

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

September 17, 1954

Aztec Oil and Gas Company
902 Mercantile Securities Bldg.
DALLAS - TEXAS

Attention: Mr. Quilman Davis

Gentlemen:

Enclosed are verification copies of orders by the Commission
in Cases 619 and 620.

Very truly yours,

W. B. Macey
Secretary-Director

WEM:nr

C
O
P
Y

A 04G
Cases 619-21

E 7

AZTEC OIL & GAS COMPANY'S
Maxwell #1
Eumont Pool

1953 Production

<u>Month</u>	<u>MCF</u>
January	<u>3055</u>
February	2323
March	3237
April	3705
May	4035
June	3926
July	1913
August	2115
September	3559
October	2958
November	2871

Cumulative production through November, 1953 = 86,726

AMW:bg
12-14-53

Aztec
Case 619-21
CX 6

AZTEC OIL & GAS COMPANY'S
Burk #2
Eumont Pool


1953 Production

<u>Month</u>	<u>MCF</u>
January	6174
February	5216
March	6014
April	5499
May	6032
June	5980
July	6150
August	5414
September	5819
October	5705
November	5815

Cumulative production through November, 1953 = 168,741

AMW:bg
12-14-53

Aztec Oil & Gas Co.
 Exhibit # 4
 Cases 619, 620 and 621



Contours: top of Queen
 Contour Interval: 10 feet

Exhibit # 2
Aztec
Ex 5

AZTEC OIL & GAS COMPANY'S
Burk #1
Eumont Pool

Cases 619
620
621

1953 Production

<u>Month</u>	<u>MCF</u>
January	24695
February	20862
March	24056
April	21995
May	24126
June	23922
July	24599
August	21656
September	23276
October	22818
November	23262

Cumulative production through November, 1953 - 668,703.

EMA:bg
12-14-53

January 5, 1951

Mr. T. E. Burk
400 E. Clinton Street
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Burk:

You will no doubt remember that I talked to you by telephone on November 28, 1950, after you had talked with your father regarding our proposal for pooling his acreage in Sections 27 and 28, Township 19 South, Range 37 East, with other adjoining acreage. At that time you advised me that you had explained our proposal to your father over the week-end of November 18 and 19 and that he was opposed to the pooling agreements suggested.

Southern Union Gas Company is now engaged in construction of a pipe line to connect the Burk No. 1 and No. 2 wells with its gathering system. However, we are still faced with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission's letter of October 26, 1950, which I showed to you during my visit to your shop on November 17. You will recall that this letter demanded that we effect communitization of 160 acre units within Sections 27 and 28 before the Commission would authorize the transportation of gas from the Burk Wells 1 and 2.

You will also recall that our offer was that under no circumstances would the royalties paid your father from the communitized areas be less than he would have received from the Burk No. 1 and No. 2 wells had the acreage not been communitized. In other words, the Company is guarantying that your father will receive no less than his present one-eighth royalty interest from the Burk No. 1 and No. 2 wells, regardless of the outcome of drilling on any communitized acreage. Your father cannot lose and may gain by communitizing as we propose.

Please let us have a letter from your father or from you giving us your reaction to our proposal or if it would be more convenient for you I could come out there on any day your father will be in Hobbs or I could come out and pick up you and your sister and drive to Kermit to see your father and again explain our proposal to him.

Trusting I may have a prompt reply, I am

Very truly yours,

H. W. McClade

HWM:mv
AIR MAIL

BCC: Mr. Van Thompson
Mr. Quilman B. Davis

Holts New Mex:
Jan. 25, 1951

Wm H. H. H. Wade-

Dear Sir:-

I talked with my father about your proposition referred to on other side of this letter. He will not agree to this as he does not want to communitize any ~~part~~ part of his holdings.

Respectfully yours

J. E. Dent

SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY

BURT BUILDING
DALLAS, TEXAS

LAND & LEASE DEPARTMENT
HOWARD W. McDADE


January 23, 1951

Mr. T. E. Burk
400 E. Clinton Street
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Burk:

I would greatly appreciate a reply to my letter of January 5, either from you or from your father, so that we may have something in writing to indicate that we have endeavored to comply with the instructions of the New Mexico Oil and Gas Conservation Commission with respect to the communitization of the lands in Sections 27 and 28, of Township 19 South, Range 37 East, in Lea County, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,



HWM:nw


See Record Book
1/25

AZTEC OIL & GAS COMPANY

**BURT BUILDING
DALLAS 1, TEXAS**

November 12, 1953

AIR MAIL



Oil Conservation Commission
of the State of New Mexico
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. William Macey

Gentlemen:

I am enclosing herewith applications, in duplicate, on behalf of Aztec Oil & Gas Company requesting a hearing on December 17, 1953, for consideration of three proposed unorthodox gas proration units in the Eumont Gas Pool of Lea County, New Mexico, such proposed units being described as follows:

- (a) $E\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 27, Township 19 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M.
- (b) $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 27 and $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M.
- (c) $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 27, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M.

There is also enclosed an application, in duplicate, of Aztec Oil & Gas Company requesting a hearing on December 17, 1953, for consideration of an unorthodox gas proration unit in the Blinbry Gas Pool to cover the $NE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 10, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M.

These applications are being filed and hearing requested for December 17 in anticipation of the proration of natural gas in these pools on January 1, 1954. As to the wells in the Eumont Pool, we were unable to effect pooling or communitization at the time they were drilled so as to form orthodox units and it is doubtful that we will be able to do so now; however, we are contacting all of the interested parties to see if pooling or communitization is possible. Should we be successful in our efforts, we will, of course, let you know in advance of the hearing on December 17.

November 12, 1953

As far as I know, we did not attempt to communitize or pool the lands in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 10, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, at the time the Dauron No. 2 Well was dually completed. We are now contacting Continental to see if it is possible to effect communitization at this time.

Should there be any questions concerning any of these applications, please do not hesitate to call me.

With thanks and best personal regards, I am


Yours very truly,

AZTEC OIL & GAS COMPANY

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Q. B. Davis", with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.


Quilman B. Davis, Attorney

QBD:NL
Encs.




Aztec Oil & Gas Company

Exhibit "A"



Contours: top of Queen
 Contour Interval: 10 feet

Aztec Ex 1
Case 619
620
621



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Dec 17 1953 Hearing - CROSS FILE: Re Cases 613 - 626, incl.

In File Case 613, note Shell Oil Company statement, which was to
be applied to Cases 613 - 626, incl.

C

n