

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

11 May 1983

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conser-
vation Division on its own motion for
an order creating, abolishing, and ex-
tending vertical and horizontal limits
of certain pools in Chaves, Eddy, and
Lea Counties, New Mexico.

CASE
7867

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Oil Conservation
Division:

Thomas C. H. Mills for
W. Perry Pearce, Esq.
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN DIRECT EXAMINATION,

ROY JOHNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Mills

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One, Documents

1
2 MR. STOGNER: We'll call next Case 7867,
3 which is in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Con-
4 servation Division on its own motion for an order creating,
5 extending, and abolishing vertical and horizontal limits for
6 certain pools in Chaves, Eddy, and Lea Counties, New Mexico.

7 MR. MILLS: Mr. Examiner, we have one
8 witness in this case, Mr. Roy Johnson.

9 Mr. Johnson, would you stand and be
10 sworn, please?

11
12 (Witness sworn.)

13
14 ROY JOHNSON,
15 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath,
16 testified as follows, to-wit:

17
18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. MILLS:

20 Q. Would you please state your name and posi-
21 tion?

22 A. My name is Roy Johnson. I'm a geologist
23 with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division of Santa Fe.

24 Q. Are you prepared to make recommendations
25 to the Commission concerning the nomenclature of certain pools

1
2 in Chaves, Eddy, and Lea Counties, New Mexico?

3 A. I am.

4 Q. Are your recommendations in the form of an
5 exhibit?

6 A. They are.

7 Q. Will you please refer to this exhibit and
8 to the docket that has been prepared and distributed at this
9 hearing and point out any substantial difference between the
10 two?

11 A. There are no substantial differences be-
12 tween the two; however, we would like to dismiss paragraph
13 (x) on the exhibit and the docket.

14 MR. MILLS: Okay, have you noted that,
15 Mr. Hearing Examiner?

16 MR. STOGNER: It has been noted.

17 Q. Do you have anything further to add to your
18 testimony?

19 A. I do not.

20 MR. STOGNER: Does anybody have any-
21 thing to add in Case Number 7867?

22 If not, this case will be taken under
23 advisement, and Mr. Johnson, you may be excused.

24 MR. MILLS: I'd like to have the exhi-
25 bit admitted, Mr. Examiner, as amended.

1
2 MR. STOGNER: The amendment, I'm sorry,
3 the exhibit as amended will be admitted into evidence.

4
5 (Hearing concluded.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 7867, heard by me on May 11 1983.

Michael E. Stoguer, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R.
Rt. Box 193-B
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 455-7409