#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,729

APPLICATION OF LEONARD RESOURCE INVESTMENT CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

**ORIGINAL** 

#### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### **EXAMINER HEARING**

BEFORE: DAVID BROOKS, Hearing Examiner

September 20th, 2001

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID BROOKS, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 20th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

## I N D E X

September 20th, 2001 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,729

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:  DAN LEONARD (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Brooks	5 15
JOHN WORRALL (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Brooks Examination by Examiner Stogner	16 23 24
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	28

\* \* \*

### EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	6	15
Exhibit	2	6	15
Exhibit	3	7	15
Exhibit	4	12	15
Exhibit	5	14	15
Exhibit	6	14	15
Exhibit	7	18	22
Exhibit	8	19	22
Exhibit	9	19	22
Exhibit	10	20	22
Exhibit		20	22
Exhibit		21	22
Exhibit	13	21	22
Exhibit	14	19	22

\* \* \*

#### APPEARANCES

## FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 3304 Camino Lisa Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

## ALSO PRESENT:

MICHAEL E. STOGNER Hearing Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87501

\* \* \*

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:50 a.m.:
3	
4	
5	
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Application of Leonard Resource
7	Investment Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County,
8	New Mexico, Case Number 12,729.
9	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
10	representing the Applicant. I have two witnesses.
11	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Are there other
12	appearances?
13	There being none, would your witnesses please
14	identify themselves for the record?
15	MR. LEONARD: Yes, sir, my name is Dan Leonard.
16	I'm the president of Leonard Resource Investment
17	Corporation in Midland, Texas.
18	EXAMINER BROOKS: And ?
19	MR. WORRALL: John Worrall, Geologic consultant,
20	Roswell, New Mexico.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, please stand to be sworn.
22	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
23	EXAMINER BROOKS: You may call your first
24	witness, Mr. Bruce.
25	MR. BRUCE: Call Mr. Leonard.

1	<u>DAN LEONARD</u> ,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BRUCE:
6	Q. Would you please state your name and city of
7	residence for the record?
8	A. Dan Leonard, Midland, Texas.
9	Q. Who do you work for?
10	A. I work for Leonard Resource Investment
11	Corporation in Midland.
12	Q. By training are you a landman?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. Have you previously testified before the Division
15	as a petroleum landman?
16	A. It's been a while but I have, about ten years
17	ago.
18	Q. Okay, and were your credentials as an expert
19	landman accepted as a matter of record?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And are you familiar with the land matters
22	involved in this Application?
23	A. I am indeed.
24	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Leonard as
25	an expert petroleum landman.

EXAMINER BROOKS: His credentials are accepted.

Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Leonard, would you identify Exhibit 1 and describe what Leonard Resource seeks in this case?

2.2

A. Exhibit 1 is a land plat highlighting in yellow a 40-acre unit, being the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 5, Township 20 South, Range 39 East, Lea County, New Mexico. This unit is the unit that's going to be dedicated to the drilling of the Marshall Number 1 well that's going to be located on that unit 1980 feet from the south line and 1750 feet from the west line of that section, and what we seek is an order to force pool this unit from the surface to the base of the Abo formation, which will be the...

- Q. Referring to Exhibit 2, what is the ownership of the well unit?
- A. The ownership of the well unit, as reflected on Exhibit 2, Leonard Resource Investment Corporation owns approximately 28 oil and gas leases representing 95.859 percent of the 40-acre unit. We have parties with known addresses that we're seeking to force pool that own an additional 1.953125 percent, and we have parties with no known addresses that we're seeking to force pool that own 2.1875 percent of the minerals.
  - Q. And the parties whom you are seeking to pool

identified on the spreadsheet attached to the back of this exhibit?

A. Most of them are. This exhibit was prepared by a lease broker in Midland named Jeff Votaw, who is a contract landperson that's working on our behalf to help assemble the leases in this unit.

This spreadsheet he put together is a list of the folks that we're seeking to force pool that he either contacted or -- the first three parties on that spreadsheet, the Wells Fargo Bank, Trustee for the two Simmons Trusts, and then the Nettie B. Johnson interest are -- we have known addresses on, and we've contacted the last six or -- six or seven -- six parties on his spreadsheet are parties that are old names in the records that the last oil and gas leases that they were on, there was no address listed for them, and he has made every attempt to dig up addresses or phone numbers on those people and locate them.

On the right side of his spreadsheet is a comments column that will take you through the efforts that we made not only with the people with the known addresses but the unknown addresses.

- Q. Okay, why don't we maybe keep Exhibit 2 in front of you and move on to Exhibit 3? Identify Exhibit 3 and maybe first talk about the people with the known addresses.
  - A. Okay, Exhibit 3 is a collection of correspondence

from Jeff Votaw to -- well, the majority of them are to -the first two are to the Wells Fargo Bank Trusts. As he
indicates on his spreadsheet, he initiated telephone calls
to the Wells Fargo Trust Department there in Midland. His
initial contact was March 8th. He made an offer, sent a
letter to them.

They have an oil and gas lease that they typically use that we have entered into with them, several other -- in several other instances out here, and the indication was that they would prepare the lease and forward it to us for review, and the terms that were proposed for the oil and gas lease were discussed, and no apparent problem.

The difficulty that we've had with these interests is that the Wells Fargo Trust Department in Midland just has not had time to address this problem. We have made numerous follow-up telephone calls to these guys asking them for a copy of the lease, we've been to see them, had absolutely no response from them. We've gotten lots of promises that we'll get the lease out by the end of this week, we'll get the lease out to you next week, and we just haven't got a lease.

And you know, the business that we're dealing with today, you all are well aware, is, the rig -- lining up the rigs to drill these wells is difficult, and you just

have to kind of get in line, and we're in a situation where we've got rigs committed to drill a couple of wells, and we're kind of behind the eight-ball here, and while we are very surprised that we haven't heard from these people -- Actually, we did hear from them yesterday, and I suspect that there's going to be some resolution to this. There's really no contest of anything, it's just that they have not seen fit to get the oil and gas lease together and get it to us for consideration.

- Q. So if you do come to terms with, say, Wells Fargo Bank, you will subsequently notify the Division that they have been leased?
  - A. Oh, sure.
  - Q. Okay.

A. We will. The third interest on the spreadsheet, Nettie B. Johnson, again we contacted this Raymond Weisner by letter back in March, did not receive a response to that. We attempted to obtain phone numbers via directory assistance, the Internet, select phone software program, we've been to the records in Lea County, we just -- we submitted another letter to them in July, and we've gotten no response to that. It was -- Actually, that letter was returned to us.

So while we have an address that we -- a known address for this particular party, we suspect that there's

been a change in this interest or a death, and we don't have any information to proceed with. And so we are seeking to force pool this really under the same premises that we are the following six parties that we just simply have not been able to locate.

Q. What is the third locatable interest?

- A. That's the Nettie B. Johnson interest.
- Q. And then the next one after that, is that the --
- A. Oh, I'm sorry, in Exhibit 3 there's also a letter to me -- it's about the fifth letter down. It's on letterhead. Robert K. Leonard is my brother in Midland who works with me. The Katherine Bray Estate is a mineral owner in here that is not on Votaw's spreadsheet, because he didn't contact them, my brother did.

And this is kind of an odd situation where we know these people, we've leased them before, they're in producing units that we have. The lady, Katherine Bray, is deceased. Katherine -- Kimberlee Dickson is related to her and is a lawyer and represents her estate, and we've had -- we've written letters, we've talked to her on the telephone.

And as my brother's letter to you -- to me, indicates to you, the last paragraph in it, he says, "Subsequently I telephoned Ms. Dickson on Thursday June 14, 2001 at which time she said she would execute and return

the documents to us." Again, this is not a contested deal, this is just a matter of her not having the time to take a look at this stuff and get it back to us.

e-mailed her a couple of times. And he says, "The last time that I spoke with Ms. Dickson on August 23", when we advised her that we had a rig lined up to drill this well in late October or November and we needed to proceed and we certainly needed to get our oil and gas leases in and that we had scheduled this force pooling hearing, and we would certainly rather resolve this with an oil and gas lease from her, she told Kelly that he just probably ought to go ahead and force pool her interest. It's just not something she's going to pay any attention to, apparently.

- Q. Okay, so at least as to the people you could locate, Wells Fargo Bank Trustee, Nettie B. Johnson and the Katherine Bray Richards interests, those are the locatable owners that you seek to force pool at this time?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And then moving on to the -- back to Exhibit 2, the spreadsheet with, like you said, a half a dozen people, what efforts were taken to locate these interest owners?
- A. Of course the records in Lea County, New Mexico, have been searched. We did current take-offs on them, and we found these people in the records by virtue of some old,

old oil and gas leases, 1940s and 1950s-vintage oil and gas 1 leases, I believe, and they haven't been in the record 2 Tax rolls we checked, they're not on the tax rolls. 3 since. Jeff searched the Internet, the various phone and address 4 5 sources that you have access to through the Internet today, 6 to locate these people and have not been able to locate them. 7

- Q. In your opinion, has Leonard Resource made a good faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the interest owners in the well?
  - Α. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And has it made a good faith effort to locate the unlocatable interest owners? 13
  - I believe we have. Α.
  - Would you please identify Exhibit 4 and discuss Q. the cost of the proposed well?
  - Exhibit 4 is an AFE for the Marshall Number 1, the proposed Abo test on this 40-acre tract. It's prepared by Capataz Operating, Inc., who is a partner and the operator of this entity of collection of independents that are involved in this project.

The dryhole cost on this AFE is in the left-hand The total is \$376,726. The completed well cost on the right-hand column is \$735,524.

Q. Okay. And are these well costs in line with the costs of other wells drilled to this depth in this area of Lea County?

- A. I believe they are. We have participated in drilling similar-depth wells out here with Apache and with Collins and Ware, and I think that these numbers compare quite favorably. We have consistently been better at handling these costs than they have been.
- Q. Okay. Who do you request be named or designated operator of the well?
  - A. Capataz Operating.

- Q. Do you have a recommendation for the amounts which Leonard Resource should be paid for super- -- or the operator, Capataz Operating, Inc., should be paid for supervision and administrative expenses?
- A. The accounting procedures on the operating agreement that's currently in force and effect on most of the wells that we drilled out there would probably be extended -- well, not extended, be a separate operating agreement, but it would be the same set of accounting procedures as -- the amounts are \$4500 per month for a drilling well and \$450 per month for producing well costs.
- Q. And are these amounts equivalent to those normally charged by operators in this area for wells of this depth?
  - A. On the lesser end of those that I know. We've

again dealt with Apache and Collins and Ware, and I think

Apache is \$5500 a month drilling and \$550 operating, and

Collins and Ware was exactly the same as us, \$450 and

\$4500.

- Q. And do you request that these overhead rates be adjusted periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting procedure?
- A. In fairness to our operator, I certainly think so.
  - Q. Were the locatable interest owners notified of this hearing?
    - A. They were.
- Q. And is Exhibit 5 my affidavit of notice?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.

- MR. BRUCE: Okay. And Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 6 is simply an affidavit of publication from the Hobbs newspaper naming the half dozen parties who we could not locate, giving notice of this hearing today.
- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Leonard, were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or under your supervision or compiled from company business records?
- A. They were.
- Q. And in your opinion is the granting of this Application in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?

1	A. It is.
2	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender the admission
3	of Exhibits 1 through 6.
4	EXAMINER BROOKS: 1 through 6 are admitted.
5	MR. BRUCE: And I pass the witness.
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, thanks.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you.
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY EXAMINER BROOKS:
10	Q. Mr. Leonard, are you and your brother, Robert K.
11	Leonard, who wrote this letter, by any chance the sons of
12	Robert K. Leonard who used to work for David Fasken?
13	A. No, sir.
14	EXAMINER BROOKS: I'm relieved to hear that. I
15	thought we were having quite a day of coincidences, because
16	I know that Robert K. Leonard does have a son named Robert
17	K. Leonard, but
18	THE WITNESS: In Midland?
19	EXAMINER BROOKS: In Midland.
20	THE WITNESS: I haven't run across them.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, at least he was in
22	Midland last time I knew. A lot of people have left
23	Midland.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, it goes on today.
25	EXAMINER BROOKS: There are probably others who

wish they had. 1 (By Examiner Brooks) Okay. With that 2 Q. assurance -- you went a little fast for me here -- this is 3 in the northeast of the southwest of Section 5; is that 4 5 correct? Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 And it was 1980 from the south, and what was the Q. 8 other? 9 Α. 1750 from the west. 10 Q. 1750 from the west. 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Surface to the base of the Abo. 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: And, let's see. Actually, I guess that's all the questions I have of you. I'll reserve 15 16 my other questions for the other witness. All right, sir. 17 THE WITNESS: EXAMINER BROOKS: So you may stand down. 18 19 you. 20 JOHN WORRALL, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 21 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. BRUCE: 24 25 Q. Would you please state your full name and city of

#### residence? 1 My name is John Worrall and I live in Roswell, 2 Α. New Mexico. 3 What is your occupation? Q. 4 Geologist. 5 Α. What is your relationship to the Applicant in 6 Q. this case? 7 I'm a consulting geologist for them, and I'm also 8 a participant. 9 10 Q. Have you previously testified before the Division 11 as a geologist? 12 A. Yes, I have. And were your credentials as an expert petroleum 13 Q. geologist accepted as a matter of record? 14 A. Yes, they were. 15 16 And are you familiar with the geology involved in this Application? 17 Α. Yes. 18 19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Worrall as an expert petroleum geologist. 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: His credentials will be 21 22 accepted. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Worrall, what is the primary 23 Q.

Our primary objectives are the Abo dolomite and

zone of interest for the proposed well?

24

25

Α.

the Drinkard dolomite. They're present at 7500 feet and 7100 feet beneath the surface.

- Q. Okay, maybe move on to your Exhibit 7 and discuss what that exhibit shows for the Examiner.
- A. Okay, we've got a series of maps that you should each have a copy of. The very first map is a production map, and our location for the Marshall Number 1 is shown.

  It is in the northeast of the southwest quarter.

The color code for these maps, the gray and the green are shallow production from the Seven Rivers and the San Andres. Green is the San Andres. And our location is beneath a well that was drilled just to the San Andres -- I mean, it's adjacent to it.

Our objective is to drill in between the two wells shown with the cross-section, A-A', between two deep wells drilled in the 1950s, 1948 and 1950, to assess the Permian carbonates beneath the shallower producing horizons. And those objectives are the Blinebry at 6000 feet, the Tubb at 6800 feet, the Drinkard at 7100 feet, and then the Abo at about 7500 feet. So these other maps behind us will attest to that.

Q. Well, why don't you move on to your first, I think, two maps, which discuss the Abo geology, and why don't you identify those and discuss them in more detail for the Examiner?

A. The next map that you should have is a top of Abo dolomite map. And our location is in between on A-A', the Kyte Number 1, which is a west offset with a top of 3783, and then to the east a well called the Weltmer Number 1 at 3826 below sea level. So we're structurally in between those two wells. Both of those wells penetrated the Abo at that time, and neither were productive from the Abo.

I'd like to speak to the cross-section at the same time, if I may.

Q. Go ahead.

- A. You should also have a cross-section in the package.
  - MR. BRUCE: I believe it's the final exhibit in the package, Mr. Examiner.
- 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Number 14?
- MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.

THE WITNESS: This cross-section is the two wells on either side of the Marshall Number 1. The well on the left side is the Kyte Number 1, which is a west offset, and the well on the right is the east offset -- actually it's two locations east -- called the Weltmer Number 1. And if you'll go to the very bottom of the cross-section, that is the Abo dolomite from 7400 feet down to 7750 feet.

And our objective is to test the Abo interval that was drill stem tested as shown by these green bars,

the interval that was drill stem tested, each of which recovered oil and gas-cut mud when this well was drilled in 1948.

The operator at that time tried to complete this open hole, and it was noncommercial, and our objective is to go back in and use more current techniques to improve the completion and try to make a commercial well.

- Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Now, you've mentioned some other potential zones. Why don't you go to -- let me make sure I've got the right maps -- Exhibits 10 and 11 together and discuss the Drinkard in a little more detail?
- A. The second primary objective is to test the Drinkard formation. The Drinkard formation produced 7 BCF in a well that's three-quarters of a mile east, and our well would be drilled west of that. And the maps show -- our structure map shows that our location should be approximately 20 feet updip. That's the top of Drinkard porosity map. And then our isopach map shows that we will test the western and updip limit of that porosity lens. So we're trying to get upstructure to the 7-BCF gas well from that horizon.

The cross-section shows that the well -- or west offset, has no porosity. The east offset, the well that's two locations east, has the porosity zone in it. So the wellbore will be penetrating. We're trying to find the

updip edge of that porosity, and that is the main risk, whether or not the porosity will be present.

And then the second risk will be how much pressure is left in the reservoir three-quarters of a mile west of a 7-BCF gas well that's been producing for about 50 years.

- Q. Okay. And then finally move on to the Blinebry, which are Exhibits 12 and 13, together with the cross-section.
- A. The Blinebry structure -- The Blinebry does not produce in any well on this map. It is a secondary objective. It is a main pay zone in the House field three miles west of this field or this location, and it's simply a secondary objective that we'll look at while we're drilling the well.
- Q. Okay. In your opinion, is there risk involved in drilling this well?
- A. Yeah, obviously we're drilling between two wells that were nonproductive from these intervals. There's completion risk and then there's drilling risk.
- Q. And in your opinion, should the maximum costplus-200-percent penalty be assessed against any interest owner who goes nonconsent in this well?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Were Exhibits 7 through 14 prepared by you or

under your supervision?

- A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And in your opinion is the granting of this Application in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
  - A. Yes.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender the admission of Exhibits 7 through 14.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, Exhibits 7 through 14 will be admitted.

MR. BRUCE: And I pass the witness.

addressed to the previous witness, but I was a little bit confused here, and I see the reason I'm confused is because this is an irregular section on the edge of the state there, and this is styled the northeast quarter, even though it looks like if you went from the east west it would be the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter. But maybe -- Mr. Bruce, maybe you can clarify that for me.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah, we did file it for the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter. I believe that in essence the lots on the east side of that section, in essence, comprise the east half, and then the regular acreage to the west, irregular 320 acres to the west, would be the west half.

Okay, yeah, it looked like it EXAMINER BROOKS: 1 would be the north -- Just visualizing it, it looked like 2 it would be the northwest of the northeast rather than the 3 northeast of the northwest, but I see what you're talking Those two -- the small lots are the east half for 5 official designation purposes. 6 MR. BRUCE: Correct. 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, I'm clear on that. 8 EXAMINATION 9 10 BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 11 Q. And can you help me with any of the pool names? 12 Do you know the pool names of the formations you're --13 objective formations, or are they designated? Α. There is no pool in the Blinebry, Tubb or 14 Drinkard set up in Section 5 currently. The only 15 production is shallow, from the Yates and the Seven Rivers. 16 Q. And what about the Abo? 17 Α. The Abo is not productive either. 18 19 **EXAMINER BROOKS:** Okay. MR. BRUCE: It is in House-San Andres Pool, Mr. 20 Examiner. 21 22 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. 23 THE WITNESS: For the shallow production. (By Examiner Brooks) I was thinking the -- I 24 Q. guess I'm confused on my stratigraphic here. I was 25

thinking the San Andres was deeper than that. But the San 1 Andres is a shallower formation? 2 The San Andres is about 4400 feet. 3 Α. So it will be within the zone pooled? 4 Q. MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: And what is the pool name? 6 MR. BRUCE: House-San Andres. 7 EXAMINER BROOKS: House-San Andres. 8 9 Q. (By Examiner Brooks) But that's not one you 10 expect to be productive? 11 Α. Correct, it's already produced on that proration unit --12 13 Q. Okay. -- and has been plugged and abandoned. 14 Α. EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, I guess that's my only 15 16 question. Mr. Stogner, any questions? 17 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 18 The production of the wells back to the east --19 0. Now, let me get this straight. Does the stratigraphy 20 21 actually cross the state line, have you actually mapped that? 22 23 (Laughter) You have to change your name. 24 Α. 25 The production over to the east -- and I'm Okay. Q.

referring to Exhibit Number 7 -- you show quite a bit of Drinkard production --

A. Yes.

- Q. -- back over to the east, and then you have that little bit of -- what? Is that Glorieta or Blinebry?
- A. The brown color is Drinkard, and that's actually a 7-BCF gas well. This is a BOE production equivalent map, so that's equivalent barrels, 1.3 million barrels. The green is San Andres. That's about 4300 feet. The gray is Seven Rivers, and that's about 3000 feet.
- Q. Okay, what is the purple one? I'm looking at that one purple well. It looks to be purple to me. Is that Permian? Or is it blue?
  - A. I believe it's Permian.
- Q. Okay, okay. Okay, the colors were a little confusing.

You show that one well that is on your crosssection over on -- to the east. When was that plugged and abandoned? What was the dates on that well?

- A. 1950 is the one to the east, 1948 is the one to the west, both drilled by Union of California.
- Q. And can you elaborate on the -- the well that's in the -- the old well that was in the proration unit -- I'm referring now to Exhibit 1 or any of your exhibits that show the old well -- that again produced what, in the late

1940s, early 1950s?

- A. It was drilled in the 1950s, produced from the San Andres, was plugged in 1991, and it only was drilled to the San Andres depth.
  - Q. Okay, and how was that well completed?
  - A. It was completed in the San Andres.
  - Q. Open hole?
  - A. I would -- I do not know for sure.
- Q. Okay, you don't know the kind of stimulation techniques that were used then? Would it have been a nitro frac or --
  - A. Typically they did on the San Andres.
- Q. Okay. How is that going to affect this particular well?
- A. Our well will be cased through the San Andres with 5-1/2-inch casing, and our zones -- And of course we'll have cement behind the pipe, and we'll be 2000 feet to 4000 feet below that, so it should not have an effect on what we will be completing.
- Q. But for your other test in the San Andres there,
  I mean, that's one of your secondary objectives, right?
- A. It's a fairly thin zone, it's only ten feet thick. We're only going to be 100 feet away from that earlier wellbore. I don't consider it an objective. It should be depleted.

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other questions, Mr.
2	Examiner.
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Anything further,
4	Mr. Bruce?
5	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
6	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, Case Number 12,729 will
7	be taken under advisement.
8	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
9	10:20 a.m.)
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	12729 Sept 20 2001.
17	David K. British
18	Of Conservation Division
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 21st, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002