STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT	Т
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.	
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	
28 November 1979	

28 November 1979			
EXAMINER	HEARING		
IN THE MATTER OF:))		
Application of Kello agreement, Lea Count	y, New Mexico.) 6733		
BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets			
TRANSCRIP	T OF HEARING		
APPEA	RANCES		
For the Oil Conservation Division:	Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
For the Applicant:	James T. Jennings, Esq. JENNINGS AND CHRISTY Roswell, New Mexico		

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020 Plaza Blanca (605) 471-2462 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

I N D E X

MONTY GIST

Direct Examination by Mr. Jennings 3

Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets

EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit One, Unit Agreement	4
Applicant Exhibit Two, Certificate	5
Applicant Exhibit Three, Plat	6
Applicant Exhibit Four, Structure Map	6

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAMETS: Call next Case 6733.

MR. PADILLA: Application of Kelloil, Inc.,

for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. STAMETS: Call for appearances.

MR. JENNINGS: I'm James T. Jennings, of

Jennings and Christy, appearing upon behalf of the applicant,

Kelloil, Inc., and I will have one witness, Mr. Monty Gist.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances? I'd like to have the witness sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

MONTY GIST

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JENNINGS:

Q. Would you state your name and occupation, please, sir?

A. I'm Monty Gist. I'm a geologist with Kelloil, Inc. I live in Midland, Texas.

Q. Have you had occasion to testify as a geologist previously before this Commission?

A. Yes, sir, I have.

to Exhibit One?

1	Q.	On that occasion were your qualifications
2	as an expert geolo	ogist accepted?
3	А.	Yes, sir, they were.
4		MR. JENNINGS: Does the Commission wish me
5	to further qualify	y this witness?
6		MR. STAMETS: The witness is considered
7	qualified.	
8	Q.	Mr. Gist, are you familiar with the appli-
9	cation which has b	peen filed here?
10	А.	Yes, sir, I have. I am.
11	Q.	Basically, it's an application to approve
12	a unit consisting	of some 1440 acres of State lands?
13	А.	That's true.
14	Q.	I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit
15	One and ask if you	will refer to that and identify it, please.
16	А.	Exhibit One is a unit agreement for the
17	development and op	peration of the Lea Penn South Unit Area of
18	Lea County, New Me	exico. It has been properly executed by the
19	parties within the	e unit boundary.
20	Q.	Well, you might identify the parties and
21	the property owner	d by each and indicate if that company has
22	executed the unit	agreement.
23	А.	Okay.
24	Q.	Are you now referring to an exhibit attached

A. I'm not referring to a lease plat attached to Exhibit One. Outlined in yellow on your exhibit the executing parties include Gulf Oil Corporation, who own leases Section 19, the east half and the southwest quarter and the south half of Section 30; Sabine Royalty, the north half of Section 30; and the Southland Royalty Corporation, the west half of Section 29, Township 20 South, Range 35 East.

Q. What is the unitized substances as defined by the unit agreement?

A. The unitized substance requested is all rights, all substances, down to the base of the Morrow formation.

Q. I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit
Two and ask you if you would identify that?

A. Yes, sir. Exhibit Two is the certificate of approval by the Commissioner of Public Lands of State of New Mexico for the requested Lea Penn South Unit. It is dated October the 24th, 1979, and executed by Alex J. Armijo.

Q. Does that appear to be a duplicate original counterpart of the instrument?

A. Yes, sir, it is.

Q. I will hand you what -- before we get off of that, what is the actual purpose of the unit?

A. Well, the purpose of the unit is to preserve and prevent waste of the unitized substances under the unitized

area.

- Q. To conserve natural resources?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I hand you what has been marked for identification as Exhibit Three, and would you tell the Examiner just what that is, please?
- A. Exhibit Three is a lease ownership plat showing in yellow the 1440 acres within the unit boundary.
- Q. And that reflects the ownership which you have heretofore testified to?
 - A. That is correct.
- And what is the location of the well in question?
- A. The well in question is located in the northeast quarter of Section 30.
- Q. And, okay, would you refer to what has now been marked as Exhibit Four and identify -- and explain -- identify the exhibit and explain to the Examiner?
- A. Exhibit Four is a structural map. The Solid contours mapped on top of the Lower Morrow formation marker; the dashed and highlighted pink lines represent the geological interpretation of the gross Morrow sand thickness within the clastic unit. The yellow represents the unit boundary and the acreage we control. The dot with the arrow pointing to it is the location of the well.

Q. What does this reflect as to the possibility of the production within the area?

A. This interpretation indicates that the substance -- that the Morrow reservoir is in the controlling area of the acreage -- or is in the area of the acreage in which we control, and we feel that -- that by unitizing this we can secure a more orderly and economically efficient development program for the conservation of the unitized natural resources and for the prevention of waste. And we feel that that reservoir body is -- is within our unitized area.

Q. Is it within the contour of 9500 foot contour, or is it different?

A. It is within the 9500 foot contour.

Q. Mr. Gist, in your opinion will the approval of the unit secure the orderly development of the lands embraced therein in accordance with good conservation practices and enable the State of New Mexico and the operator to obtain the greatest ultimate recovery of the Morrow gas underlaying the area?

A. Yes, sir, I do believe that.

Q. In your opinion is the approval of the unit agreement in the interest of conservation and will it prevent waste?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you have anything else that you wish to

offer, any further testimony that you wish to give to the Commission at this time?

A. No, sir, I do not.

Q. Were Exhibits Three and Four prepared by you or under your supervision?

A. Yes, they were.

Q And is Exhibit One a true copy of the unit agreement?

A. Yes.

Q. And is Exhibit Two a duplicate original of the certificate of approval of the Commissioner of Public Lands?

A. Yes, sir, it is.

MR. JENNINGS: We have nothing further to offer at this time. We would offer Exhibits Three and Four and also offer Exhibit One, which is a copy, and if the Commission desires, or the Division desires, we can get a certified copy, and Exhibit Two is a duplicate original.

MR. STAMETS: These exhibits will be admitted.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

Q. Mr. Gist, would Kelloil be willing to seek and receive Commission approval for any --- or Division approval

for any participating areas established under this unit or any change of operator or plans of development which may be filed because of unit operations?

A. I'm not sure I --

MR. JENNINGS: May I -- I think I can make the answer. The unit operating agreement provides for the manner in which all the parties are to participate under the entire area.

Q. Okay, so there will be no -MR. JENNINGS: No participating areas as such, no.

Okay. Change of operator, I presume there is no objection to seeking Division approval for that?

MR. JENNINGS: No, sir.

MR. STAMETS: Will there be any plans of development filed?

A. I anticipate that we'll fully comply with the -- it's a State unit and it's all State land, so we'll comply with the unit.

Q. Okay, and copies of those, you have no objection to seeking Division approval of any plans of development?

MR, JENNINGS: No, no objection.

MR. STAMETS: Okay. Any other questions of the witness? He may be excused.

Anything further in this case?

The case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,
DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript
of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported
by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct
record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my
ability from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Suly W. Boyd C.S.R.
Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

Olichard R. Hand Franchier