

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

3 June 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Con- CASE  
servation Division on it own motion 9149  
for an order creating, assigning a  
discovery allowable, and extending  
certain pools in Chaves and Eddy  
Counties, New Mexico.

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division: Jeff Taylor  
Attorney at Law  
Legal Counsel to the Division  
State Land Office Bldg.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

## I N D E X

WILLIAM J. LEMAY

Direct Examination by Mr. Catanach

3

## E X H I B I T

Division Exhibit One, Document

3

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case  
9149.

In the matter of the hearing  
called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion  
for an order creating, assigning a discovery allowable, and  
extending certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New  
Mexico.

Are there appearances in this  
case?

MR. LEMAY: May it please the  
Examiner, I am William J. Lemay, a petroleum geologist, and  
Director of the Oil Conservation Division here in Santa Fe,  
and I will present testimony in this case.

MR. CATANACH: Okay, Mr. Lemay,  
you've previously testified before the Division before?

MR. LEMAY; Yes, I have, and my  
qualifications have been accepted.

MR. CATANACH: Okay.

MR. LEMAY: I am prepared this  
afternoon to make some recommendations to the Examiner con-  
cerning nomenclature for District II in Chaves and Eddy  
Counties, New Mexico. These recommendations are prepared in  
the form of an exhibit and is identified as Exhibit One.

I have reviewed the contents of

1 Exhibit I and the advertisement for the hearing today, and  
2 assured myself of the accuracy thereof.

3 MR. CATANACH: Are there any  
4 differences between the advertisement and the docket as pre-  
5 sented and circulated at the hearing today?

6 MR. LEMAY: I would like to say  
7 that I can find no differences in the docket and the Exhibit  
8 I as presented today.

9 MR. CATANACH: Do you have any-  
10 thing further to add to your testimony at this time?

11 MR. LEMAY: There is nothing  
12 I'd like to add to my testimony.

13 MR. CATANACH: Being nothing  
14 further, Case 9149 will be taken under advisement.

15

16 (Hearing concluded.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25