1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	
6	
7	EXAMINER HEARING
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of Tahoe Energy Case 9842
12	Inc. for an unorthodox gas
13	well location and simultaneous
14	dedication, Lea County, New Mexico
15	
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	
19	BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER
20	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
22	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
23	December 13, 1989
24	
25	ORIGINAL

APPEARANCES ROBERT G. STOVALL FOR THE DIVISION: Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Divison State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico CAMPBELL & BLACK, P.A. FOR THE APPLICANT: Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504 BY: WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.

1	INDEX	
2	Page	Number
3	Appearances	2
4	KENNETH A. FREEMAN	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Cross-Examination by Hearing Examiner	4 10
6	Certificate of Reporter	12
7	EXHIBITS	12
8		E
9	Exhibit No. 2 Exhibit No. 2	5
10	Exhibit No. 4	5 5 6 8 9
11	Exhibit No. 5	9
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	CHARDE COMPE DEDODETING	

HEARING EXAMINER: At this time we'll call
Case 9842.

MR. STOVALL: Application of Tahoe Energy,

MR. STOVALL: Application of Tahoe Energy, Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr, with the law firm of Campbell & Black, P.A., Santa Fe. We represent Tahoe Energy, Inc.

I would request that the record reflect that my witness, Ken Freeman, has previously been sworn, remains under oath, and his credentials as an expert witness and petroleum engineer have been accepted.

HEARING EXAMINER: The record will so reflect.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. CARR:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

20

21

- Q. Mr. Freeman, are you familiar with the application filed in this case on behalf of Tahoe Energy, Inc.?
- 22 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Are you familiar with the King Foundation
 Well No. 1 and the acreage that is dedicated to this
 well?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. Could you briefly advise Mr. Catanach as to exactly what Tahoe Energy seeks with this application?
- A. We're wanting approval of an unorthodox location in the Jalmat Gas Pool for the King Foundation Well No. 1, and to simultaneously dedicate the production from this well with the existing two wells on this 240-acre tract, which is the Harrison No. 3 and No. 5 wells.
- Q. Would you identify and review Tahoe Exhibit
 No. 1?
- A. Exhibit No. 1 shows the well locations.

 This is in the yellow color. It identifies the offset well owners. And in the legend to the lower right-hand side, it is broken down who the ownership is with. And then the yellow part also shows the 240 acres of the existing Jalmat proration unit.
 - Q. Is all offsetting acreage dedicated to wells that are producing from the Jalmat Gas Pool?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Has the 240-acre nonstandard proration unit in this pool previously been approved by the Division?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. And has a copy of that approval been marked as Tahoe Exhibit No. 2?

- A. Yes. It reflects Order No. R-2867. The applicant was Sinclair Oil and Gas Company. And it was approved by the Commission on February 11, 1965.
- Q. To what pool was the King Foundation Well No. 1 initially drilled?
 - A. To the Langlie-Maddox.
 - Q. Do you know when that was drilled?
 - A. Yes. It was drilled in 1977, I believe.
 - Q. And who was the operator at that time?
 - A. Doyle Hartman.

- 11 Q. Would you identify what has been marked as 12 Exhibit No. 3?
 - A. Exhibit No. 3 are Commission forms that were filled out and submitted by Doyle Hartman as operator and part owner for the King Foundation No. 1 to be a Langlie-Maddox well, the application to drill; there's a survey plat where the casing was set, etc.; the completion report, the gatherers, and that's about it.

There's also a change of operator on here to Tahoe Energy, Inc.

- Q. This well was originally drilled as a Langlie-Maddox well with 40 acres dedicated to it?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. When did you actually acquire this acreage?

- A. We acquired the 240-acre proration unit from Arco in June 1989.
- Q. When did you acquire the right to utilize this wellbore?
- A. We acquired that from Meridian, and it was effective September 1, 1989.
- 7 Q. What is the footage location for the King 8 Foundation No. 1 Well?
- 9 A. It is 2,310 foot from the north line and 10 330 foot from the west line of Section 20, 24 South, 11 37 East.
- 12 Q. When you recomplete this well in the Jalmat
 13 formation, it is therefore too close to the west line;
 14 is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. What are the current producing rates for the two Harrison wells located on this nonstandard unit?
- A. Depending on the line pressure, the production from the two wells combined runs 100 to 130 Mcf a day.
- Q. Could you explain to the Examiner how you propose to mechanically recomplete the well?
- 24 A. The well is currently completed and is open 25 hole in the Langlie-Maddox. We propose to set a

- cast-iron bridge plug, put cement on it, log the well,
 perforate it, and acidize and frac it as the normal
 procedure for a Jalmat gas well.
 - Q. What is your objective in recompleting this well in the Jalmat?
 - A. To increase gas production for the proration unit.
 - Q. Do you believe there's a chance you could get this back to a top allowable unit?
- 10 | A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. In will be completed in the Jalmat Pool?
- 12 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 Q. That's a prorated gas pool?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. If simultaneous dedication is approved, how do you recommend that the allowable be allocated between the wells on this unit?
- 18 A. In any proportion between the three wells.
- 19 Q. Is the log for the King Foundation Well No.
- 20 | 1 on file with the Oil Conservation Division?
- 21 A. The open hole logs are. I obtained them to 22 make copies from the Hobbs Division.
- Q. Could you identify what has been marked as Tahoe Exhibit No. 4?
- 25 A. It's waiver letters sent out from Tahoe

Energy, Inc., to the offset owners.

- Q. In this case, do you have waivers from each of the offsetting operators with the exception of Mr.
- 4 Nermer?

1

2

- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Could you identify what has been marked now 7 as Exhibit No. 5?
- 8 A. This is an affidavit letter that was
 9 prepared by the firm of Campbell & Black, sent to the
 10 offset owners.
- 11 Q. Does this affidavit confirm that notice of
 12 this hearing has been provided as required by Oil
 13 Conservation Division rules?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. In your opinion, will granting this
 application be in the best interest of conservation,
 the prevention of waste, and protection of correlative
 rights?
- 19 A. Yes, it will.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 either prepared
 by you or compiled under your direction and
 supervision?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Catanach, we move the admission of Tahoe Exhibits 1 through 5.

HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 5
will be admitted as evidence.

MR. CARR: I have nothing further on direct of Mr. Freeman.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY HEARING EXAMINER:

Jalmat qas well.

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Mr. Freeman, was the King well originally drilled at a standard location?
- 9 A. In the Langlie-Maddox, it was drilled on a 10 40-acre standard location was my understanding by Mr. 11 Hartman.
- 12 Q. The affected offset operator would be
 13 Meridian because that's who you've been crowding with
 14 that Jalmat well; correct?
 - A. Yes, it is, and we've received their approval. Actually, they were the owner of the wellbore. How this thing came down, it was employed to be drilled and was drilled by Doyle Hartman. Then in the sale of Hartman to Meridian, Meridian acquired the ownership of the well. It has not produced since 1977. It's been shut in or temporarily abandoned. And they assigned us the wellbore to recomplete as a
- Q. Is the King well depleted in the Langlie-Maddox?

1	A. Yes. It watered out.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: That's all the questions
3	I have. The witness may be excused.
4	MR. CARR: I have nothing further, Mr.
5	Examiner.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: There being nothing
7	further in Case 9842, it will be taken under
8	advisement.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss.
4	COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
5	
6	I, Freda Simmons, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate record of the proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 18, 1989.
18	Tuda Semmons
19	FREDA SIMMONS
20	
21	
22	I do hereby certify that the foregoing to
23	the expenses the second of the control of the contr
24	Les de la company de la compan
25	Oil Conservation Division Examiner