

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
CASE NO. 10707


IN THE MATTER OF:

The Application of Amoco Production
Company for a CO2 Injection Pilot
Project and an Exception to Rule 4,
Order No. R-8768-A, San Juan County,
New Mexico.

BEFORE:

MICHAEL E. STOGNER
Hearing Examiner
State Land Office Building
July 1, 1993

REPORTED BY:
CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ
Certified Court Reporter
for the State of New Mexico



ORIGINAL

A P P E A R A N C E S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:

ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.

General Counsel
State Land Office Building
Post Office Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE & SHERIDAN, P.A.
Post Office Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
BY: **WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.**

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: With that, this
2 hearing will come to order for Docket No. 19-93.

3 I'm Michael E. Stogner, appointed
4 Hearing Examiner for today's cases. Please note
5 today's date, July 1st, 1993.

6 The first thing we will consider at
7 this time is Case 10707.

8 MR. STOVALL: Application of Amoco
9 Production Company for a CO2 injection pilot
10 project and an exception to Rule 4, Order No.
11 R-8768-A, San Juan County, New Mexico.

12 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for
13 appearances.

14 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner,
15 my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law
16 firm Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan. I
17 represent Amoco Production Company.

18 This case was originally heard in
19 April. There was an error in the application,
20 and an incorrect project area was identified.

21 The case was continued--was reopened,
22 additional testimony was presented four weeks
23 ago, and then at that time it was discovered that
24 the advertisement was incomplete. In the paper,
25 the township and range had been omitted, and, for

1 that reason, the case was readvertised.

2 All testimony has been presented. The
3 case has now been correctly advertised, and we
4 would request it be taken under advisement.

5 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other
6 appearances or anything else in this matter?

7 Case 10707 will be taken under
8 advisement.

9 (And the proceedings concluded.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21


22

23

24

25

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 107167
heard by me on 11 July 1993.


_____, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Hearing Date JULY 1, 1993 Time: 8:15 A.M.

NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
H. ...	Law Office ...	Santa Fe Santa Fe
K. Kellerin	Kellerin & Kellerin	Santa Fe
...	Campbell, Frye & Jordan	Santa Fe
PAUL WHITSETT	AWKHUTZ	Midland
AL SMITH	AWKHUTZ	Midland
James Bruce	Hinkle (ex From Mebourne oil)	Santa Fe Tyler, TX
Ken Calvert	Mebourne Co	Tyler, TX
Kevin Mayer	Yates Petroleum	Artesia NM
Darrick Stallings	Mebourne Oil	Midland, TX
Robin Vasicek		

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Hearing Date JULY 1, 1993 Time: 8:15 A.M.

NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION