

CERTIFICATION

I, A. L. PORTER, JR., Secretary-Director of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, do hereby certify that this order, Order No. R-677, is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the Commission's offices.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my hand and Commission seal this 16th day of November, 1961.



A. L. Porter, Jr.
A. L. Porter, Jr.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of November, 1961.

Isla Rodriguez
Notary Public

My commission expires:

September 22, 1965

R. OLSEN OIL COMPANY

2805 LIBERTY BANK BUILDING

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.

May 31, 1957

CARL I. OLSEN,
PRESIDENT
PHILIP RANDOLPH,
VICE-PRESIDENT
JACK L. NEVILLE,
TREASURER

Re: US-353 Sims
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$; E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ &
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 25-22S-37E
Lea County, New Mexico

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Director
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Porter:

Under date of August 17, 1955, in Case No. 929, Order No. R-677, the Commission approved our application for a non-standard gas proration unit for the above referenced, however due to litigation on subject lease this well has not been drilled. We are interested in knowing whether or not the order would still be in effect at this time, or will it be necessary for us to apply for a new order.

Yours truly,

R. OLSEN OIL COMPANY

wc

By 
Philip Randolph

cc: Mr. Olsen
Mr. Neville

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

June 5, 1957

Mr. Phil Randolph
c/o R. Olsen Oil Company
2805 Liberty Bank Building
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Re: Case 929, Order R-677

Dear Phil:

Reference is made to your letter of May 31, 1957, concerning the validity of the above captioned order of the Commission.

Order R-677 is still in full force and effect and the Commission will authorize the drilling of the subject well upon proper application to Commission on Form C-101.

Reference is also made to your telephone conversation with Mr. Porter on June 4th concerning Commission forced pooling orders. I am forwarding the most recent order on that subject herewith. The findings in such orders may vary widely; however, the order portion is quite standard with the exception of the "125%" figure in paragraph 3 (b), which figure may fluctuate somewhat depending upon the circumstances in each case.

Yours very truly,

William J. Cooley
Attorney

WJC:bp
Encl.

C
O
P
Y