November 6, 1991

KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN AND AUBREY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

117 NORTH GUADALUPE POST OFFICE BOX 2288

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

TELEPHONE (802) 982-4285 TELEFAX (506) 982-2047

3

NUMBER OF PAGES: (including cover)

JASON KELLAHIN OF COUNSEL

TIME:

KAREN AUBREY

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

FAX COVER SHEET

ro:	Mr. William J. LeMay	FROM: W. Thomas Kellahin
OF:	Oil Conseration Division	OF: Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey
FAX #	827-5741	FAX # 505/982-2047
RE:	McKay Case 10386	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:
	Yates Case 10363	Confidential
	Division Order R-9608	Urgent
		Please Reply
ノ		FYI
MES\$AG		Tom Kellahin to you follows.
	A hard copy will follow b	y marr.
14.	are discussed w/	TK. Will hear steer
CJ	Tu Communia.	being 11/14 elf
4	air file meter	n for stay
		18

OIL CONSERVE FON DIVISION

## KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN AND AUBREY RECEIVED

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EL PATIO BUILDING

'91 NON 8 AM 8 51 285 TELEPHONE (505) 982-2047

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN KAREN AUBREY

JASON KELLAHIN OF COUNSEL POST OFFICE BOX 2265
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

November 6, 1991

William J. LeMay, Director Oil Conservation Division State Land Office 310 Old Santa Fe Trail Santa Fe. New Mexico 87501 VIA FACSIMILE (505) 827-5741

RE: Oil Conservation Division Case 10386 Application of McKay Oil Corporation for Compulsory Pooling,

> Oil Conservation Division Case 10363 Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for Compulsory Pooling,

Division Order R-9608 Granting McKay Application and Denying Yates Application

Dear Mr. LeMay:

I have received a copy of Mr. Carr's letter to you dated November 5, 1991 including his application for a DeNovo hearing on behalf of his client, Yates Petroleum Corporation.

I wish to state for you my position on behalf of McKay Oil Corporation concerning matters raised by Mr. Carr:

- (1) McKay is opposed to having the Examiner's order stayed. In order to obtain a Stay, the burden is upon Yates to demonstrate:
  - (a) Likelihood of Yates prevailing on the merits;
  - (b) Irreparable harm to Yates unless the stay is granted;
  - (c) Evidence that no substantial harm will result to other interested persons; and

Mr. William J. LeMay November 6, 1991 Page Two

(d) Showing that no harm will ensure to the public interest.

In the event Yates requests a stay of the Division Order, due process requires that McKay be given an opportunity to appear and oppose the request before the Division or the Commission acts.

(2) We believe Mr. Carr has stated the wrong basis for his position not to pursue the November 7th hearing concerning the overriding royalty interests on the McKay federal lease. However, we do concur that that issue is now moot because McKay has prevailed. Accordingly, unless advised by you to the contrary, we assume that the cases are not to be reopened and that it is not necessary for us to attend that hearing.

In addition, I would like to co-ordinate with your office the date of the Commission hearing on this case. I would request that if the Commission elects to hear this matter in December it not be the week of December 9, 1991 because of the IOCC convention in New Orleans.

Very truly yours,

W. Thomas Kellahin

WTK/jcl

cc: William F. Carr, Esq. (By Hand)

Roy McKay (By Facsimile)

Robert Stovall, Esq. (By U.S. Mail)

ltrt1106.630