

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

Case No. 1640

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

APRIL 16, 1959

DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES
GENERAL LAW REPORTERS
ALBUQUERQUE NEW MEXICO
Phone CHapel 3-6691

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

Case 1640 Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case
 calling for an order changing the designation
 of a pool and extending existing pools in
 San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico:

- (a) Change the designation of the Otero-Graneros Dakota Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to the Otero-Dakota Pool.
- (b) Extend the Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Pool boundary in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST, NMPM
Section 29: E/2

- (c) Extend the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool boundary in Rio Arriba and San Juan Counties, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM
Section 25: S/2

- (d) Extend the Angels Peak-Gallup Oil Pool Boundary in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM
Section 6: SW/4
Section 7: NW/4

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 1: All
Section 2: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 28: SW/4
Section 29: S/2
Section 32: All
Section 33: W/2

- (e) Extend the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool boundary in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 2: SW/4

TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 19: S/2 S/2

- (f) Extend the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool boundary in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
Section 2: W/2 SW/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
Section 19: SW/4 and S/2 SE/4
Section 29: NW/4

- (g) Extend the Verde-Gallup Oil Pool boundary in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM
Section 16: SW/4 NW/4
Section 17: E/2
Section 20: E/2

- (h) Extend the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool boundary in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 3: N/2

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 6: E/2
Section 9: W/2

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
Section 22: W/2

Hobbs Auditorium
Hobbs, New Mexico
April 16, 1959

BEFORE:

A. L. Porter, Jr.
Murray Morgan
E. S. Walker

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. PORTER: We will take up next Case 1640.

MR. PAYNE: Case 1640, "Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order changing the designation of a pool and extending existing pools in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico."

A. R. KENDRICK

called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Will the witness please state his name and position?

A A. R. Kendrick, engineer for the Oil Commission.

Q Are you the same A. R. Kendrick who was sworn and testified in the case just presented?

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Kendrick, in your official capacity with the Oil Conservation Commission, have you had an opportunity to make a study concerning the necessity and desirability for changing the designation or extending certain existing pools in San Juan and

Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico?

A Yes, sir.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the changing of the designation of the Otero-Graneros Dakota Pool?

A In conjunction with Order R-1287, which is a Dakota spacing order that set up the Dakota producing interval in the San Juan Basin, I recommend that this pool name be changed to the Otero-Dakota Pool.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Pool?

A I recommend that the pool be extended as shown on Exhibit One, Paragraph B, on the basis of one well capable of producing from this pool.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool?

A I recommend that the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool be extended as shown in Paragraph F of Exhibit One on the basis of one well capable of producing from that pool.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Angels Peak-Gallup Oil Pool?

A I recommend that the Angels Peak-Gallup Oil Pool be extended as shown on Paragraph D of Exhibit One on the basis of two wells capable of producing from that pool.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool?

A I recommend that the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool be extended as shown on Paragraph E of Exhibit One on the basis of two wells capable of producing.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool?

A I recommend that the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool be extended as shown on Paragraph F of Exhibit One on the basis of six wells capable of producing from that pool.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Verde-Gallup Oil Pool?

A I recommend that the Verde-Gallup Oil Pool be extended as shown on Paragraph G of Exhibit One on the basis of three wells capable of producing.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool?

A I recommend that the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool be extended as shown on Paragraph H of Exhibit One on the basis of four wells capable of producing.

(Thereupon, the document was marked as Exhibit Number One for identification.)

Q (By Mr. Payne) Mr. Kendrick, have you prepared an exhibit substantiating your recommendations?

A Yes sir, NMOCC Exhibit Number One in Case 1640.

Q Have they also been substantiated by the docket, is that

Exhibit Number Two?

A No, it's all included in Exhibit One.

Q All right, sir.

MR. PAYNE: We move the introduction of Exhibit One in Case 1640.

MR. PORTER: Without objection, the exhibit will be admitted.

MR. PAYNE: That concludes our case.

MR. PORTER: The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. PORTER: We will take the case under advisement and the hearing is adjourned.

