

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1162

SANTA FE, N. M.
PHONE 983-3971

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
November 8, 1962

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to complete its Graham State (NCT-I) Well No. 2 located in Unit L of Section 19, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as a triple completion (conventional) to produce oil from the Paddock, Blinebry, and Drinkard Oil Pools through parallel strings of tubing.

CASE
NO. 2685

BEFORE:

Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. NUTTER: Next case will be Case 2685.

MR. DURETTE: Case 2685. Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. KASTLER: Bill Kastler, appearing for Gulf Oil Corporation. Our witness is Mr. John H. Hoover.

J O H N H. H O O V E R, a Witness, called by the Applicant, having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KASTLER:



Q Mr. Hoover, are you the same witness who was sworn and gave the same testimony in the immediate preceding case?

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you please state what Gulf seeks in this Application Number 2685?

A We are requesting approval of a triple completion conventional for our Graham State "I" Well Number 2, in the Paddock, Blinebry and Drinkard Oil Pools.

Q Is this proposed triple completion similar to the triple completion just proposed in Case 2684?

A Yes, sir, it is; it is practically identical.

Q Have you prepared a lease plat showing the location of this well?

A Yes, sir. It's marked Exhibit Number 1.

Q Explain what Exhibit Number 1 shows.

A This shows the Graham State NCT-I lease outlined in red, and described as lots 3 and 4 of Section 19, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. Well Number 2, or proposed Well Number 2 is circled in red; it is located 1980 feet from the south line, and 560 feet from the west line of this Section 19. I would like to point out to the Examiner the proximity of the Well Number 2 to the previous well just discussed, the Mattern "A" Number 3, and our Graham State "I" Number 1. I would like to also point out that the Well Number 2 is only a location, has not been drilled; therefore, we do not have a log for this well.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

SANTA FE, N. M.
PHONE 983-3971

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



Q For Exhibit Number 2 in this case, have you prepared a schematic diagram showing the method of completion, and is it identical in most respects to Exhibit Number 3 in Case 2684?

A Yes, sir, it's identical in so far as the proposed completion. Since the well has not been drilled, the footages, the proposed perforations, are all approximate, and the actual setting depths and the actual perforations of course will be determined when that well is drilled and it is logged. Otherwise, it is identical to the Mattern "A" Well Number 3.

MR. KASTLER: Mr. Examiner, in the interest of saving time, since the proposed mechanical installation in this well is identical to that proposed in Case 2684, which has just been heard, I would like to request that Mr. Hoover's testimony regarding Exhibit Number 3 in Case 2684 be incorporated into this record by reference, insofar as it applies to the physical installation and the bottom-hole pressures.

MR. NUTTER: Does that go as far as the casing, the cementing, tubing, and packer?

A No, sir; as far as the production tests, gravities, bottom-hole pressures which we might expect.

MR. NUTTER: I mean, Exhibit Number 2 in this case would indicate that the casing, cementing, tubing, packer installation in this well, would be similar to the previously discussed well?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir, the testimony in the previous



case will be incorporated by reference in this case, Mr. Kastler.
Do you have anything further then?

MR. KASTLER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Hoover?

A No, sir, I have not.

Q (By Mr. Kastler) If granted, will this triple completion prevent waste and protect correlative rights?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you, or at your direction and under your supervision?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KASTLER: I would like to move that these exhibits be entered.

MR. NUTTER: Gulf's Exhibits 1 and 2 will be entered in evidence in this case.

MR. KASTLER: This completes our direct examination.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have any questions of Mr. Hoover?

(No response.)

MR. NUTTER: He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. Kastler?

MR. KASTLER: No, I haven't, thank you.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case 2685?

(No response.)

MR. NUTTER: We will take the case under advisement.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

SANTA FE, N. M.
PHONE 983-3971

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1112

SANTA FE, N. M.
PHONE 983-3971

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

I N D E X

WITNESS

PAGE

JOHN H. HOOVER

Direct Examination by Mr. Kastler

2

E X H I B I T S

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>EXHIBIT</u>	<u>MARKED</u>	<u>OFFERED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
Gulf's #1	Lease Plat	3	5	5
Gulf's #2	Schematic Diagram	4	5	5

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Executive Hearing of Case No. 2685, heard by me on 11-8, 1962.

[Signature], Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

