

(Mr. Graham reads notice of publication.)

(Witness sworn.)

MR. SPELLMAN: I am D. K. Spellman, Jr., district engineer of the Ohio Oil Company, from Midland, Texas.

The well in question, since the application, has been completed, and in the Drinkard as an oil well producing through tubing, and in the Blinebry as a gas well producing -- possibly will produce through the casing. The Drinkard was perforated from 6,440 to 6,460 and from 6,468 to 6,482. The Blinebry was perforated from 5,388 to 5,422, and from 5,448 to 5,494. A Baker Model D production packer has been set in the well at a depth of 6,410 ft, with an Otis landing nipple and side-door choke set at 6,397. Since completion the well has been tested for positive shut off and it has been ascertained there is no communication between the Drinkard and the Blinebry zones.

I would like at this point to submit some -- an electric log of the well, which I have marked Exhibit 1 in Case 349. I also have a Lane Wells radio activity log showing the perforations and the amount of acid used and the packer setting depth and the casing setting depth and the side-door setting depth, which I have marked Exhibit 2 in Case 349.

Mr. Tom A. Steel, Jr., of our Hobbs office, witnessed the landing of the Baker Model D packer, and I submit his notarized statement as to the depth of the packer setting as Exhibit 3.

Subsequent to bringing in both zones, the well has been tested as I said, and no communication was found between the two zones.

And I have here as Exhibit 4 in Case 349 a notarized statement by Mr. W. M. Curley, Jr., as to the data taken for the packer leakage test, Exhibit 4.

MR. SPURRIER: You wish to offer these exhibits in evidence?

MR. SPELLMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection, they will be received. Exhibits 1 to 4. Does anyone have a question of the witness? Mr. Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: Have you contacted the offset operators for any objection to your proposal?

MR. SPELLMAN: No, sir, we didn't contact any of them. In reading the procedures, why --

MR. GRAHAM: Are there similar projects in the immediate vicinity?

MR. SPELLMAN: Yes, sir, there are. All through that area in fact. I think there are several cases on the docket today around there. Here is one. Cone, Markham & Redfern, oil from the Drinkard and gas from the Blinebry in 14-21-37.

MR. GRAHAM: You are familiar with the usual type of order issued by the Commission?

MR. SPELLMAN: Yes, sir, I have read it.

MR. GRAHAM: And are willing to comply with --

MR. SPELLMAN: -- yes, sir. At whatever intervals the Commission wants, we will test our completion to ascertain that there is still no -- or that no communication remains, is in effect. There is no communication. That is what I am trying to say.

MR. SPURRIER: Are there any other question? If not, the witness may be excused, and the case will be taken under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ss

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I HEREBY CERTIFY That the foregoing transcript is a true record of the matters therein contained.

DONE at Albuquerque, N. M., March 22, 1952.


Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 8-4-52