

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
March 3, 1961

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of E. G. Rodman for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a non-standard gas proration unit in the Blinebry Gas Pool, consisting of the W/2 NW/4, NE/4-NW/4 and NW/4 NE/4 of Section 20, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Case 2213

BEFORE:

Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. NUTTER: Call Case 2213.

MR. MORRIS: Application of E. G. Rodman for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, representing the applicant. We have one witness, Mr. Lamar Hunt.

(Witness sworn.)

LAMAR HUNT

called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q Will you state your name, please?

A Lamar Hunt.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



Q What business are you engaged in, Mr. Hunt?

A I am a consulting engineer and professional land man.

Q Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Commission as a professional engineer?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Hunt, are you familiar with the application of E. G. Rodman in Case No. 2213?

A Yes, sir.

Q Briefly, what is proposed in this application?

A The application requests that they be given permission to drill a non-standard location for a Blinebry gas well.

Q You mean non-standard unit?

A Yes.

Q What is the location that is proposed?

A The proposed well location is 660 feet from the North and 1980 feet from the East line of Section 20, Township 21 South, Range 37 East.

Q Have you prepared a plat showing the acreage involved in this application?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A This exhibit shows the location of gas wells in the



vicinity of the proposed location, and it shows there are gas wells on all sides of the proposed location.

Q How is the proposed unit shown on the exhibit?

A It is shown as an L-shaped unit.

Q Is that the colored area?

A Yes, sir.

Q Does the exhibit show the offsetting gas units?

A Yes, sir, it does.

Q And is all of the acreage offsetting the proposed non-standard unit dedicated to a gas well?

A Possibly with the exception of the acreage in Section 19 to the west where Amerada has -- it looks like 160 acres there that would make up a unit alone of their own. There is no other available acreage to go into Rodman's unit.

Q Rodman could not, then, form a standard unit without changing the dedication to the Amerada well to the South?

A That's right.

Q In your opinion, Mr. Hunt, is all of the acreage Rodman proposes to dedicate to this well productive of gas?

A Yes, sir.

Q On what would you base that conclusion?

A Well, there is a well directly offsetting it to the west in Section 19 that is producing. There is a well directly south of it in the SW/4 of Section 20 that is producing from the Blinebry gas zone. ~~There is a well in the SE/4 of the NW/4 that is producing~~



BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Is this a new well?

A Yes, sir. It will be a new well.

Q So there is nothing dedicated at present?

A That's right.



gas from the Blinebry zone. There is a well in the SE/4 of the NE/4 of Section 20 producing gas from the Blinebry zone, and a well in the SE/4 of the SW/4 of Section 17 producing Blinebry gas.

Q Upon the assumption that a well completed in the Blinebry zone will drain not less than 160 acres as has been found by this Commission, in your opinion, is the Rodman acreage suffering drainage at the present time?

A It certainly appears to be, yes, sir.

Q In your opinion, would approval of his application be in the interests, then, of protecting correlative rights?

A I believe so.

Q Was Exhibit 1 examined by you for its correctness?

A Yes, sir.

Q In your opinion does it correctly reflect the situation?

A So far as I have information, it does, yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time I would like to offer in evidence Exhibit No. 1.

MR. NUTTER: Exhibit No. 1 will be admitted into evidence.

MR. KELLAHIN: That is all the questions I have.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have any questions of the witness?

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Is this a new well?

A Yes, sir. It will be a new well.

Q So there is nothing dedicated at present?

A That's right.



Q The well has been drilled, has it?

A No, it has not been drilled.

Q You don't feel that it is likely you will get an oil well in the Blinebry?

A I don't believe so. You have a gas well southeast of it. There are oil wells still further southeast.

Q Did you depict on here any oil wells that might be there?

A No, sir, there are no oil wells shown on this plat.

Q Do you know if there are any oil wells?

A There are shallow oil wells in the shallow zone.

Q Not Blinebry, though?

A No, sir.

Q So you anticipate a gas well?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you feel that the well located in the location you have proposed will efficiently drain this tract you propose to dedicate to it?

A Yes, sir, I believe so.

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Hunt, you show six gas wells on here. Are all six Blinebry wells?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Hunt? He may be excused. Do you have anything further Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: That is all I have.



I N D E X

WITNESS

PAGE

LAMAR HUNT

Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin

1

QUESTIONS BY Mr. Payne

4

QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter

5

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

E X H I B I T S

NUMBER
Ex.#1

EXHIBIT
Plat

IDENTIFIED
2

OFFERED
4

ADMITTED
4

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2243 heard by me on 3/3, 1961.

[Signature], Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

