

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 10835

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Hearing Called by the OCD  
on its Own Motion for an Order  
Extending Certain Pools in Rio Arriba,  
Sandoval, and San Juan Counties,  
New Mexico.

BEFORE:

MICHAEL E. STOGNER


Hearing Examiner

State Land Office Building

Thursday, September 23, 1993

REPORTED BY:

CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ  
Certified Court Reporter  
for the State of New Mexico



ORIGINAL

## A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:

**ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ.**

General Counsel  
State Land Office Building  
Post Office Box 2088  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

## I N D E X

	Page Number
Appearances	2
WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	
1. <b><u>DAVID R. CATANACH</u></b>	
Examination by Mr. Stovall	3
Certificate of Reporter	5

## E X H I B I T S

	Page Marked
Exhibit No. 1	4

1 EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll call Case No.  
2 10835, which is in the matter of the hearing  
3 called by the Oil Conservation Division, on its  
4 own motion, for an order extending certain pools  
5 in Rio Arriba, Sandoval, and San Juan Counties,  
6 New Mexico.

7 I'll call for appearances.

8 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, Robert G.  
9 Stovall of Santa Fe, on behalf of the Division.  
10 I have one witness who has been previously sworn  
11 and qualified.

12 EXAMINER STOGNER: Let the record show  
13 that Mr. Catanach has been previously sworn in  
14 the previous Case 10834.

15 Mr. Stovall.

16 **DAVID R. CATANACH**

17 Having been previously duly sworn upon his oath,  
18 was examined and testified further as follows:

19 EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. STOVALL:

21 Q. Mr. Catanach, are you prepared to make  
22 recommendations with respect to the nomenclature  
23 of certain pools in Rio Arriba, Sandoval, and San  
24 Juan Counties, New Mexico?

25 A. Yes, I am.

1 Q. How are those recommendations  
2 denominated?

3 A. Exhibit No. 1.

4 Q. Have you had the opportunity to review  
5 Exhibit 1 and the docket prepared for today's  
6 hearing, to determine if there are any  
7 differences?

8 A. Yes, I have.

9 Q. Are there any differences?

10 A. There are not.

11 Q. And do you recommend that the Division  
12 adopt the nomenclature as set forth in Exhibit  
13 No. 1?

14 A. I do.

15 MR. STOVALL: I move the admission of  
16 Exhibit No. 1, and I have no further questions.

17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit No. 1 will  
18 be admitted into evidence. No other questions,  
19 Mr. Catanach. You may be excused.

20 Case No. 10835 will be taken under  
21 advisement.


22 (And the proceedings concluded.)

23

24

25

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 10835,  
heard by me on 23 Sept. 1993.

 Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division


## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2  
3 STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  
4 ) ss.  
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

5  
6 I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified  
7 Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY  
8 that the foregoing transcript of proceedings  
9 before the Oil Conservation Division was reported  
10 by me; that I caused my notes to be transcribed  
11 under my personal supervision; and that the  
12 foregoing is a true and accurate record of the  
13 proceedings.

14 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a  
15 relative or employee of any of the parties or  
16 attorneys involved in this matter and that I have  
17 no personal interest in the final disposition of  
18 this matter.

19 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 30,  
20 1993.

21  
22  
23   
24 CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ, RPR  
25 CCR No. 4