

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

11 May 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

A nomenclature hearing, all in Lea County, New Mexico. CASE 9375

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division: Charles E. Roybal  
Attorney at Law  
Legal Counsel to the Division  
State Land Office Bldg.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. CATANACH: Case 9375, being a nomenclature hearing, all in Lea County, New Mexico. is improperly listed in this docket.

Case 9375 will be continued to the Examiner's hearing scheduled for June 8, 1988.

(Hearing concluded.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the examiner hearing of Case No. 9375 heard by me on May 11, 1988.  
David R. Cator, I, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
3 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 8 June 1988

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 In the matter of the hearing called  
10 by the Oil conservation Division on  
11 its own motion for an order creating,  
12 assigning a discovery allowable, re-  
13 classifying, and extending certain  
14 pools in Lea County, New Mexico

CASE  
9375  
9411

15 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

16 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

17 A P P E A R A N C E S

18 For the Division:

19 Robert G. Stovall  
20 Attorney at Law  
21 Legal Counsel to the Division  
22 State Land Office Bldg.  
23 Santa Fe, New Mexico

24 For the Applicant:  
25

1 MR. CATANACH: We'll call next  
2 Case 9375.

3 In the matter of the hearing  
4 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion  
5 for an order creating, assigning a discovery allowable,  
6 reclassifying and extending certain pools in Lea County,  
7 New Mexico.

8 And also at this time we'll  
9 call Case 9411, in the matter of the hearing called by the  
10 Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order  
11 creating, assigning a discovery allowable, and extending  
12 certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico.

13 Are there appearances in these  
14 cases?

15 MR. STOVALL: Robert G.  
16 Stovall appearing on behalf of the Oil Conservation Divi-  
17 sion.

18 I have one witness.

19 MR. CATANACH: Will the wit-  
20 ness please stand and be sworn in?

21  
22 (Witness sworn.)  
23  
24  
25

1 ROY JOHNSON,  
2 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his  
3 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

4  
5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. STOVALL:

7 Q Would you please state your name, by  
8 whom you're employed, and in what capacity?

9 A My name is Roy Johnson. I'm employed by  
10 the State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Division, as  
11 Senior Geologist.

12 Q Have you previously testified before the  
13 Commission and had your qualifications accepted?

14 A They have

15 Q Are you prepared to make recommendations  
16 to the Examiner concerning the nomenclature of certain  
17 pools in Lea County, New Mexico?

18 A I am.

19 MR. STOVALL: I'd first ask  
20 that the witness be accepted.

21 MR. CATANACH: He is so  
22 qualified.

23 Q Are your recommendations prepared in the  
24 form of an exhibit?

25 A They are.

1 Q And how is that exhibit identified?

2 A As Exhibit One. Both of them are as  
3 Exhibit One.

4 Q All right, Exhibit One in each -- you  
5 have an Exhibit One in each case, is that correct?

6 A In each case.

7 Q Would you please refer to Exhibit One in  
8 Case 9375 and tell the Examiner what that exhibit says?  
9 Are there any differences between that exhibit and the  
10 docket that's been distributed?

11 A There are no differences between the  
12 exhibit and the docket in either case.

13 Q In either case? Okay. Was each of the  
14 exhibits prepared by you or under your supervision and do  
15 you have knowledge of its accuracy?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you have anything further to add in  
18 these cases?

19 A Nothing at all.

20 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, we  
21 would move the admission of Exhibit One in each of these  
22 two cases.

23 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit One in  
24 Case 9375 and Exhibit One in Case 9411 will be accepted as  
25 evidence in these two cases.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9375-1904 heard by me on June 5 1988.  
David R. Citaristi, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division