ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF YATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 14,059

ORIGINAL

2003

Nbb

00

P

コ 所 つ

NE NE

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, Jr., Technical Examiner DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Legal Examiner

January 10th, 2008

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Wexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, Jr., Technical Examiner, DAVID K. BROOKS, Jr., Legal Examiner, on Thursday, January 10th, 2008, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

		····	
	INDEX		
January 10th, 2008 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 14,059			
			PAGE
APPEARANCES			
APPLICANT'S WITNESS:			
	ndman) nation by Ms. Mun by Examiner Jones		4
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			13
	* * *		
·	схнівітѕ		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	6 6 8 9	10 10 10 10	
	* * *		

•

* .

*

2

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR. Assistant General Counsel Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

.

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: OCEAN MUNDS-DRY

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
8:38 a.m.:
EXAMINER JONES: And let's call Case 14,059,
Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory
pooling, Chaves County, New Mexico.
Call for appearances.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with the law firm
of Holland and Hart, representing Yates Petroleum
Corporation this morning, and I have one witness. Mr.
Briggs will be sitting there for a little while this
morning.
EXAMINER JONES: Okay, let the record show Mr.
Briggs has already been sworn.
And any other appearances? I guess no more
appearances.
WILMER BRIGGS,
the witness herein, after having been previously duly sworn
upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNDS-DRY:
Q. Would you please state your name for the record?
A. My name is Wilmer Briggs. Go by Lucky.
Q. And where do you reside?
A. 209 Grapevine Court, Carlsbad, New Mexico.
Q. And by whom are you employed and what is your

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

•

1	position?
2	A. Yates Petroleum Corporation, I'm a landman.
3	Q. And this is going to sound funny, but have you
4	previously testified before the Division?
5	A. Yes, I have.
6	Q. Were your credentials as a petroleum landman
7	accepted and made a matter of record at that time?
8	A. They were.
9	Q. Are you familiar with the Application that's been
10	filed in this case?
11	A. Yes, I am.
12	Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands
13	that are the subject of this Application?
14	A. Yes, I am.
15	MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Jones, we would tender Mr.
16	Briggs as an expert witness in petroleum land matters.
17	EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Briggs is qualified as an
18	expert in petroleum land matters.
19	Q. (By Ms. Munds-Dry) Mr. Briggs, would you briefly
20	state what Yates seeks with this Application?
21	A. In this case we have an order that we're pooling
22	interests, mineral interests, in the surface to the
23	Precambrian in the south half of Section 14, Township 6
24	South and Range 26 East. This one is in Chavez County.
25	Q. And what is the name of the well that is to be

4. 4 5

	0
1	dedicated to the spacing unit?
2	A. This is the Cooker BCB Federal Com Well Number 3.
3	Q. And where will this well be located?
4	A. Okay, it would be located 1680 from the south
5	line and 1980 from the east line of Section 14.
6	Q. Thank you. And if you would please examine
7	Exhibit Number 1, identify and review that for Mr. Jones.
8	A. Okay, we have the Section 14 identified there
9	with a green highlight around the south half of that, which
10	would be the spacing unit, and then I have the arrow
11	pointing up to the well location right there, which is
12	identified with a 3, and then that's identified as being
13	the Cooker BCB. And right below that, then, we have the
14	ownership interest identified.
15	Q. What is the primary objective for this proposed
16	well?
17	A. The We're going to be going to the Precambrian
18	formation.
19	Q. Okay. If you would please turn to what's been
20	marked as Exhibit Number 2 and summarize for Mr. Jones
21	these efforts to obtain voluntary participation from these
22	interest owners that we've identified in Exhibit A.
23	A. We've had we had our contact started out in
24	this particular one with we were let's see, get my
25	log here. Okay.

6

.

1	Our initial contact was May 2nd, 2007, when we
2	sent out the initial letter inviting the parties in.
3	We had a follow-up letter of August the 13th.
4	That second letter was an actual certified letter, and we
5	did get the signature in response.
6	Q. Did you have any other contacts with Mobile? It
7	looks like the final letter went out September 26th
8	A. Yeah
9	Q is that correct?
10	A excuse me. I have Okay, I have also a
11	letter that went out September the 26th, once again
12	inviting them in. We had the Mobile was on we had
13	leases from them in the past, and the initial letters we
14	sent out to them were just offering backup to them, the
15	same deal that we had had in the past, thinking that they
16	would just go ahead and lease it out to us.
17	And then when that was not responded to,
18	September 26th we actually sent out an operating agreement
19	to them and an invitation to actually join on that basis
20	with the AFE. So that's what was going on September 26th.
21	Q. And then did you have any response from anyone at
22	Mobile after that?
23	A. We actually I did talk with Paul Keffer, one
24	of their representatives out there, once. We he they
25	had made contact with us at one point and stated that they

 had not didn't were not able to put their hands on the previous letters and wanted copies. So we faxed them out to them, so they got a copy of all those at that time. And mostly we got that they were trying to get with our management to see what kind of response they wanted, and each time we wouldn't get anything back, and it would go for a while. And I called back and asked if they had made a decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and administrative costs for this well while drilling and 		5
 out to them, so they got a copy of all those at that time. And mostly we got that they were trying to get with our management to see what kind of response they wanted, and each time we wouldn't get anything back, and it would go for a while. And I called back and asked if they had made a decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	1	had not didn't were not able to put their hands on
4And mostly we got that they were trying to get5with our management to see what kind of response they6wanted, and each time we wouldn't get anything back, and it7would go for a while.8And I called back and asked if they had made a9decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut10off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we11located informed you to go ahead and start our force12pooling in November.13Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a14good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation?15A. Yes, I have.16Q. What is Exhibit Number 3?17A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the18Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is19indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the20completed well cost is \$1,463,000.21Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and22A. Yes, they are.23A. Yes, they are.24Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and	2	the previous letters and wanted copies. So we faxed them
with our management to see what kind of response they wanted, and each time we wouldn't get anything back, and it would go for a while. And I called back and asked if they had made a decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and	3	out to them, so they got a copy of all those at that time.
 wanted, and each time we wouldn't get anything back, and it would go for a while. And I called back and asked if they had made a decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	4	And mostly we got that they were trying to get
 would go for a while. And I called back and asked if they had made a decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	5	with our management to see what kind of response they
8And I called back and asked if they had made a9decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut10off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we11located informed you to go ahead and start our force12pooling in November.13Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a14good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation?15A. Yes, I have.16Q. What is Exhibit Number 3?17A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the18Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is19indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the20completed well cost is \$1,463,000.21Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and22A. Yes, they are.23A. Yes, they are.24Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and	6	wanted, and each time we wouldn't get anything back, and it
 decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	7	would go for a while.
 off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	8	And I called back and asked if they had made a
 located informed you to go ahead and start our force pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	9	decision, and finally at one point they just kind of cut
 pooling in November. Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	10	off and wasn't interested anymore. So that's when we
13Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a14good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation?15A. Yes, I have.16Q. What is Exhibit Number 3?17A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the18Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is19indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the20completed well cost is \$1,463,000.21Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and22A. Yes, they are.23A. Yes, they are.24Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and	11	located informed you to go ahead and start our force
 good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation? A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	12	pooling in November.
 A. Yes, I have. Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	13	Q. Thank you. And in your opinion, have you made a
 Q. What is Exhibit Number 3? A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	14	good faith effort to obtain their voluntary participation?
 A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	15	A. Yes, I have.
 Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	16	Q. What is Exhibit Number 3?
 indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the completed well cost is \$1,463,000. Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	17	A. Exhibit Number 3 is the AFE showing the
 20 completed well cost is \$1,463,000. 21 Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and 22 other operators in the area have been charging? 23 A. Yes, they are. 24 Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	18	Precambrian, and this is for the Cooker and it is
 Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	19	indicating the dryhole cost as \$858,800 and that the
 other operators in the area have been charging? A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	20	completed well cost is \$1,463,000.
 A. Yes, they are. Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and 	21	Q. And are these costs in line with what Yates and
24 Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and	22	other operators in the area have been charging?
	23	A. Yes, they are.
25 administrative costs for this well while drilling and	24	Q. And have you made an estimate of overhead and
	25	administrative costs for this well while drilling and

°

1	producing, if it's successful?
2	A. Yes, I have.
3	Q. What are the drilling and producing rates that
4	you propose?
5	A. The drilling rate would be \$6000 per month, and
6	the producing rate at \$600 per month.
7	Q. And do you recommend that these figures be
8	incorporated into any order that results from this hearing?
9	A. Yes, I do.
10	Q. And does Yates request that in accordance with
11	Division Rules, that the maximum charge for risk of 200
12	percent be imposed on each working interest owner that does
13	not voluntarily commit their interest?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And does Yates seek to be designated the operator
16	of the proposed well?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And in your opinion will the granting of this
19	Application be in the best interest of conservation, the
20	prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights?
21	A. Yes, I do.
22	Q. And is Yates Exhibit Number 4 a notice affidavit
23	with company affidavit of publication, the notice letter
24	that went out to Mobile and the certified receipts that
25	went to Mobile?

Yes, it is. Α. 1 2 Were Exhibits 1 through 4 either prepared by you Q. 3 or compiled under your direct supervision? 4 Α. Yes. 5 MS. MUNDS-DRY: We would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 4 into evidence. 6 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 4 will be 7 admitted. 8 MS. MUNDS-DRY: And Mr. Jones, I have nothing 9 further for Mr. Briggs. 10 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. 11 12 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER JONES: 13 14 Q. The -- it is Mobil, M-o-b-i-l; is that right? Or 15 is it --16 Α. M-o-b-i-l-e. 17 Q. -- -i-l-e, okay. 18 Α. Yes. What's the deal with all those Yates companies 19 Q. anyway? This is an old, old question but --20 Α. 21 Yes. -- do you guys share professionals to work with 22 Q. each other? 23 Yes, sir. 24 Α. 25 Q. So you actually --

10

Yates Pet is usually the operator and oversees 1 Α. the operations and everything for each of the companies, 2 and then so I kind of participate for each one of them. Is 3 that what you're -- ? 4 5 0. Okay. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. So they have all signed in on the well? Yes, sir. Yeah, all of these are -- except 8 Yes. Α. 9 for the Mobile unit, are all Yates companies. 10 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, I don't have any more 11 questions. Somebody put an exhibit sticker 12 EXAMINER BROOKS: over the list of --13 THE WITNESS: I'm actually with Yates Pet. 14 EXAMINER JONES: 15 Okay. THE WITNESS: I think that was your question, 16 I'm sorry. 17 yes, sir. The exhibit sticker got stuck 18 EXAMINER BROOKS: onto Exhibit 1 over the list of owners, so --19 20 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Oh. 21 EXAMINER BROOKS: -- I can't really read it, 22 but --23 MS. MUNDS-DRY: On Exhibit Number 1, Mr. Brooks? 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: You say all of them except Mobile or Yates? 25

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, if you go to the AFE you 1 could maybe get a better indication of the -- breakout of 2 3 the interest and the companies. EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, I don't have any 4 questions. 5 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, thanks very much --6 THE WITNESS: All right. 7 EXAMINER JONES: -- Mr. Briggs. 8 9 Thanks, Ms. Munds-Dry. We'll take Case 14,059 under advisement. 10 (Off the record at 8:46 a.m.) 11 (The following proceedings had at 8:55 a.m.) 12 EXAMINER JONES: Before I forget, the last case, 13 14 14,059, I don't remember anybody saying what the -- asked 15 for a COPAS drilling and producing rate. THE WITNESS: It was -- that was for the --16 EXAMINER JONES: That was for the Cooker BCB Fed 17 Com Number 3. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. MS. MUNDS-DRY: Mr. Examiner, Mr. Briggs 20 requested \$6000 a month --21 THE WITNESS: -- and \$600. 22 MS. MUNDS-DRY: -- and \$600 for producing. 23 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, I probably just didn't 24 25 write it down.

1		Okay, so that's it for that.
2		(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
3	8:56	a.m.)
4		* * *
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		1 da heroby outro
11		I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner headings in
12		the Examiner hearing of Case No.
13		
14		Oil Conservation Division
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ŧ

-ye - 74 2

, **€**-, ,

k.

· · · • •

÷.

·

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 10th, 2008.

in

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2010