay, and is Exhibit D the exhibit that the
7	document that you would be looking at to establish that?
8	A. Yes, it is.
9	Q. To your knowledge, has Yeso's authority ever been
10	reinstated to transport or inject?
11	A. No, it hasn't.
12	Q. I'd like to draw your attention to Exhibit D I
13	mean Exhibit E, I apologize.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. What is the top page of this document, this stack
16	of documents?
17	A. This is a C-115 balancing summary report it's
18	a summary of the balancing report, for Yeso Energy. And it
19	goes it's actually showing the production of gas and oil
20	by Yeso from 2004 through January 1st of 2004 through
21	May of 2007.
22	Q. Okay. Now I'd like to direct your attention to
23	the period of time starting in November of 2006 when the
24	cancellation started, so for since the cancellation

started November 20th, for ease let's start with December

1 of 2006.

What do the numbers for production of Yeso reflect, beginning in December of 2006 and running through the present time?

A. Okay, for December of 2006 it shows gas produced and gas transported in the amount of 1516 units produced and transported, and oil produced for that month was 855 barrels, and transport of 1432.

And then it goes on to show from January of 2007 through May of 2007 for a total of gas produced 2062, transported 2062, oil produced was 2857, and oil transported was 2886.

- Q. And to your knowledge was -- once again, was Yeso -- was Yeso's authority to transport or inject ever reinstated during any of these months?
  - A. No, it wasn't.
- Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the remaining documents in Exhibit E.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And without belaboring the point, what do these documents represent?
- A. These show the production -- these show in Kerns Petroleum, actually, for the months after the transfer of the wells, but it shows the production for each one of the individual wells in question, going back some time.

1	Q. And why does the printout reflect Kerns Petroleum
2	at the top of the documents?
3	A. Kerns is the current operator of record, and
4	these were pulled after the fact so they'll show up as
5	Kerns.
6	Q. And the dates reflected on these reports are
7	what?
8	A. December 1st of 2006 through the current
9	September 1st of 2007.
10	Q. And are these records kept in the ordinary course
11	of business
12	A. Yes, they are.
13	Q of the Oil Conservation Division?
14	MS. ALTOMARE: Does the Hearing Examiner wish
15	that the witness go through the specific details of the
16	data contained therein, or is the submission of the exhibit
17	itself sufficient?
18	EXAMINER BROOKS: I think the submission of the
19	exhibit will be sufficient for our purposes.
20	Q. (By Ms. Altomare) As you know, this was filed as
21	a compliance case.
22	What recommendations would you make for obtaining
23	compliance in this particular matter?
24	A. Oh, we have several recommendations to the
25	Hearing Examiner which we would like to see, and one of

them being a date certain for Yeso to submit the C-115s that are late, basically update the OCD with all their C-115s on any production that they've had.

We would also request that the OCD be allowed to audit Yeso's production records on its wells that were in operation during the time of the cancellation, to verify if any other ones were being produced and transported at that time.

And we're also requesting a penalty be imposed on Yeso. The penalty amount would be \$88,000, \$1000 for each -- that each well in question violated the cancellation of authority, which was dated 11-20 of '07.

- Q. Just to address the anticipated testimony of Mr. Lee, are you aware of any allegations that anybody else has been using Mr. Lee's OGRID number?
  - A. Just today.

2.2

- Q. Okay, and did you ever recommend that Mr. Lee execute a document that you -- that he represented he did not own the wells or operate the wells for?
  - A. Not that I'm aware of, not that I remember.

MS. ALTOMARE: Okay. I don't have any further questions at this time for this witness.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Mr. Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: I have some questions.

EXAMINER BROOKS: I thought you might.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PADILLA:

- Q. Mr. Sanchez, first of all on this Exhibit E that you submitted, you show some of the Kerns production after the first two pages. And I see there a bunch of Langlie Mattix wells and Allison-Upper Penn wells, and I'm curious why those wells were chosen, in light of the fact that there are 24 wells that are the subject of this case, and those wells do not appear as having -- as showing -- as being on this Exhibit E.
  - A. The 24 wells on Exhibit --
- Q. Yes. In other words, this case, as I understand it, involves four Cortland Myers Unit wells, a Jamison -- two Jamison wells, some Knight wells, Las Cruces and State wells, as listed on the advertisement for this case.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. I'm just trying to find out --
- A. These are the individual wells you'll see up on top.
  - Q. All right.
  - A. And it's not going to cover all 24 of the wells. We're only interested in the 19 wells that were actually producing during that time.
  - Q. Okay. Let me ask you now, Why was the change of operator accepted if Yeso Energy was in noncompliance? In

other words, the change of operator from Yeso to Kerns 1 2 Petroleum. 3 Α. That they were -- I am not sure, to be honest. Ι do not know. 4 5 0. Was there some cure in the noncompliance in order to allow the transfer of ownership? 6 7 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 8 MS. ALTOMARE: I think that's beyond the scope of 9 his duties. MR. PADILLA: If he knows. I'm just trying to 10 find out why the change of operator was accepted by the Oil 11 Conservation Division. 12 13 EXAMINER BROOKS: I will overrule the objection. 14 If the witness doesn't know the answer -- and I believe he 15 said that, but he can re-say so. 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I do not know why that 17 would... (By Mr. Padilla) What is the normal procedure if 18 0. a well is in noncompliance in terms of transferring 19 20 operatorship of that well? Do you know? An individual well? 21 Α. An individual well or a number of wells? 22 Q. 23 Α. It depends on what type of noncompliance the well 24 or wells are subject to. If an operator is trying to

obtain wells and he's in violation of Rule 40, in which he

may have more inactive wells than he's allowed, that a transfer wouldn't occur unless the operator gets into an agreed compliance order taking care of those wells and getting out of Rule 40.

- Q. Now Mr. Lee and Yeso Energy had entered into a compliance order in this -- with respect to some of these wells and other wells; is that right?
- A. They were -- entered into an agreed compliance order on inactive wells. I'm not sure how many of these wells were a part of that order, or if any of them were a part of the order.
- Q. Do you know whether any of the wells involved in this case were involved in that compliance order?
- A. Like I said, I'm not sure which wells were part of that agreed compliance order, I'd have to go through the agreed compliance order and then verify them against this list here.
- Q. In these e-mails that you testified about that are attached as Exhibit B, I believe you had another one there that was not part of this packet. Do those e-mails identify the wells that were the subject of this hearing today?
- A. It did not specifically, as far as I can see, identify each of the wells that was in violation. It did state that they had not submitted any C-115s.

0. Do you know whether the issues involved in the 1 compliance order that was actually signed by Yeso Energy 2 3 are part of the discussion in those e-mails? I'm not aware of that. A. 4 You don't know? 5 0. No, sir. 6 A. 7 Did you ever track or try to find out if in fact someone else was operating the wells, such as Aleanna 8 Resources? 9 My review of the well file showed the transfer 10 11 from Whiting to Yeso and then being transferred from Yeso to Kerns, and I had no reason to believe that there were 12 13 other entities involved at that point, if they weren't in the well files or on our records. 14 Did you go out to the well locations or send 15 somebody from the Oil Conservation Division and find out 16 whether the signage on the wells was Yeso Energy or Whiting 17 Oil and Gas? 18 19 Α. Personally, no, I did not. Did you send anyone out there to find out? 20 Q. I did not. 21 Α. Do the regulations of the Division require 22 Q. 23 signage to be in the name of the operator on the well? 24 Yes, they do require it, but in many cases out 25 there it's not the case.

If the wells were in noncompliance, why wasn't 1 0. this done in this case? 2 I can't answer that question. 3 Α. The only thing you looked at was the files of the ο. 4 5 OCD, right? That's correct. 6 Α. You -- did you know that -- did you ever ask 7 0. 8 Whiting Oil and Gas, or do any investigation with regard to 9 whether Whiting Oil and Gas was still the operator? Whiting Oil and Gas wasn't the operator when I 10 Α. did the review. The review showed that Yeso was the 11 12 operator of record. I had no reason to go back and question Whiting as to why they transferred the wells to 13 Chaparral -- I mean to -- yeah, to Chaparral. 14 Is David Bradshaw still working for the Division? 15 Q. 16 Α. No, he's not. 17 Q. Where is Mr. Bradshaw today? Do you know? 18 No, I don't know where he transferred to. Α. 19 Q. Does the OGRID number or the OGRID information 20 shown on the OGRID system show who owns the wells? 21 I believe that's the case, yes. During the relevant period you're trying to get 22 Q. the C-115s, did the ownership of the wells -- was that 23 shown as Aleanna Resources? 24

Not in the -- not in --

25

Α.

MS. ALTOMARE: I'm going to object. Ownership 1 isn't at issue, the operatorship is. 2 MR. PADILLA: If he knows, I'm just asking. 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well --4 MR. PADILLA: He testified that ownership is 5 shown on the OGRID. I'm just asking him if he knows. 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, I think, Mr. Padilla, you 7 are well aware that it is not, and that he is using -- that 8 he has misspoken, so I will sustain the objection. 9 10 want to offer evidence of ownership, you're free to do so. Continue. 11 (By Mr. Padilla) On Exhibit C, the cancellation 12 Q. 13 of authority -- or -- Wait a minute. Cancellation of authority is Exhibit A. 14 A. Exhibit A. Is that a blanket cancellation? 15 0. All of its wells? 16 Α. Of all wells? 17 Q. 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 Q. And that applies to OGRID 221710? 20 Α. Yes, sir. And that cancellation was based on noncompliance 21 0. 22 for what? Rule 1115. 23 Α. For the wells that are the subject of this -- of 24 this hearing? 25

All of the wells that would be under Yeso's 1 2 ownership. But the cancellation was based, as I understand 3 0. your testimony, for noncompliance, right? 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. And the noncompliance was failure to file C-115s Q. 6 7 for 19 wells? For more than 19 wells. Α. 8 Twenty-four wells? 9 Q. I don't believe any of the wells in question had 10 Α. filed --11 MS. ALTOMARE: I'm going to object. 12 I think you're misstating the previous testimony. 13 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Overrule the objection, the witness can clarify it. 15 THE WITNESS: From what I understand, it was 16 under -- any of the wells -- actually, it is a blanket, it 17 covers all their wells but it was based on them not filing 18 the 19 20 C-115s for any of the wells that I'm aware of. (By Mr. Padilla) I'm trying to find out for what 21 Q. 22 wells there was noncompliance. The specific wells? 23 Α. 24 Q. Yes.

That I couldn't answer. At this point, none of

25

Α.

the wells on this list have had C-115s submitted, so they 1 are all out of compliance. 2 3 0. The 24 wells that are listed on the advertisement for this case? 4 They're all part of the -- they're all under the 5 Α. order of cancellation of authority, yes. 6 And is there any other reason, aside from the 24 7 Q. wells that we're talking about for failure to file the 8 C-115s, that this cancellation of authority was made? 9 Not that I'm aware of. 10 Α. Did Yeso Energy comply with the compliance order Q. 11 that they actually signed? 12 13 Α. No. In what respect did they fail to comply with 14 Q. that? 15 Α. Are you talking about Exhibit C? 16 No, I'm talking about the compliance order 17 Q. involving the other wells, the compliance order that they 18 actually signed. 19 I'm --20 Α. MS. ALTOMARE: Objection --21 22 THE WITNESS: -- a little confused. I'm not sure what you're talking about. 23 MS. ALTOMARE: Are you referring to the agreed 24 compliance order for inactive wells? 25

1	MR. PADILLA: Yes.
2	MS. ALTOMARE: I'm going to object, it's not
3	relevant
4	THE WITNESS: It's not relevant.
5	MS. ALTOMARE: to this proceeding.
6	Q. (By Mr. Padilla) Well, you've introduced
7	EXAMINER BROOKS: Excuse me, we need to talk one
8	at a time, please. The objection and the witness should
9	remain silent until the court rules on the objection.
10	I'm going to overrule the objection.
11	But Mr. Padilla, if you're going to ask the
12	witness questions about a document, you need to be able to
13	show him the document.
14	MR. PADILLA: Well, let me rephrase the question.
15	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay.
16	Q. (By Mr. Padilla) Are you aware that Yeso Energy
17	signed an agreed compliance order?
18	A. I'm aware that they signed an agreed compliance
19	order on inactive wells, which gave them a two-year period
20	to deal with inactive wells on a six-month basis.
21	Other than that, I'm not aware of any other
22	compliance order that they may have signed, that you may be
23	speaking of.
24	Q. Did three of those wells involved in that
25	compliance order are those are three of those wells

also included in this case? 1 Α. I believe I already answered that, I said I do 2 not know specifically which wells were on the inactive list 3 and which were on this one here. 4 Did Yeso Energy comply with the agreed compliance 5 Q. order? 6 7 Α. No, as a matter of fact, the letter of January 8th was to let Yeso know that we would not be continuing 8 the agreed compliance order because they did not meet the 9 requirements of that order. 10 EXAMINER BROOKS: Mr. Sanchez, when you said the 11 letter of January 8th, are you referring to OCD Exhibit C? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. EXAMINER BROOKS: Continue. 14 (By Mr. Padilla) Third paragraph of that letter, 15 Q. Exhibit C, The OCD is not willing to waive penalties or 16 17 enter into a new agreed compliance order at this time. 18 Are we dealing with the old compliance order, the compliance order that was actually signed? 19 Is that what 20 we're talking about in that --21 Α. We're talking about Agreed Compliance Order 137, 22 which dealt entirely with inactive wells. 23 Q. Well then why is this Exhibit C even involved in this case? 24

The Exhibit C was involved in this case because,

25

Α.

if you look at the very bottom of the page, Yeso was once 1 again reminded that they were not in compliance, and that 2 the authority to transport, or cancellation of authority, 3 had been lifted. 4 5 It was a reminder, it was a courtesy reminder to Yeso that they needed to file the C-115s again. 6. Let me refer you to Exhibit D, second page of 7 0. Exhibit D. 8 9 Α. Okay. Is this what you're basing your information on, 10 Q. or is this a basis of why you're saying that Yeso Energy 11 was the operator? 12 Yes, sir, this is one of the documents that was 13 Α. in the well files under Yeso. I'm showing the transfer 14 from Yeso on June 1st of 2007 to Kerns Petroleum. 15 Did you deal with Mr. Lee at all about issues 16 17 involving OGRID? Not that I remember, no, sir. 18 Α. You don't remember? 19 Q. 20 I don't remember ever talking to him about him having issues with his OGRID. 21 Did you have issues with anyone in the Artesia 22 0. office over Yeso's OGRID issues? 23 Not that I remember, no. 24 Α. Let me be clear. You're asking for C-115s for 25 0.

1 what period? For the period going back as far as, I think, May 2 of 2006, and bringing them up current. 3 To when? 4 Q. Up to any production that they have going on at A. 5 this time. As far as I know --6 7 Q. As far as these wells that are concerned in this hearing, you're asking for C-115s from May, 2006 --8 9 Α. -- to ---- to the time that Kerns Petroleum assumed 10 Q. 11 operation? Α. Yes. 12 And when would that have been? 13 Q. Up to May 30th of 2007. 14 Α. So essentially you're saying approximately a 15 Q. year, is what you're asking for C-115s? 16 Α. Yes. 17 18 MR. PADILLA: I have no further questions. 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 22 Q. I take it, Mr. Sanchez, that you were not at all 23 involved in the transfer of these properties, either the transfer to Yeso or the transfer from -- the transfer of 24 25 operatorship to Yeso or the transfer from Yeso to Kerns?

No, sir, that's not part of my responsibilities. 1 Α. 2 Q. And did I understand that you have had no conversations with Mr. Lee or anyone else from Yeso? 3 Not that I'm aware of, not that I remember. 4 So the only communications that you believe are 5 Q. relevant to this proceeding are those that are shown in the 6 exhibits that have been tendered? 7 Yes, sir. Α. 8 Q. Now I notice, to clarify the concern in the 9 exhibit -- about the exhibits -- I'm handing you from my 10 exhibit package that Ms. Altomare provided me, the second 11 page of the exhibit package, which is unmarked but appears 12 behind the exhibit marked Exhibit A. Is this a copy of the 13 14 e-mail that you showed me during your testimony that was not marked as an exhibit? 15 Yes, sir, it is. 16 Α. EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. I believe what may have 17 happened here is that this e-mail which you intended to 18 19 attach to Exhibit B was actually attached to Exhibit A, so 20 when you tender the exhibits into evidence we'll need to be sure that they're in the correct order. 21 22 MS. ALTOMARE: I apologize for the confusion. 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: I believe that's all I have. Anything further, Ms. Altomare? 24 25 MS. ALTOMARE: I just wanted to clarify a couple

1 of things. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. ALTOMARE: 3 4 Mr. Sanchez, were you ever given any reason by Mr. Yeso or anybody from -- or by Mr. Lee or anybody from 5 6 Yeso, to believe that the transfers from Whiting to Yeso 7 Energy were not legitimate? 8 A. No. Okay. And just to confirm, generally when a 9 Q. cancellation is issued for C-115 delinquencies, do they 10 necessarily relate directly to the wells for which those 11 reports have been delinquent only, or are they a blanket? 12 It's a blanket. 13 Α. Okay, so it's a blanket cancellation for all Q. 14 wells --15 For all wells. 16 Α. 17 -- operated by that particular operator? Q. Yes. 18 Α. And regarding limitations on operators 19 Q. that are in noncompliance, isn't it usually the case that 20 the limitations imposed are usually -- they usually go to 21 operators obtaining new wells, rather than transferring 22 23 away new wells? 24 Α. That's correct. MS. ALTOMARE: Okay. I think that is all that I 25

1 have.

2.2

I'd like to move Exhibits A through E into evidence at this time, with the clarification that that particular e-mail dated September 7th is actually the second page of Exhibit A, rather than being part of Exhibit B.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. May I see the documents you're actually going to tender into evidence, to be sure that we are --

MS. ALTOMARE: You actually -- I actually gave the original to the court reporter.

So the original packet would be -- that's A through D --

EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, the original of Exhibit A is put together in the same way as my package, so we need to get that reorganized before --

MS. ALTOMARE: Would you like that moved over -you'd like it moved into B, or would you like to
redesignate it as part of A?

EXAMINER BROOKS: However you want to designate it is fine with me, but just so long as we make clear what document has been referred to.

MS. ALTOMARE: Okay, why don't we go ahead and just redesignate that as part of Exhibit A, rather than reorganizing all the exhibits?

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, then the record will 1 reflect that -- I've got it here. 2 The record will reflect that e-mail from David 3 Bradshaw to LeeConInc, dated -- it doesn't have a date on 4 5 it. MS. ALTOMARE: It's referenced within the body of 6 7 the e-mail, Mr. Hearing Examiner. EXAMINER BROOKS: Anyway, it's an e-mail from 8 David Bradshaw to LeeConInc, which does not have a printed-9 out date on it. 10 It has a date at the bottom of 9-7-2006. It is 11 12 page 2 of Exhibit A. That was the e-mail that was referred 13 to during the testimony. With that understanding, are there any 14 15 objections, Mr. Padilla, to Exhibits A through E? MR. PADILLA: I don't have -- Exhibit A refers --16 17 a copy of the OCD's September 7th, 2006, e-mail is attached. Is that --18 19 So it would be an attachment to this Exhibit A, I 20 guess. Is that what we're saying here? EXAMINER BROOKS: That's the way it's been 21 22 tendered, yes. 23 MR. PADILLA: All right. I have no objection. 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, and so that is perhaps 25 correct.

I quess the confusion was mine because I 1 understood Ms. Altomare at the beginning to say that it had 2 been attached to Exhibit B. 3 But anyway, Exhibits A through E are admitted. 4 MS. ALTOMARE: Thank you. 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: Anything further from this 6 7 witness? MS. ALTOMARE: I don't believe so. 8 MR. PADILLA: Oh, I have one question. 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 10 11 BY MR. PADILLA: Mr. Sanchez, are the original change of 12 operators, the originally signed -- not copies of the 13 change of operator forms, either C-145s or the C-104As, are 14 they -- are the original signature -- signatures on those 15 16 change of operators in the files of the OCD? 17 I believe they would be in the District office. I'm not sure how long they keep them, because they do get 18 scanned, but they were filed in there. 19 EXAMINER BROOKS: Anything further from this 20 witness? 21 22 MS. ALTOMARE: I don't believe so. 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, the witness may stand down. 24 We'll take a 10-minute recess. 25

1	(Thereupon, a recess was taken at 9:51 a.m.)
2	(The following proceedings had at 10:05 a.m.)
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, we're back on the record,
4	then, in Case Number 14,008, the Application of the New
5	Mexico Oil Conservation for a compliance order.
6	Ms. Altomare, does the Division rest at this
7	point?
8	MS. ALTOMARE: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
9	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Mr. Padilla, you
10	may call your first witness.
11	MR. PADILLA: We'll call Gene Lee at this time.
12	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay
13	MR. PADILLA: He's been sworn.
14	EXAMINER BROOKS: you may proceed.
15	EUGENE LEE,
16	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
17	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. PADILLA:
20	Q. Mr. Lee, would you state your full name?
21	A. Eugene Lee.
22	Q. Are you also known as H.E. Gene Lee?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. Mr. Lee, are you president of Yeso Energy, Inc.?
25	A. Yes, I am.

The same

\$ . \$ Q. Mr. Lee, can you tell us briefly how you got in this mess?

7.

A. I'm not real sure on some of it. This makes the second time that wells have been placed under my OGRID, and this makes the second time that I've been noncompliant and in trouble for wells that were not mine either as operator or as owner, that have come before me and the OCD.

And the previous times I was able -- through the assistance of the OCD office in Artesia with Carmen and Tim Gum and David Bradshaw and Dorothy Phillips up here, able to get it all straightened out and rectified. And in fact, we got the gentleman that did it and threatened some civil action and possible criminal action and got everything straightened out to everybody's satisfaction, and it all went away.

But this time, when you don't know what's going on until you start getting certified mail and e-mails and things of that nature coming at you, it's pretty difficult to try to figure out exactly what's going on.

- Q. Let me stop you there and ask you what Yeso Energy does.
- A. Yeso Energy holds some mineral interest in a few wells that were -- that I picked up, quite often as the result of an operator owing me some money, or maybe as a well that someone's fixing to plug, that I see potential

uphole behind pipe, and at that time I will a lot of times take wells for the plugging value, evaluate what needs to be done, and then I will turn them to another operator to do the work and be the operator, and then I do technical work on the wells and the mechanical work, and we get the wells back into producing status and making money.

But --

- Q. Mr. Lee, you also own another consulting firm. What's that called?
  - A. Lee Consulting, Incorporated.
  - Q. And what does Lee Consulting do?
- A. Lee Consulting does several things. Lee
  Consulting has real estate interests, Lee Consulting has
  working interest, overriding royalties, and more
  specifically does technical engineering and wellsite
  consulting work on wells for a number of operators in the
  State of New Mexico such as Chesapeake and Devon and
  Plantation, the Yates companies -- you know, I have several
  clients that are very active in the State of New Mexico and
  provide services for them.
- Q. Let's refine our questioning or become more specific with regard to the 24 wells that are in consideration here.

How did you come in contact with those wells?

A. A gentleman, Mickey McGee, contacted me, needed

some work done on some wells that he was in the process of 1 purchasing from Whiting Petroleum, and he asked me, since I had done some work for another company that he was a geologist for, if I would be interested in doing the technical wellsite work on these wells.

And I told him, Yes, I would be glad to.

Who is Mickey McGee? Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- He is the -- he told me he was the owner of Aleanna Resources.
  - And where are they located? Q.
  - I believe they're out of Houston. Α.
  - And did you do any work for Mr. McGee or Aleanna? Q.
- I personally did not, I was busy, but I Α. used Mr. Quintana and sent him to actually do the actual work on those wells.
  - How many wells were involved? Q.
- I believe there were three wells that we worked 17 Α. 18 on.
  - What kind of work did you do? Q.
  - Just wellsite supervision. Richard was there to see what was pulled out of the holes, run things -- you know, repair tubing and rod and -- breaks or stuck pumps or just whatever was wrong with the well, to try to take care of the mechanical aspect of the wells and get them back producing.

Did you do anything more on those three wells? 1 Q. No, sir, we worked on the -- I say "we". Richard 2 Α. 3 worked through my firm for Aleanna, and at the end of the work we invoiced Aleanna just like any other vendor that 4 was working -- that did work for Aleanna on the wells did. 5 Did you ever do any work for Whiting Oil and Gas 6 0. 7 Corporation? 8 Α. No, I have not, and never have. 9 Do you know who Whiting Oil and Gas Corporation 0. is? 10 No, I have no idea. 11 Α. Did you ever get involved with Kerns Petroleum 12 0. Corporation? 13 I never had any working relationship with Kerns Α. 14 The only dealing that I had at all was when I 15 Petroleum. 16 found out that they had purchased the wells from Aleanna 17 Resources. And the way I found was, they contacted me. And 18 19 they had a lady in their land department -- I think her 20 name was Ceci Wallen -- that sent me a C-104 or -145 or whatever the form is, to transfer operator from Yeso to 21 Kerns Petroleum. 22 Before then, had you had any problems with OGRID 23 Q. issues with regard to the 24 wells that are involved in 24

this hearing?

25

1	Α.	Well, I had received I received correspondence
2	from David	d Bradshaw over C-115s, and so I contacted Mr.
3	Bradshaw :	several times, and I think another lady, Cecilia
4	or someth:	ing like that, that also worked in the C-115
5	section -	-
6	Q.	Here in Santa Fe?
7	Α.	In Santa Fe.
8	Q.	Okay.
9	Α.	Yeso was operating, I think, seven or eight wells
10	or someth:	ing of that nature, through '06.
11		And then I get a letter that says
12	Q.	Were those Yeso wells or third-party or wells
13	for somebo	ody else?
14	А.	Those were Yeso wells. I've never done any
15	third-part	ty work for anyone.
16	Q.	As far as operations are
17	А.	Correct.
18	Q.	Why?
19	Α.	Because of situations like this. You don't want
20	to expose	yourself to a liability for someone else's stuff.
21	Q.	Okay, did you ever do any pumping on those 24
22	wells?	
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	Go back to the OGRID issues. What issues
25	specifical	lly were you involved with, with the Santa Fe

office of the Oil Conservation Division? 1 On the -- for the C-115s? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. They kept telling me that I had not --4 Α. Who -- Let's stop there. Who was telling you? 5 Q. David Bradshaw. 6 Α. 7 Okay, what was Mr. Bradshaw telling you? Q. He was telling me that I was past due on C-115s 8 from, I believe, May of 2006. 9 For which wells? 10 0. For the Yeso-operated wells, that Yeso owned 11 12 previously to this 24-well thing here. 13 Q. Okay. Okay, I kept in contact with Mr. Bradshaw. 14 told him, I said, I can send you the printed form. But 15 every time I go to do the electronic transfer it continues 16 17 to reject everything. And so with Mr. Bradshaw and -- I can't remember 18 the lady in the C-115s area -- and with Jane -- Prouty? 19 But anyway, through the three of us, we -- I e-mailed them 20 my data and let them attempt to run it through the system. 21 And when I did that, they kept getting a rejection. 22 Mr. Bradshaw said, Let us look into what's going 23 24 on, and we'll let you know what's -- you know, there's

25

something wrong.

1 So I said okay.

And I don't remember how much longer it was, just a few days after that, he contacted me and -- by phone.

And he said, I think the reason that you're being rejected is because not all of the C-115s on all of your wells under your OGRID are being filed.

And I said, Well, I've only got these wells.

And he said, Well, pull up your well list, look
-- and he told me how to pull up my well list.

Well, under my well list was my wells that Yeso owned, but then there was 24 other wells, and those were the wells that Aleanna Resources owned.

And I told him, I said, Those are not my wells.

And he said, Well, that is the problem, is, you cannot file C-115s yourself on part of the wells and someone else file C-115s for someone else. It all has to be submitted under one OGRID number or everything will be rejected.

- Q. What did you do about trying to resolve that problem?
- A. At that point, once I had pulled up that list and saw these other wells, I contacted Aleanna Resources and said, What's going on? Because about the same time I received a noncompliant letter for inactive wells, and they showed three of the wells that Yeso owned. And then they

also showed three additional wells that were wells that were transferred from Whiting that belonged to Aleanna Resources. And they wanted everything brought back into compliance by a certain time, or else face penalties.

I contacted Gail MacQuesten at that time, and I asked her, I said, How do we -- how do we correct this? I said, these -- there's three wells on here that are not mine.

And she said, Well, they are listed under your OGRID, so this compliance order will have to include those wells until you can get that corrected.

- Q. Were you talking about a compliance order at that point or -- for plugging or --
  - A. For -- well, for plugging primarily.

And as I was trying to explain, it's somewhat difficult to set up a specific date for a plugging job anymore, because with the rig activity, the priority is drilling rigs first, frac and squeeze work next, and plugging is the last priority that the cement companies will do. And I've seen -- for Devon and Chesapeake, I've seen us wait months and months to be able to plug wells because we couldn't get the cement company or the plugging company to go and do it.

So I was trying to explain that I can't give a certain date on which I can bring these wells into

compliance.

And she said, Well, what we can do is put you on an agreed compliance order. And she said, You'll have to sign it. And she said, We can do it for -- I think she said three months or six months, with a renewal. If by that time you can't get it done, then we have to be notified, because if you allow it to go past that, then you will be assessed civil penalties.

- Q. All right.
- A. So we go along there, and I couldn't get the wells plugged, and I still was trying to figure out how the Aleanna wells got under my OGRID.

I was in contact and had numerous heated discussions with Mr. McGee at Aleanna about this, and he denied everything and said that he had nothing to do with it, and that --

And I told him, I said, Well, I'm fixing to be penalized because I'm going to be out of compliance with my agreed compliance order. And I said, I got a letter from the legal counsel that says that they're not going to extend this because we haven't submitted -- been able to submit, you know, a step-by-step plan on how we can bring them in order.

I said, Those are not my wells, I don't have any information on them, I don't have a well file, I don't have

a log, I don't have any information on your wells or how you propose to do it. But you're causing me a lot of grief.

And then the subsequent happening on that was, I was fined \$6000, which I paid, because my three wells I couldn't get into compliance, because they said all the wells had to be in compliance. And by "they", I'm saying Gail MacQuesten.

- Q. All the wells under the agreed compliance order involving the six wells, or --
- A. Yes, the six wells listed on there, three of which belonged to Yeso, three of which did not, that were Aleanna wells.
- Q. What efforts did you do -- did Aleanna ever ask you to be the operator of the wells?
- A. When he first approached me, he said, Do you do any contract operating?

And I said, No, I do not, and I will not. I said, I've been in -- I've had things happen before where wells got transferred under my bonds and my OGRID, and I said, It took me a long time, took me over a year to get that straightened out. And I said, I will not do that.

And he said, Well, what do I need to do to be able to get bonded?

And I set out the steps. I said, Contact Ms.

Dorothy Phillips, she'll give you all the information. I said, You can put up a cash bond, you can get an insurance company or somebody like that to write your bonds. I said there's a number of ways you can do it. But I said, No, I am not going to contract-operate anything for you. I said, I'll work on your wells for you, on a technical basis, but to operate, I will not do.

- Q. Let me show you what has been submitted as the OCD's Exhibit B in there, the -- or the e-mails that are in there, and it appears as though you're trying to submit C-115s. Can you explain to the Examiner what you were trying to accomplish by those e-mails?
- A. Okay, this is where David Bradshaw was telling me I was out of date on the C-115s and that I had to get those submitted, and that's when we went through the process I've described on finding out why they were not being properly accepted.

And that's when we found that I was trying to report the few wells that Yeso actually owned and operated, and there were a number of wells that belonged to Aleanna Resources that were under my OGRID that were being -- that paperwork was being submitted by someone else, and it cannot -- it won't -- the system will not work that way.

Q. The e-mail from you, dated September 8th says that you were -- I'll do it as soon as I get back in, or I

think something to that effect --1 A. Right. 2 -- that you're out of town until Sunday --3 Q. Uh-huh. 4 Α. -- but you will follow up thereafter. What were 5 Q. you talking about there? 6 I was talking about the C-115s for the actually 7 A. owned Yeso and operated wells, not any of the 24 wells that 8 are showing up on this Application. 9 Let me show you the e-mail that's attached to 10 Q. Exhibit A, and tell us what's your understanding of that 11 12 e-mail? It's an e-mail from Mr. Bradshaw to you, as I 13 understand it. Right, and this was still -- I contacted him 14 Α. after I received this and called him. 15 And he's telling me, he said, You still have more 16 than one person trying to submit wells from -- you're 17 trying to submit wells on -- C-115s on your wells. Someone 18 else is submitting C-115s under your OGRID from another 19 company, and it's rejecting your C-115s, and we still need 20 21 them. And I said, Well, can I send you the --22 Excuse me, but was someone actually submitting 23 Q. 24 C-115s on those 24 wells?

Apparently, this lady, this Kathy or Carol or

25

Α.

whatever her name was that Aleanna Resources hired to do
her C-115s was filing reports for the 24 wells that show up
that were Aleanna wells, that were showing up under my
OGRID that were not my wells.

Q. And those C-115s, as far as you know, were rejected?

A. As far as I know, those were rejected, as well as my wells rejected. And when I'm saying "my wells", there should be a list -- I don't have it, but there's a list of wells that were operated by Yeso Energy prior to all this nightmare that is correct, that was, you know, back in May of '06, the wells that were being filed on, was a -- is a correct list.

There should have been a Connie 1, 2, 3 and 4, a

Connie 17, there should be a Dalton Federal 1, Tracy

Federal 1, Morgan Federal 1, Laguna 1, and then a Lambchop.

So I guess there were like 10 wells that were actually owned and operated by Yeso that I was filing C-115s on until all this stuff happened, and then that's when nothing would be accepted. Because we had information going from two different places, and it didn't -- it didn't -- the system will not allow that.

And that's what they found. David Bradshaw told me that's why they found the rejection -- when I could e-mail the information to them and they try to put it in,

they were being rejected as well, because we had information coming from different people on different wells, and they all have to come together at the -- you know, under one submittal to the OCD.

- Q. Were you dealing with the Artesia office of the Oil Conservation Division, trying to reconcile -- or resolve this problem?
- A. Yes, I contacted Carmen and I talked to Brian Arrant. I didn't talk to Tim, he was out a lot as well, but -- but I talked to them and -- about the C-115s.

And they said, You've got some inactive wells that you're going to have to bring back into compliance, but the biggest thing is, you need to make sure you get all this stuff straightened out so you can get your C-115s filed properly. Otherwise, they're going to cancel your authority to transport.

- Q. Since -- Now at some point your authority to transport was canceled, right?
  - A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. Have you operated any -- well, have you produced any wells and sold -- transported production --
- A. No, when -- when I received the notification that
  -- from Gail MacQuesten saying that my authority to
  transport had been revoked, all my wells were shut in.
  They were shut down.

I contacted the pumper, the contract pumpers that I had, that were going by daily and pumping those wells, and told them to shut everything in, shut all the valves, if you find any valves leaking, let me know so we don't have anything still going through any of the meters or anything like that. But I said, do not let another well pump, don't let it go up and down, just shut everything down until I can get this whole mess rectified.

Okay that was November of '06. Here it is

Okay, that was November of '06. Here it is
November of '07, and I have not produced any of my wells.

And the wells that they say -- the 24 wells that were transferred from Whiting, I had no information on. I didn't know whether they were pumping, I didn't know whether they were producing, I didn't know if they were injecting. They were not my wells, I was no operating those wells.

- Q. Do you know who the pumper was on those wells?
- A. Sam --

- Q. Mr. Quintana told me it's a gentleman by Sam Norville.
- A. Sam Norville, that's correct. He did not report to me. I mean, he had no reason to.
- Q. What's the procedure for reporting production from a pumper to an operator, do you know?
  - A. Normally the pumper submits weekly production

reports. If you've got a new well that you just put on line, quite often you will require the pumper to submit daily reports so you can see -- gauge how well -- the performance, or so you can adjust the producing rates or things like that. And they come directly from the pumper to the operator.

If anyone else does it differently, I'm not aware of it. That's -- All the companies -- big companies that I work for, all handle it that way.

- Q. Were you getting -- Who do you use as a pumper on the Yeso wells, the ones that are actually owned by Yeso?
- A. I used a gentleman named Jimmy Davis, Davis Lease Service, I used Ken Goodjohn in the Carlsbad area, and then I used Tomahawk Pumping Service. I had three contract pumpers to handle my 10 wells.
- Q. Did you -- C-115s -- who prepared your C-115s on the 10 wells?
- A. For a while I had a lady that used to work for Read and Stephens do it, and she did them for, I think, a year and a half. I would send the pumpers' reports to her, and then I had to just start sending everything direct to her because I was so busy and hard to get ahold of and in the field, you know, you know, quite often for a week or two weeks at a time, and -- with no contact. So I had the pumper send the reports and stuff to her.

Well, she became ill and passed away, and her family came and got all the stuff and moved all the stuff out of her house and sold her house, so it took me six months to get all my data back that she had, you know, from her family. And they finally got that.

So that put me late filing my reports up till May of 2006.

- Q. For the 10 wells?
- A. For my 10 wells.

- Q. And then what happened after that --
- A. Okay, after that, when --
  - Q. -- as far as the 10 wells are concerned?
- A. As far as the 10 wells are concerned, then all the production reports came to me.

And Mr. McGee with Aleanna said that this Kathy or Carol, whatever her name is, in Midland, is -- was taking care of all of his paperwork. She did -- she took care of all of his invoicing and billing and C-115s and all that.

And I said, Well, you know, the lady that used to take care of my stuff passed away, and is she doing you a good job?

And he said, Well, sure. And so he said, Well, just -- Here's her phone number and e-mail. Contact her.

So I contacted Kathy and asked her if she would

be interested in filing my C-115s as well.

And she said, Yes, but you'll have to get me set up through the OCD on line to be able to access things for your company.

So I signed on as administrator through the OCD and set her up as someone that was a valid user through Yeso Energy to file any necessary paperwork that needed to be taken care of for Yeso.

- O. As to the 10 wells?
- A. For my 10 wells.
- Q. How about the other wells, the 24 wells?
- A. I had no idea that anything was wrong until we started getting all of the rejections and I'd pull up the list and find out all this other stuff.

And I had no correspondence with Aleanna. We did some work on the initial three wells that we worked on. I sent him an invoice for Richard's consulting work. Took him 60 days, he paid the invoice to Lee Consulting.

Yeso Energy had never entered into any kind of a contractual agreement to contract operate any wells for Aleanna Resources. Yeso Energy never received one penny of compensation or interest in kind or anything from Aleanna for doing any kind of contract operations. And I don't know how it got into such a mess.

Q. Now there's a C-104A change-of-operator form from

Whiting Oil and Gas Corporation to Yeso Energy. Have you seen that?

A. Yes, I have.

- Q. That appears like it has your signature on it.
- A. That looks just like my signature.
- Q. Did you sign that form?
- A. I did not sign that form.
- Q. Did you sign the form transferring operatorship from Yeso Energy to Kerns Petroleum?
- M. I did do that under the advice of the OCD to tell me that that is the only way that these wells can be transferred to Kerns Petroleum, even though my big complaint -- to the Artesia office primarily, and to -- I believe I -- I think I called Mr. Sanchez one time about this. I talked to Gail MacQuesten about this. I told them, you know, How do we rectify this? I said, I don't know how they got here, but I said, This is the second time that this has occurred to me, and how do we get it fixed?

And they said, The only way we can correct this of record is, you will have to sign the paperwork that's required by the OCD to legally change operator from Yeso to Kerns Petroleum.

- Q. In retrospect, was that the right thing to do?
- A. Big mistake, big mistake.
  - Q. Why?

A. Well, it -- it -- it's -- basically, on all appearances, it says that you're admitting that you are the operator, because you're having to sign as operator that you're transferring to another operator. And like I said, that was a big mistake. I should never have done that.

And the other big mistake I made is when they fined me the \$6000 for three wells that were not mine. I should have fought that to the end.

- Q. Do you have anything further to add to your testimony?
- A. The only -- and this is a question that I cannot seem to get answered, no matter who I ask.

Number one, I thought when we went to the computerized system there would be enough security stuff implemented to where this kind of thing could never happen to me again.

Number two, I was -- I purchased two wells and a lease called the Yates State Number 1 and Number 2, down by Carlsbad. This lease was tied up in litigation with Unit Petroleum for over a year. I wound up as the successor in the litigation. The two wells were nonproductive, sitting there on an idle state lease.

I took and won the case, got those, and I had to show the OCD all the legal documentation, I had to have my attorney talk to the OCD attorneys, and I basically had to

beg to get these wells that I had just won in this case to be able to be transferred from Unit Petroleum to Yeso Energy, because I was out of compliance.

And we had to show everything on why this lease

-- and show the steps that I was going to take to get them

back. And they told me that they would do it if I could do

something to get them back in production within a certain

period of time, I think it was six months.

And so we went through a lot of trouble and a lot of effort to get those two wells that were legitimately transferred to Yeso Energy from Unit Petroleum, because I was out of compliance.

So how is it that 24 wells that got transferred to Yeso Petroleum -- I mean from Whiting to Yeso Energy, that was out of compliance, and especially that number of wells and wells on that list that were also out of compliance, and somewhere on one of those lists shows that there's additional bonding required before that that transfer could take place, and I want to know who put up the additional bonding?

Right there is going to tell your whole story. Just follow the money.

MR. PADILLA: I have nothing further.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Cross-examination Ms. Altomare?

MS. ALTOMARE: Thank you.

(505) 989-9317

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. ALTOMARE:

- Q. It's interesting that you should ask that question, Mr. Lee. I'm handing you a packet of materials that we've labeled OCD Exhibit F. There are a number of documents that we'll be going through in this packet, but do you recognize the document on top?
  - A. It's the first I've ever seen.
- Q. Okay. For the record, the first document is a letter dated October 20th, 2006, that is addressed to New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resource Department, and it is an amendment to a letter of credit basically replacing -- putting in Yeso Energy in place of Aleanna Resources for a well known as -- I believe it is Knight Number 5. Behind that first document is the original letter of credit that was originally issued for Aleanna Resources.

Are you -- you're testifying today that you have not ever --

- A. I have never --
- O. -- seen this document before?
- A. -- seen any of this stuff.
  - Q. Okay. Beyond that original letter of credit, if you'll turn behind that document, flip a couple pages beyond that. There should be a letter with the letterhead

of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources 1 Department at the top. Do you recognize this document, Mr. 2 3 Lee? 4

- No, ma'am, I've never seen it.
- Q. Do you see the carbon copy address at the bottom of the document, addressed to Yeso Energy, Inc., P.O. Box 2248?
  - Yes, I do. Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- Is that your address? Q.
- That's Yeso Energy's address. Α.
- Okay, you do not recall getting this document? Q.
- I never have received or seen any of this 12 13 information before.
  - Q. Okay. And for the record, this is a letter drafted by Gail MacQuesten, basically confirming the amendment to the letter of credit, confirming that the letter of credit was basically going to be now in the name of Yeso Energy, rather than Aleanna Resources, for this particular well?
- 20 (No response)
  - Do you recognize well number -- the particular Q. well at issue -- Let's see where it's most easily referenced. I think this is the one -- the single well that you had referenced was the one that needed the additional bonding, and if memory serves it was Knight

That is the well -- one of the 24 wells that was 1 number 5. -- that you are now alleging Aleanna was operating --2 3 Α. Yes. -- that was conveyed ultimately to Kerns, Q. 4 5 correct? Α. Yes. 6 So it is your contention that despite the fact 7 0. that there is apparently a letter of credit in the name of 8 Yeso Energy for this well, that you were not aware of it? 9 I was not aware of that. I mean, why was I not 10 Α. -- why was Yeso not required to sign off on anything on a 11 bond? I mean, every bonding company I've ever dealt with, 12 if anything was put under you, you had to sign off on it. 13 Well, I can't answer that for you, Mr. Lee, but 14 Q. it does appear that you were provided notice by the Oil 15 16 Conservation Division, and my question to you is, Did you ever take any action to follow up with either the Oil 17 Conservation Division or Frost Bank regarding this letter 18 of credit and --19 No, I --20 Α. -- the well? 21 0. -- I did not, because this is the first time I'd 22 ever seen it. 23 The next couple documents in the packet 24 25 are documents that you've already referenced, but just to

confirm, these are the change-of-operator forms, the first one being the form changing the operatorship from Whiting Oil to Yeso Energy, the second one being the change of operatorship from Yeso Energy to Kerns.

And you have acknowledged that you signed the one changing the -- transferring the wells from Yeso Energy to Kerns --

A. Yes.

- Q. -- but you're denying that the signature on the form, which is the first of the two forms designating transfer of wells of Whiting -- from Whiting Oil and Gas to Yeso Energy, you're denying that that is your signature?
  - A. I am denying that.
- Q. Okay. Do you acknowledge that those signatures look pretty significantly alike?
- A. Well, they look fairly similar. I mean, my G's always have a loop in them, and this one comes to a point, but they look very similar, they do.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the electronic system through the OCD and what is required of operators when they transfer wells?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Who is authorized for Yeso Energy to log
  on as the administrator for Yeso Energy?
  - A. Now, strictly myself.

Q. Okay.

A. See, before when all this was going on, I had signed up that lady, Kathy -- Kathy or Carol -- Kathy Reinhardt, I think, is the name, to be able to do -- so she could do my C-115s on my wells. I had no idea that 24 other wells were going to be transferred and put under my OGRID.

- Q. Okay. But you acknowledge that you gave her authority --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- to make transactions on the OCD system on your behalf?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, and it's your contention that at the time that these transactions took place, or at least the one from Whiting to Yeso, that it was this Kathy woman in Midland who had the authority for Yeso?
- A. That is the only other person that I know that could have done it. There was no one else as far as I'm aware that was ever given administrative -- well, she wasn't given administrative privilege, she was given whatever the next step is, to be able to do the filings.
- Q. Okay. My understanding is that only the operator administrator can actually go in and approve transfer of wells, and that actually once a C-145 is signed by both

parties, you have to personally go in there and click a button to confirm that transfer?

- A. Right, that's my understanding also.
- Q. Okay. And you are the operator/administrator for Yeso Energy --
  - A. Yes.

- Q. -- correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you dispute the fact that for either of these transfers to occur, you as the operator/administrator had to have at some point gone in and clicked the button to confirm the transfers?
- A. Someone did, yes. And like I say, I don't know that I gave her full administrative rights or partial or -- I don't know, I'm not sure. But I thought it was one step under administrative rights.

And then whenever the one -- this Ceci Wallen -- when the -- from Yeso to Kerns was done, she had to help me, and we both had to get someone up here in the Santa Fe office -- I don't know who she had on a conference call -- to walk us through, both of us sign on, because I had never done that.

Q. Okay. Now you advised that you only signed that second C-145 because you were advised to do so by particular individuals at the Santa Fe office of the OCD.

1	A. Yes.		
2	Q. Who		
3	A. Well, not only not only the Santa Fe office		
4	but also the Artesia office.		
5	Q. Okay, who specifically advised you to sign that		
6	document?		
7	A. I talked to Carmen Reno, I talked to Paul Arrant		
8	[sic], and I talked to Gail MacQuesten.		
9	Q. And those those		
10	A. No, it wasn't Gail MacQuesten, it was Gail's		
11	in the legal. It was somebody in the administrative part		
12	that tells you how to do all these things.		
13	Q. Okay.		
14	A. That that's the only way that wells can be		
15	transferred from one OGRID to another, is through this		
16	form.		
17	Q. Okay, so what they told you was that the only way		
18	wells could be transferred from one OGRID to another was if		
19	you signed the form?		
20	A. Yes, ma'am. You had to do a signature When		
21	both of you if I remember right, they told me that once		
22	both operators had confirmed or ratified or whatever their		
23	whatever they call it, then you each have to print out		
24	one on your computer and sign it. Okay?		
25	The way it was handled, Ceci Wallen I		

confirmed, she -- and whoever with the OCD was on -- we had a -- through a conference call. When this was done, they walked us through on how to do it, and we both confirmed it. Then Ceci Wallen printed out and signed the original as land manager for Kerns Petroleum.

At that time she sent that to me in an envelope for me to sign, and I signed that one.

- Q. Okay, but what I want to clarify is what they told you, is that in order to transfer wells from one OGRID to another, this is the form --
  - A. It has to be done, yes.

- Q. Okay, to whom did you speak about your suspicions that somebody was using your OGRID?
- A. The same people, same ones that I talked to. I talked to David Bradshaw, I talked to Carmen, I talked to Bryan Arrant, I talked -- and I'm pretty sure that I had talked to Mr. Sanchez about -- at one time.
- Q. Okay. Can you show me where in either of the two e-mails that we have in the record you've referenced any kind of issue with your OGRID or concern over somebody else using your OGRID?
  - A. No, not in this information, I don't.
- Q. Okay, have you brought any exhibit or documents of your own to show us where you have done that?
  - A. No, I haven't. You know, most of the time -- in

my line of work I go out on a well, and I'm usually sitting
on location for at least two weeks at a time. And so it
makes it very difficult for me to handle a lot of these
things. And since I don't have a staff of any kind, nearly
all of my stuff is handled primarily by the phone unless I
am in. And then I usually am in for three or four days and
then back out for two weeks.

- Q. All right, but I believe you testified that you had e-mailed Mr. Bradshaw on several occasions --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- and in fact had e-mailed them information on your C-115s --
  - A. Yes.

9

10

13

14

15

- Q. -- and then they took that information and tried to submit it, and that it was rejected, and that there was significant correspondence going on over e-mail --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. -- about your C-115s?
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you bring copies of any of those --
- 21 A. No --
- 22 Q. -- for us today?
- A. -- I did not. You know, I can -- I can go back
  through all of my e-mails and stuff that I've saved and
  possibly come up with it.

Okay. You had talked about coming up with a deal 1 0. with Aleanna whereby you would be performing certain work 2 on their wells --3 Α. Yes. 4 -- what you contend are their wells. 5 0. Have you brought for us a contract to show us 6 what the terms of that agreement were? 7 Α. No. 8 Okay, was there a contract? 9 o. 10 Α. No. Q. Did you receive paychecks or payment from 11 them on checks that had Aleanna letterhead in some form? 12 Yes, I did. 13 Α. Okay, and was that with some degree of frequency 14 that was established by some kind of agreement? 15 No, we received -- I sent one invoice covering 16 those three wells -- I'm sorry, three invoices, but it came 17 18 up to one total amount. And after about 45 days he paid half of the 19 invoice, and then 30 days later he paid the other half of 20 the invoice. And that's the compensation that I was paid 21 to pay Richard for him doing the actual work on location. 22 23 Q. Okay, so you paid Richard directly? Yes, yes. 24 Α. 25 Okay, and did you pay him through Yeso, or did Q.

you pay him through Lee Consulting? 1 Lee Consulting. 2 Okay. And was it Lee Consulting that had the 3 0. agreement with Aleanna, or was it Yeso? 4 It was Lee Consulting to provide consulting 5 Α. services on the three wells. 6 Okay, and which three wells were those? 7 Q. It was the Knight -- I think it was the one that 8 you referenced in here, the Knight 5, there was a Cortland 9 Myers Number 1, I believe, and a Jamison, I believe. 10 Richard may have a little better information on that. 11 12 Okay. So when you make general allegations that somebody was using your OGRID, what you're really saying 13 today here is that it was Aleanna that had used your OGRID? 14 That's my highest suspicion, yes, ma'am. 15 Α. 16 0. Okay. I did confront Mr. McGee about this and about 17 Α. what was going on here and about the possibility of fines, 18 and that I felt that if something was done, that I would 19 have to be forced to pursue it further, which could result 20 in civil and criminal at that time. I've never heard 21 another word from him. 22 23 Q. And when you confronted Mr. McGee, did you do it

No, I called him. I was out at the well when my

in writing?

Α.

24

wife received the information.

- Q. Okay. And did you at any point document your concerns to Mr. McGee in any form of writing?
  - A. I e-mailed him.
- Q. Okay, and did you bring copies of those e-mails t us today?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay. Are you aware that Aleanna Resources is not a registered operator in New Mexico?
- A. No. I didn't -- the last time -- I tried to contact him for a long period of time with no response, and I kept on to Kathy Reinhardt, and she finally sent me back an e-mail, that I might be able to also come up with, that says that Mr. McGee is in Italy, and he purchased-- he sold the wells to Kerns Petroleum for a significant amount. He also sold part of his company to someone else, and he took his money, and he is operating in the country of Italy.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to the last document that is attached to OCD Exhibit F. That is entitled the Agreed Compliance Order Number -- for inactive wells, ACOI Number 137.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay, is this the ACOI that you were referencing

earlier? 1 Yes, ma'am. 2 Α. Okay. What are the wells that are referenced 3 Q. within this document as being covered by this ACOI? 4 The Connie C Number 3, the Connie C State Number 5 Α. 4, the Tracy 29 Number 1 and the Yates State Number 1. 6 And isn't it true that none of these four wells 7 Q. 8 are amongst the 24 wells that were transferred to Kerns? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 Q. Okay, so in fact when you violated this ACOI and were penalized and had to pay a penalty, you were not 11 paying a penalty for any of the wells that you now contend 12 were transferred to Kerns? 13 No, ma'am, that's not what I was penalized on. Α. 14 Okay. Well, you said earlier that you had to pay 15 Q. 16 a penalty --Yes, but I believe --17 Α. -- for this --18 Q. -- it was in the original application on this 19 Α. thing, a copy of that. 20 21 0. Okay. There were three wells, the Connie C 3, 4, the 22 Α. Tracy, and then there were three of the 24 wells that -- of 23

Okay, but -- so that -- this is not what you were

the 24 that were -- in which I was penalized on.

24

25

Q.

78 referring to earlier when you said that you paid a penalty? 1 2 Α. No. 3 Okay. So these wells are all wells that you --Q. And these --4 Α. -- that are Yeso wells? 5 Q. 6 Yes, they are. Α. 7 Okay. So what ACOI involving what you contend Q. are non-Yeso wells are you referring to? Because as I read 8 the previous exhibit, which I think might -- I think it's 9 Exhibit B that has the letter referencing the ACOI, it is 10 ACOI 137. 11 12 This is not what I was penalized on. Okay. If you'll turn to the very last page of 13 Q. the ACOI, I'd like you to look at your signature and the 14 15 date on that document. What is the date that you signed this document? 16 17 Α. July 7th of '06. Okay. And I'd like you to compare that signature 18 Q. 19 to the signatures on the other documents within this packet 20 that you were looking at. You acknowledge that you did indeed sign this document on July 7th, 2006 --21

A. Yes.

22

23

- Q. -- ACOI, and that is, in fact, your signature?
- 24 A. The one on the AC -- yes --
  - Q. The ACOI.

A. -- it is.

- Q. And you still contend that the signature on the Whiting to Yeso document transfer --
  - A. Yes, I --
  - Q. -- is not your signature?
  - A. -- I do contend that this is not my signature.
  - Q. Okay. One moment.

You had testified that you were basically made aware sometime in November or so that you had, in your understanding, a series of wells that you believe were not yours under your OGRID?

A. No, ma'am, it was during the -- it was during my attempts to file C-115s, and -- through my correspondence with David Bradshaw, and once they found out why I kept getting rejected, that's when I found out -- when he told me to look -- pull my well list up, under -- and showed me how to do that, and I pulled it up, and I see all these wells.

Well, I recognized some of these wells --

- Q. Right.
- A. -- as wells that --
- Q. Right, so what I'm wondering is, when,
  approximately, was that, that you -- because the
  cancellation --
  - A. I believe it was in -- I believe that was in

September.

- Q. Okay, so in September you became aware that there was an issue of these extra wells --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- that you did not believe should be under your OGRID?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And your authority to cancel -- your authority to operate was in jeopardy --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- and about to be canceled?

Knowing that those wells were under your OGRID and that you had not remedied that situation yet, and also knowing that they were likely producing, and that that would constitute a violation of that order of cancellation, did you take any steps to bring this to the attention of the OCD or the district office to try and, I guess, preemptively avoid any --

A. At that time I had not -- my -- my authority to transport had not been revoked.

We had -- David Bradshaw and Carmen Reno and the Artesia office and I had all gone over this thing continuously, that if we couldn't get the C-115 straightened out, that at some point in time, yes, my authority to transport would be revoked.

But we knew that was going to happen, and I agree that -- I knew that this stuff was going on, but I didn't realize that after all this happened, that wells would continue to be going -- I didn't know what was going on with the wells. I didn't go over there and I didn't look at the wells, I didn't have pumpers hired, I didn't have any production data coming to me either through contract pumpers or from the transporters.

It became very obvious that it was going to be difficult to get this rectified, and that's why I was concerned. And that's why I still couldn't understand why, if -- going back to this first -- this first compliance order and then the one that I was fined on, why anything would ever be allowed to be transferred to Yeso when I was in compliance. I mean, that's against the OCD regulations. It should apply to both of us equally.

MS. ALTOMARE: But you agree that -- I'm sorry, strike that. I'm -- I think I'm done with this witness.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Anything further, Mr. --

MR. PADILLA: I don't have anything further.

EXAMINER BROOKS: -- Padilla?

## **EXAMINATION**

## BY EXAMINER BROOKS:

2.0

Q. Mr. Lee, I'm a little confused on dates here, so maybe you can straighten me out.

When was it that you first learned that OCD records showed Yeso Energy, Inc., as operator of any of these 24 wells that are in controversy?

- A. I believe it was towards the end of September.
- Q. That -- Was that '06 or '07?
- A. '06, '06.

- Q. Okay. And did you ever write any letters to anyone at the Oil Conservation Division stating or advising the Oil Conservation Division that Yeso Energy contended that it was not the operator of these wells?
- A. I don't recall. I know I had a lot of verbal conversation, but I -- and there are some e-mails, and I'll try to locate those e-mails, but primarily -- no, I did not send any by mail.
- Q. Did any of the e-mails that you mentioned state that Yeso Energy, Inc., contended that it was not the operator of any of these 24 wells?
- A. Yes, sir, some of the e-mails that I sent also back to David Bradshaw, I conveyed that, that I cannot provide C-115s on 24 wells that are showing under my OGRID when they are not my wells and when I'm not privy to information from the contract pumpers or the transporters and purchasers, how am I supposed to provide information to do complete and accurate C-115s?
  - Q. Did you advise anyone other than David Bradshaw

in writing of this situation -- that you contended that you 1 -- that Yeso was not the operator of any of these --2 No, sir, he was the one that was in charge of the 3 Α. C-115s that I was dealing with, and that's who I -- who I 4 dealt with. 5 6 Q. Did you ever -- so you never advised Ms. MacQuesten in writing that you contended that Yeso Energy 7 8 was not the operator of any of these wells? 9 I think I -- I think I sent her an e-mail, but not in writing. 10 Did you advise her in that e-mail that you 11 contended that -- I'm speaking of Ms. MacQuesten. Did you 12 advise Ms. MacQuesten by e-mail that you contended that 13 Yeso Energy, Inc., was not the operator of any of these 24 14 wells? 15 Yes, and I think -- I think it was reiterated 16 again when the -- when the -- somewhere there is the deal 17 18 that comes back that shows my penalty, and when that 19 penalty was assessed for \$6000 three of those wells were 20 not my wells. They -- those were wells of -- three of the 24 wells that are in dispute here that were in there. 21 22 And I said, Why -- you know, that's not fair. 23 Why are you penalizing me for wells that are not mine and

And did you do this in a written communication?

that I'm not the operator of?

Q.

24

1	A. No, it was in e-mail and telephone conversation.		
2	EXAMINER BROOKS: I think that's all I have.		
3	Anybody have anything further for this witness?		
4	MR. PADILLA: No.		
5	MS. ALTOMARE: I don't think so.		
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Witness may stand down. You		
7	may call your next witness.		
8	MR. PADILLA: We'll call Richard Quintana at this		
9	point.		
10	RICHARD QUINTANA,		
11	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon		
12	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:		
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
14	BY MR. PADILLA:		
15	Q. Mr. Quintana, please state your full name.		
16	A. Richard Quintana.		
17	Q. Where are you from?		
18	A. Lovington, New Mexico.		
19	Q. And what do you do for a living?		
20	A. I work on oil wells, do consultant work.		
21	Q. Do you sometimes work What's your what's		
22	your relationship to Lee Consulting, Inc.?		
23	A. I just do work for him, just long-time friend.		
24	Q. Did you do work on some of the wells that are in		
25	contention here?		

Yes, sir. 1 Α. Can you tell us how you got to do work on those 2 0. 3 wells? I guess they contacted Gene, and he was busy, and 4 I went out for him and did the work. 5 What did you work? 6 Q. 7 I worked on the Cortland Myers, one of the Jamisons and the Knight 5. 8 9 Can you be more specific as to what you did to the Cortland well? 10 11 Α. That one I did a -- hauling the tubing and 12 changed the pump. And you mentioned the Jamison well. What did you 13 Q. do to that well? 14 Just the pump change. 15 Α. And the Knight well? 16 Q. The Knight well, that one I did a little workover 17 I cut some casing and respliced it, and attempt to 18 fish some stuff out to do a plugback. 19 20 0. And --Α. Couldn't get far down enough, so that's when Mr. 21 Mickey McGee called me and told me that that's all he was 22 23 going to do to it, just to go ahead and rig down and get off of it. 24

With who did you communicate while you were doing

25

Q.

Can

that well? 1 A. Mickey. 2 With whom did you communicate on the Jamison 3 Q. well? 4 Mickey McGee. 5 And on the Cortland well? 6 0. 7 Also Mickey. Α. How did you invoice -- did you file an invoice 8 0. for your work on those wells? 9 Yeah, I invoiced Lee Consulting, and then I guess 10 Α. he invoiced Aleanna. 11 Q. And ultimately you got paid for your work? 12 Yes, I did. And you know, like setting up a acid Α. 13 job, cement or tubing, whatever, I had to call Mickey, and 14 he had to go write out a check, because he didn't have 15 enough credit. 16 Mickey didn't? 17 Q. Yes. Α. 18 Can you be more specific about --19 0. Yeah, you know --20 Α. He didn't have credit where? 21 Q. Like Weatherford, to do the fishing and stuff Α. 22 like that. 23 I told them it was for Aleanna Resources. 24

25

They said, Well, we don't know him too good.

he come out here and write us out a check? 1 So I called Mickey, and he said, Yeah, I'll be 2 right down. So he had to write out a check to them, 3 Petrolplex, and some other companies. 4 That was for supplies and equipment that you were 5 working on --6 7 Α. Yes. -- on the wells? 8 0. 9 Α. Correct. And it was not Lee Consulting who was financing 10 Q. any of the work there? 11 12 No, no. Α. How long have you been -- Have you ever done any 13 Q. 14 work for Yeso Energy? 15 Α. No. How long have you known Gene Lee? 16 0. Twenty-some years. 17 Α. Did you work for Gene Lee at some time? 18 0. Oh, when I used to roughneck or -- you know, his 19 Α. 20 tool-pusher for us, just like that, but not --Did you ever Gene Lee to be an operator of wells? Q. 21 Not other wells, just his. 22 Α. Now, what's -- As far as you know, can you tell 23 Q. 24 us what's the deal with operators putting sign on wells -a sign on wells? What signage goes on wells? 25

I guess a sign with the owner's name on it, and 1 Α. that there was just Whiting Oil on all of them. 2 3 Q. Was there any sign Yeso Energy had --No, sir. 4 Α. 5 Have you worked on those wells since that time, Q. since they changed from -- Well, let me ask you this. 6 Since Kerns Petroleum took over the wells, have you done 7 any work on those wells? 8 No, sir, no, I haven't. 9 Α. MR. PADILLA: That's all I have. 10 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Cross-examination, Ms. 12 Altomare? 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. ALTOMARE: 15 Mr. Quintana, in the work that you do in the oil 16 and gas industry, are you involved at all in the administrative portions, filing paperwork, that kind of 17 18 thing? No, I just make reports and send them out to --19 20 Okay, so do you deal with the OCD at all, as far Q. as the rules and regulations for what forms need to be 21 22 filed when, that kind of thing? 23 No, ma'am. A. 24 Okay, are you familiar with the rules as they are 25 for who needs to report when wells are transferred, when

1	the operato	orship changes hands, that kind of thing?
2	A. 1	No, ma'am.
3	ľ	MS. ALTOMARE: I think that's all I have.
4		EXAMINATION
5	BY EXAMINE	R BROOKS:
6	Q. I	Oid you ever make any reports on production
7	volumes on	any of these wells that you Aleanna wells?
8	A. 1	No, sir, I didn't.
9	Q. T	To anyone?
10	A. 1	lo.
11	Q. (	Okay, you weren't responsible for that?
12	A. 1	No, that's Sam Norville, that pumper.
13	Q. (	Okay.
14	Α. 5	les, sir.
15	I	EXAMINER BROOKS: Anybody else That's all I
16	have. Eith	ner of the counsel have anything further?
17	Ŋ	MR. PADILLA: I was going to ask him about the
18	pumper, and	you just took my question. So that's all I
19	have. We n	cest.
20	I	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Witness may stand
21	down.	
22	Γ	oo you have any rebuttal, Ms. Altomare?
23	M	IS. ALTOMARE: No rebuttal.
24	F	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Do you want to make
25	a closing s	statement?

MS. ALTOMARE: Just very briefly, just to summarize what we're requesting.

As I had initially stated, we feel like this is a situation where an operator has decided that the rules don't apply.

And our entire system depends on honesty in reporting, and if operators aren't properly reporting, everything else falls apart.

And if we don't enforce -- you know, if we enforce anything it needs to be reporting requirements.

And this is a situation that was pretty egregious. He was aware, he had many opportunities to come forward and work with us, and he did not.

We are not asking for a fine on a daily basis as the statute permits, we're asking on a monthly basis. As such, we are asking for a penalty in the amount of \$88,000, as was calculated according to what was testified to by Mr. Sanchez, and we are asking to have a peek at Yeso Energy's records to make sure that there are no other delinquent records that need to be updated, because it is important the OCD records are full and complete.

I think the rest of the evidence stands on its own.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Any summation, Mr. Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: Well, I think the evidence speaks for itself. I don't think that on a clear and convincing standard or a preponderance of the evidence that there's any kind of showing that the -- Yeso Energy operated the wells.

Yeah, there's -- there's considerable problems here. But in terms of any standard that's being applied knowingly and willfully and that kind of thing that is in some of these letters, I think that the transport -- authority to transport is entirely punitive.

My position on the penalties, as far as the OCD is authorized to do and to assess penalties administratively, you know my position clearly on that.

EXAMINER BROOKS: I'm aware of your position, Mr. Padilla. And you may or may not be aware that as of yesterday we were informed that the Supreme Court of New Mexico is granting certiorari on a case involving that issue, so I guess we're going to get an authoritative resolution of that in the not-too-distant future.

MR. PADILLA: Well, I'm sort of aware of that, and I hope that some ruling comes on from that.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, given the time frames that the Supreme Court of New Mexico has followed in some other cases I have followed, it may be a while.

MR. PADILLA: At any rate, I don't think that

there's a showing here, that there's a lot of confusion 1 here in terms of whether Yeso Energy even came close to 2 operating the wells in terms -- Yeah, you have a piece of 3 paper that says that -- it says that he was the operator. 4 He explained to you why he signed the change from Yeso to 5 6 Kerns Petroleum, and that was to get the monkey off his 7 back. And the monkey is not off his back, and I think 8 probably, as he testified, that was a mistake. It should 9 have been resolved. Hopefully, it will get resolved as we 10 go along with this case. 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you. If there is nothing 12 further, then Case Number 14,008 will be taken under 13 advisement. 14 15 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 16 11:15 a.m.) 17 18 19 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 20 e complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1400 21 heard by me on 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 26th, 2008.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010