Hurd to Shannon

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

THE TEXAS COMPANY and
VORA V. HARTLEY,
Petitioners,

VS.

HAROLD HURD, et al,
Respondents.

No. 117

NOW COMES Harold Hurd, one of the Defendants in the above and foregoing petition, and advises the Oil Conservation Commission, of the State of New Mexico, that he has no objections to the matters and facts set forth in said Petition.

The interest of your defendant is known to the owner of the interest herein, and has been recognized by the Texas Company.

By answering the Petition herein, your Defendant waives further notice of this hearing.

Harold Hurd

Feby 9, 1948

HAROLD HURD
ATTORNEY AT LAW
J. P. WHITE BUILDING
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

February 11, 1948

E. R. Wright, Esq.
Attorney at Law
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Wright:

I enclose herewith a copy of my waiver of Service in Cause No. 117, the original, of which, I am, today, sending to the Oil Conservation Commission.

With kindest regards, I am,

Yours truly,

Harold Hurd

Harold Hurd
By: Alda Mae Harris
Secretary

January 31, 1948

Mr. Thomas J. Doyle
Attorney at Law
5624 Grand Avenue
Duluth 7, Minnesota

TheTexas Company and Vora V. Hartley vs. Harold Hurd, et al.

Dear Sir:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of January 27th.

The proceeding referred to is brought under the New Mexico statute which provides for the unitization of oil producing areas where all of the people are not in a position to agree.

In this particular case, The Texas Company had 36/40 of the forty acre tract in question, and Vora V. Hartley had 2/40, which has been acquired by The Texas Company, so that all that is outstanding is a 2/40 interest in this particular forty acre tract which is not covered by a lease.

If the Oil Conservation Commission approves the petition and wants the unitization of this forty acres to be operated by The Texas Company, there will be a 1/20 (2/40) of a 1/8 royalty interest in this particular lease. This interest will have to be divided up among innumerable heirs of the original owner of this two acre tract, which is included within the forty acre tract, and in my opinion, the royalty interest of any one of the heirs of the original owner will be so small as to be almost infinitesimal, as the daily production from the single well on this tract is limited to forty-three barrels, so that a 1/20 interest of a 1/8 royalty interest would amount to only a fraction of a barrel a day.

Under the circumstances, I see no advantage in your clients going to any particular expense in this matter. However, that is a matter for them to decide.

Very truly yours,

E. R. WRIGHT

ERW/dl

THOMAS J. DOYLE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
5624 GRAND AVENUE
DULUTH 7, MINNESOTA

January 27, 1948

Mr. E. R. Wright
Attorney at Law
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Re: The Texas Company and Vora V. Hartley
vs. Harold Hurd, et al.

Your petition in the above matter has been referred to me by Allen Paulson, surviving spouse of Pearl Paulson, one of the parties named therein, who died some time ago. I would like to have you kindly advise me what her interest is in the property and about what it might mean in the way of royalties, if any, so that he will know whether or not it is worth his while to have a representative of the estate appointed for the purpose of consenting to your petition or taking any other proper steps in connection with the procedure.

Mr. Paulson has a number of small children and is not in a position to pay out any funds in connection with this procedure unless he has some reliable information as to what might be involved. Kindly let me hear from you on this and oblige.

Yours very truly,

T. J. Doyle

TJD:DA

PO Box 304

Granite City Ill.

Jan. 25 1945

Mr. E R Wright

Dear Sir

We received the petition from the State Aid Commission in regards to our Aunt Betty Anderson estate.

My address and my sisters address. Georgia Reed Shaw and William Floyd Reed is POST OFFICE box 304. Granite City Illinois

Sincerely. Thanking You William F. Reed.

LAW OFFICES
E. R. WRIGHT
SANTA FE
NEW MEXICO
TELEPHONE 740

December 19, 1947

Graham

Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Inclosed herewith find petition for hearing on the requested pooling order covering the NE¼NE¼ of Section 32, Township 19, S. R. 37 E., N.M.P.M.

As I understand the procedure, it will be necessary for the Commission to set this down for a hearing and to get out a notice which can be published, and also served upon the various persons named in the petition by mail, so far as possible.

I will be glad to go over this matter with you and see if we can work out a proper notice which will probably have to be addressed to all of the people named in the petition.

Very truly yours,

E. R. WRIGHT

ERW/dl

1 Inclosure