

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
Santa Fe, New Mexico
July 24, 1958

EXAMINER HEARING

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

Case 1478
Continued

DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES
INCORPORATED
GENERAL LAW REPORTERS
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO
3-6691 5-9546

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
Santa Fe, New Mexico
July 24, 1958

EXAMINER HEARING

-----:
IN THE MATTER OF: :

The application of R. Olsen Oil Company for a :
non-standard gas proration unit. Applicant, in :
the above-styled cause, seeks an order establish- : Case
ing a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit : 1478
in the Tubb Gas Pool consisting of the N/2 NW/4, : (Cont.)
SW/4 NW/4, and NW/4 SW/4 of Section 25, Township :
22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. :
-----:

BEFORE: Mr. Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. UTZ: The hearing will come to order, please. The first case on the docket today will be a continued case which was continued before Dan Nutter, and Mr. Nutter will hear that continued case before we proceed further.

MR. PAYNE: Case 1478. In the matter of the application of R. Olsen Oil Company for a non-standard gas proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order establishing a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Tubb Gas Pool consisting of the N/2 NW/4, SW/4 NW/4, and NW/4 SW/4 of Section 25, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. CAMPBELL: Mr. Examiner, I'm Jack M. Campbell, Campbell and Russell, Roswell, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the applicant. I would like again to request that the case be continued

inasmuch as an effort is still under way to work the matter out by voluntary agreement.

MR. NUTTER: Do you have any idea at the present time when you want this case heard, Mr. Campbell?

MR. CAMPBELL: No. I would suggest, if it's agreeable with the Examiner, that it be continued indefinitely, which, of course, would necessitate readvertisement prior to the time it's set down for another hearing, but have it remain on the docket to avoid the necessity of filing a new application in the event it needs to be heard. If the matter is worked out, why we will dismiss the case.

MR. NUTTER: Is there objection to the continuation indefinitely of Case 1478? If not, the case will be so continued and that portion of the docket is adjourned.

