





NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

REGISTER

HEARING DATE

NOVEMBER 20, 1962

TIME:

9 A.M.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
M. J. Peyton	The Pure Oil Co.	Midland, Texas
George E. Fish	The Pure Oil Co.	Midland, Texas
Ed. Cottner	Continental Oil Co.	Hobbs, N. Mex.
M. H. Parker	" "	Ft. Worth, Tex.
Lawrence Orager	" "	Hobbs, New Mex.
R. L. Freeborn	✓ ✓	Roswell N. Mex.
C. R. Appledorn	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
W. B. H. H. H.	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
Richard S. Morris	Seth, Montgomery, Federico & Andrews	Santa Fe
Joe Luckett	Pure Oil Co.	Midland, Texas
W. J. Anderson	Pure Oil	✓ ✓
W. J. Henry	Pure Oil	✓ ✓
Wm. S. Dawson	Humble Oil	Midland
D. G. McCarty	Humble Oil	Midland
Jack D. Jones	Southwest	Farmington
Q. R. Murphy	Pure	Midland Tex
Frank E. Johnson	State Exam. Office	Santa Fe

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

REGISTER

HEARING DATE NOVEMBER 20, 1962 TIME: 9 A.M.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
Jason Kellahni M.L. Armstrong	Kellahni & Fox OCC	Santa Fe Artesia
Geo. Hunt H. Chatter	S.W. Reed Co H.P.H.	Farmington Roswell
W. A. Mead	Continental Oil	Roswell
E. Laughlin	Brunson & Laughlin	Hobbs
Nolan Brunson	Brunson & Laughlin	Hobbs
John F. Russell	Campbell & Russell	Roswell
<del>Tom L. Ingram</del>	<del>Neuberg &amp; Ingram</del>	<del>Roswell</del>





Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 1 is a plat of the area under question. It shows the location of Lambert No. 8 circled in red, and shows the offsetting leases and wells for a distance of one-half mile surrounding the proposed completion, and it designates the zone of completion of each of these wells.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, is that a log of the subject well?

A Yes, sir.

Q What information have you shown on that log?

A On this log near the base of it I have shown in red the base of the San Andres or the top of the Glorieta Paddock.

Q Have there been dual completions in this same zone in the area involved here, Mr. Snyder?

A Yes, sir.

Q You stated that this was a conventional dual. Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 3, would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 3 is a schematic diagram of the proposed installation in the well, showing the total depth of the well, 5,715 feet, plugged back depth, 5679, and 5-inch casing set at 5665. There were three other strings of casing set. Each casing string was circulated with cement. We propose to set a permanent type packer between the two zones at 5550, run two strings of



2-3/8ths inch tubing, each string tapered to a smaller string in order to get into the 5-inch casing liner.

Q On account of this tubing, is that the reason that this dual completion does not meet the requirements for administrative approval?

A Yes, sir.

Q You expect oil to be produced from both of these zones, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Will you be able to pump the zones in the event it's necessary?

A Yes, both zones could be pumped or lifted by gas lift.

Q Do you know what the gravities of the fluids involved are?

A The gravity of fluid from the Paddock zone will be about 38 degrees, and the gravity in the Blinebry zone will be about 40 degrees.

Q Do you know what pressures are found in these two zones?

A The bottom hole pressure in the Paddock zone is about 1515 pounds per square inch, and in the Blinebry zone, 1380 pounds per square inch.

Q What about the GOR's?

A The gas-oil ratio in the Paddock completion is about 700, and in the Blinebry completion, 1150.

Q In your opinion, will this type of completion adequately



protect the two producing horizons?

A Yes, sir.

Q In your well, are all horizons protected throughout?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did the cement circulate on each of these strings as shown on the exhibit?

A Yes, sir.

Q So it's cemented from top to bottom, is that correct?

A Yes, sir, very unusual case.

Q Were Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes, they were.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time I would like to offer in evidence Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 will be entered into the record in this case.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1, 2, & 3 admitted in evidence.)

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all the questions I have of the witness.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Mr. Snyder, how will you artificially lift the quarter-inch tubing to the Paddock zone other than gas lift?

A We could pump that with a seating nipple set in the



bottom of the 2-3/8ths inch tubing at 3643.

Q Your oil would have to raise up to the 2-3/8ths?

A Yes, sir.

Q That's 1572 feet, or 62 feet, inch and a quarter, you will have to have enough formation pressure to raise the oil up to that point?

A Yes, sir. The performance of the Paddock reservoir indicates it has a substantial water drive. We expect it will be watered out long before the pressure is so low it wouldn't lift the fluid that high.

Q It's a water drive pool?

A It's a water drive.

Q You shouldn't have any pressure problem then?

A No.

Q What was the pressure in that zone again?

A 1515.

Q What was your pressure in the Blinebry zone?

A 1380.

Q I believe you testified to the fact that the cement was circulated?

A Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Any other statements in this case? The case



