1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO			
3	22 May 1985			
4	EXAMINER HEARING			
5				
6				
7	IN THE MATTER OF:			
8	Application of Read & Stevens, Inc. CASE for three unorthodox gas well loca- 8601			
9	tions, Chavez County, New Mexico.			
10				
11				
12				
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner			
14				
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
16				
17	APPEARANCES			
18				
19				
20	For the Oil Conservation			
21	Division: Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division			
22				
23				
24	For the Applicant: James G. Bruce			
25	Attorney at Law HINKLE LAW FIRM P. O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			

		2	
2	INDEX		
3			
4	JOE WIGLEY		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	3	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	8	
7			
8	JIM BRANNIGAN		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	9	
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	14	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	EXHIBITS		
16			
17	R&E Exhibit One, Plat	6	
18	R&E Exhibit Two, Cross Section	10	
19	R&E Exhibit Three, Isopach	11	
20	R&E Exhibit Four, Production Map	13	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case 2 8601. 3 MR. TAYLOR: The application of Read & Stevens, Inc., for three unorthodox gas well loca-5 tions, Chaves County, New Mexico. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my 7 name is Jim Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm in Santa Fe, and I have two witnesses to be sworn. MR. STOGNER: Are there 10 any other appearances in this matter? 11 Will the witnesses please 12 forward and rais your right hand to be sworn? 13 14 (Witnesses sworn.) 15 16 JOE WIGLEY, 17 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 18 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 22 BY MR. BRUCE: 23 0 Would you please state your name, city of

I'm Joe Wigley, Land Manager for Read &

residence, occupation, and employer?

Α

24

```
Stevens in Roswell, New Mexico.
                       And have you previously testified before
2
            Q
   the New Mexico OCD as a petroleum landman?
3
            Α
                      I have.
                       Would you please give a summary of your
5
   education and work background?
7
            Α
                      Okay. I have a business management de-
   gree and have been in the oil and gas business since 1970.
8
9
                      My first 4-1/2 years were with Texaco and
   the remainder of that period has been with Read and Stevens
10
   in Roswell.
11
                       And what have been your duties with Read
12
   & Stevens and Texaco?
13
14
            Α
                      I've been a land -- I was a landman with
   Texaco and I've been Read & Stevens Land Manager since I ar-
15
16
   rived there in '74.
17
                       And are you familiar with Case Number
18
   8601 and the land matters involved in that case?
19
                      Yes, I am.
            Α
20
                                 MR.
                                      BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is
21
   the witness considered qualified?
22
                                 MR.
                                      STOGNER: You've been with
23
   Read & Stevens since when?
24
                      1974.
            Α
25
                      Mr. Wigley is so qualified as a practical
            O
```

landman.

Q Mr. Wigley, would you please state briefly what Read & Stevens seeks in this case?

A We seek approval of three unorthodox well locations in the gas Permo Penn, or Penn Buffalo Valley Field in Chaves County, New Mexico.

They're all in Township 15 South, Range 27 East.

There's a list of those wells. I'd like to make one correction.

We have the Langley Federal Com No. 4, and that should not be a Com, it's all in one lease.

Q Would you please give the three unorthodox locations Read & Stevens seeks, please?

A Okay. The Langley Federal Well No. 4 is to be located 1570 from the north line and 1780 from the east line of Section 14, with the north half of Section 14 being dedicated to the well.

The Langley Federal Com No. 3 Well to be located 1190 from the south line, 2310 from the east line in Section 14, with the south half of said section being dedicated to that well.

The Toles Federal No. 2 to be located 1980 from the south line and 990 from the west line of Section 24, with the south half of Section 24 dedicated to that

the

well.

north half of 25.

Q Would you please refer to Exhibit Number
One, describe it, and identify offset operators for each of
the proposed locations?

A Okay. On Exhibit Number One we have -- start off with Section 14, which is outlined in red.

The south half of Section 11 we have written Amoco to see if they had any objections. They own the southwest southwest, also. It is not reflected there on the map but they do own it.

We've also written Marico, which is in Section 10.

And then we've written Toles Company, which is in Section 15, and then on the east side we control all of Section 13, the north half being the proration unit for our No. 1 Langley Well, the south half being the proration unit for the No. 1 Rhodes Federal, and then the -- immediately to the south we have a unit and we control that. Our No. 9 Harris is located on the north half of Section 23.

Moving on down to the Toles Federal No. 2, we control -- well, first of all, that's in a unit that

So as you can see, the participants to the west will be -- will be participating in that well and

covers all of Section 23, 26, south half of 24, and

MR. BRUCE: At this time I move

```
the north half of that, of Section 24 is dedicated to our
   No. 2 Harris Federal.
                      The north half of Section 25 to the south
3
   is dedicated to our No. 5 Harris Well, which is a unit well,
   and then to the east we have Depco, and they are farming
5
   out, or possible -- possibly farming out to our No. 2 Toles
   Well.
7
                      All right, and have you notified the off-
            Q
8
   set operators of this application by Read & Stevens
                                                            for
9
   these unorthodox locations?
10
                      Yes, we have and we have return receipts
11
   requested slips back from all of them.
12
                                      BRUCE:
                                              If you so desire,
                                 MR.
13
   Mr. Examiner, we can provide the certified return receipts.
                                 MR. STOGNER: Oh, I don't think
15
   that will be necessary at this time.
16
                       Mr. Wigley, in your opinion will
17
   granting of this application be in the interest of conserva-
18
   tion, the prevention of waste, and the protection of corre-
19
   lative rights?
20
            Α
                      Yes, sir.
21
22
            Q
                       And was Exhibit Number One prepared by
   you or under your direction?
23
                      Yes, it was.
            Α
24
```

```
the admission of Exhibit Number One.
                                MR. STOGNER:
                                                Exhibit Number
2
   One will be admitted into evidence at this time.
3
                                MR. BRUCE: I have no further
   questions of this witness.
5
6
                        CROSS EXAMINATION
7
   BY MR. STOGNER:
            0
                      Mr. Wigley, your Well No. 3, how is that
9
   a nonstandard location?
10
                       I believe that we'll have to call on our
11
   engineer. I believe --
12
                                MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I be-
13
   lieve Mr. Brannigan, our next witness, can testify on that.
14
   I believe it's the footage requirements.
15
                                MR.
                                      STOGNER: Just the footage
16
   requirements?
17
                                MR. BRUCE: Right.
18
19
                                MR. STOGNER: Okay, I have no
   questions of the witness.
20
21
                          JIM BRANNIGAN,
22
   being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
23
   oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
24
25
```

١

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUCE: 3

Would you please state your name, city of 0 residence, occupation, and employer? 5

My name is Jim Brannigan. My city of residence is Roswell, New Mexico. My occupation is a petroleum geologist and my employer is Read & Stevens.

And have you previously testified before Q the OCD as a geologist?

No, I have not. Α

Would you please describe your educational and work background?

Α I have a Bachelor's of Science degree from Northern Arizona University in Flagstaff.

I've worked for the last 4-1/2 years as a 16 geologist. 17

For the past 16 months I've worked Read & Stevens where my duties included prospect generating and well site geology.

Before that I worked for approximately 3 years with Los Siete Exploration in Roswell, where my duties included prospect generating and well site geology.

Are you familiar with the application of & Stevens in this case and the geological matters per-

6

7

2

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

18

19

20 21

23

22

MR. STOGNER: Let me unfold it

10 taining to it? Yes, I am. Α 2 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is 3 the witness considered qualified? MR. STOGNER: Mr. Brannigan 5 what did you receive your BS degree in from Northern 6 Arizona? 7 Geology. A 8 MR. STOGNER: Geology. He is 9 so qualified. 10 Would you please describe briefly why 11 Read & Stevens seeks approval of these unorthodox locations? 12 Yes, I will. The rules for this field 13 prescribe 320-acre units with wells to be drilled either in 14 the northwest quarter or the southeast quarter of the sec-15 tion. 16 Our geology, which I will describe later 17 18 more detail shows that wells drilled in the northwest 19 quarter of Section 14 and the southeast quarter of Section 24, and a well drilled in a standard location in the south-20 east quarter of Section 14, would either be dry holes or un-21 economical wells, and we seek to avoid that. 22 Please now refer to Exhibit Number 23 0 Two

and describe the geology in this area.

24

first. Okay.

A Exhibit Number Two is simply a four-well cross section in through the Buffalo Valley, depicting the lenticular nature of the channel deposits in the Atoka formation in the Buffalo Valley.

I just wanted to point out that it's not a blanket sand and you need to be precise with your geology in order to pick the channels and get the most feet of porosity possible.

Q And the wells used for this cross section are just to the immediate south of the wells to be drilled?

A That's right.

Q Would you please now refer to Exhibits Three-A, Three-B, and Three-C and describe in more detail why these three locations were selected?

A Exhibits Three-A, Three-B, and Three-C are simply Isopach maps of 10 percent cross plot porosity in the Atoka formation in the Buffalo Valley.

A, which is the Buffalo Valley Atoka Isopach map, Gamma Sand, 10 percent cross plot porosity, it can be easily seen that the locations, in order to get the most optimum porosity and pay zone, the wells, the No. 4 Langley and the No. 3 Langley should be drilled in unorthodox locations, and as stated earlier, the No. 3 Langley is in the southeast prora-

tion unit, southeast quarter of the proration unit, but if
we went with the standard location, according to the Isopach
maps the most porosity or pay we could expect would be 20
feet, and by shifting the location approximately 200 feet to
the west, we can expect to pick up another 10+ feet of pay.

Q And you are now referring to Exhibit Three-A, is that correct?

A Yes, I am, which is the Gamma Sand.

Okay, now that pretty much covers the No.

3 and No. 4 Langley.

If we'll move to Exhibits Three-B and Three-C, which is the Beta Sand and the Omega Sand, we'll see that No. 2 Toles was drilled in the location staked in the southwest quarter of Section 24, would encounter approximately 22 to 23 feet of porosity or pay in the Omega Sand and 22 to 23 feet of porosity or pay in the Beta Sand.

Q And based upon your porosity maps, what might happen if wells were drilled at standard locations?

A Standard locations, I believe, in the southwest southwest quarter, or excuse me, the southeast quarter of Section 24, would be dry holes.

There already is a well in the proper proration unit in the southeast quarter of Section 14, and as you can see by looking at your Gamma Sand Isopach map, it encountered 6 feet of porosity greater than 10 percent cross

plot but it was an uneconomical well and was plugged. I believe if we drill another well 2 that area it wouldn't be for the best interest of the State 3 or to Read & Stevens. Again, the No. 4 Langley, if we drilled 5 the well in the northwest quarter of Section 14, it would be 6 a dry hole. 7 Would you please now refer to Exhibit 8 Number Four and describe it for the Examiner? 9 Α Exhibit Number Four is just a cumulative 10 production map of the Atoka formation in the Buffalo Valley. 11 And does this map also point out 12 channelized geology in this area? 13 Α It does to a certain extent, where you 14 can see in the heart of the channels you can go ahead and 15 see your -- your best production, upwards of 10 BCF. 16 In your opinion will the granting of this 17 application be in the interest of conservation, the preven-18 19 tion of waste, and the protection of correlative rights? Yes. 20 Were Exhibits Two, Three, and Four pre-Q 21 pared by you or under your direction? 22 Yes, they were. Α 23 BRUCE: MR. Mr. Examiner, at 24 this time I move the admission of Exhibits Two. 25 Three,

```
Four.
 1
                                 MR.
                                       STOGNER:
                                                  Exhibits
2
                                                            Two,
   Three, and Four will be admitted into evidence.
3
                                      BRUCE: I have no further
                                 MR.
   questions of this witness.
 5
6
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
7
   BY MR. STOGNER:
                       Mr. Brannigan, on Exhibit Four, all the
            Q
9
   wells that you show on here with all the cumulative produc-
10
   tions on them, which formation are most of these producing
11
   from within the Pennsylvanian?
12
                       The Atoka formation.
13
                        Atoka. Do you have a rough estimate of
            Q
14
   -- or a percentage?
15
                       I'd say 95 to 100 percent.
            Α
16
                      Of what sand member of the -- of the Ato-
17
   ka do you believe that mostly, the majority of the wells
18
   producing from?
19
                      As far as my classification here?
20
            Α
                      Yes, sir.
21
            Ω
22
            Α
                        I would say probably the best production
   would be the Omega Sand.
23
24
                       Okay.
25
                                 MR. STOGNER: I have no further
```

questions of this witness. Are there any further questions 2 of Mr. Brannigan? 3 If not, both witnesses may be excused. 5 Mr. Bruce, do you have anything 6 further in this case? 7 MR. BRUCE: No, sir. 8 MR. STOGNER: As I have men-9 tioned earlier, due to the misadvertisement, or the Santa Fe 10 paper misplacing our ads, this case will be continued to the 11 Examiner's Hearing scheduled for June 5th, 1985, at which 12 time it will be taken under advisement. 13 14 (Hearing concluded.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

DO HEREBY

C E R T I F I C A T E

CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the

Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said

transcript is a full, true, and correct record of

hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Ι,

SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R.,

Sally W. Boyd CSR

do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete retard at the proceedings in the Examiner of the Proceedings in the Proceedings in the Examiner of the Proceedings in the Proceding in the Proceedings in the Proceding in the Proceedings in the Proceding in the

Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO EMERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 2 5 June 1985 3 EXAMINER HEARING 4 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Application of Read & Stevens, Inc. CASE 8 for three unorthodox gas well lo-8501 cations, Chavez County, New Mexico. 9 10 11 12 BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner 13 14 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 15 16 APPEARANCES 17 18 19 20 For the Oil Conservation Maryann Lunderman Division: Attorney at Law 21 Energy and Minerals Dept. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 22 23 For the Applicant:

MR. QUINTANA: We'll call next

3 | Case 8601.

MS. LUNDERMAN: Application of

Read & Stevens, Incorporated, for three unorthodox gas well

locations, Chaves County, New Mexico.

MR. QUINTANA: This case was

also heard by Mike Stogner.

Are there other appearances or

testimony in this case?

If not, the case will be taken

under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Souly W. Boyd Core

the Land land 15 the Land 15 the Land 15 the Land 16 t