

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Santa Fe, New Mexico  
January 17, 1962

IN THE MATTER OF:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order creating new pools, extending and contracting certain existing pools in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico

No. 2476

and

Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order extending existing pools in Rio Arriba, Sandoval and San Juan, Counties.

No. 2477

BEFORE:

Edwin L. Mechem, Governor  
E. S. "Johnny" Walker, Land Commissioner  
A. L. "Pete" Porter, Secretary-Director of Commission

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. PORTER: The hearing will come to order please.

MR. RICHARD S. MORRIS: If the Commission please, I move for the consolidation of case 2477, the Northwest New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order extending existing pools in Rio Arriba, Sandoval and San Juan, Counties, with case 2476, the Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order creating new pools, extending and contracting certain existing pools in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico, for the purpose of hearing.

MR. PORTER: The Commission will consolidate the two cases

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.  
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.  
PHONE 243-6691



for the purpose of taking testimony.

MR. JAMES WHITFIELD: Mr. Ramey, be sworn please.

(Witness sworn.)

JOE D. RAMEY

called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn,  
testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RICHARD S. MORRIS:

Q State your name and position please.

A Joe D. Ramey, Proration Manager for the New Mexico  
Conservation Commission.

Q Are you prepared to testify today concerning the  
necessity and feasibility of creating, extending and con-  
tracting oil and gas pools in the State of New Mexico?

A Yes, I am.

Q In this regard, do you have an exhibit which you  
could offer in this case number 2476 concerning the nomen-  
clature in Southeastern New Mexico?

A I do.

Q Referring to Exhibit 1, tell us please, what is  
your recommendation for case number 2476, concerning  
paragraph (a)?

A I recommend that paragraph (a) be dismissed  
at this time for further study.

Q What is your recommendation at this time concerning



paragraph (b)?

A I recommended that paragraph (b) be dismissed at this time pending further study.

Q What is your recommendation concerning paragraph (c)?

A I recommended that it be adopted as shown, with the addition that this North-Justis-Blinebry Pool, that the top of this pool be divided at a point on the Electric Log of the J. C. Williams Unit E, Section 1, at minus 1867, being described as Township 25, South, Range 37 East, NMPM, (New Mexico Principal Meridian) Section 1, NW 4.

Q You checked the top of this pool on this log?

A Yes.

Q Skipping over to paragraph (e), what is your recommendation on that as to paragraph (e)?

A That that be adopted as shown, the top of this shall be 5,655 feet, or minus 2517, on the J. C. Williams Electric Log, Unit E, Section 1, described as Township 25, South, Range 37 East, NMPM (New Mexico Principal Meridian), Section 1, NE 4, North Justis-Tubb-Drinkard Pool. This is a check on the top of this pool.

Q What is your recommendation concerning paragraph (k) of your exhibit?

A That it be dismissed pending further study.

Q Have you compared your exhibit with the information



on the docket for this hearing?

A I have.

Q Is it your recommendation that the Commission take action on this exhibit as you have presented it, creating, extending and contracting the various pools as shown on your exhibit?

A Yes.

Q Do you have anything further you would like to offer in case 2476?

A No, not at this time.

Q Referring now to Exhibit 1, in case number 2477, does your exhibit reflect certain proposed extensions of pools in Northwestern New Mexico?

A Yes.

Q What is your recommendation as to paragraph (d) of your exhibit - - you recommend that it should be dismissed?

A Yes, that is true.

Q Is it your recommendation that the Commission take action upon the various pools as shown in your Exhibit 1, at this time?

A Yes, that is my recommendation.

Q Do you have anything further to offer concerning your Exhibit 1 in case number 2477?

A No.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.  
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.  
PHONE 243-6691

MR. MORRIS: I move the admission of Exhibit 1, in case number 2476 and 2477 into evidence.

(Whereupon Staff Exhibit 1 is offered in evidence.)

MR. PORTER: It will be admitted. As I understand it, in case number 2476, you are recommending that paragraph (a) be dismissed and that paragraph (b) be dismissed.

(Whereupon Staff Exhibit 1 is admitted into evidence.)

A Yes, and paragraph (k).

MR. PORTER: Paragraph (k) will be dismissed in case number 2477, and paragraph (d) is dismissed also in case number 2477.

A That is correct.

MR. PORTER: Let the record show that those paragraphs referred to will be dismissed and the case will be taken under advisement. Does any one have any questions of this witness in cases 2476 and 2477?

There being nothing further, this witness is excused. This case will also be taken under advisement.

(Witness excused.)

MR. PORTER: The hearing is adjourned.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at the hour of 11:00 a.m.)

\* \* \* \* \*



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.  
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.  
PHONE 243-6691

STATE OF NEW MEXICO    )  
                                  )    ss  
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO   )

I, KATHERINE B. KITZMILLER, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my hand this 2nd day of February, 1962.

Katherine B. Kitzmiller  
Court Reporter

