		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE APPLICANT:	
3	HOLLAND & HART William F. Carr, Esq.	
4	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
5		
6	INDEX	PAGE
7	EXHIBITS 1 ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE	6
8		Ç
9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	12
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		o
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 MR. WARNELL: Okay. The next case we'll
- 2 call is Case Number 14334, application of EOG Resources,
- 3 Inc., for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 4 Call for appearances.
- 5 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my
- 6 name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of
- 7 Holland & Hart, LLP. We represent EOG Resources in this
- 8 matter, and I request permission to present the case
- 9 under the alternative procedure authorized by Rule
- 10 19.15.4.12.
- MR. WARNELL: Any other appearances? Mr.
- 12 Carr, you may proceed.
- 13 MR. CARR: In this case EOG seeks an order
- 14 pooling certain owners who cannot be located, and so
- 15 we're proceeding under the alternative procedure
- 16 authorized by the section of the rules governing notice
- 17 requirements for specific adjudications.
- 18 Before you is an affidavit of Douglas W.
- 19 Hurlbut. Mr. Hurlbut is a land man for EOG, and in this
- 20 affidavit states that EOG expects no opposition because
- 21 all parties who can be located have voluntarily committed
- 22 their interest to the well.
- 23 Attached to the affidavit is a copy of the
- 24 Division form C-102 that shows the unit to be dedicated
- 25 to the well. This is a horizontal well, and the acreage

- 1 to be dedicated is a 160-acre project area comprised of
- 2 four standard oil spacing units in the Bone Spring
- 3 formation. The acreage to be dedicated to the well
- 4 consists of the north half of the northwest quarter of
- 5 Section 17 and the north half of the northeast quarter of
- 6 Section 18, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, in Eddy
- 7 County, New Mexico.
- As I stated, all owners who they have been
- 9 able to locate have agreed to participate in the well,
- 10 and the only interests subject to pooling are the
- 11 interest of Beatrice Anderson, who owns a 2.5 percent
- 12 working interest, and Julliette Condon, that has a .625
- 13 percent working interest.
- 14 In the affidavit Mr. Hurlbut sets out the
- 15 efforts made by EOG to locate these individuals. They
- 16 used a broker to search the county records. They did an
- 17 Internet search. They reviewed probate proceedings.
- 18 They sent an AFE to the last known address and it was
- 19 returned, and the returned AFE is part of Attachment D to
- 20 the affidavit.
- 21 They also -- because many of the parties and
- 22 owners in the well are part of a group that includes a
- 23 number of Yates Petroleum Company related entities, they
- 24 were allowed by Yates to go and search their database,
- 25 but even that search produced nothing as to each of these

- 1 interest owners. The affidavit sets out the combined fix
- 2 rates of 6,000 a month while drilling the well and 600 a
- 3 month while the well is producing, and these are the
- 4 percentages to which the interest owners who are joining
- 5 have agreed.
- And if you'll note on Exhibit B, there are
- 7 probably 20 interest owners -- or Attachment B -- there
- 8 are probably 20 owners who have agreed to participate.
- 9 EOG itself has 65 percent of the working interest, and
- 10 the remainder of the working interest owners are
- 11 relatively small. But we are to a point where we have
- 12 over 96 percent of the working interest voluntarily
- 13 committed to the well.
- 14 Since we have no address that we can
- 15 communicate with these parties or reach them, we have,
- 16 however, provided notice as required by OCD rules, and
- 17 Attachment D to the affidavit is the notice of
- 18 publication from the local newspaper. Also attached is
- 19 the AFE for the well, which identifies the well's
- 20 location and the subject formation.
- 21 We would, therefore, request that this case be
- 22 taken under advisement based on this affidavit and an
- 23 order entered pooling these interests. And I would move
- the admission of EOG Resources Exhibit Number 1.
- MR. WARNELL: Okay. Exhibit Number 1 will

- 1 be admitted. Any questions?
- 2 (Exhibit 1 was admitted.)
- MR. BROOKS: I guess you're going to have
- 4 to set up an escrow for these people; right?
- 5 MR. CARR: We will escrow the funds
- 6 attributable to these interests in a bank in Eddy County,
- 7 New Mexico.
- 8 MR. BROOKS: Okay. If I could think of
- 9 some questions to prolong this matter I would do so.
- MR. WARNELL: Well, let's see.
- MR. CARR: I also booked the day for this.
- MR. WARNELL: Richard, do you have any
- 13 questions?
- MR. EZEANYIM: Yeah. Let's go back to the
- 15 plat, C-102. This is a horizontal well; right?
- MR. CARR: Yes, sir, it is.
- 17 MR. EZEANYIM: Where is the surface
- 18 location? Is it Unit B or Unit D?
- 19 MR. CARR: The surface location is Unit B
- 20 of Section 18, and it is on the left side of the spacing
- 21 unit or the west side.
- MR. WARNELL: This is Section 18 and this
- 23 is 17.
- MR. CARR: Yeah. That's confusing because
- 25 it is four and they're not in the north half of the

- 1 section, but it straddles the section.
- 2 MR. EZEANYIM: As long as they are
- 3 contiguous. They were going from one section to the
- 4 other?
- 5 MR. CARR: Correct.
- 6 MR. EZEANYIM: Okay. And the penetration
- 7 point is the same as the surface location?
- 8 MR. CARR: The penetration point is sort
- 9 of southwest of that location.
- 10 MR. EZEANYIM: But that is -- if I'm
- 11 looking at this right, the penetration point is 430 from
- 12 the north?
- MR. CARR: Actually, it's farther from the
- 14 north and -- because -- no. I'm sorry, Mr. Examiner.
- 15 I'm looking at the wrong line. You're correct. It's 430
- 16 from the north line. You're right.
- MR. WARNELL: So surface penetration is
- 18 basically the same as the surface location?
- 19 MR. CARR: Yes, as far as I can tell.
- 20 MR. WARNELL: Has this well been drilled
- 21 yet?
- MR. CARR: No.
- 23 MR. WARNELL: They must have an APD,
- 24 because I did find an API number.
- MR. CARR: It's my understanding they are

- 1 ready to go on this.
- 2 MR. BROOKS: I was not paying attention to
- 3 what was going on here, unfortunately. Doesn't this need
- 4 a nonstandard unit?
- 5 MR. CARR: You know, I don't know. We
- 6 have created these horizontal project areas, and as long
- 7 as we have had, you know, contiguous standard spacing
- 8 units as a project area, I'm not aware that we have gone
- 9 ahead and created the nonstandard unit in the past.
- MR. BROOKS: Well, we had one. We have
- one. We don't when we don't have a forced pooling, but
- 12 we have to create a unit because --
- MR. CARR: The pooling statute talks about
- 14 when there's more than one interest owner in a spacing
- 15 unit.
- 16 MR. BROOKS: Yes. And it's been my view
- 17 that we have to have a nonstandard unit created, that you
- 18 can only pool a horizontal project area by creating a
- 19 nonstandard unit.
- MR. CARR: If you desire, we'll continue
- 21 this and do that.
- 22 MR. BROOKS: That would be consistent with
- 23 the position we have taken here before.
- 24 MR. CARR: I'm very sorry you have so much
- 25 time today.

- 1 MR. BROOKS: I should have been more on my
- 2 toes in paying attention to that. Because what we have
- 3 done before is we have required -- we have yet to have
- 4 one contested, but we've required notice to offsetting
- 5 owners, and we've required the establishment of a spacing
- 6 unit, and it's required that you come in and put up some
- 7 testimony that all areas are considered prospective for
- 8 production, all the component units are considered
- 9 prospective for production.
- MR. CARR: What I prefer, then, is that we
- 11 continue this. We would have to continue it to at least
- 12 the 23rd of July and, perhaps, beyond. If we could go to
- 13 the 23rd -- well, we really can't. We have to go to
- 14 August because we don't have time. Tuesday was the
- 15 deadline, I think, on the 23rd.
- MR. BROOKS: Yeah, for filing.
- 17 MR. CARR: So it would be the first
- 18 hearing in August.
- MR. WARNELL: Any idea when that is?
- MR. BROOKS: That's August the 6th.
- MR. WARNELL: That's right.
- 22 MR. CARR: Then that's what we have to do.
- MR. BROOKS: You know, I hate to spring
- 24 that one on you, but that's the way we made Mr. Bruce do
- 25 it, and it seems like we ought to make you do the same

- 1 thing.
- 2 MR. CARR: Well, I would think that the
- 3 reasons for making me do things is not because you made
- 4 Mr. Bruce do them, but they're required by the rules. I
- 5 am not arguing with you. I think you're correct.
- 6 MR. BROOKS: It's more than the rules.
- 7 They're required by statute, because the statutory
- 8 authority to force pool is limited to a spacing unit.
- 9 But then we have the Eubanks case which says a spacing
- 10 unit can be any size.
- MR. CARR: I'm in agreement with you, so
- 12 at least there's something noteworthy today.
- MR. BROOKS: Not Eubanks, Wilbanks. The
- 14 Wilbanks case.
- 15 MR. CARR: I represented the Commission in
- 16 that case and I think you're correct.
- MR. BROOKS: Then you understand the
- 18 situation.
- 19 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. Then we request it
- 20 be continued to the first hearing in August.
- MR. WARNELL: The good news is I believe
- 22 August 6th is my docket so that will work.
- 23 Unfortunately, I think David is going to be on vacation.
- MR. BROOKS: I will be here on the 6th. I
- 25 will be on vacation July the 23rd. I will be in San