Examiner Hearing - THURSDAY - DECEMBER 16, 1982

Page 3 of 8

CASE 7594: (Continued from October 27, 1982, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for statutory unitization, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order unitizing for the purposes of a secondary recovery project, all mineral interests in that portion of the Bone Spring formation described as the Carbonate unit between the first and second Bone Spring Sands underlying the Young Deep Unit, encompassing 560 agres, more or less, of Federal lands underlying portions of Sections 3, 4, 9 and 10, Township 18 South, Range 32 East.

CASE 7742: (Continued from December 1, 1982, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Overland Energy, Inc. for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Gallup formation underlying the NW/4 NE/4 of Section 10, Township 29 North, Range 15 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASES 7708 and 7709: (Continued from November 23, 1982, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in each of the following cases, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Abo formation underlying the lands specified in each case to form a standard 160-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered in each case will be the cost of drilling and completing the unit well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well:

CASE 7708: NE/4 Section 9, Township 5 South, Range 24 East

CASE 7709: SE/4 Section 22, Township 6 South, Range 25 East

CASE 7726: (Continued from November 23, 1982, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests down to and including the Abo formation underlying the SE/4 of Section 9, Township 6 South, Range 26 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 7727: (Continued from November 23, 1982, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Stevens Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests down to and including the Abo formation underlying the SE/4 of Section 9, Township 6 South, Range 26 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASES 7528 and 7529: (Continued from October 27, 1982, Examiner Hearing)

Application of JJ-CC, Limited for compulsory pooling, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in each of the following two cases, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests down through the Abo formation underlying the lands specified in each case, each to form a standard 160-acre gas spacing and protation unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered in each case will be the cost of drilling and completing said wells and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the wells and a charge for risk involved in drilling said wells:

CASE 7528: NW/4 Section 4, Township 5 South, Range 24 East

CASE 7529: NE/4 Section 4, Township 5 South, Range 24 East