

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4 CASE 10109

5

6

7

EXAMINER HEARING

8

9 IN THE MATTER OF:

10

11 Application of M. B. Resources Corporation
12 for a Horizontal Directional Drilling Pilot
13 Project, Special Operating Rules Therefore,
14 and a Nonstandard Oil Proration Unit,
15 Lea County, New Mexico.

16

17

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

18

19 BEFORE: JIM MORROW, EXAMINER

20

21

STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING

22

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

23

October 3, 1990

24

25

ORIGINAL

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2

3 FOR THE DIVISION: ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.
4 Counsel to the Division
5 Post Office Box 2088
6 State Land Office Building
7 Santa Fe, N.M. 87504-2088

8 MICHAEL E. STOGNER
9 OCD Examiner/Engineer
10 Post Office Box 2088
11 State Land Office Building
12 Santa Fe, N.M. 87504-2088

13 FOR THE APPLICANT: W. PERRY PEARCE, ESQ.
14 Montgomery & Andrews
15 Post Office Box 2307
16 Santa Fe, N.M. 87504-2307

17 FOR HARKEN EXPLORATION CANDACE HAMANN CALLAHAN, ESQ.
18 COMPANY: Kellahin, Kellahin, & Aubrey
19 Post Office Box 2265
20 Santa Fe, N.M. 87504-2265

21

22

23

24

25

1	I N D E X	
2		Page Number
3	Appearances	2
4	WILLIAM C. BAHLBURG	
5	Examination by Mr. Pearce	5, 17
6	Examination by Hearing Examiner	14
7	Examination by Mr. Stovall	18
8	DONNIE L. BROWN	
9	Examination by Mr. Pearce	19
10	Examination by Hearing Examiner	23
11	Examination by Mr. Stovall	24
12	Certificate of Reporter	26
13	E X H I B I T S	
14	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS:	
15	Exhibit 1	6
16	Exhibit 2	7
17	Exhibit 3	9
18	Exhibit 4	10
19	Exhibit 5	12
20	Exhibit 6	20
21	Exhibit 7	21
22	Exhibit 8	21
23	Exhibit 9	22
24		
25		

1 EXAMINER MORROW: At this time we'll call
2 the next case, No. 10109.

3 MR. STOVALL: Application of M. B.
4 Resources Corporation for a horizontal directional
5 drilling pilot project, special operating rules
6 therefore, and a nonstandard oil proration unit, Lea
7 County, New Mexico.

8 EXAMINER MORROW: Call for appearances.

9 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I am W. Perry
10 Pearce, of Montgomery & Andrews, P.A. in Santa Fe, New
11 Mexico, appearing in this matter on behalf of M. B.
12 Resources Corporation. I have two witnesses who need
13 to be sworn.

14 EXAMINER MORROW: Will the witnesses please
15 stand to be sworn.

16 Are there additional appearances?

17 MS. CALLAHAN: I am Candace Callahan with
18 the Santa Fe Law Firm of Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey,
19 appearing for Harken Exploration Company. We have no
20 witnesses to be sworn.

21 EXAMINER MORROW: Go ahead, Mr. Pearce.

22 MR. PEARCE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

23 WILLIAM C. BAHLBURG

24 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
25 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

1 EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. PEARCE:

3 Q. I would ask the witness to please state his
4 name, occupation, place of residence.

5 A. My name is William C. Bahlburg, and I am a
6 geologist/geophysicist and officer of M. B. Resources
7 Corporation, and I reside in Plano, Texas, which is a
8 suburb of Dallas.

9 Q. Mr. Bahlburg, for the court reporter, would
10 you spell your last name, please.

11 A. B A H L B U R G.

12 Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Bahlburg, have you
13 previously appeared before the New Mexico Oil
14 Conservation Division and had your credentials as an
15 expert in the field of petroleum geology accepted and
16 made a matter of record?

17 A. Yes, I have.

18 Q. Are you familiar with the application filed
19 in this matter on behalf of M. B. Resources
20 Corporation?

21 A. Yes, I am.

22 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, at this time I
23 would ask recognition of Mr. Bahlburg as an expert in
24 the field of petroleum geology.

25 EXAMINER MORROW: He's so qualified.

1 Q. Mr. Bahlburg, at this time would you
2 briefly describe what M. B. Resources is seeking in
3 this case?

4 A. M. B. Resources is seeking to reenter the
5 #2 Ramco State well located in the northeast of the
6 southeast quarter of Section 35, 16 South, 35 East,
7 and drill a directional hole at an approximate depth
8 of 10,200 feet to a location 1,000 feet immediately
9 east of the Ramco State borehole and thereby intersect
10 what is known as the Shoebar-Penn field reservoir and
11 produce from that horizontal segment.

12 Q. All right, sir. Let's look, please, at
13 what we've marked as Exhibit 1 to this proceeding.
14 Would you please describe that for the Examiner and
15 those in attendance?

16 A. Exhibit No. 1 is a land plat which shows
17 the location of the M. B. Resources owned state leases
18 which consists of two 80-acre north/south oriented
19 80-acre tracts, one located in the east half of the
20 southeast of Section 35, the other located in the west
21 half of the southwest of Section 36, of 16 South, 35
22 East, in Lea County.

23 In addition, this map also shows the offset
24 operators, the mineral ownership, and also the
25 location of the #2 Ramco State well in the northeast

1 of the southeast of Section 35; the proposed 80-acre
2 unit which we have applied for, which consists of the
3 northeast of the southeast of 35, and the northwest of
4 the southwest of Section 36. And, in addition, it
5 also shows, as indicated by the orange-colored dashed
6 line, the orientation and direction of the horizontal
7 hole that we are applying for today.

8 Q. Mr. Bahlburg, at the present time the
9 Shoebar-Pennsylvanian pool is spaced on 40 acres, is
10 that correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. M. B. is proposing to form a nonstandard
13 80-acre unit and among the special pool rules it's
14 seeking is a proposal for an allowable which would be
15 standard for an 80-acre spacing and proration unit to
16 this depth, is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Let's turn now, if you would, please, to
19 Exhibit No. 2. Would you please describe that for us?

20 A. Okay. Exhibit No. 2 is a structure map on
21 top of what is known as the Shoebar-Penn reservoir.
22 We prefer to call it Lower Wolfcamp, and an in-house
23 name of beta limestone. This map shows the
24 generalized structure for the Shoebar field area, and
25 shows those wells as indicated in green that have

1 produced from the Shoebar-Penn reservoir zone.

2 You'll notice up to the north there is a
3 group of five producers in Section 26, and then down
4 to the southeast there's also the well located in the
5 northeast of the southeast of 35, which is, in fact,
6 the Ramco State well.

7 In addition, we are showing, colored in
8 red, a cross-section that ties the production to the
9 north with the Ramco State well to the south, and then
10 continues on into some more recently drilled wells in
11 the mid-80s by Mobil in Section 1 of 17 South, 35
12 East.

13 Also on this map we have outlined the
14 acreage in yellow, our two 80-acre state leases. And,
15 in addition to that, we have shown, outlined in
16 orange, our proposed 80-acre proration unit. And then
17 likewise we have also outlined in green, kind of a
18 dotted, circular-like line, showing what we believe to
19 be the productive limits of the reservoir which
20 surrounds the #2 Ramco State well, as well as the
21 reservoir which surrounds the wells to the north in
22 Section 26.

23 Q. All right, sir. You mentioned a
24 cross-section. I would refer you to what we've marked
25 as Exhibit No. 3 to this producing.

1 A. Okay. This cross-section runs in a
2 northwest/southeast orientation and essentially tries
3 to tie the production in the wells in Section 26, that
4 are classified as Shoebar-Penn with the Ramco State
5 well. It is designated on the cross-section as the
6 Western Natural Gas #2 Ramco State because they were
7 the original operator, and also there's the bold words
8 "horizontal recompletion" above it.

9 It also shows the perforated intervals at
10 least of record that we could find in the state files
11 for all of the wells. It goes through a number of
12 wells which separate the Ramco State from the
13 production to the north. It shows, down at the bottom
14 of the cross-section, microlog sections of the
15 reservoir pay interval from the wells to the north, as
16 well as the Ramco State, and it also shows how the
17 Ramco State well correlates to the Mobil production in
18 wells down to the southeast in Section 1 of 17/35.

19 In addition, we have tried to show
20 schematically what we intend to do with the Ramco
21 State borehole, in that we intend to essentially
22 kickoff and drill a medium-radius, horizontal hole
23 into the reservoir which has produced approximately
24 65- to 66,000 barrels from what is known as the
25 Shoebar-Penn, and then hopefully drill towards and

1 penetrate a better reservoir in addition to the
2 reservoir that has produced at the Ramco State.

3 Up at the top--I'll just point this
4 out--one of the reasons we've applied for this
5 application is not just from the standpoint that we
6 feel like we can more efficiently and effectively
7 drain the reservoir, but also we can cut the costs in
8 half to do the same thing that we were going to
9 originally do with a vertical hole in the northwest of
10 the southwest of Section 36.

11 Q. All right, sir. Based on the structure map
12 and the cross-section, have you constructed an isopach
13 map?

14 A. Yes, we have.

15 Q. Let's look at that, please. And we have
16 marked that as Exhibit No. 4 to this proceeding.

17 A. Before I go to the isopach, I'll refer you
18 to the cross-section again, and you'll notice that
19 above the productive reservoir colored in green
20 there's an interval that has been outlined in sort of
21 a purple color. That is an interval that has been
22 used to delineate reservoir distribution, if you will,
23 within this Shoebar-Penn reservoir interval. And on
24 this--

25 EXAMINER MORROW: That's the line on top?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. It's an interval
2 that's bracketed in purple there. That's the interval
3 shown on this isopach map.

4 MR. PEARCE: If I may interrupt, Mr.
5 Examiner, it's hard to see, but there are two purple
6 lines, one immediately below the line designated "Top
7 Lower Wolfcamp" and the other line curving that is
8 below it at varying distances.

9 EXAMINER MORROW: All right.

10 MR. PEARCE: Thank you, sir.

11 A. This isopach map also shows some of the
12 things that the structure map showed. In other words,
13 it outlines the acreage, it shows the proposed unit,
14 it shows the proposed direction of horizontal
15 drilling.

16 In addition to that, it also shows in kind
17 of a stippled line pattern, some various seismic
18 control that we have over in the area that we have
19 used to aid in our delineation of the reservoir so we
20 could select a direction to drill. It also shows the
21 wells that are designated in and produced from the
22 Shoebar-Penn Pool as well as their cumulative
23 production rounded off to the nearest thousand
24 barrels.

25 As you can see from this map, there is a

1 definite thin that surrounds and is coincident with
2 the production in Section 26 in this interval that
3 overlies what we believe to be kind of a carbonate
4 build-up.

5 In addition to that, down to the south we
6 have identified a thin utilizing the well control, and
7 seismic technology around the Ramco State well it
8 appears to be isolated and relatively small; and hence
9 the reason we would like to drill in an eastward
10 direction to hopefully drill into a thicker part of
11 the reservoir.

12 Q. Other discussion of Exhibit 4?

13 A. No.

14 Q. I would ask you to refer to what we've
15 marked as Exhibit No. 5, and I would ask you if that
16 is a copy of a letter that was sent to offset
17 operators pursuant to Oil Conservation Division rules,
18 along with the return of service received on those
19 letters?

20 A. It is exactly that.

21 Q. I would ask you to please refer to the last
22 letter and return receipts in that package, which are
23 the last two pages, Mr. Examiner. I notice that is a
24 letter directed to Harken Exploration, is that
25 correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. The receipt for that shows it postmarked
3 September 17th. Am I correct that a letter was sent
4 earlier to the predecessor in interest to Harken, is
5 that correct?

6 A. Actually, the letter was sent to a company
7 called Whiting Partnership, Ltd., in that originally
8 we determined that Kennedy and Mitchell was the
9 operator of that particular tract of land and that is
10 in the northeast 160 acres of Section 35, which is
11 immediately north of the Ramco State well.

12 We could not locate Kennedy and Mitchell,
13 so we sent a timely letter to Whiting, who in turn
14 notified us that Harken was the new operator and it
15 had acquired the interests of Kennedy and Mitchell and
16 then we sent the letter to Harken.

17 Q. And since sending this letter to Harken
18 you've had discussions with their representative, is
19 that correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. And they have agreed to withdraw their
22 opposition to this application?

23 A. That's correct, and to support it.

24 Q. Is there anything further you would like to
25 discuss for the Examiner at this time?

1 A. No.

2 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, at this time I
3 would move the admission of M. B. Resources' Exhibits
4 1 through 5.

5 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 5 are
6 admitted.

7 MR. PEARCE: I have nothing further of this
8 witness at this time, Mr. Examiner.

9 EXAMINER MORROW: Ms. Callahan, do you have
10 any questions?

11 MS. CALLAHAN: No, I have no questions.

12 EXAMINER MORROW: You do have another
13 witness?

14 MR. PEARCE: I do have, yes, sir.

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY EXAMINER MORROW:

17 Q. The well you plan to reenter and drill
18 horizontally, is it productive at this time?

19 A. Let me explain how we acquired it. It is
20 marginally productive but uneconomic. We went out and
21 acquired this state lease back in, I believe it was,
22 1988. At that particular time there was--this
23 wellbore did exist and it had not been plugged.

24 Subsequently we went into the well and
25 production-tested it. At that point in time I believe

1 it had made approximately 65,000 barrels. We got the
2 records from ARCO, who had, back I think in the 60s,
3 acquired the interest from Western Natural Gas, and
4 looked at the production history from that point up
5 until 1988, and had determined that basically the
6 economic profitability of that well was a function of
7 just what kind of influx of fluids you could get out
8 of the wellbore and had been produced for years and
9 years on an intermitter until the water hit, and then
10 they couldn't use the intermitter anymore, so we went
11 and actually tried to pump-test it and put a pump on
12 it.

13 What we found was that we could pump-test
14 the well. First of all, when we opened it up, it
15 literally flowed, and then it died. We went in and
16 the fluid level was right at about 4000 feet. We
17 pump-tested it, pumped off an approximate total,
18 including the fluid that flowed and the fluid that was
19 recovered on pump, of about 300 barrels, which ended
20 up being about 50/50 oil and water, until we reached a
21 point where we lost all our fluid level, had no gas
22 entry, no water entry, no oil entry.

23 We shut it in for a month. The tubing
24 pressure built up to an approximate 600 pounds. The
25 fluid level rose again to approximately 4000 feet from

1 the surface, and we pump-tested it again. We did this
2 three times, saw the same results, and concluded that
3 information in conjunction with the information that
4 was provided and available in the ARCO files about the
5 production history of the well is that we were truly
6 tied into a larger reservoir of some sort, but we were
7 on the edge of it and poorly communicated to it. I
8 might add that regional studies duplicate this same
9 scenario in other fields producing out of equivalent
10 rocks.

11 Q. The 150 barrels was not in a day, but in--

12 A. No. It was over a period of approximately
13 seven or eight days until we would pump it off.

14 So, based on that information, we felt
15 there was a good possibility that we had essentially
16 another edge well because there are many, and then set
17 about to delineate where the better reservoir would
18 lie, and determined that from seismic, in conjunction
19 with mapping the well control, and then, from that,
20 determined which direction we needed to go to drill a
21 well.

22 We had intended on drilling a vertical
23 hole, literally at the same ending bottom hole
24 location of our proposed horizontal well. But, in
25 looking at it, the costs were significantly higher,

1 and we decided that rather than plug this well, we
2 would utilize the new technology to get to the same
3 point.

4 Q. Do you think this well you propose will
5 fully develop the south reservoir?

6 A. That's what I believe, yes. And I do
7 believe that the horizontal leg, because we do intend
8 to intersect the reservoir a very short distance from
9 the existing wellbore with the horizontal well and
10 then continuously drill in that same reservoir for
11 approximately 7- to 800 feet further, I do believe
12 that it will most definitely allow more efficient
13 drainage of the reservoir.

14 Q. These special pool rules you request are
15 for the entire pool, or just for this well?

16 A. I would have to say just for this well
17 because I feel it's only applicable here in this
18 particular instance. My information indicates that
19 the field to the north, looking at the production
20 history, is pretty well played out in the zone.

21 MR. PEARCE: If I may ask a couple of
22 clarifying questions?

23 FURTHER EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. PEARCE:

25 Q. Who operates the Ramco State #2 well at

1 this time?

2 A. The well is being operated by Manzano Oil
3 Corporation out of Roswell on our joint behalf.

4 Q. And they will operate the horizontal well,
5 is that correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 MR. PEARCE: All right, sir. Nothing
8 further. Mr. Examiner, do you have anything further?

9 EXAMINER MORROW: You may be excused.

10 MR. STOVALL: Wait. I have one question
11 for you.

12 EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. STOVALL:

14 Q. Is the Harken interest anywhere of record,
15 either in county records or--

16 A. It was not. We went to the abstract in Lea
17 County and got our records there, and they had Kennedy
18 and Mitchell down. So it apparently was not.

19 Q. And they weren't operating any well that
20 was in the OCD records, is that correct?

21 A. No. Not to our knowledge, anyway.

22 MR. STOVALL: Okay. That's all we have.

23 DONNIE E. BROWN

24 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
25 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

1 EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. PEARCE:

3 Q. If I may go to the next witness, Mr.
4 Examiner, I would ask you, sir, to please state your
5 name, your professional occupation, and your employer?

6 A. My name is Donnie E. Brown. I'm
7 vice-president of engineering and acquisition for
8 Manzano Oil Company out of Roswell, New Mexico.

9 Q. Mr. Brown, have you previously appeared
10 before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division and
11 had your credentials as a petroleum engineer accepted
12 and made a matter of record?

13 A. No, I have not.

14 Q. Would you briefly describe for the Examiner
15 your educational and work experience as it relates to
16 the field of petroleum engineering?

17 A. Yes. I have a B.S. degree in petroleum
18 engineering from the University of Texas at Austin. I
19 have worked with Manzano Company in most of the
20 producing areas of the United States as a production
21 and reservoir engineer.

22 The last 10 years with Manzano Oil Company
23 was as a drilling and production manager, Permian
24 Basin region in Midland, and I have been recently
25 employed as the vice-president of operations and

1 engineering with Manzano Oil Company out of Roswell.

2 Q. Mr. Brown, are you familiar with the
3 application filed in this matter on behalf of M. B.
4 Resources?

5 A. Yes, I am.

6 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, at this time I
7 would ask that Mr. Brown be qualified as an expert in
8 the field of petroleum engineering.

9 EXAMINER MORROW: So qualified.

10 Q. Mr. Brown, if you would, please, I would
11 ask you to address your attention to what we've marked
12 as Exhibit No. 6 to this proceeding. Would you
13 describe for the Examiner and those in attendance the
14 information on that exhibit?

15 A. Yes. Exhibit No. 6 is a schematic diagram
16 of the Ramco State #2 well as it exists as of October
17 3, 1990. It basically shows that the well, at present
18 conditions, is well cased and cemented to protect the
19 environment and fresh water producing fresh water
20 zones. It shows that the objective formation is the
21 Wolfcamp at 10,440 to -505. It is effectively cutoff
22 at present with a cast-iron bridge plug at 10,300, and
23 it also shows open perms in an Abo formation from 8730
24 to -50 that was tested in 1988 and proved
25 noncommercial.

1 Q. All right, sir. I would ask you to perhaps
2 put before you both what we've marked as Exhibit 7 and
3 Exhibit 8 at the same time, and describe what these
4 exhibits reflect?

5 A. Exhibit 7 is the schematic diagram of the
6 well as it will exist after we squeeze off the now
7 open zones and condition the well for a horizontal
8 kickoff and then our procedure for the horizontal
9 kickoff.

10 It shows that we will squeeze off the
11 present open perfs in the Abo from 8730 to -50. We
12 will set a cement retainer at 10,400 to squeeze off
13 the perforations in the Wolfcamp, which will be our
14 objective horizontal horizon from 10,440 to -505. We
15 will then mill out an 80- to 100-foot section casing
16 from 10,100 to -200, and then underream that to the
17 original hole size of eight and five-eighths.

18 We will then set a 400-foot cement plug
19 from the top of the cement container to about 100 feet
20 above the milled out section of casing. We will then
21 dress off that plug to the middle of the milled out
22 section of approximately 150 feet, and then we will
23 kickoff building about 20 degrees per 100 feet at that
24 10,100-foot interval.

25 We should reach horizontal in about 300

1 feet horizontal distance from the wellbore, and then
2 continue our horizontal drilling for another 600 to
3 700 feet.

4 Q. I would ask you to direct your attention
5 briefly to what we've marked as Exhibit No. 9 with
6 regard to the well, and describe that? Although I
7 think you've probably done that already, do it
8 again, please.

9 A. Yes. Exhibit No. 9 will indicate the
10 directions of the horizontal hole as we kickoff from
11 10,150 as we achieve our horizontal position at about
12 300 feet away from true vertical depth, and as we end
13 the hole with about 700 feet of horizontal section.

14 Q. Mr. Brown, were you in the room when Mr.
15 Bahlburg was testifying?

16 A. Yes, I was.

17 Q. Do you agree that to recomplete this well
18 through a horizontal drilling procedure will be less
19 expensive and, therefore, more efficient, than
20 drilling a second well in the adjoining section?

21 A. Yes, I do.

22 Q. Do you believe that because of that this
23 application will act to prevent waste of resources?

24 A. Yes, that's correct.

25 Q. Do you believe that this application will

1 operate to protect the correlative rights of
2 offsetting interest owners and those interest owners
3 in this tract?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you have anything further at this time,
6 sir?

7 A. I believe that should cover it.

8 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, at this time I
9 would move the admission of M. B. Resources Exhibits 6
10 through 9, and I have no further questions of the
11 witness.

12 EXAMINER MORROW: Exhibits 6 through 9 are
13 admitted.

14 Ms. Callahan, do you have any questions?

15 MS. CALLAHAN: No, I have no questions.

16 EXAMINATION

17 BY EXAMINER MORROW:

18 Q. In a recent case where horizontal approval
19 was requested, the operator indicated they planned to
20 drill the well, the horizontal portion, under
21 balance. Do you plan to have the well controlled
22 beyond that?

23 A. We plan to have it under balance so we can
24 have a feel for what the bottom hole is dictating, but
25 we're also using a consultant from BecField, a

1 consulting firm, to do our horizontal drilling. They
2 have a solid track record in the Austin chalk on the
3 horizontal drilling, so we would be using them for
4 consultants.

5 I anticipate that the well will be drilled
6 under balance. We'll let the hole dictate how we
7 complete it, but I also anticipate that we'll be using
8 a slotted liner in the horizontal section.

9 Q. If I understand you right, you would expect
10 to employ techniques of measurement while drilling--

11 A. Oh, yes.

12 Q. --and control of the direction and the
13 angle of the wellbore?

14 A. Yes, we'll be measuring every 30 feet and
15 we'll have a down-hole measurement while drilling.
16 Also have the directional survey at the end of the
17 horizontal displacement.

18 EXAMINER MORROW: Okay, sir.

19 EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. STOVALL:

21 Q. Do I understand correctly that you
22 anticipate because it's a horizontal hole you'll
23 expect a higher production level than you would from a
24 vertical?

25 A. Oh, yes. The literature and also field

1 histories on other horizontal holes indicate that your
2 initial PI is anywhere from 5 to 15 times higher than
3 that of a vertical.

4 EXAMINER MORROW: You didn't request any
5 increased allowable, I don't believe, did you?

6 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, one of the items
7 we're requesting is what would be a standard allowable
8 for an 80-acre spacing unit, although the present
9 Shobar-Pennsylvanian field is spaced on 40 acres.

10 EXAMINER MORROW: How much would that be?

11 THE WITNESS: I believe it's 560 at this
12 depth for the 80, versus 320 for the 40.

13 EXAMINER MORROW: And 560 would be adequate
14 for your expected results?

15 THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes, sir.

16 MR. PEARCE: It would be nice if it was
17 not, Mr. Examiner.

18 EXAMINER MORROW: I believe that's all.
19 The witness may be excused.

20 MR. PEARCE: Nothing further at this time,
21 Mr. Examiner.

22 EXAMINER MORROW: Case 10109 will be taken
23 under advisement.

24 (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded.)

25

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
4 COUNTY OF SANTA FE) ss.

5

6 I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified
7 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY
8 that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
9 the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that
10 I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11 supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12 accurate record of the proceedings.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14 or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15 involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16 interest in the final disposition of this matter.

17 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 15, 1990.

18

19

20

Carla Diane Rodriguez
CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ
CSR No. 91

21 My commission expires: May 25, 1991

22

23

24

25

Jim [Signature]
OCT 3 10109
90.
Oil Conservation Division