HINKLE, COX. EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FAUL W EATON CONRAD E COFFIELD HAROLD L HENSLEY UP STUART D SHANOR ERIC D LANPHERE
C D MARTIN
POBERT P TINNIN UP POSERT P TINNIN JP
MAPSHALL G MAPTN
MASTON C COUPTNETT
DON L PATTERSON**
COUGAS L LUNSFOPD
N CHOLAS J NOED NG
T CALDER EZZELL, JR
MULIAM B BURFORD*
RICHARD E OLSON
RICHARD E OLSON FIGHARD R WILFONG* THOMAS J MICER DE AMES J WECHSLER MANCY SI CUSACY THOMAS E HOOD!"

JEFFREY LI FORMACIAR REBESCA NICHOLS JOHNSON

JEFFREY DIHEWETT
JAMES BRUCE
JERRY FISHACKLEOPDY
JEFFREY WINGLASSON
WILLIAM FICOUNTISS*
ALBERT JIFTS
HOMAS MINASKO
JOHNIC CHAMBERS*
SARY DICOMPTON*
WINDERSTON
WINDERSTON
WINDERSTON
FILLID BALES*
CHARLES RIMATSON JPT
TOMAS DIANNES, JR
GREGORY JINBERT
MARK CIDOW
FRED WISCHMENDIMAN
LAMES MINDSON
JEFFREY SIGNED*
**
THOMAS MINDSON
PRESCA NICHOLS JOHNSON
PRESCA NICHOLS JOHNSON JEFFREY D HEWE'T

218 MONTEZUMA POST OFFICE BOX 2068 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2068

(505) 982-4554 FAX (505) 982-8623

LEWIS C. COX, JR (1924-1993) CLARENCE E ~(NKLE (1901-1985)

OF COUNSEL
O M CALHOUN JOE W WOOD
RICHARD L CAZZELL" RAY W RICHARDS"
L WHITE"

AUST N AFFILIATION
HOFFMAN & STEPHENS, PC
KENNETH R HOFFMAN'
TOM D STEPHENS*
RONALD C SCHULTZ, UR*

WILLIAM PIJOHNSON
STANLEY A KOTOVEKY JR
H THOMAS
ELLEN S CASEY
MARGARET CARTER LUDEWIG
S BARRY PA SHER
CONSMAN YOUNG"
LISA K, SMITH
NORMAN D, EMARY
NORMAN D, EMARY WILLIAM PIJOHNSON

COLEMAN "CUNG"

MARTIN MEYERS

WYATT L BRODAS"

DAVID M RUSSELL*

ANDREW L CLOUTIER

STEPHAN E LANDRY

KIRT E MOSELLING*

DIANE F SHER

LILLE B NESSHEN JULIE PI NEERKEN

WILLIAM P SLATTERY

DARREN T GROCE*
MOLLY MCINTOSH
MARCIA B LINCOLN MARCIA B LINCOLN SCOTT A SHLART* PAUL G NASON CATHRYN MCCLANAHAN R "TREY" ARVIZU, II

*NOT LICENSED IN NEW MEXICO *FORMERLY COMPRISING THE FIRM OF CULTON MORGAN, BRITA N & WHITE, PC

January 5, 1995

David Catanach Oil Conservation Division 2040 Pacheco Street Santa Fe, New Mexico

Case No. 11152, the Joint Application of Texaco/Marathon for a Pressure Maintenance Project

Dear Mr. Catanach:

Shell Western E&P Inc., a party in the above case, presents the following proposal regarding allowables for producing wells within the project area: Shell proposes that the 187 barrel per allowable for each proration unit, as proposed by Texaco/Marathon, be left in place. However, Shell proposes that each producing well be allowed to produce a maximum of 222 barrels of oil per day. This request is based on two reasons: Marathon's engineer testified that institution of the pressure maintenance program may increase the producing ability of certain wells up to 35 barrels per day. 187 + 35 = 222; and (2) Shell is concerned that if a well produces at too high a rate it may adversely affect offsetting acreage. However, the 222 barrel per day allowable should allow an operator within the project area to produce sufficient hydrocarbons to prevent any oil from being swept off that operator's acreage.

David Catanach Oil Conservation Division January 5, 1995 Page 2

I had intended to make this proposal at the January 5, 1995 hearing, but due to the adverse weather conditions and the breakdown of my vehicle, I was unable to get to the hearing.

Very truly yours,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

James Bruce

Enclosures

cc: W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. William F. Carr, Esq.

JGB/sp