

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

March 20, 1956

C
O
P
Y

Mr. Jason Kellahin
P.O. Box 597
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

In behalf of your client, N. B. Hunt, we enclose two copies of Order R-758 issued March 14, 1955, by the Oil Conservation Commission in Case 873, which was heard on April 20th.

Very truly yours,

W. B. Macey
Secretary - Director

WBM:brp
Encls.

March 7, 1955

E. B. [redacted]

100 [redacted] Building

[redacted] [redacted]

CC- 442,000
Date- 3-10-55

Gentlemen:

A review of the records for your [redacted] [redacted]
Lease Well No. S.T.R.
in the [redacted] Pool, which is listed in the Oil Proration Schedule
with a Gas Oil Ratio in excess of 100,000-1, indicates that this well should
be re-classified as a gas well in the [redacted] Pool. It is cur
intention, therefore, to remove this well from the Oil Proration Schedule, effective
April 30th, 1955 and in order that there may be no delay in its being assigned an
allowable on the gas proration schedule, you are hereby instructed to make
application to the Director of the Oil Conservation Commission in Santa Fe for a
non-standard gas proration unit. Upon receipt of an NSP Order you will please
file with this office Form C-110 in quadruplicate showing the change in pool name
and a gas well plat in duplicate showing the acreage dedicated to this well.

This matter should be given your very prompt attention so that there may be
no lapse of time in the transfer of the well from the Oil Schedule to the Gas
Schedule.

Yours very truly,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALP/ho

Copy: OCC-Santa Fe.
Transporter

Engineer District I

JASON W. KELLAHIN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
54 1/2 EAST SAN FRANCISCO STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 597
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
TELEPHONE 3-9396

February 1, 1956

Mr. W. B. Macey
Secretary-Director
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

W. B. Macey
Case # 873

Dear Mr. Macey:

In connection with the hearing which has been called for February 15, 1956, Case No. 1019 proposes to extend the limits of the Eumont Gas Pool, re-define the limits of the Penrose-Skelly Oil Pool, and abolish the Arrow Gas Pool. I would like to call to your attention the application of N. B. Hunt in Case No. 873, hearing on which application was held April 20, 1955. No order has yet been entered in Case No. 873, which was an application for a non-standard gas proration unit for N. B. Hunt's Weatherly No. 1 Well, consisting of the NW/4 and the W/2 of the NE/4, Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, containing 240 acres, more or less.

Action on Case No. 873 was withheld pending entry of orders by the Commission in Cases No. 881 and No. 884, and it is my understanding that no orders have been entered in either of these two cases.

Upon extension of the horizontal limits of the Eumont Gas Pool to include the Weatherly No. 1 Well, I believe it would be proper to enter an order in Case No. 873, as the Commission may determine upon the basis of the testimony and evidence offered at the April, 1955, hearing. As you will recall, the Weatherly No. 1 Well and N. B. Hunt's Wantz Nos. 1 and 2 Wells have been produced under a special gas allowable assigned each month by the Commission since their deletion from the Penrose-Skelly Oil Pool and re-classification as gas wells.

If anything further is needed from us in connection with Case No. 873, I would appreciate it if you would advise me of that fact.

Yours very truly,

Jason W. Kellahin

Jason W. Kellahin

JWK:lm

cc: Mr. William S. Richardson