1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 9882, CASE 9888, CASE 9889, CASE 9892
5	CASE 9893, CASE 9881, CASE 9894, CASE 9895
6	CASE 9897, CASE 9898, CASE 9884, CASE 9885
7	·
8	
9	
10	EXAMINER HEARING
11	
12	IN THE MATTER OF:
13	
14	CONTINUED AND DISMISSED CASES
15	
16	
17	
18	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19	
20	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER
21	
22	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
23	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
24	March 21, 1990
25	

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

ROBERT G. STOVALL Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Divison
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come
2	to order for Docket 9-90. Today is March 21, 1990.
3	I'm Michael E. Stogner, appointed hearing officer for
4	today's cases. I call all the continued and dismissed
5	cases at this time. First I'll call Case No. 9882.
6	MR. STOVALL: Application of Controlled
7	Recovery, Inc., for an oil treating plant permit, for
8	surface water disposal, and an exception to Order No.
9	R-3221, Lea County, New Mexico.
10	Applicant requests this case be continued
11	to April 4, 1990.
12	EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9882 will be so
13	continued.
14	* * * *
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
16	9888.
17	MR. STOVALL: Application of Conoco, Inc.,
18	for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.
19	Applicant requests this case be continued
20	to April 4, 1990.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9888 will be so
22	continued.
23	* * * *
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
25	9889.

MR. STOVALL: Application of Meridian Oil, 1 2 Inc., for temporary well testing allowable for certain wells in the Parkway-Delaware Pool, Eddy County, New 3 4 Mexico. Applicant requests this case be continued 5 6 to April 18, 1990. 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9889 will be so continued. 8 9 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: Second page. I'll call 11 Case No. 9892. 12 MR. STOVALL: Application of Pacific 13 Enterprises Oil Company (USA) for compulsory pooling, 14 Eddy County, New Mexico. 15 Applicant requests this case be dismissed. 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9892 will be 17 dismissed. 18 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No. 20 9893. 21 MR. STOVALL: Application of Pacific 22 Enterprises Oil Company (USA) for compulsory pooling, 23 Eddy County, New Mexico. 24 Applicant requests this case be continued 25 to April 4, 1990.

- EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9893 will be so continued.
- \* \* \* \* \*
- 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
- 5 9881.
- 6 MR. STOVALL: Application of Richmond
- 7 Petroleum, Inc., for compulsory pooling, unorthodox
- 8 | coal gas well location, and a non-standard gas spacing
- 9 and proration unit, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties,
- 10 New Mexico.
- 11 Applicant requests this case be continued
- 12 to April 4, 1990.
- 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9881 will be so
- 14 | continued.
- 15 \* \* \* \* \*
- 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
- 17 9894.
- 18 MR. STOVALL: Application of Richmond
- 19 Petroleum, Inc., for compulsory pooling, unorthodox
- 20 | coal gas well location, and a non-standard gas spacing
- 21 and proration unit, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties,
- 22 New Mexico.
- 23 Applicant requests this case be continued
- 24 to April 4, 1990.
- 25 EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9894 will be so

1	continued.
2	* * * *
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
4	9895.
5	MR. STOVALL: Application of Richmond
6	Petroleum, Inc., for compulsory pooling and an
7	unorthodox coal gas well location, San Juan and Rio
8	Arriba Counties, New Mexico.
9	Applicant requests this case be continued
10	to April 4, 1990.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9895 will be so
12	continued.
13	* * * *
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
15	9897.
16	MR. STOVALL: Application of Siete Oil &
17	Gas Corporation for a waterflood project, Eddy County,
18	New Mexico.
19	Applicant requests this case be continued
20	to April 4, 1990.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9897 will be so
22	continued.
23	* * * *
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.

25

9898.

1	MR. STOVALL: Application of Doyle Hartman
2	for compulsory pooling, a non-standard gas proration
3	unit and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New
4	Mexico.
5	Applicant requests this case be continued
6	to April 4, 1990.
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9898 will be so
8	continued.
9	* * * *
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
11	9884.
12	MR. STOVALL: Application of OXY USA, Inc.,
13	for compulsory pooling, non-standard gas proration
14	unit and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New
15	Mexico.
16	Applicant requests this case be dismissed.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: Case 9884 will be
18	dismissed.
19	* * * *
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
21	9885.
22	MR. STOVALL: Application of Doyle Hartman
23	for compulsory pooling, a non-standard gas proration
24	unit and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New
25	Mexico.

```
Applicant requests this case be continued
1
2
   to April 4, 1990.
                EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 9885 will be so
3
4
    continued.
5
6
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
3	) ss.
4	COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY
7	that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
8	the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that
9	I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
10	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
11	accurate record of the proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
13	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
14	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
15	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL March 21, 1990.
17	ala Dino Ladunios
18	CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ CSR No. 91
19	
2 0	My commission expires: May 25, 1991
21	
22	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
23	a complete renorm of the proceedings in the Examina meaning of Case No. 2815.
24	heard by me on 21 March 1990.
25	Oil Conservation Division

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 9894, CASE 9895
5	
6	EXAMINER HEARING
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	
10	Application of Richmond Petroleum, Inc., for
11	Compulsory Pooling, Unorthodox Coal Gas Well
12	Location, and a Non-Standard Gas Spacing and
13	Proration Unit, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties,
14	New Mexico; Application of Richmond Petroleum,
15	Inc., for Compulsory Pooling and an Unorthodox
16	Coal Gas Well Location, San Juan and Rio Arriba
17	Counties, New Mexico.
18	
19	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	ORIGINAL
21	BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, EXAMINER
22	
23	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
24	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
25	May 2, 1990

		2	
1	APPEARANCES		
2			ļ
3	FOR THE APPLICANT:		
4	HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY Attorneys at Law		
5	By: OWEN M. LOPEZ 218 Montezuma		
6	P.O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2068		
7	Sanca re, New Mexico 87304-2006		
8	* * *		
9			
10	INDEX		
11		Page Number	
12	Appearances	2	
13	Exhibits	2	
14	Certificate of Reporter	5	
15	* * *		
16			
17			
18			
19	EXHIBITS		
20	APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT:		
21	Exhibit 13	3	
22	* * *		
23			
24			
25			

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 8:23 a.m.: 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time I'm going to 3 go a little bit out of order and call Case 9894 and 4 9895, which are the Application of Richmond Petroleum, 5 Inc., for compulsory pooling, unorthodox coal gas well 6 location, and a non-standard gas spacing and proration unit, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico; and 8 Case 9895, Application of Richmond Petroleum, Inc., for 9 compulsory pooling and an unorthodox coal gas well 10 location, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico. 11 12 Appearances in these two cases? Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 13 MR. LOPEZ: 14 is Owen Lopez with the Hinkle Law Firm of Santa Fe, New 15 Mexico, appearing on behalf of the Applicant. 16 And as the Examiner will recall, the cases 17 were continued because of deficient notices to nonconsenting mineral owners in the respective units. 18 And I have marked as Exhibit 13 the 19 renotification of Richard Clark and Manuel Rodriquez. 20 And with these notifications, all persons involved that 21 have gone non-consent have been notified. 22 And since the last hearing we have had 23 joinder of all other parties listed in the exhibits. 24 Exhibit Number 13 will be 25 EXAMINER CATANACH:

1	admitted as evidence in these two cases.
1	
2	Is there anything further in these two cases?
3	MR. LOPEZ: No, Mr. Examiner, thank you.
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Case 9894 and 9895 will
5	be taken under advisement.
6	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded
7	at 8:26 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
4	) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE )
5	
6	I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil
9	Conservation Division was reported by me; that I
10	transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true
11	and accurate record of the proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or
13	employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in
14	this matter and that I have no personal interest in the
15	final disposition of this matter.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL May 9, 1990.
17	
18	1 him Jacques
19	STEVEN T. BRENNER CSR No. 106
20	
21	My commission expires: October 14, 1990
22	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
23	a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 988
	neard by me on 17 kg of 1990.
24	David RCatanul, Examiner
25	Oil Conservation Division