

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

24 September 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE
vation Divison on its own motion for 9229
creating and extending certain pools
in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New
Mexico.

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

Jeff Taylor
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

MICHAEL E. STOGNER

Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor 3

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One, Documents 4

1
2 MR. CATANACH: Call next Case
3 9229, which is the matter of the hearing called by the Oil
4 Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creat-
5 ing and extendins certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties,
6 New Mexico.

7 Are there any appearances in
8 this case?

9 MR. TAYLOR: May it please the
10 Examiner, I'm Jeff Taylor, Counsel for the Division and I
11 have one witness to be sworn.

12 MR. CATANACH: Will the witness
13 please stand and be sworn in.

14
15 (Witness sworn.)

16
17 MICHAEL E. STOGNER,
18 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
19 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

20
21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. TAYLOR:

23 Q Would you please state your name, posi-
24 tion, and place of residence?

25 A I'm Michael E. Stogner. I'm a petroleum

1 engineer in Santa Fe. I reside in Las Vegas, New Mexico.

2 Q Mr. Stogner, you've previously testified
3 before the Commission or its examiners and had your creden-
4 tials accepted?

5 A Yes, I have.

6 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, is
7 the witness qualified?

8 MR. CATANACH: The witness is
9 qualified.

10 Q Mr. Stogner, are you prepared today to
11 make recommendations to the Examiner concerning the nomen-
12 clature of certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New
13 Mexico?

14 A Yes, sir, I am.

15 Q And how are your recommendations pre-
16 pared?

17 A In the form of an exhibit marked Number
18 One.

19 Q Okay, would you please then refer to Ex-
20 hibit One and to the docket that's been prepared and distri-
21 buted at the hearing and point out any differences between
22 the two?

23 A Everything is the same and correct.

24 Q So the docket correctly delineates the
25 nomenclature to be adopted.

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q Do you have anything further to add to
3 your testimony?

4 A I do not.

5 Q Was Exhibit One either prepared by you or
6 under your supervision and control or have you reviewed the
7 contents thereof and assured yourself of its accuracy?

8 A Yes, I have.

9 MR. TAYLOR: I move the admis-
10 sion of Exhibit One.

11 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit Number
12 one will be admitted into evidence.

13 Are there any questions of this
14 witness?

15 If not, he may be excused.

16 MR. TAYLOR: That's all we have
17 in this matter, Mr. Examiner.

18 MR. CATANACH: There being no-
19 thing further in Case 9229, it will be taken under advise-
20 ment and this hearing for Docket No. 28-87 is hereby adjour-
21 ned.

22

23 (Hearing concluded.)

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 7209, heard by me on Sept 23, 1987.
David R. Catamb, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division