

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

29 July 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating and extending certain pools in Lea and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, and contracting and extending the vertical and horizontal limits of certain pools in Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE  
9185

CASE  
9186

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

Jeff Taylor  
Attorney at Law  
Legal Counsel to the Division  
State Land Office Bldg.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

## I N D E X

MICHAEL E. STOGNER

Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor

4

## E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One 1985

5

Division Exhibit One 1986

5

1  
2 MR. CATANACH: Call next Case  
3 9185.

4 In the matter of the hearing  
5 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion  
6 for an order creating and extending certain pools in Lea and  
7 Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

8 Are there appearances in this  
9 case?

10 MR. TAYLOR: May it please the  
11 Examiner, I'm Jeff Taylor Counsel for the Division, and I  
12 have one witness to be sworn.

13 And I would like to request  
14 that this case be consolidated for purposes of testimony  
15 with Case 9186, which is in the matter of the hearing  
16 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion  
17 for an order contracting and extending the vertical and hor-  
18 izontal limits of certain pools in Eddy County, New Mexico.

19 MR. CATANACH: Okay, Case 9185  
20 and 9186 will be consolidated.

21 Will the witness please stand  
22 and raise your right hand, please?

23  
24 (Witness sworn.)  
25

1                   MICHAEL E. STOGNER,  
2 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his  
3 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

4  
5                   DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. TAYLOR:

7                   Q           Would you please state your name, by whom  
8 you're employed and in what capacity?

9                   A           I'm Michael E. Stogner. I'm employed  
10 with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and Division  
11 here in Santa Fe Office as a petroleum engineer.

12                  Q           Mr. Stogner, you've previously testified  
13 before the Commission or its Examiners and had your creden-  
14 tials accepted?

15                  A           Yes, I have.

16                               MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, is  
17 the witness qualified?

18                               MR. CATANACH: He is.

19                  Q           Mr. Stogner, are you prepared to make re-  
20 commendations to the Examiner today concerning the nomen-  
21 clature of certain pools in Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt Coun-  
22 ties, New Mexico?

23                  A           Yes, I am.

24                  Q           Are your recommendations prepared in the  
25 form of an exhibit?

1           A           Yes, I have two separate exhibits for  
2 each case.

3           Q           And how are those Exhibits denominated?

4           A           Roman Numeral 1 for both.

5           Q           Okay, I in Case 9185 and I in Case 9186.

6           A           Yes, that's correct.

7           Q           Would you please first refer to your  
8 Exhibit I in Case 9185 and tell us if there's any  
9 differences between that exhibit and the information on the  
10 docket today that's been handed out?

11          A           Everything has been checked and there are  
12 no differences between the exhibit and the docket today.

13          Q           Okay, and would you refer to your Exhibit  
14 I in Case 9186 and tell us if there are any differences  
15 between that the docket which has been distributed.

16          A           That has also been checked and there are  
17 no differences there, either.

18          Q           Okay. Do you have anything further to  
19 add to your testimony?

20          A           I have nothing further.

21          Q           Was Exhibit I in Case 9185 and Exhibit I  
22 in Case 9186 prepared by you or under your supervision and  
23 control or have you examined the contents of the documents  
24 and assured yourself of their accuracy?

25          A           Yes, I have.

1 MR. TAYLOR: I'd move the  
2 admission of Exhibits One in Case 9185 and 9186.

3 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit I in  
4 Case 9185 and Exhibit I in 9186 will be admitted into  
5 evidence.

6 MR. TAYLOR: And that's all we  
7 have.

8 MR. CATANACH: Thank you, Mr.  
9 Stogner.

10 There being nothing further in  
11 Case 9185 and 9186, they will be taken under advisement.

12  
13 (Hearing concluded.)  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY  
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the  
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;  
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record  
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9185, 9186  
heard by me on 7/29/ 1987.

David R. Catamb, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division