			Page			
1 2	NEW MEXICO OIL CON Santa Fe,	RE THE SERVATION New Mexic 23, 1976		ON		
3		·				
4	EXAMINE	R HEARING				
5			)			
6	IN THE MATTER OF:		)			
7	Application of Dalport Of for an exception to the	provisions		CASE 5699		
8	Order No. R-3221, Chaves New Mexico.	County,	)			
9			)			
10	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner					
11						
12	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING					
13						
14		RANCI		<b></b>		
15	For the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission:	Legal Co	unsel for	Esq. the Commission Building		
16		Santa Fe		_		
17	For the Applicant:	Sim B. Cl	<del>-</del> '	V, Esq. & COPPLE		
18		Attorney:	s at Law	u corre		
19		Roswell,		.co		
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

25

1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	LEON LAMPERT	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Christy	3
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	7
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	EXHIBIT INDEX	
11		Page
12	Applicant's Exhibit A, Map	10
13	Applicant's Exhibit B, Map	10
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAMETS: At this time we will take Case 5699 cut of order.

MR. CARR: Case 5699, application of Dalport Oil Corporation for an exception to the provisions of Order No. R-3221, Chaves County, New Mexico.

MR. CHRISTY: Sim Christy, Jennings, Christy and Copple for the applicant. We have one witness, Mr. Lampert.

MR. STAMETS: The record will reflect that this is the same witness, previously sworn and he is still sworn in this case.

## LEON LAMPERT

called as a witness, having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHRISTY:

- Q. And you advised us of your name, et cetera, and your qualifications in the prior hearing did you not?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with what is sought in the application in Case 5699?
- A. Yes, sir, this is the same area that is an unorthodox in --
  - Q. Case 5689?

A.	Right
7 10	1129110

- Q. The same area?
- A. The same lease. Exhibit A --
- Q. Excuse me, Exhibit A.
- A. All right.

(THEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.)

Q. (Mr. Christy continuing.) Now, you started to refer to Exhibit A?

A. Yes, sir, on this Jones Lease we were not making any water until we drilled our No. 3 Jones in the northeast of the southwest about two months ago. That well tested twenty-nine barrels of oil and seventeen barrels of water per day. Now, that's the one that was slightly low.

We would like to obtain an exception to this No
Pit Order and build a pit right up there by the No. 1 which
is where the battery is to take care of this seventeen barrels
of salt water a day which will decrease like I mentioned
earlier. As the oil decreases the water will decrease with
it.

- Q. You mean the production of oil?
- A. As the production of oil decreases the production of water will decrease.
- Q. So, you don't envisage you will ever be above the present seventeen barrels?

A. Except when we drill this No. 4 Well, if when we drill it we might make some water there but at the most we will probably be putting forty or fifty barrels of water a day into that pit at a maximum.

- One of the concerns, of course, is contamination of fresh water, I would like to have you discuss that question a minute for the Examiner and refer to Exhibit B, please?
- A. Well, let me stay on Exhibit One. You will notice underlined in red there is some "No Water" places there in Exhibit A, in Section 22 there in southeast of the northwest, that's the Dalport No. 1 Todd Hunter. We drilled that with cable tools and there was no fresh water in that well.

We drilled in the northwest of the southwest, in the Jones Well, we drilled it with cable tools and there was no water in that well, no shallow water in that well.

Dalport had an interest, a dry hole interest in the section just to the south in the McClellan No. 2 and there was no water encountered in that well.

So, what this exhibit is showing is that there is no fresh water present in an area surrounding this proposed pit.

- Q. What is the directional flow of surface water?
- A. That's on Exhibit B. The normal direction of underground flow is to the south and Exhibit B shows the

location of pumping water wells in yellow in this vicinity, ranch water, and the closest one is to the north of our proposed tank, two-and-a-half or so miles, the Little Lucky Lake Well. There is one off to the west about four miles at a depth of a hundred feet.

Like I say, the direction of the water is to the south so that any water that is put into our pit, if it is going underground it will be going southward. There are no tanks, no water supply or aquifers to the south at all. There would be just no damage to any kind of surface water in the area.

- Q. Is there anything else that you think should be of interest to the Examiner in connection with this case?
- A. Let's see, of course, in talking to the ranchers, we have had these hearings before about these pits and the water quality in there is poor. There are more wells further up north as shown by those double circles you can see on Exhibit B. The one on the right side of the map, that Fine Tree double circle, is a mistake, that is just an earthen tank, that is not a pumping well. It was on one of my older maps and hadn't been taken off. That's just an earthen tank there.
- So, just basically disposal of water into the earthen pit wouldn't harm any kind of water or environment.
  - Q And you would like to amend Exhibit B to delete the

reference to the well and leave the reference to the tank over there on the pipeline?

- A. This Pine Tree Well, one reason I didn't color it yellow, all it is is an earthen tank.
- Q. But you do want to amend Exhibit B to make that correction?
  - A. Yes, right.
  - Q. Do you have anything else?
  - A. No, sir, I believe that is all.

MR. CHRISTY: That's all for the applicant. Excuse me.

- Q. (Mr. Christy continuing.) Were Exhibits A and B prepared by you or under your direct supervision?
  - A. Yes.

MR. CHRISTY: That's all for the applicant.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

- Q. Have you notified the owners of these two water wells of your application today?
- A. No, sir, it is the Vogle Ranch that owns all of that. I have talked to him in the past and he has been very helpful in the past but I have not notified him about this request. He is the one that has provided me with all of the information about these wells but we are disposing of other

	_	
Daga	Q	
Page	_ 0	

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750
Phone (505) 982-9212

water in the area and there just has never been any problem.

Q. Let's see, you mentioned some other surface disposal that you have in there on Exhibit B, could you locate that, please?

A. It's 30 East, 15 South, 30 East, Section 25, right there by the Cap, there is this Pine Tree Well there on the right.

0. Uh-huh.

A. All right, at the last hearing we had for our State C pit, a few miles from there, I thought that was a water well but I found out just --

Q. No, I think you misunderstood my question. I telieve you already have authority to dispose of water on the surface in other localities in this area, where are those pits?

A. Oh, I see what you mean. Well, first of all, going back to Exhibit A, there is a pit just north of the one that we are proposing, that's on the Todd Hunter Lease, between the 1 and 2 Todd Hunter, there's an earlier pit right there, just immediately north of the word "pit" and it's taking care of five or six barrels a day.

And, let's see, elsewhere on this Exhibit A there
is -- well, of course, McClellan may have -- McClellan does
have some disposals systems there in the Sulimar but we would
have to go to Exhibit B and in Section 16 of 15, 30, it's pretty

hard to read this map but it would be right there where it says "Cedar Point". There are some wells right in there and there is a disposal pit right there in the southwest quarter of 15. This is our State C Lease.

So, there is a disposal pit there in the southwest of 16. There's one, come up farther north, in 14, 30 in Section 31. There is a disposal pit about -- well, there's a little square, do you see the little square there in the west half of 31, there is a disposal pit there.

Now, those are the ones that Dalport owns and then, of course, Exxon or someone else may have some others but we have three or four in the area.

- Q. Is it possible that sometime this little pool will be water flooded and this water can return to the ground?
- A. Uh-huh. Definitely. I think every oil well in that country will eventually be water flooded and there is no reason why it shouldn't be.
  - 0. How far off is that?
- A. Well, luckily in the Lucky Lake area we don't have one operator in there so if we ever get started it wouldn't take too long in the next forseeable three years or something like that.
  - Q. If your application were denied might it be sooner?
- A. It's possible. Well, it's still primary development in there and we wouldn't initiate water flood proceedings until